

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **EXISTENCE OF DIRICHLET FINITE BIHARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON THE POINCARÉ 3-BALL**

LEO SARIO AND CECILIA WANG

## EXISTENCE OF DIRICHLET FINITE BIHARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON THE POINCARÉ 3-BALL

LEO SARIO AND CECILIA WANG

**In an earlier study we discussed the existence of quasi-harmonic functions, i.e., solutions of  $\Delta u = 1$ . We showed, in particular, that there exist Dirichlet finite quasiharmonic functions on the Poincaré 3-ball**

$$B_\alpha: \{|x| < 1, ds = (1 - |x|^2)^\alpha |dx|\}$$

**if and only if  $\alpha \in (-3/5, 1)$ . We now ask: Is the existence of these functions entailed by that of Dirichlet biharmonic functions? This is known to be the case for dimension 2. We shall show that, perhaps somewhat unexpectedly, it is no longer true for dimension 3.**

For preparation we first solve the problem, of significance in its own right, of the existence of Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions. In the notation of No. 1 below, we give the complete characterization

$$B_\alpha \in O_{H^2D} \iff \alpha > -\frac{3}{5}.$$

The problem also offers considerable technical interest, as the generating harmonic functions can not be presented in a closed form, but only by means of expansions at the regular singular point of the related differential equation. This makes the estimates somewhat delicate. Also, the four cases  $\alpha \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha \in (-3/5, 1)$ ,  $\alpha < -3/5$ , and  $\alpha = -3/5$  must be treated separately, each with its own approach.

To deduce the above result (Theorem 1), we first expand a harmonic function on  $B_\alpha$  in terms of spherical harmonics with respect to our non-Euclidean metric (Theorem 2). As important applications of Theorem 1 to the classification theory we obtain a decomposition of the totality of Riemannian 3-manifolds into three disjoint nonempty subclasses induced by  $O_{QD}$  and  $O_{H^2D}$  (Theorem 3), and establish the existence of parabolic 3-manifolds which carry  $H^2D$ -functions and of hyperbolic 3-manifolds which do not carry  $H^2D$ -functions (Theorem 4).

An interesting open problem is whether  $B_\alpha \in O_{H^2D}$  if and only if  $\alpha > -3/(N + 2)$ .

1. A function  $u$  is harmonic or biharmonic according as it satisfies  $\Delta_\lambda u = 0$  or  $\Delta_\lambda^2 u = 0$ , where  $\Delta_\lambda$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator  $\Delta_\lambda = d\delta + \delta d$  with respect to the metric  $ds = \lambda(x) |dx|$ . Denote by  $H^2$  the family of nonharmonic biharmonic functions, by  $D$  the family of

functions  $f$  with finite Dirichlet integrals  $D(f) = \int df \wedge *df < \infty$ , and set  $H^2D = H^2 \cap D$ . Let  $O_{H^2D}$  be the class of Riemannian manifolds which do not carry  $H^2D$ -functions. We assert:

**THEOREM 1.**  $B_\alpha \notin O_{H^2D} \Leftrightarrow \alpha > -3/5$ .

The proof will be given in Nos. 2-7.

2. We start by expanding a harmonic function on  $B_\alpha$  in spherical harmonics. We recall that a function  $S_n(\theta^1, \theta^2)$ , in polar coordinates  $(r, \theta^1, \theta^2)$ , is called a spherical harmonic of degree  $n$  if  $r^n S_n(\theta^1, \theta^2)$  is harmonic with respect to the Euclidean metric. Every such function is a unique linear combination of  $2n + 1$  linearly independent fundamental spherical harmonics  $S_{nm}$  of degree  $n$ . The class  $\{S_{nm}; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots; m = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1\}$  is not only an orthogonal system with respect to the inner product  $(f, g) = \int_\omega fg dS$ , with  $\omega$  the 2-sphere and  $dS$  the surface element, but also a complete system with respect to the family of  $L^2$ -functions. For every harmonic function  $h$  in  $B_\alpha$ , we have a Fourier expansion

$$(1) \quad h(r, \theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{2n+1} d_{nm}(r) S_{nm}(\theta)$$

with  $\theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2)$ .

