

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**CONTRACTORS, APPROXIMATE IDENTITIES AND
FACTORIZATION IN BANACH ALGEBRAS**

MIECZYSLAW ALTMAN

CONTRACTORS, APPROXIMATE IDENTITIES AND FACTORIZATION IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

MIECZYSLAW ALTMAN

The concept of a contractor has been introduced as a tool for solving equations in Banach spaces. In this way various existence theorems for solutions of equations have been obtained as well as convergence theorems for a broad class of iterative procedures. Moreover, the contractor method yields unified approach to a large variety of iterative processes different in nature. The contractor idea can also be exploited in Banach algebras.

A contractor is rather weaker than an approximate identity. Since every approximate identity is a contractor, the following seems to be a natural question: When is a contractor an approximate identity? The answer to this question is investigated in the present paper.

Concerning the approximate identity in a Banach algebra A it is shown that if a subset U of A is a bounded weak left approximate identity, then U is a bounded left approximate identity. This important fact makes it possible to prove the well-known factorization theorems for Banach algebras under weaker conditions of existence of a bounded weak approximate identity.

2. Approximate identities. Let A be a Banach algebra.

DEFINITION 2.1. A subset $U \subset B \subseteq A$ is called a left weak (or simple) approximate identity for the set B if for arbitrary $b \in B$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an element $u \in U$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|ub - b\| < \varepsilon.$$

DEFINITION 2.2. A subset $U \subset B \subseteq A$ is called a left approximate identity for B if for every arbitrary finite subset of elements $b_i \in B$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an element $u \in U$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|ub_i - b_i\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

A (weak) approximate identity U is called bounded if there is a constant d such that $\|u\| \leq d$ for all $u \in U$.

LEMMA 2.1. *If U is a bounded subset of $B \subseteq A$ such that for every pair of elements $b_i \in B$ ($i = 1, 2$) and arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists*

an element $u \in U$ satisfying (2.2) with $n = 2$, then U is a left approximate identity for B .

Proof. The proof will be given by the finite induction. Given arbitrary $b_i \in B$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1$) and $\varepsilon > 0$. For $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ let $u_0 \in B$ be chosen so as to satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad \|u_0 b_i - b_i\| < \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_0\| \leq d.$$

For the pair $u_0, b_{n+1} \in B$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ there is an element $u \in U$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \|u u_0 - u_0\| < \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u b_{n+1} - b_{n+1}\| < \varepsilon_0, \|u\| \leq d.$$

After such a choice we have $\|u b_i - b_i\| \leq \|u b_i - u u_0 b_i\| + \|u u_0 b_i - u_0 b_i\| + \|u_0 b_i - b_i\| \leq d \varepsilon_0 + M \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\|u b_{n+1} - b_{n+1}\| < \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$, by (2.3) and (2.4), where $M = \max(\|b_i\|: i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ and $\varepsilon_0 < (d + M + 1)^{-1} \varepsilon$.

LEMMA 2.2. *If the subset U of A is a bounded weak left approximate identity for $B \cong A$, then $U \circ U = [a \in A | a = u \circ v; u, v \in U]$, where $u \circ v = u + v - uv$, has the following property: for every pair of elements $a, b \in B$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $u \in U \circ U$ such that*

$$\|ua - a\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|ub - b\| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Given an arbitrary pair of elements $a, b \in B$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $v \in U$ be chosen so as to satisfy

$$(2.5) \quad \|a - va\| < (1 + d)^{-1} \varepsilon, \|v\| \leq d.$$

For $b - vb$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $w \in U$ such that

$$\|(b - vb) - w(b - vb)\| < \varepsilon, \|w\| \leq d.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\|b - ub\| = \|b - (w + v - wv)b\| < \varepsilon$$

and $\|a - ua\| = \|(a - va) - w(a - va)\| < (1 + d)^{-1} \varepsilon + d(1 + d)^{-1} \varepsilon = \varepsilon$, by (2.5), where $u = w + v - wv \in U \circ U$.

