

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NONASSOCIATIVE EXTENSION OF THE CLASS OF DISTRIBUTIVE LATTICES

ERVIN FRIED AND GEORGE GRÄTZER

A NONASSOCIATIVE EXTENSION OF THE CLASS OF DISTRIBUTIVE LATTICES

E. FRIED AND G. GRÄTZER

Let $Z = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and define two binary operations \wedge and \vee on Z as follows: $0 \wedge 1 = 0, 0 \vee 1 = 1, 1 \wedge 2 = 1, 1 \vee 2 = 2, 2 \wedge 0 = 2, 2 \vee 0 = 2$, both operations are idempotent and commutative. This paper deals with the equational class Z generated by the algebra $\langle Z; \wedge, \vee \rangle$. The class Z contains the class of all distributive lattices and Z is a subclass of the class of weakly associative lattices (*trellis*, *T-lattice*) in the sense of E. Fried and H. Skala.

The purpose of this paper is to prove that Z shares the most important properties of the class of distributive lattices.

A *tournament* $\langle T; < \rangle$ is a set T with a binary relation $<$ such that for all $a, b \in T$ exactly one of $a = b, a < b$, and $b < a$ holds. Equivalently, a tournament is a directed graph without loops such that exactly one directed edge connects any two distinct points. Just as chains (linearly ordered sets) can be turned into lattices we can define meet and join on a tournament $\langle T; < \rangle$ by the rule:

if $x < y$, then $x = x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ and $y = x \vee y = y \vee x$,
and $x = x \wedge x = x \vee x$ for all x .

Since for all $x, y \in T, x \neq y$, we have $x < y$ or $y < x$ the above rule defines \wedge and \vee on T .

Of course, the algebra $\langle T; \wedge, \vee \rangle$ we constructed is not a lattice: neither \wedge nor \vee is associative unless $\langle T; < \rangle$ is a chain, that is, $<$ is transitive. However, as it was observed in E. Fried [5], the two operations are idempotent, commutative; the absorption identities hold and also a weak form of the associative identities.

The smallest example of a nontransitive tournament is the three-element cycle $\langle \{0, 1, 2\}; < \rangle$ in which $0 < 1, 1 < 2$, and $2 < 0$. In the corresponding algebra Z neither \wedge nor \vee is associative.

Z plays the same role for tournaments as the two-element lattice does for distributive lattices. A tournament (algebra) $\langle T; \wedge, \vee \rangle$ is not a chain if and only if it contains Z as a subalgebra.

In this paper we investigate the equational class Z generated by the algebra Z . Observe that $C_2 = \langle \{0, 1\}; \wedge, \vee \rangle$ is a subalgebra of Z , in fact, it is a two-element chain. Therefore, Z contains as a subclass the class D of all distributive lattices. (Indeed, D is generated by C_2 .)

The results of this paper can be summarized as follows: many of

the most important properties of D generalize to Z , and, in fact, Z is the only equational class (other than D) generated by tournaments to which these results generalize.

In Part I, we discuss congruences in, and identities of Z . Section 2 contains some preliminary results and some important concepts, including the proper form of distributivity for tournaments. In §3 the minimal congruence relation $\theta(a, b)$ is described in Z and is applied to show that the Congruence Extension Property and the Amalgamation Property hold for Z . In §4 it is shown that the result of §3 characterizes the class Z . This is applied in §5 to find a finite set of identities (in fact, two) characterizing the class Z^1 . Part II contains the structure theorems. In §6 we describe the structure of finite algebras in Z : they are all of the form $D \times Z^k$, where D is a uniquely determined distributive lattice. Section 7 gives the structure of free algebras over Z : the free algebra on n generators is of the form $F_D(n) \times Z^{k_n}$, where $F_D(n)$ is the free distributive lattice on n generators and $k_n = 3^{n-1} - 2^n + 1$. We prove in §8 that every algebra in Z can be embedded in an injective one. The injectives in D are known to be the complete Boolean lattices. The injectives in Z are the extensions of Z by complete Boolean lattices.

EXAMPLES. An “evaluation” of elements of a set A is a map φ of A into another set S , equipped with a binary relation $<$, meaning “better than”. We say that b is better than a ($a, b \in A$) if $a\varphi < b\varphi$. If we want to be able to compare any two elements of A , then we have to assume that $\langle S; < \rangle$ is a tournament.