By virtue of

$$\Delta_\lambda(f(r)S_{nm}(\theta)) = -\lambda^{-2}[f''(r) + \left(\frac{2}{r} + \frac{\lambda'}{\lambda}\right)f'(r) - n(n+1)r^{-2}f(r)]S_{nm}(\theta),$$

and  $\lambda\lambda^{-1} = -2\alpha r(1-r^2)^{-1}$ , the function  $f(r)S_{nm}(\theta)$  is harmonic on  $B_\alpha$  if and only if  $f(r)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} r^2(1-r^2)f''(r) + r[2(1-r^2) - 2\alpha r^2]f'(r) \\ - n(n+1)(1-r^2)f(r) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We shall denote the solution of equation (2) for each  $n$  by  $f_n(r)$ . Since all coefficients in (2) can be expanded into power series of  $r$ , the point 0 is a regular singular point of the equation. Thus there exists at least one solution of (2) in the form

$$(3) \quad f_n(r) = r^{2n} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{ni} r^i,$$

$c_{n0} \neq 0$ . On substituting in (2) we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [(p_n + i - 1)(p_n + i) + 2(p_n + i) - n(n + 1)]c_{n,i}r^{2n+i} \\ - \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \{(p_n + i - 3)(p_n + i - 2) + (2 + 2\alpha)(p_n + i - 2) \\ - n(n + 1)\}c_{n,i-2}r^{2n+i} = 0.$$

To determine  $p_n$  we equate to 0 the coefficient of  $r^{2n}$  and obtain the indicial equation

$$(p_n - 1)p_n + 2p_n - n(n + 1) = 0$$

which gives  $p_n = n$  or  $p_n = -(n + 1)$ . Since  $0 \in B_\alpha$ ,  $p_n$  can not be negative, and therefore  $p_n = n$ .

We then equate to 0 the coefficient  $2(n + 1)c_{n,1}$  of  $r^{2n+1}$  and obtain  $c_{n,1} = 0$ .

To find  $c_{n,i}$ ,  $i \geq 2$ , we equate to 0 the coefficient of  $r^{2n+i}$ :

$$[(p_n + i)(p_n + i + 1) - n(n + 1)]c_{n,i} \\ = [(p_n + i - 2)(p_n + i - 1 + 2\alpha) - n(n + 1)]c_{n,i-2}.$$

On letting  $p_n = n$  and  $c_{n,0} = 1$  we have

$$(5) \quad c_{n,2i} = \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{(n + 2j - 2)(n + 2j - 1 + 2\alpha) - n(n + 1)}{(n + 2j)(n + 2j + 1) - n(n + 1)}$$

for  $i \geq 1$ , and  $c_{n,2i+1} = 0$  for  $i \geq 0$ .

The limit of  $f_n(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{n,2i}r^{2n+2i}$  as  $r \rightarrow 1$  exists since the  $c_{n,2i}$  are of constant sign as soon as  $i$  is sufficiently large. Furthermore, this limit can not be zero, for otherwise  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_n S_{nm} \equiv 0$ , and consequently  $f_n \equiv 0$ , contrary to  $c_{n,0} = 1$ . In a similar fashion we see that  $f_n(r) \neq 0$  for  $0 < r < 1$ . Hence for arbitrary but fixed  $r_0$ ,  $0 < r_0 < 1$ , there exist constants  $a_{nm}$  such that  $a_{nm}f_n(r_0)S_{nm} = d_{nm}(r_0)S_{nm}$ , and

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{2n+1} a_{nm}f_n(r)S_{nm}(\theta)$$

is a series of functions harmonic on  $B_\alpha$  which converges absolutely and uniformly to  $h(r_0, \theta)$  on the 2-sphere of radius  $r_0$ . Now let  $r_0 < r' < 1$ ; then by the same argument there exist constants  $a'_{nm}$  such that

$$(7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{2n+1} a'_{nm}f_n(r)S_{nm}(\theta)$$

converges to  $h$  on the ball of radius  $r'$ . Hence (6) and (7) are identical on the ball of radius  $r_0$ , so that  $a_{nm} = a'_{nm}$  for all  $(n, m)$ .

We have proved:

**THEOREM.** *Every harmonic function  $h(r, \theta^1, \theta^2)$  on the Poincaré ball  $B_\alpha$  has the expansion in terms of the fundamental spherical harmonics  $S_{nm}$ ,*

$$(8) \quad h(r, \theta^1, \theta^2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{2n+1} a_{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{n,2i} r^{n+2i} S_{nm}(\theta^1, \theta^2),$$

where the  $c_{n,2i}$  are given by (5).