LEMMA 2.3. *If $U \subset A$ is a bounded weak left approximate identity for A , then U is a bounded left approximate identity for A .*

Proof. In virtue of Lemma 2.2 the set $U \circ U$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 2.1 and it can be replaced by U .

REMARK 2.1. A partial result concerning this problem has been

obtained by Reiter [10], §7, p. 30, Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2.4. *If U is a left bounded approximate identity for itself, then U is the same for the Banach algebra generated by U and in particular for P .*

Proof. The proof follows from the argument used at the end of the proof of Theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let U be a bounded subset of the Banach algebra A satisfying the following conditions:*

(a) *For every $u \in U \cup U \circ U$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an element $v \in U$ such that $\|u - vu\| < \varepsilon$.*

(b) *For every element of the form $u - vu$ with $u, v \in U$ there exists an element $w \in U$ such that*

$$\|(u - vu) - w(u - vu)\| < \varepsilon .$$

Then U is a bounded left approximate identity for the Banach algebra generated by U as well as for the right ideal generated by U . If U is commutative, then Condition (b) can be dropped.

Proof. Let $a, b \in U$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. In virtue of Condition (a) there exists $v \in U$ such that $\|a - va\| < (1 + d)^{-1}\varepsilon$, where d is the bound for U . Using (b) for $b - vb$ we can choose $w \in U$ such that

$$\|(b - vb) - w(b - vb)\| < \varepsilon .$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\|a - ua\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|b - ub\| < \varepsilon ,$$

where $u = w + v - wv \in U \circ U$. Suppose that $b \in U \circ U$. Then for $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ there exists $u_0 \in U$ such that $\|b - u_0b\| < \varepsilon_0$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ let $u \in U \circ U$ be chosen so as to satisfy

$$\|a - ua\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_0 - uu_0\| < \varepsilon .$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\|ub - b\| \leq \|ub - uu_0b\| + \|uu_0b - u_0b\| + \|u_0b - b\| \leq d\varepsilon_0 + \|b\|\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$$

for proper choice of ε_0 . If $a, b \in U \circ U$, then for $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ choose $u_1, u_2 \in U$ such that

$$\|a - u_1a\| < \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|b - u_2b\| < \varepsilon_0 .$$

Then we find $u \in U \circ U$ such that

$$\|u_1 - uu_1\| < \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_2 - uu_2\| < \varepsilon_0 .$$

After such a choice we have

$$\|ua - a\| \leq \|ua - uu_1a\| + \|uu_1a - u_1a\| + \|u_1a - a\| \leq d\varepsilon_0 + \|a\| \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$$

for proper ε_0 , and similarly

$$\|ub - b\| \leq \|ub - uu_2b\| + \|uu_2b - u_2b\| + \|u_2b - b\| \leq d\varepsilon_0 + \|b\| \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$$

for proper ε_0 . Thus, by Lemma 2.1, $U \circ U$ is a bounded left approximate identity for $U \cup U \circ U$ and so is U .

Now let $a = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i a_i$ and $b = \sum_{j=1}^m v_j b_j$, where $u_i, v_j \in U$ and $a_i, b_j \in A$ for $i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m$. For $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ choose $u \in U$ such that $\|u_i - uu_i\| < \varepsilon_0$ and $\|v_j - uv_j\| < \varepsilon_0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$\|a - ua\| \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n (u_i - uu_i)a_i \right\| < \varepsilon_0 \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i\| < \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently small ε_0 . The same holds for b , that is $\|b - ub\| < \varepsilon$. The assertion of the theorem follows now from Lemma 2.1. If U is commutative, then (b) follows from (a). For let $a = u - vu, u, v \in U$. Then $\|a - wa\| = \|(u - wu) - (u - wu)v\| < \varepsilon$ if $w \in U$ is such that $\|u - wu\| < (1 + d)^{-1}\varepsilon$.

