

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON THE SINGULARITIES OF THE FUNCTION GENERATED
BY THE BERGMAN OPERATOR OF THE SECOND KIND**

P. ROSENTHAL

ON THE SINGULARITIES OF THE FUNCTION GENERATED BY THE BERGMAN OPERATOR OF THE SECOND KIND

P. ROSENTHAL

Let $\psi(\lambda, y) = P_2(f)$ be Bergman's integral operator of the second kind with domain of definition

$$W = \{(\lambda, y) \mid 3^{1/2} |\lambda| < y, \lambda \leq 0, y > 0\}.$$

Let $f(q) = (q - A)^{-1}$, $A \in W$. In this paper it is shown that $\psi(\lambda, y)$ has singular points $z = 2A$, $2A(1 - w)$, where $w = A^{-1}\lambda$ and $z = \lambda + iy$.

Let

$$\psi(z, z^*) = P_2(f) = \int_l E(z, z^*, t) f\left(\frac{z}{2}(1 - t^2)\right) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}}$$

be Bergman's integral operator of the second kind. $P_2(f)$ maps functions f analytic in one variable in the neighborhood of the origin into solutions of the linear partial differential equation

$$\psi_{zz^*} + N\left(\frac{z + z^*}{2}\right)(\psi_z + \psi_{z^*}) = 0, \quad z = \lambda + iy, \quad z^* = \lambda - iy,$$

$N(\lambda) = -(1/12\lambda)(1 + b_1(-\lambda)^{2/3} + \dots)$ is analytic for $-\infty < \lambda < 0$ and singular at $\lambda = 0$. $E(z, z^*, t)$, called the generating function of the operator, is analytic in the three variables z , z^* , and t providing $|z + z^*| < |t^2 z|$, l is some rectifiable Jordan curve in the upper complex t -plane connecting the points -1 and 1 , [1], [3].

In a previous paper [7] we obtained some results on the singularities of $P_2(f)$ where f is meromorphic and z, z^* were treated as independent complex variables. In this paper we let $z^* = \bar{z}$ (conjugate of z) and $N(\lambda) = -1/12\lambda$ (Tricomi case). With these assumptions,

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi(\lambda, y) &= \int_{-1}^1 E(u) \frac{f(q)}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} dt, \quad \text{where } u = \frac{t^2 z}{2\lambda}, \\ q &= \frac{1}{2} z(1 - t^2), \\ z &= \lambda + iy, \end{aligned}$$

$E(u) = H(\lambda)(F^{(1)}(u) + F^{(2)}(u))$, $F^{(1)}(u) = C_1 u^{-1/6} F_1(1/6, 2/3, 1/3, 1/u)$, $F^{(2)}(u) = C_2 u^{-5/6} F_2(5/6, 4/3, 5/3; 1/u)$, F_j is the hypergeometric function $j = 1, 2$, $H(\lambda) = C_3 \lambda^{-1/6}$, C_j are constants, $j = 1, 2, 3$, $(\lambda, y) \in W = \{(\lambda, y) \mid 3^{1/2} |\lambda| < y, \lambda \leq 0, y > 0\}$,

l (the path of integration = $\{t \mid t = e^{i\theta}, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi\}$, [4, p. 107].

THEOREM. Let $f(q) = (A - q)^{-1}$, $A = \lambda_0 + iy_0 \in W$, $\lambda/A = w = s + i\sigma$, $z = \lambda + iy$, $S_1 = \{(w, z) \mid z = 2A, \pi/2 \geq \arg w \geq \alpha_1, \pi/2 > \alpha_1 > \pi/3, 0 < \delta_1 \leq |w| \leq 1/4 - \delta_2, 1/4 > \delta_1, \delta_2 > 0, 1/4 > \delta_1 + \delta_2\}$,

$S_2 = \{(w, z) \mid z = 2A(1 - w), \text{ same conditions on } w \text{ as in } S_1\}$,

$S_3 = \{(0, 2y_0)\}$. Let $T = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$. Then T is a singular set for at least one of the branches of $\psi(w, z)$ defined in (1).

Proof. We consider first the case where $E(u) = H(\lambda)F^{(1)}(u)$.

Domain considerations. (3), (4) imply $\psi(w, z)$ is analytic function of the two complex variables w, z for disc neighborhoods satisfying $0 < |w| < 1/4$, $|A/2| < |z| < |A|$, where we have extended λ to the complex variable Aw . Note (1) implies we must specify branch cuts in our definition of $\psi(w, z)$. Since $z = \lambda + iy$ (see (1)), we must also consider the extension of λ, y to complex values subject to the above inequalities. Thus we can also obtain nonempty neighborhoods $N_s(\lambda), N_s(y)$ such that $\psi(\lambda, y)$ is an analytic function in λ, y , where λ, y now have been extended to complex values.