Evaluating a sample $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ of elements of A we get an “evaluation vector”: $\langle a_1\varphi, \dots, a_n\varphi \rangle$. The study of the equational class generated by $\langle S; \wedge, \vee \rangle$ is the investigation of the algebra of the evaluation vectors. Thus Z is the “algebra” of the evaluation vectors over Z .

Given a set X we can consider the set $P(X)$ of all partitions $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle$ of X into two sets. If $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle, \langle Y_0, Y_1 \rangle \in P(X)$ we can set $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle \leq \langle Y_0, Y_1 \rangle$ if and only if $X_0 \subseteq Y_0$. This makes $P(X)$ into a distributive lattice. Any distributive lattice is a sublattice (up to isomorphism) of some $P(X)$.

Now consider the set $Z(X)$ of all partitions of X into three subsets $\langle X_0, X_1, X_2 \rangle$. For $\langle X_0, X_1, X_2 \rangle, \langle Y_0, Y_1, Y_2 \rangle \in Z(X)$ we declare $\langle X_0, X_1,$

¹ The results of this paper were announced in the Notices of the American Mathematical Society 18 (1971), 402 and 548. Independently, in 1971 K. Baker announced in a lecture a general result, namely that every equational class of finite type in which the algebras have distributive congruence lattices and which is generated by a finite algebra can be defined by a finite set of identities. Our result in §5 is a very special case of Baker's result. Of course, the general method of Baker yields more complicated identities for Z .

$X_2 \rangle \leq \langle Y_0, Y_1, Y_2 \rangle$ if and only if $X_0 \subseteq Y_0 \cup Y_1$, $X_1 \subseteq Y_1 \cup Y_2$, and $X_2 \subseteq Y_2 \cup Y_0$. Again, $Z(X) \in \mathbf{Z}$, and any member of \mathbf{Z} will be (up to isomorphism) a subalgebra of some $Z(X)$. Observe that $Z(X)$ contains $P(X)$ as a subalgebra under the correspondence $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle \rightarrow \langle X_1, X_0, \emptyset \rangle$.

PART I. Congruences and Identities.

2. Preliminary results. An algebra $\langle A; \wedge, \vee \rangle$ is called a *weakly associative lattice* (WA-lattice) if it satisfies the following set of identities

- (1) $x \wedge x = x$ and $x \vee x = x$ (idempotency);
- (2) $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ and $x \vee y = y \vee x$ (commutativity);
- (3) $x \wedge (x \vee y) = x$ and $x \vee (x \wedge y) = x$ (absorption identities);
- (4) $((x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z)) \vee z = z$ and $((x \vee z) \wedge (y \vee z)) \wedge z = z$ (weak associativity).

This axiom system was discovered independently by E. Fried [5] (he called these *T-lattices*) and H. M. Skala [16] (she called them *trellis*).

(1)–(4) are not independent. (3) implies (1), and (4) and (1) imply (3). Observe, that the first identity (and, similarly, the second identity) of (4) can be written in the form

$$((x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z)) \vee z = (x \wedge z) \vee ((y \wedge z) \vee z)$$

which justifies the name weak associativity.

It is easy to see that in a WA-lattice the polynomial $p(x, y, z) = ((x \wedge y) \vee (y \wedge z)) \vee (z \wedge x)$ satisfies the identities

$$x = p(x, x, y) = p(x, y, x) = p(y, x, x)$$

implying (B. Jónsson [13]) that

LEMMA 1. *The congruence lattice of a WA-lattice is distributive.*

If A and B are WA-lattices, Θ a congruence relation of A , Φ a congruence relation of B , then we can define a congruence relation $\Theta \times \Phi$ on $A \times B$: $\langle a, b \rangle \equiv \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle (\Theta \times \Phi)$ if $a \equiv a_1 (\Theta)$ and $b \equiv b_1 (\Phi)$. Let $C(D)$ denote the congruence lattice of D . Lemma 1 is known to imply

COROLLARY. *Every congruence relation of $A \times B$ is of the form*

$\Theta \times \Phi$ with $\Theta \in C(A)$ and $\Phi \in C(B)$. Therefore, $C(A \times B) \cong C(A) \times C(B)$.