For the set $U \subset A$ let us define an infinite sequence of sets $\{P_n\}$ as follows. Put $P_1 = U, P_2 = U \circ U$. Then $P_n = U \circ P_{n-1} = U \circ U \circ \dots \circ U$ (n times) is the set of all elements p of the form $p = u + v - uv$, where $u \in U$ and $v \in P_{n-1}$. Let P be the union of all sets P_n , that is $P = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \dots$.

3. **Contractors.** Definition 3.1 (see [2]). A subset U of a Banach algebra A is called a left contractor for A if there is a positive constant $q < 1$ with the following property.

For every $a \in A$ there exists an element $u \in U$ (depending on a) such that

$$(3.1) \quad \|a - ua\| \leq q \|a\| .$$

A contractor U is said to be bounded if U is bounded by some constant d .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let U be a left contractor for A . Then for arbitrary $a \in A$ there exists an infinite sequence $\{a_n\} \subset P$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \|a - a_n a\| \leq q^n \|a\| \quad \text{and} \quad a_n \in P_n .$$

Proof. By (3.1), let $u_1 \in U$ be chosen so as to satisfy the inequality

$$(3.3) \quad \|a - u_1 a\| \leq q \|a\| .$$

Now let $u_2 \in U$ be such that

$$(3.4) \quad \|(a - u_1 a) - u_2(a - u_1 a)\| \leq q \|a - u_1 a\| .$$

Hence, we obtain from (3.3) and (3.4)

$$\|a - a_2 a\| \leq q^2 \|a\| , \quad \text{where } a_2 = u_2 + u_1 - u_2 u_1 = u_2 \circ u_1 \in P_2 .$$

We repeat this procedure replacing in (3.4) u_1 by a_2 and u_2 by u_3 . Thus, we have $a_3 = u_3 \circ a_2 \in P_3$. After n iteration steps we obtain (3.2).

DEFINITION 3.2. A subset $U \subset A$ is called a strong left contractor for A if there exists a positive $q < 1$ with the following property: for every arbitrary finite set of $a_i \in A, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there is an element $u \in U$ such that

$$(3.5) \quad \|a_i - u a_i\| \leq q \|a_i\| , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n .$$

A left contractor U is said to be quasi-strong if for arbitrary pair $a_i \in A (i = 1, 2)$, of there exists an element $u \in U$ satisfying (3.5) with $n = 2$.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $U \subset A$ be a left quasi-strong contractor for A . Then for every arbitrary pair of $a, b \in A$ there exists an infinite sequence $\{c_n\} \subset P$ such that*

$$(3.6) \quad \|a - c_n a\| \leq q^n \|a\|, \|b - c_n b\| \leq q^n \|b\| ,$$

where $c_n \in P_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.1.

A similar lemma holds for strong contractors.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $U \subset A$ be a left strong contractor for A . Then for every arbitrary finite set of elements $a_i \in A, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, there exists an infinite sequence $\{c_n\} \subset P$ such that*

$$\|a_i - c_n a_i\| \leq q^n \|a_i\| \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m ,$$

where $c_n \in P_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$.

LEMMA 3.4. *Suppose that U is a left bounded contractor for A satisfying the condition $(d + 1)q < 1$. Then $U \circ U$ is a left bounded quasi-strong contractor for A .*

Proof. Let $\bar{q} = (d + 1)q < 1$ and let $a, b \in A$ be arbitrary. Then

choose $v \in U$ so as to satisfy

$$\|a - va\| \leq q\|a\|, \|v\| \leq d.$$

For $b - vb$ let $w \in U$ be such that

$$\|(b - vb) - w(b - vb)\| \leq q\|b - vb\|.$$

Put $u = w + v - wv \in U \circ U$. Then

$$\|a - ua\| = \|(a - va) - w(a - va)\| \leq q\|a\| + dq\|a\| = \bar{q}\|a\|$$

and

$$\|b - ub\| = \|(b - vb) - w(b - vb)\| \leq q\|b - vb\| \leq q\|b\| + dq\|b\| = \bar{q}\|b\|.$$

Thus, $U \circ U$ is a bounded left quasi-strong contractor for A with contractor constant $\bar{q} < 1$.