In what follows we treat $\psi(w, z)$ as an analytic function in z for fixed w .

Consider the function obtained from (1) where we have used the series definition for $F_1(u)$,

$$(2) \quad f(\lambda, y) = \int_{-1}^1 \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_p t^{-2p} (2\lambda)^p}{z^p} \cdot \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{2A}\right)^p (1-t^2)^p t^{-1/3} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}},$$

$a_p = (\Gamma(p + 1/6)\Gamma(p + 2/3)/\Gamma(p + 1/3)\Gamma(p + 1))$, Γ is the Gamma function, $|z| < A$, $|2\lambda| < |z|$. From (2) we obtain two series,

$$(3) \quad \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \left(\frac{\lambda}{A}\right)^k t^{-2k-1/3} (1-t^2)^{p+k-1/2} \right) \left(\frac{z}{2A}\right)^p \\ + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+k} \left(\frac{\lambda}{A}\right)^k t^{-(2(p+k+1/6))} (1-t^2)^{k-1/2} \left(\frac{2\lambda}{z}\right)^p \right) \\ |z| < |A|, \quad |2\lambda| < |z|.$$

We will limit ourselves to the first series in (3) for our analysis of the singularities of $P_2(f)$. When $|\lambda| \leq |A/2| - \delta$, $|z| \leq |A| - \delta$, $|A/2| > \delta > 0$, the operations of summation and integration (with respect to t) can be interchanged in the first series of (3), our integrals are in the improper Riemann sense. Integrating the first part of (3) by parts, then using the formula,

$$\int_{-1}^1 t^{-1/3}(1-t^2)^{\nu+1/6} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} = -\frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{2\pi i/3}) \frac{\Gamma(1/3)\Gamma(\nu + 2/3)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)},$$

[2, p. 33], we obtain the function

$$(4) \quad f_1(w, z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \beta_p(w) \left(\frac{z}{2A}\right)^p,$$

where

$$(5) \quad \beta_p(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{pk} w^k, \quad A_{pk} = \frac{\Gamma(k + 1/6)\Gamma(k + p + 1/2)}{\Gamma(k + 1/3)\Gamma(k + 1)\Gamma(p + 5/6)},$$

$$w = \frac{\lambda}{A}.$$

(5) can be rewritten as

$$(6) \quad \beta_p(w) = \alpha_p c_p(w), \quad \alpha_p = \frac{\Gamma(1/6)\Gamma(1/2 + p)}{\Gamma(1/3)\Gamma(5/6 + p)},$$

$c_p(w) = F_3(1/6, 1/2 + p, 1/3; w)$, F_3 a hypergeometric function. Using the asymptotic formula for F_3 for large p [6, pp. 235, 241 (23)], we can write $c_p(w)$ as

$$(7) \quad c_p(w) = a_p(w)e^{i\pi/6}R_1 + a_p(w)(1-w)^{-1/3-p}R_2,$$

$$a_p(w) = \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\right)^{-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) (pw)^{-1/6},$$

p sufficiently large, $w \in T_1 = \{w \mid 0 < \delta_1 \leq |w| \leq 1/4 - \delta_2, 1/4 > \delta_2, \delta_1 > 0, 1/4 > \delta_1 + \delta_2, \pi/2 \geq \arg w \geq \alpha_1, \pi/2 > \alpha_1 > \pi/3\}$,

$$R_j(p, w) = 1 + R_0^{(j)}(p, w),$$

$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} pR_0^{(j)}(p, w) = h_j(w) \neq 0$ uniformly for $w \in T_1, j = 1, 2$. Using (6), (7), we can rewrite (4) as

$$(8) \quad f_1(w, z) = \sum_{p=0}^{p=p_0-1} \alpha_p c_p(w) \left(\frac{z}{2A}\right)^p + \sum_{p=p_0+1}^{\infty} c_1(p, w) z_1^p + \sum_{p=p_0+1}^{\infty} c_2(p, w) z_2^p,$$

$$z_1 = \frac{z}{2A}, \quad z_2 = (1-w)^{-1} \frac{z}{2A},$$

and

$$(9) \quad c_1(p, w) = \alpha_p a_p(w) e^{i\pi/6} R_1, \quad c_2(p, w) = \alpha_p a_p(w) (1-w)^{-1/3} R_2,$$

see (6) for the definition of α_p , (7) for $a_p(w)$.