3. After this preparation, we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1. An essential aspect of the proof is that the cases  $\alpha \geq 1, \alpha \in (-3/5, 1), \alpha < -3/5$ , and  $\alpha = -3/5$  all require a different treatment.

We first establish the following crucial estimate:

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $\alpha \geq 1$ , then*

$$f_1(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{1,2i} r^{1+2i} = O((1-r)^{-2\alpha}) \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1.$$

*Proof.* By (5),

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,2i} &= \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{(2j-1)(2j+2\alpha)-2}{(2j+1)(2j+2)-2} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{2j+2\alpha-1}{2j} \cdot \frac{2j-1+(2j-3)/(2j+2\alpha-1)}{2j+3}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(9) \quad c_{1,2i} < \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{2j+2\alpha-1}{2j}$$

or, equivalently,

$$(10) \quad 4 - \frac{2j-3}{2j+2\alpha-1} > 0.$$

In the case  $\alpha \geq 1$  under consideration this is clearly so for all  $j \geq 1$ . Consequently

$$f_1(r) < r + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{2j+2\alpha-1}{2j} \right) r^{1+2i}.$$

We compare this with the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} r(1-r)^{-2\alpha} &= r + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{j+2\alpha-1}{j} \right) r^{1+i} \\ &> r + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \prod_{j=1}^i \frac{2j+2\alpha-1}{2j} \right) r^{1+2i} \end{aligned}$$

and obtain the lemma.

4. We shall make use of Lemma 1 to prove:

LEMMA 2.  $B_\alpha \notin O_{H^2D}$  for  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an  $H^2D$ -function  $u$  is that the Laplacian  $\Delta u = h$  satisfies

$$(11) \quad |(h, \varphi)| \leq K\sqrt{D(\varphi)}$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$  and some constant  $K$  independent of  $\varphi$  (Nakai-Sario [5]).

Let  $h = f_1(r)S_{11} = f_1(r) \cos \theta^1$ , and take any  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_\alpha)$ . By Lemma 1 and the Fourier expansion

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{2n+1} b_{nm}(r)S_{nm}(\theta^1, \theta^2),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(h, \varphi)| &= \left| \text{const} \int_0^1 b_{11}(r)f_1(r)r^2(1-r^2)^{3\alpha}dr \right| \\ &< \text{const} \int_0^1 |b_{11}(r)| (1-r)^\alpha dr. \end{aligned}$$

By Schwarz's inequality,

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} |(h, \varphi)|^2 &\leq \text{const} \int_0^1 (1-r)^\alpha dr \cdot \int_0^1 b_{11}^2(r)(1-r)^\alpha dr \\ &= \text{const} \int_0^1 b_{11}^2(r)(1-r)^\alpha dr. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} D(\varphi) &= \int_{B_\alpha} |\text{grad } \varphi|^2 dV \geq \text{const} \int_{B_\alpha} r^{-2}(1-r^2)^{-2\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta^1}\right)^2 r^2(1-r^2)^{3\alpha} dr \\ &\geq \text{const} \int_0^1 b_{11}^2(r)(1-r)^\alpha dr. \end{aligned}$$

5. Denote by  $Q$  the class of quasiharmonic functions  $u$ , characterized by  $\Delta_1 u = 1$ . We recall (Sario-Wang [9]) that  $B_\alpha \in O_{QD}$  if and only if  $\alpha \in (-3/5, 1)$ . Since  $QD \subset H^2D$ , we have trivially:

LEMMA 3.  $B_\alpha \in O_{H^2D}$  if  $\alpha \in (-3/5, 1)$ .

6. Next we consider the case  $\alpha < -3/5$ .

LEMMA 4.  $B_\alpha \in O_{H^2D}$  if  $\alpha < -3/5$ .

*Proof.* Suppose there exists an  $H^2D$ -function  $u$  on  $B_\alpha$ , that is,

$\mathcal{A}u = h$  satisfies (11). By Theorem 2,  $h$  has the expansion

$$h = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{2n+1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{nm} c_{n,2i} r^{n+2i} S_{nm} .$$