THEOREM 3.1. *A left bounded contractor U for A is a left bounded approximate identity for A iff U is a left approximate identity for itself.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Using Lemma 3.1, we construct a sequence $\{a_n\} \subset P$ for $a \in A$ and $\{b_n\} \subset P$ for $b \in A$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad \|a - a_n a\| \leq q^n \|a\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|b - b_n b\| \leq q^n \|b\|,$$

where $a_n, b_n \in P_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In virtue of Lemma 2.4, for $a_n, b_n \in P_n \subset P$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ we can choose $u \in U$ so as to satisfy $\|a_n - ua_n\| < \varepsilon_0$ and $\|b_n - ub_n\| < \varepsilon_0$. Then we obtain, by (3.7), $\|ua - a\| \leq \|ua - ua_n a\| + \|ua_n a - a_n a\| + \|a_n a - a\| < dq^n \|a\| + \varepsilon_0 \|a\| + q^n \|a\| < \varepsilon$ for sufficiently large n and proper choice of ε_0 . A similar estimate holds for b :

$$\|ub - b\| \leq dq^n \|b\| + \varepsilon_0 \|b\| + q^n \|b\| < \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large n and proper choice of ε_0 . The proof of necessity is obvious.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let U be a bounded left contractor for A . If U satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, then U is a left bounded approximate identity for A .*

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.1. The only difference is replacing there Lemma 2.4 by Theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let U be a left bounded contractor for A satisfying*

the condition $(d + 1)^3q < 1$. If U is a weak left approximate identity for $U \circ U$, then U is a bounded left approximate identity for A .

Proof. Let q and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be such that

$$(d + 1)^3q < ((d + 1)^3 + 2\varepsilon_0)q \leq \bar{q} < 1.$$

By Lemma 3.4, $U \circ U$ is a quasi-strong contractor for A with contractor constant $(d + 1)q$. Hence, for arbitrary $a, b \in A$ and $u_1 \in U$ there exists an element $w \in U \circ U$ such that $\|(a - u_1a) - w(a - u_1a)\| \leq (d + 1)q\|a - u_1a\|$ and $\|(b - u_1b) - w(b - u_1b)\| \leq (d + 1)q\|b - u_1b\|$. By assumption, there exists $v \in U$ such that $\|w - vw\| < \varepsilon_0q(d + 1)^{-1}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(a - u_1a) - (a - u_1a)\| &\leq \|v(a - u_1a) - vw(a - u_1a)\| \\ &\quad + \|vw(a - u_1a) - w(a - u_1a)\| \\ &\quad + \|w(a - u_1a) - (a - u_1a)\| \\ &< (d(d + 1)q + \varepsilon_0(d + 1)^{-1}q \\ &\quad + (d + 1)q)\|a - u_1a\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(3.8) \quad \|a - (v \circ u_1)a\| \leq ((d + 1)^2q + \varepsilon_0(d + 1)^{-1})\|a - u_1a\|.$$

Using the assumption again we can find $u_2 \in U$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad \|(v \circ u_1) - u_2(v \circ u_1)\| < \|a\|^{-1}\varepsilon_0q\|a - u_1a\|.$$