From (9) we obtain

$$(10) \quad \rho = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} |c_j(p, w)|^{-1/p} = 1,$$

the radius of convergence of the second and third series in (8), and $-\varepsilon < \arg c_j(p, w) < \varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon < \pi/2$, p sufficiently large, $w \in T_1$, $j = 1, 2$.

Proof of (10). From (7) we obtain

$$1 + \varepsilon \geq |R_j(p, w)| \geq 1 - \varepsilon > 0,$$

$1 > \varepsilon > 0$, p sufficiently large, $w \in T_1$. So we can take the p th root (say principle branch) of $c_j(p, w)$, $j = 1, 2$, cf (9).

Using the asymptotic formula $(\Gamma(p+A)/\Gamma(p+B)) \sim p^{A-B}$, we conclude the first part of (10). Since $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (1 + R_0^{(j)}(p, w)) = 1$, $w \in T_1$, see (7), the second part of (10) follows.

$$(11) \quad z = 2A \quad \text{and} \quad z = 2A(1 - w), \quad w \in T_1,$$

are singular points of (8).

Proof of (11). (10) satisfies the hypotheses of a theorem of Dienes [5, p. 227]. From this theorem we conclude $z = 2A$ and $z = 2A(1 - w)$ are singular points respectively of the second and third series in (8). Further, $c_j(p = \xi = \rho e^{i\psi}, w)$ (see (9)) is an analytic function in ξ in the half-plane $x_1 \geq 1$, $\xi = x_1 + iy_1$, and

$$|c_j(1 + \rho e^{i\psi}, w)| < e^{\varepsilon \rho}, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

and arbitrarily small, $\rho > 0$ and sufficiently large, and $-\pi/2 \leq \psi \leq \pi/2$, $w \in T_1$, $j = 1, 2$. This follows from a definition of the remainder term $R_0^{(j)}(p, w)$ of (7), see [6, p. 235]. Hence by a theorem of Le Roy and Lindelöf [5, p. 340], we conclude the only possible singular points of the second series in (8) are the points on the ray $\varphi = \varphi_0$, $\varphi_0 = \arg 2A$, joining $2A$ to infinity and the only possible singular points of the third series in (8) are the points on the ray $\varphi = \theta_0$, $\theta_0 = \arg 2A(1 - w)$, $w \in T_1$, joining $2A(1 - w)$ to infinity. Further, $\arg 2A \neq \arg(2A(1 - w))$, $w \in T_1$. Hence the singular points $z = 2A$, $z = 2A(1 - w)$, $w \in T_1$, of the second and third series respectively are not removed upon addition of these two series in (8). This completes the proof of (11).

$$(12) \quad (0, 2y_0) \text{ is a singular point of } \psi(w, z).$$

Proof. Let $w = \lambda/A = \lambda_0 = 0$. (3) then reduces to the first series, and (4) reduces to the hypergeometric function $F_4(1, 1/2, 5/6; (y/2y_0))$ times a constant. F_4 is singular at the point $y = 2y_0$, so (12) holds.

From (11), (12) we conclude T is a singular set (see Theorem for the definition of T) of $\psi(w, z)$ for the case F_1 .

Proof. We note the second series in (3) when integrated with respect to t gives rise to a function $f_2(w, z)$ which is regular at the points in T .

For the case F_2 (see (1)) we use the formula

$$\int_{-1}^1 t^{-5/3} (1 - t^2)^{\nu+5/6} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-(2\pi i/3)}) \frac{\Gamma(-1/3)\Gamma(\nu + 4/3)}{\Gamma(\nu + 1)}$$

[2, p. 33].

Proceeding as above, we then conclude $T - \{(0, 2y_0)\}$ is a singular set for the case F_2 . (1) thus can be written as the sum of two functions,

$$(13) \quad \psi(w, z) = \frac{1}{z^{5/6}} (g(w, z) = z^{2/3}P_1(w, z) + P_2(w, z)) ,$$

where P_j is singular at the points in $T - \{(0, 2y_0)\}$, $j = 1, 2$. This follows from the linearity of the operator $P_2(f)$.

$$(14) \quad \text{At least one of the branches of } g(w, z) \text{ of (15) is singular for points in } T - \{(0, 2y_0)\} .$$

Proof of (14). $z^{2/3}$ can be one of the three branches,

$$\alpha_1 = R^{2/3}e^{i2/3\theta} , \quad \alpha_2 = R^{2/3}e^{i(2/3\theta+2/3\pi)} , \quad \alpha_3 = R^{2/3}e^{i(2/3\theta+4/3\pi)} , \quad \pi > \theta > -\pi .$$