Combining Lemma 1 with another result of B. Jónsson [13] we get the crucial

LEMMA 2. *Let A be a finite WA-lattice and let K be the equational class generated by A . Then every algebra in K is isomorphic to a subalgebra of an algebra of the form $A_1^{I_1} \times \cdots \times A_n^{I_n}$, where I_1, \dots, I_n are arbitrary sets and A_1, \dots, A_n are homomorphic images of subalgebras of A .*

In a WA-lattice A we can define

$$x \leq y \text{ if and only if } x = x \wedge y \text{ if and only if } y = x \vee y.$$

The equivalence of the second and third clauses follows from the absorption identities. Observe that $x \leq x$, and $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$ imply $x = y$. Also, $x \leq x \vee y$, $y \leq x \vee y$, and it follows from (4) that $x \leq t$ and $y \leq t$ imply $x \vee y \leq t$; these can be summarized by stating that $x \vee y$ is the least upper bound of x and y . Dually, $x \wedge y$ is the greatest lower bound of x and y . These properties give an alternative definition of WA-lattices in terms of \leq (E. Fried [5] and M. H. Skala [16]).

We conclude from this immediately, that any tournament is a WA-lattice. Furthermore, since a homomorphic image of a tournament A is isomorphic to a subalgebra of A we conclude from Lemma 2:

LEMMA 3. *Let A be a finite tournament. Then the equational class K generated by A consists of subalgebras of direct powers of A . In particular, every subdirectly irreducible member of K is a subalgebra of A .*

Applying this to Z and to the equational class Z it generates we conclude that every member of Z is isomorphic to a subalgebra of some Z^I . The subdirectly irreducible algebras in Z are Z and C_2 . Thus Z contains D , in fact, Z covers D .

Given an algebra A and $a, b \in A$ there is a smallest congruence relation θ under which $a \equiv b(\theta)$. This congruence relation is denoted by $\theta(a, b)$; it is called a *principal* congruence relation. Principal congruences of distributive lattices are described in G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt [10] and G. Grätzer [8]:

LEMMA 4. *Let L be a distributive lattice, $a, b, c, d \in L$, $a \leq b$, and $c \leq d$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $c \equiv d(\theta(a, b))$;

- (ii) $c = (a \vee c) \wedge d$ and $d = (b \vee c) \wedge d$.
- (iii) $a \wedge c = a \wedge d$ and $b \vee c = b \vee d$.

The most important result of this paper, namely Theorem 2, is patterned after Lemma 4.

Lemma 4 implies that any distributive lattice L has the property that $c \equiv d(\Theta(a, b))$ can be decided in the sublattice generated by a, b, c , and d . This property has an important consequence by A. Day [4]:

LEMMA 5. *Let \mathbf{K} be an equational class of algebras with the property that for any $A \in \mathbf{K}$ and $a, b, c, d \in A$, $c \equiv d(\Theta(a, b))$ can be decided in the subalgebra generated by a, b, c , and d . Then \mathbf{K} has the Congruence Extension Property, that is, if $A, B \in \mathbf{K}$, A a subalgebra of B and if Θ is a congruence relation on A , then there is a congruence relation Φ on B such that Φ restricted to A is Θ .*

Another property of distributive lattices we need to generalize is the uniqueness of relative complements.

Let \mathbf{T} denote the equation class generated by all tournaments.

LEMMA 6. *The distributive law*

$$(5) \quad x \wedge (y \vee z) = ((x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)) \wedge (y \vee z)$$

holds in \mathbf{T} .

Proof. Let A be a tournament, $x, y, z \in A$. If two of x, y , and z are equal, then (5) holds since it is true in lattices. If $\{x, y, z\}$ is a chain, again, (5) is trivial. So we can assume that $\{x, y, z\}$ is isomorphic to Z . Since (5) is symmetric in y and z , we can assume that $y < z$. Therefore, $y < z < x < y$. In this case, $x \wedge (y \vee z) = x \wedge z = z$ and $((x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)) \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \vee z) \wedge z = z$, so (5) holds. Thus (5) holds for all algebras generating \mathbf{T} , so it holds for \mathbf{T} .