Hence, we have, by (3.8) and (3.9), $\|u_2a - a\| \leq \|u_2a - u_2(v \circ u_1)a\| + \|u_2(v \circ u_1)a - (v \circ u_1)a\| + \|(v \circ u_1)a - a\| \leq [d((d + 1)^2q + \varepsilon_0(d + 1)^{-1}q + q\varepsilon_0 + ((d + 1)^2q + \varepsilon_0(d + 1)^{-1})]\|a - u_1a\|$. Thus, we obtain,

$$(3.10) \quad \|a - u_2a\| \leq \bar{q}\|a - u_1a\|, u_2 \in U.$$

Similarly, we get

$$(3.11) \quad \|b - u_2b\| \leq q\|b - u_1b\|.$$

Since $u_1 \in U$ was arbitrary, by the same argument, for $u_2 \in U$ there exists $u_3 \in U$ satisfying Conditions (3.10) and (3.11) with u_2 and u_3 replacing u_1 and u_2 respectively. After $n - 1$ iteration steps we obtain $\|a - u_n a\| \leq \bar{q}^n \|a - u_1 a\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|b - u_n b\| \leq \bar{q}^n \|b - u_1 b\| < \varepsilon$, $u_n \in U$, for sufficiently large n . Since a, b and $\varepsilon > 0$ are arbitrary, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that U is a bounded left approximate identity for A .

Using the same technique one can prove the following

PROPOSITION 3.1. *A left bounded quasi-strong contractor U for A is a left approximate identity for A iff U is a left weak approxi-*

mate identity for an infinite subsequence of $\{P_n\}$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Using Lemma 3.2 for the pair a, b we construct an infinite sequence $\{c_n\}$ satisfying (3.6). Now let us choose $u \in U$ so as to satisfy $\|uc_n - c_n\| < \varepsilon_0$ for infinitely many n . Then we obtain for $a \in A$

$$\begin{aligned} \|ua - a\| &\leq \|ua - uc_n a\| + \|uc_n a - c_n a\| + \|c_n a - a\| \\ &< dq^n \|a\| + \varepsilon_0 \|a\| + q^n \|a\| < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for some sufficiently large n and proper choice of ε_0 . A similar estimate holds for b :

$$\|ub - b\| < dq^n \|b\| + \varepsilon_0 \|b\| + q^n \|b\| < \varepsilon$$

for some sufficiently large n and proper choice of ε_0 . The proof of necessity is obvious.

As an immediate corollary to Proposition 3.1 we obtain the following

PROPOSITION 3.2. *A left bounded weak approximate identity U for A is a left approximate identity for A iff U is a left quasi-strong contractor for A .*

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Suppose that U is a left bounded contractor for A satisfying the condition $(d+1)q < 1$. Then U is a left bounded weak approximate identity for A iff U is the same for $U \circ U$.*

Proof. Let \bar{q} and ε_0 be such that

$$(3.12) \quad (d+1)q < (d+1+\varepsilon_0)q \leq \bar{q} < 1.$$

For arbitrary $a \in A$ let $u_1 \in U$ be such that $\|u_1 a - a\| \leq q \|a\|$. Then choose $v_1 \in U$ so as to satisfy

$$\|v_1(u_1 a - a) - (u_1 a - a)\| \leq q \|u_1 a - a\|,$$

or equivalently, $\|a_2 a - a\| \leq q \|u_1 a - a\|$ with $a_2 = v_1 \circ u_1 \in U \circ U$. By assumption, for a_2 there is an element $u_2 \in U$ such that

$$\|u_2 a_2 - a_2\| < \varepsilon_0 \|a_2 a - a\| \cdot \|a\|^{-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_2 a - a\| &\leq \|u_2 a_2 - u_2 a_2 a\| + \|u_2 a_2 a - a_2 a\| + \|a_2 a - a\| \\ &< dq \|u_1 a - a\| + \varepsilon_0 \|a_2 a - a\| + q \|u_1 a - a\| \\ &\leq (d+1+\varepsilon_0)q \|u_1 a - a\| \\ &\leq \bar{q} \|u_1 a - a\|, \end{aligned}$$

by (3.12). Thus, for arbitrary $u_1 \in U$ there exists an element $u_2 \in U$ such that

$$\|u_2 a - a\| \leq \bar{q} \|u_1 a - a\|.$$

After n iteration steps we obtain

$$\|u_n a - a\| \leq \bar{q}^n \|u_1 a - a\| < \varepsilon (u_n \in U)$$

if n is sufficiently large.