If  $a_{nm} \neq 0$  for some  $(n, m)$ , choose for our testing functions  $\varphi_t$ ,  $0 < t \leq 1$ ,

$$\varphi_t(r, \theta) = \rho_t(r) S_{nm}(\theta), \quad \rho_t(r) = g\left(\frac{1-r}{t}\right),$$

where  $g(r)$  is a fixed nonnegative  $C_0^\infty$ -function with  $\text{supp } g \subset (\beta, \gamma)$ ,  $0 < \beta < \gamma < 1$ . Since  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f_n(r) \neq 0$ ,

$$\int_{1-\gamma t}^{1-\beta t} \rho_t(r) dr = t \int_{\beta}^{\gamma} g(r) dr ,$$

and  $(1 - r^2)^{3\alpha} > 2^{3\alpha}(1 - r)^{3\alpha} \geq 2^{3\alpha}(\gamma t)^{3\alpha}$  for  $\alpha < 0$ , we have for sufficiently small  $t$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad |(h, \varphi_t)| &= \text{const} \left| \int_{1-\gamma t}^{1-\beta t} f_n(r) \rho_t(r) r^2 (1 - r^2)^{3\alpha} dr \right| \\ &\geq \text{const} (1 - \gamma)^2 (\gamma t)^{3\alpha} \int_{1-\gamma t}^{1-\beta t} \rho_t(r) dr = \text{const } t^{3\alpha+1} . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad D(\varphi_t) &= \int_{B_\alpha} |\text{grad } \varphi_t|^2 dV \\ &= \int_{1-\gamma t}^{1-\beta t} (1 - r^2)^{-2\alpha} (c_1(\rho'(r))^2 + c_2 r^{-2} \rho^2(r)) r^2 (1 - r^2)^{3\alpha} dr \\ &< \text{const } (\gamma t)^\alpha \int_{1-\gamma t}^{1-\beta t} (c_1(\rho'(r))^2 + c_2 \rho^2(r)) dr \\ &= d_1 t^{\alpha-1} + d_2 t^{\alpha+1} < dt^{\alpha-1} , \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha < 0$ , where  $d_1, d_2$ , and  $d$  are independent of  $t$ . If  $\alpha < -3/5$ , then (11) is violated as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $B_\alpha \in O_{H^2D}$  for  $\alpha < -3/5$ .

7. It remains to consider the case  $\alpha = -3/5$ .

LEMMA 5.  $B_{-3/5} \in O_{H^2D}$ .

*Proof.* We choose a decreasing sequence of real numbers  $t_j \in (0, 1]$  tending to 0 such that  $1 - \beta t_j < 1 - \gamma t_{j+1}$  and (14) is satisfied for each  $t_j$ . Set  $q_j = t_j^{-3\alpha-1} j^{-1} \cdot \text{sign}(h, \varphi_{t_j})$  and take for the testing functions  $\varphi_n = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j \varphi_{t_j}$ . We obtain by (14)

$$|(h, \varphi_n)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n q_j (h, \varphi_{t_j}) \right| > \text{const} \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-1}$$

and by (15)

$$D(\varphi_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n q_j^2 D(\varphi_{t_j}) < \text{const} \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-2} (t_j^{-5\alpha-3}).$$

For  $\alpha = -3/5$ , we have  $D(\varphi_n) < \text{const} \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-2}$ , which stays bounded as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  whereas  $|(h, \varphi_n)| \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus (11) is violated, and we conclude that  $B_{-3/5} \in O_{H^2D}$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is herewith complete.

8. Since  $B_\alpha \in O_{QD}$  if and only if  $\alpha \in (-3/5, 1)$ , Theorem 1 has the following applications to the classification of Riemannian manifolds, with  $\tilde{O}$  standing for the complement of  $O$ :

**THEOREM 3.** *The totality of Riemannian 3-manifolds has the decomposition*

$$\{R\} = O_{H^2D} \cup (O_{QD} \cap \tilde{O}_{H^2D}) \cup \tilde{O}_{QD}$$

*into three disjoint nonempty subclasses.*

**THEOREM 4.** *There exist parabolic Riemannian 3-manifolds which carry  $H^2D$ -functions, and hyperbolic Riemannian 3-manifolds which do not carry  $H^2D$ -functions.*

For dimension 2, this was shown in Nakai-Sario [5], but for higher dimensions it has been an open problem.

For the proof of Theorem 4, let  $O_G$  be the class of parabolic Riemannian manifolds. It was proved in Sario-Wang [9] that  $B_\alpha \in O_G$  if and only if  $\alpha \geq 1$ . As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} B_\alpha \in O_G \cap \tilde{O}_{H^2D} &\iff \alpha \geq 1, \\ B_\alpha \in \tilde{O}_G \cap O_{H^2D} &\iff \alpha \leq -\frac{3}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall return to the classification of higher dimensional Riemannian manifolds in further studies.