LEMMA 7. *Let A be a WA-lattice satisfying (5). Then for $a, b, c \in A$, $a \wedge b = a \wedge c$ and $a \vee b = a \vee c$ imply that $b = c$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 b &= b \wedge (a \vee b) && \text{by (3)} \\
 &= b \wedge (a \vee c) && \text{since } a \vee b = a \vee c \\
 &= ((b \wedge a) \vee (b \wedge c)) \wedge (a \vee c) && \text{by (5)} \\
 &= ((c \wedge a) \vee (b \wedge c)) \wedge (a \vee b) && \text{since } b \wedge a = c \wedge a \text{ and } a \vee c = a \vee b \\
 &= c \wedge (a \vee b) && \text{by (5)} \\
 &= c \wedge (a \vee c) && \text{since } a \vee b = a \vee c \\
 &= c && \text{by (3),}
 \end{aligned}$$

which was to be proved.

It should be pointed out that, unlike in lattices, (5) is not self-dual. The independence of (5) and its dual is shown in [6].

In conclusion we mention that a list of identities describing T was given in [9].

3. Principal congruences. In this section we state and verify the analogue of Lemma 4 for Z . To facilitate the discussion we introduce some notation. We define five polynomials in the variables x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 , and x_5 :

$$(6) \quad p_1 = x_2 \wedge x_5, p_2 = x_2 \vee x_4, p_3 = (x_3 \vee x_5) \vee x_4, \quad \text{and} \quad p_4 = x_5$$

$$(7) \quad p = (((x_1 \vee p_1) \vee p_2) \wedge p_3) \wedge p_4.$$

THEOREM 1. *Let $A \in Z$, and let $a, b, c, d \in A$, $a \leq b$, and $c \leq d$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $c \equiv d(\Theta(a, b))$;
- (ii) $c = p(a, a, b, c, d)$ and $d = p(b, a, b, c, d)$;
- (iii) $a \wedge (c \wedge b) = a \wedge (d \wedge b)$ and $(a \vee c) \vee b = (a \vee d) \vee b$.

REMARK. If A is a lattice, then $p_1 \leq x_2 \leq p_2$; similarly, $p_3 \geq x_5 = p_4$. Therefore, $p = (x_1 \vee (p_1 \vee p_2)) \wedge (p_3 \wedge p_4) = (x_1 \vee p_2) \wedge x_5 = (x_1 \vee (x_2 \wedge x_5)) \wedge x_5$, reducing the first half of (ii) to the first half of Lemma 4. (ii). The second half of (ii) can be handled similarly. As for (ii), \wedge and \vee are associative if A is a lattice, and so $a \wedge (c \wedge b) = b \wedge c$, $a \wedge (d \wedge b) = a \wedge d$, and so on, yielding Lemma 4. (iii). Observe the different placing of the parentheses in the two equations in (iii).

Proof. (i) implies (ii). We prove this implication in several steps.

(α) A is isomorphic to $C_2 = \{0, 1\}$. Since C_2 is a lattice a reference to Lemma 4 settles the matter. Or, equivalently, check the implication for $a = 0, b = 1$ and $c = 0, d = 1$, or $c = d = 0$, or $c = d = 1$, and for $a = b = 1$ and $c = d = 0$ or $c = d = 1$ (seven cases).

(β) A is (isomorphic to) $Z = \{0, 1, 2\}$. If $\{a, b, c, d\} \neq Z$, then we proceed as under (α). If $a = b$, then we must have $c = d$, thus we can assume that $a \neq b$. By symmetry, we can assume that $a = 0, b = 1$. Since Z is simple, $\langle c, d \rangle$ could be $\langle 2, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle$, or $\langle 2, 0 \rangle$ (all other pairs contradict that $c \leq d$ or that $\{a, b, c, d\} = Z$). Therefore, it is sufficient to check the implication in Z^3 for $a = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle, b = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle, c = \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle$, and $d = \langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle$. Compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &= a \wedge d = \langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle, p_2 = a \vee c = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle, p_3 = (b \vee d) \vee c \\
&= \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle \vee \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle = \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle, p_4 = \langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle, p(a, a, b, c, d) \\
&= (((a \vee p_1) \vee p_2) \wedge p_3) \wedge p_4 \\
&= (((\langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle \vee \langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle) \vee \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle) \wedge \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle) \wedge \langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle \\
&= ((\langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle \vee \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle) \wedge \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle) \wedge \langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle \\
&= (\langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle \wedge \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle) \wedge \langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle \\
&= \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle \wedge \langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle = \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle = c,
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly, $p(b, a, b, c, d) = d$.