4. Factorization theorems. Let A be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach space. Suppose that there is a composition mapping of $A \times X$ with values $a \cdot x$ in X . X is called a left Banach A -module (see [8], II (32.14)), if this mapping has the following properties:

- (i) $(a + b) \cdot x = a \cdot x + b \cdot x$ and $a \cdot (x + y) = a \cdot x + a \cdot y$;
- (ii) $(ta) \cdot x = t(a \cdot x) = a \cdot (tx)$;
- (iii) $(ab) \cdot x = a \cdot (b \cdot x)$;
- (iv) $\|a \cdot x\| \leq C \|a\| \cdot \|x\|$

for all $a, b \in A$; $x, y \in X$; real or complex t , where C is a constant ≥ 1 . Denote by A_e the Banach algebra obtained from A by adjoining a unit e , and with the customary norm $\|a + te\| = \|a\| + |t|$. Properties (i)-(iv) hold for the extended operation $(a + te) \cdot x = a \cdot x + tx$.

The well-known factorization theorems for Banach algebras and their extension to Banach A -modules are usually proved under the hypothesis that the Banach algebra A has a bounded (left) approximate identity. Since, by Lemma 2.3 the existence of a bounded weak left approximate identity implies the existence of a bounded left approximate identity, all factorization theorems in question remain true under the weaker assumption of the existence of a bounded weak left approximate identity for A . However, a short proof of the basic factorization theorem can be given without proving the existence of a bounded left approximate identity for A . This proof is based on Lemma 2.2 and on the argument used in the proof of Theorem 2 in [3].

Let U be a bounded weak left approximate identity for A . Put $W = U \circ U$ and denote by d the bound for W .

THEOREM 4.1. *Let A be a Banach algebra having a bounded weak left approximate identity U . If X is a left Banach A -module, then $A \cdot X$ is a closed linear subspace of X . For arbitrary $z \in A \cdot X$ and $r > 0$ there exist an element $a \in A$ and an element $x \in X$ such that $z = a \cdot x$, $\|z - x\| \leq r$, where x is in the closure of $A \cdot z$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that if z is in the closure of $A \cdot X$, then for arbitrary $a \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $u \in W$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \|ua - a\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|u \cdot z - z\| < \varepsilon.$$

In fact, for $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ there exist $b \in A$ and $y \in X$ such that $\|b \cdot y - z\| < \varepsilon_0$. Since U is a weak bounded left approximate identity for A , by Lemma 2.2, for $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ there exists $u \in W$ such that $\|ua - a\| < \varepsilon_0$ and $\|ub - b\| < \varepsilon_0$. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u \cdot z - z\| &\leq \|u \cdot z - ub \cdot y\| + \|ub \cdot y - b \cdot y\| + \|b \cdot y - z\| \\ &\leq dC\|z - b \cdot y\| + \varepsilon_0 C\|y\| + \varepsilon_0 \\ &< (dC + C\|y\| + 1)\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small ε_0 . Now put $a_0 = e, a_1 = (2d + 1)^{-1}(u_1 + 2de)a_0 = a'_1 + qe$, where $a'_1 \in A, u_1 \in W, a_{n+1} = (2d + 1)^{-1}(u_{n+1} + 2de)a_n; n = 1, 2, \dots$. We have $a_n = a'_n + q^n e$, where $a'_n \in A, q = 2d(2d + 1)^{-1}; a_n^{-1} \in A_e; a_{n+1} - a_n = (2d + 1)^{-1}(u_{n+1}a_n - a_n) = (2d + 1)^{-1}(u_{n+1}a'_n - a'_n) + (2d + 1)^{-1}q^n(u_{n+1} - e); a_{n+1}^{-1} - a_n^{-1} = a_n^{-1}(2d + 1)(u_{n+1} + 2de)^{-1} - a_n^{-1} = a_{n+1}^{-1}(e - (2d + 1)^{-1}(u_{n+1} + 2de)) = (2d + 1)^{-1}a_{n+1}^{-1}(e - u_{n+1})$. Let $x_n = a_n^{-1} \cdot z$. Then we obtain