We are indebted to Mr. Dennis Hada, who preused the manuscript and made his valued comments.

#### REFERENCES

1. Y. K. Kwon, L. Sario, and B. Walsh, *Behavior of biharmonic functions on Wiener's and Royden's compactifications*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, (Grenoble), **21** (1971), 217-226.
2. C. Müller, *Spherical Harmonics*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 17, Springer, 1966.

3. M. Nakai and L. Sario, *Biharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **77** (1971), 432-436.
4. ———, *Quasiharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **31** (1972), 165-169.
5. ———, *Existence of Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A. I. **532** (1973), 1-33.
6. H. O'Malla, *Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions on the unit disk with distorted metrics*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **32** (1972), 521-524.
7. R. Narasimhan, *Analysis on Real and Complex Manifolds*, Masson, Paris, 1968, 246 pp.
8. L. Sario, *Biharmonic and quasiharmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds*, Duplicated lecture notes 1969-1970, University of California, Los Angeles.
9. L. Sario and C. Wang, *Quasiharmonic functions on the Poincaré  $N$ -ball*, Rend. Mat., (to appear).

Received July 19, 1972. The work was sponsored by the U. S. Army Research Office—Durham, Grant DA-ARO-D-31-124-71-G181, University of California, Los Angeles.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI\*  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

\* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Jan Aarts and David John Lutzer, <i>Pseudo-completeness and the product of Baire spaces</i> .....	1
Gordon Owen Berg, <i>Metric characterizations of Euclidean spaces</i> .....	11
Ajit Kaur Chilana, <i>The space of bounded sequences with the mixed topology</i> .....	29
Philip Throop Church and James Timourian, <i>Differentiable open maps of <math>(p + 1)</math>-manifold to <math>p</math>-manifold</i> .....	35
P. D. T. A. Elliott, <i>On additive functions whose limiting distributions possess a finite mean and variance</i> .....	47
M. Solveig Espelie, <i>Multiplicative and extreme positive operators</i> .....	57
Jacques A. Ferland, <i>Domains of negativity and application to generalized convexity on a real topological vector space</i> .....	67
Michael Benton Freeman and Reese Harvey, <i>A compact set that is locally holomorphically convex but not holomorphically convex</i> .....	77
Roe William Goodman, <i>Positive-definite distributions and intertwining operators</i> .....	83
Elliot Charles Gootman, <i>The type of some <math>C^*</math> and <math>W^*</math>-algebras associated with transformation groups</i> .....	93
David Charles Haddad, <i>Angular limits of locally finitely valent holomorphic functions</i> .....	107
William Buhmann Johnson, <i>On quasi-complements</i> .....	113
William M. Kantor, <i>On 2-transitive collineation groups of finite projective spaces</i> .....	119
Joachim Lambek and Gerhard O. Michler, <i>Completions and classical localizations of right Noetherian rings</i> .....	133
Kenneth Lamar Lange, <i>Borel sets of probability measures</i> .....	141
David Lowell Lovelady, <i>Product integrals for an ordinary differential equation in a Banach space</i> .....	163
Jorge Martinez, <i>A hom-functor for lattice-ordered groups</i> .....	169
W. K. Mason, <i>Weakly almost periodic homeomorphisms of the two sphere</i> .....	185
Anthony G. Mucci, <i>Limits for martingale-like sequences</i> .....	197
Eugene Michael Norris, <i>Relationally induced semigroups</i> .....	203
Arthur E. Olson, <i>A comparison of <math>c</math>-density and <math>k</math>-density</i> .....	209
Donald Steven Passman, <i>On the semisimplicity of group rings of linear groups. II</i> .....	215
Charles Radin, <i>Ergodicity in von Neumann algebras</i> .....	235
P. Rosenthal, <i>On the singularities of the function generated by the Bergman operator of the second kind</i> .....	241
Arthur Argyle Sagle and J. R. Schumi, <i>Multiplications on homogeneous spaces, nonassociative algebras and connections</i> .....	247
Leo Sario and Cecilia Wang, <i>Existence of Dirichlet finite biharmonic functions on the Poincaré 3-ball</i> .....	267
Ramachandran Subramanian, <i>On a generalization of martingales due to Blake</i> .....	275
Bui An Ton, <i>On strongly nonlinear elliptic variational inequalities</i> .....	279
Seth Warner, <i>A topological characterization of complete, discretely valued fields</i> .....	293
Chi Song Wong, <i>Common fixed points of two mappings</i> .....	299