(γ) Assume the implication to hold for the algebras A_1, \dots, A_n , and let B be a subalgebra of $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$. Then the implication holds in B . Indeed, let $a, b, c, d \in B$, $a \leq b$, $c \leq d$ and let $c \equiv d(\Theta(a, b))$ in B . By a result of A. I. Malcev (see Theorem 10.3 of [7]) there is a sequence of elements $z_0 = c, z_1, \dots, z_m = d$ of B , and unary algebraic functions p_0, \dots, p_{m-1} of B such that $\{p_i(a), p_i(b)\} = \{z_i, z_{i+1}\}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$.

For an element u of B let $u^{(i)}$ denote the i th component of u , that is, $u = \langle u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(n)} \rangle$. A unary algebraic function is of the form $q(u_1, \dots, x, \dots, u_k)$, where $u_1, \dots, u_k \in B$ and p is a polynomial. So we can define $p^{(i)}$ a unary algebraic function on A_i by $q(u_1^{(i)}, \dots, x, \dots, u_k^{(i)})$.

Using the sequence of elements of A_i : $z_0^{(i)}, z_1^{(i)}, \dots, z_m^{(i)}$ of B_i , and the unary algebraic functions: $p_0^{(i)}, \dots, p_{m-1}^{(i)}$, we conclude that

$$a^{(i)} \equiv b^{(i)}(\Theta(c^{(i)}, d^{(i)})) \text{ in } A_i.$$

Thus, by assumption,

$$\begin{aligned}
c^{(i)} &= p(a^{(i)}, a^{(i)}, b^{(i)}, c^{(i)}, d^{(i)}) \quad \text{and} \\
d^{(i)} &= p(b^{(i)}, a^{(i)}, b^{(i)}, c^{(i)}, d^{(i)}),
\end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence, $c = p(a, a, b, c, d)$ and $d = p(b, a, b, c, d)$, which was to be proved.

Now we are ready to prove the implication. Let $A \in \mathcal{Z}$, $a, b, c, d \in A$, $a \leq b$, $c \leq d$, and $c \equiv d(\Theta(a, b))$. Invoking Malcev's result used above we can assume that A is finitely generated. Since \mathcal{Z} is generated by Z , an n -generated algebra can have no more than 3^{3^n} elements, hence it is finite. Thus A is finite. By Lemma 3, A can be embedded in some Z^k . By (α) and (β) the implication holds in Z , hence by (γ) it holds in A , completing the proof.

(ii) *implies* (iii). This implication takes the form of a universal Horn sentence (see, for instance, [7], §46), therefore, it holds in \mathcal{Z} if and only if it holds in Z . In Z , if $a = b$, then the assumption implies

$c = d$, hence the conclusion obviously holds. If $a \neq b$ ($a < b$), then the functions $a \wedge (x \wedge b)$ and $(a \vee x) \vee b$ are constants ($a \wedge (x \wedge b) = a$ and $(a \vee x) \vee b = b$), so the conclusion is obvious.

(iii) *implies* (i). Let $\Theta = \Theta(a, b)$ and let $[x]\Theta$ denote the congruence class of A containing x . Then

$$[a \wedge (c \wedge b)]\Theta = [a \wedge (d \wedge b)]\Theta,$$

and so, using $[a]\Theta = [b]\Theta$, and $x \wedge (y \wedge x) = y \wedge x$, we obtain, in turn:

$$[a]\Theta \wedge ([c]\Theta \wedge [b]\Theta) = [a]\Theta \wedge ([d]\Theta \wedge [b]\Theta)$$

$$(8) \quad [a]\Theta \wedge [c]\Theta = [a]\Theta \wedge [d]\Theta.$$

Similarly,

$$(9) \quad [a]\Theta \vee [c]\Theta = [a]\Theta \vee [d]\Theta.$$

Applying Lemma 7 to A/Θ , (8) and (9) imply that $[c]\Theta = [d]\Theta$, that is, $c \equiv d(\Theta(a, b))$, completing the proof of Theorem 1.