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq C(2d + 1)^{-1}\|a_{n+1}^{-1}\|\|z - u_{n+1} \cdot z\|.$$

Since $\|a_n^{-1}\| \leq (2 + d^{-1})^n$, let us choose u_{n+1} so as to satisfy (4.1) with $a = a'_n$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n = C^{-1}(2d + 1)(2 + d^{-1})^{-1-n}2^{-n_2-1-n}r$. Hence, we have $\|u_{n+1}a'_n - a'_n\| < \varepsilon_n$ and $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq 2^{-n-n_r}$. It follows that the sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ converge toward $a \in A$ and $x \in X$, respectively. Evidently, $z = a \cdot x$ and $\|z - x\| \leq \sum_{n=0}^\infty \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq r$. By (4.1), z is in the closure of $A \cdot z$ and so are $x_n = a^{-1}z$ and, consequently, x . Thus, $A \cdot x$ is closed and its linearity follows from the following observation. For arbitrary $a, b \in A; x, y \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ let $u \in W$ be such that $\|ua - a\| < C^{-1}(\|x\| + \|y\|)^{-1}$ and $\|ub - b\| < C^{-1}(\|x\| + \|y\|)^{-1}\varepsilon$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a \cdot x + b \cdot y - u(a \cdot x + b \cdot y)\| &= \|(a - ua) \cdot x + (b - ub) \cdot y\| \\ &< C\|a - ua\|\|x\| + C\|b - ub\|\|y\| \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

That is $a \cdot x + b \cdot y$ is in the closure of $A \cdot X$.

REMARK 4.1. Theorem 4.1 generalizes the factorization theorems of Cohen [4], Hewitt [7], Curtis and Figa-Talamanca [6] [see also Koosis [9], Collins and Summers [5], Hewitt and Ross [8]: (32.22), (32.23), (32.26)].

In terms of contractors Theorem 4.1 can be formulated as

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose that the Banach algebra A has a left bounded (by d) contractor U satisfying one of the following conditions:*

- (a) U is a left approximate identity for itself.

(b) U satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1.

(c) $(d + 1)q < 1$ and U is a weak left approximate identity for $U \cdot U$.

Then all assertions of Theorem 4.1 hold.

Notice that in Case (c) Proposition 3.3 is used.

A corollary to Theorem 4.1 is the following generalization of the well-known theorem [see [8], II (32.23)].

THEOREM 4.3. *Let A be a Banach algebra with a weak bounded left approximate identity U . Let $\zeta = \{z_n\}$ be a convergent sequence of elements of $A \cdot X$, and suppose that $r > 0$. Then there exists an element $a \in A$ and a convergent sequence $\xi = \{x_n\}$ of elements of $A \cdot X$ such that:*

$z_n = a \cdot x_n$ and $\|z_n - x_n\| \leq r$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where x_n is in the closure of $A \cdot z_n$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} be the Banach space of all convergent sequences $\xi = \{x_n\}$ of elements of the closed linear subspace $A \cdot X$ of X with the norm $\|\xi\| = \sup (\|x_n\| : n = 1, 2, \dots)$. Consider the left Banach A -module \mathcal{L} with $a \cdot \xi = \{a \cdot x_n\} \in \mathcal{L}$. For $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$ put $\xi_m = \{x_n\} \in \mathcal{L}$ with $x_n = x_m$ for $n \geq m$. By Theorem 4.1 it is sufficient to show that every $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$ is in the closure of $A \cdot \mathcal{L}$. But $\xi_m \rightarrow \xi$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, let $\xi_m = \{a_n \cdot x_n\} \in \mathcal{L}$ with $a_n \cdot x_n = a_m \cdot x_m$ for $n \geq m$. By Lemma 2.3 for $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ there exists $u \in A$ such that

$$\|ua_i - a_i\| < \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Hence, we have

$$\|ua_i \cdot x_i - a_i \cdot x_i\| < C\varepsilon_0 \|x_i\| < \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently small ε_0 and, consequently, $\|u \cdot \xi_m - \xi_m\| < \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary.