We form the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 g_i(w, z) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i P_1(w, z) + 3P_2(w, z) .$$

We note $\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i P_1(w, z) = 0$, $|w| < 1/4$; $|A/2| < |z| < |A|$ (see (3)). So if all the branches of $\psi(w, z)$ in (13) were regular at the points in $T - \{(0, 2y_0)\}$, then $P_2(w, z)$ would be regular at the same points, a contradiction. For $w = \lambda/A = \lambda_0 = 0$, $P_2(0, z) = 0$, hence $(0, 2y_0)$ is a singular point for all branches (13) (see (12)). This completes the proof of our Theorem.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bergman, *Two-dimensional transonic flow patterns*, Amer. J. Math., **70** (1948), 856-891.
2. ———, *On an initial value problem in the theory of two-dimensional transonic flow patterns*, Pacific J. Math., **32** (1970), 29-46.
3. S. Bergman and R. Bojanic, *Application of integral operators to the theory of partial differential equations with singular coefficients*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **4** (1962), 323-340.
4. ———, *Integral Operators in the Theory of Linear Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Band 23, 3rd ed., 1971.

5. P. Dienes, *The Taylor Series*, Oxford University Press, 1931.
6. Luke Yudell, *The Special Functions and Their Approximation*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, 1969.
7. P. Rosenthal, *On the Bergman integral operator for an elliptic partial differential equation with a singular coefficient*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **35** (1970), 493-497.

Received June 16, 1972. This work was supported in part by NSF Grant DP-33779 at Stanford University.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 48, No. 1

March, 1973

Jan Aarts and David John Lutzer, <i>Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire spaces</i>	1
Gordon Owen Berg, <i>Metric characterizations of Euclidean spaces</i>	11
Ajit Kaur Chilana, <i>The space of bounded sequences with the mixed topology</i>	29
Philip Throop Church and James Timourian, <i>Differentiable open maps of $(p + 1)$-manifold to p-manifold</i>	35
P. D. T. A. Elliott, <i>On additive functions whose limiting distributions possess a finite mean and variance</i>	47
M. Solveig Espelie, <i>Multiplicative and extreme positive operators</i>	57
Jacques A. Ferland, <i>Domains of negativity and application to generalized convexity on a real topological vector space</i>	67
Michael Benton Freeman and Reese Harvey, <i>A compact set that is locally holomorphically convex but not holomorphically convex</i>	77
Roe William Goodman, <i>Positive-definite distributions and intertwining operators</i>	83
Elliot Charles Gootman, <i>The type of some C^* and W^*-algebras associated with transformation groups</i>	93
David Charles Haddad, <i>Angular limits of locally finitely valent holomorphic functions</i>	107
William Buhmann Johnson, <i>On quasi-complements</i>	113
William M. Kantor, <i>On 2-transitive collineation groups of finite projective spaces</i>	119
Joachim Lambek and Gerhard O. Michler, <i>Completions and classical localizations of right Noetherian rings</i>	133
Kenneth Lamar Lange, <i>Borel sets of probability measures</i>	141
David Lowell Lovelady, <i>Product integrals for an ordinary differential equation in a Banach space</i>	163
Jorge Martinez, <i>A hom-functor for lattice-ordered groups</i>	169
W. K. Mason, <i>Weakly almost periodic homeomorphisms of the two sphere</i>	185
Anthony G. Mucci, <i>Limits for martingale-like sequences</i>	197
Eugene Michael Norris, <i>Relationally induced semigroups</i>	203
Arthur E. Olson, <i>A comparison of c-density and k-density</i>	209
Donald Steven Passman, <i>On the semisimplicity of group rings of linear groups. II</i>	215
Charles Radin, <i>Ergodicity in von Neumann algebras</i>	235
P. Rosenthal, <i>On the singularities of the function generated by the Bergman operator of the second kind</i>	241
Arthur Argyle Sagle and J. R. Schumi, <i>Multiplications on homogeneous spaces, nonassociative algebras and connections</i>	247
Leo Sario and Cecilia Wang, <i>Existence of Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions on the Poincaré 3-ball</i>	267
Ramachandran Subramanian, <i>On a generalization of martingales due to Blake</i>	275
Bui An Ton, <i>On strongly nonlinear elliptic variational inequalities</i>	279
Seth Warner, <i>A topological characterization of complete, discretely valued fields</i>	293
Chi Song Wong, <i>Common fixed points of two mappings</i>	299