REMARK 4.1. In Theorem 4.3 convergent sequences can be replaced by sequences convergent toward zero. Then \mathcal{L} will be the space of all sequences of $A \cdot X$ convergent toward zero.

REFERENCES

1. M. Altman, *Inverse differentiability, contractors and equations in Banach spaces*, *Studia Mat.* **46** (1973), 1-15.
2. ———, *Contracteurs dans les algèbres de Banach*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, t. **274** (1972), 399-400.
3. ———, *Factorisation dans les algèbres de Banach*, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, t. **272** (1971), 1388-1389.

4. Paul J. Cohen, *Factorization in group algebras*, Duke Math. J., **26** (1959), 199-205.
5. H. S. Collins and W. H. Summers, *Some applications of Hewitt's factorization theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **21** (1969), 727-733.
6. P. C. Curtis, Jr., and Figa-Talamanca, *Factorization theorems for Banach algebras*, in: *Function algebras*, edited by F. J. Birtel. Scott, Foresman and Co., Chicago. Ill., (1966), 169-185.
7. E. Hewitt, *The ranges of certain convolution operators*, Math. Scand., **15** (1964), 147-155.
8. E. Hewitt, and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis, II*, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1970.
9. P. Koosis, *Sur un théoreme de Paul Cohen*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **259** (1964), 1380-1382.
10. H. Reiter, *L^1 -Algebras and Segal Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1971.

Received March 1, 1972.

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Copyright © 1973 by
Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Mir Maswood Ali, <i>Content of the frustum of a simplex</i>	313
Mieczyslaw Altman, <i>Contractors, approximate identities and factorization in Banach algebras</i>	323
Charles Francis Amelin, <i>A numerical range for two linear operators</i>	335
John Robert Baxter and Rafael Van Severen Chacon, <i>Nonlinear functionals on $C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$</i>	347
Stephen Dale Bronn, <i>Cotorsion theories</i>	355
Peter A. Fowler, <i>Capacity theory in Banach spaces</i>	365
Jerome A. Goldstein, <i>Groups of isometries on Orlicz spaces</i>	387
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Idealizers and nonsingular rings</i>	395
Robert L. Griess, Jr., <i>Automorphisms of extra special groups and nonvanishing degree 2 cohomology</i>	403
Paul M. Krajcikiewicz, <i>The Picard theorem for multianalytic functions</i>	423
Peter A. McCoy, <i>Value distribution of linear combinations of axisymmetric harmonic polynomials and their derivatives</i>	441
A. P. Morse and Donald Chesley Pfaff, <i>Separative relations for measures</i>	451
Albert David Polimeni, <i>Groups in which $\text{Aut}(G)$ is transitive on the isomorphism classes of G</i>	473
Aribindi Satyanarayan Rao, <i>Matrix summability of a class of derived Fourier series</i>	481
Thomas Jay Sanders, <i>Shape groups and products</i>	485
Ruth Silverman, <i>Decomposition of plane convex sets. II. Sets associated with a width function</i>	497
Richard Snay, <i>Decompositions of E^3 into points and countably many flexible dendrites</i>	503
John Griggs Thompson, <i>Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable, IV</i>	511
Robert E. Waterman, <i>Invariant subspaces, similarity and isometric equivalence of certain commuting operators in L_p</i>	593
James Chin-Sze Wong, <i>An ergodic property of locally compact amenable semigroups</i>	615
Julius Martin Zelmanowitz, <i>Orders in simple Artinian rings are strongly equivalent to matrix rings</i>	621