Observe, that Theorem 1 implies that the assumptions of Lemma 5 are satisfied in Z . Thus,

COROLLARY 1. *Z has the Congruence Extension Property.*

A class K of algebras is said to have the Amalgamation Property if for any $A, B_1, B_2 \in K$, and embeddings $f_i: A \rightarrow B_i$, $i = 1, 2$, there is a $C \in K$ and embeddings $g_i: B_i \rightarrow C$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $f_1 g_1 = f_2 g_2$. For a general discussion of the Amalgamation Property see B. Jónsson [12].

COROLLARY 2. *Z has the Amalgamation Property.*

Proof. By Theorem 13.16 of [8] it is sufficient to prove that for given $A, B_1, B_2 \in Z$, embeddings $f_i: A \rightarrow B_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and $a, b \in B_1$, $a \neq b$, there exist homomorphisms $g_i: B_i \rightarrow Z$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $f_1 g_1 = f_2 g_2$ and $ag_1 \neq bg_1$. By Lemma 3, there is a homomorphism $g_1: B_1 \rightarrow Z$ satisfying $ag_1 = bg_1$. Let Θ be the congruence relation of A induced by g_1 . By Corollary 1, there exists a congruence relation $\bar{\Theta}$ on B_2 satisfying $\bar{\Theta}_A = \Theta$. Let g'_2 be the natural homomorphism of B_2 onto $B_2/\bar{\Theta}$. By Lemma 3 again, there is a homomorphism $g''_2: B_2/\bar{\Theta} \rightarrow Z$. We define $g_2 = g'_2 g''_2$. Obviously, $f_1 g_1 = f_2 g_2$, concluding the proof.

In closing this section, we mention that the polynomial p , which plays a central role in Theorem 1, was found using free algebras. A free algebra was used also to discover the identity (5) in order to get Lemma 7.

Alternate forms of p such as $p = (((x_1 \vee q_1) \wedge q_2) \vee q_3) \wedge q_4$ or any

of the other possibilities can also be found using the free algebra technique.

4. **The characterization theorem.** We started out in our research trying to find a finite set of identities characterizing \mathbf{Z} . Since we believed that the equivalence of Lemma 4. (i) and (ii) is characteristic of \mathbf{D} we wanted to find the analogous result for \mathbf{Z} hoping that it would characterize \mathbf{Z} . The next step would then be to find a set of identities based on which the analogous result for \mathbf{Z} can be proved. As we shall see in §5, this runs into some problems. The situation was saved by Theorem 1. (iii) and by the fact that Theorem 1. (iii) can also be used to characterize \mathbf{Z} . Since this is the result needed in §5 we omit the original theorem and prove only the latter one.

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathbf{K} be an equational class of WA-lattices in which for any $A \in \mathbf{K}$, $a, b, c, d \in A$, $a \leq b$, $c \leq d$, and $c \equiv d(\Theta(a, b))$ imply that $a \wedge (c \wedge b) = a \wedge (d \wedge b)$ and $(a \vee c) \vee b = (a \vee d) \vee b$. Then $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$.*

Let A be a subdirectly irreducible algebra in \mathbf{K} . We shall prove that $A \cong C_2$ or $A \cong \mathbf{Z}$. This obviously implies that $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$.

If $|A| = 2$, then $A \cong C_2$ since A is a WA-lattice. Thus we can assume that $|A| > 2$.

Since A is a subdirectly irreducible algebra with more than two elements, A has a congruence relation $\Phi \neq \omega$ with the property that $\Phi \leq \Theta$ for any congruence relation Θ of A with $\Theta \neq \omega$. Since $\Phi \neq \omega$ there is a congruence class G of Φ of more than one element.

We claim that there are elements $a, b, c \in A$ such that $a, b \in G$, $a < b$, and $c < a$ or $b < c$. To prove this take $x, y \in G$, $x \neq y$. Obviously, $x \wedge y \in G$ and $x \neq x \wedge y$ or $y \neq x \wedge y$. Set $a' = x \wedge y$ and $b' = x$ or $b' = y$ so that $a' \neq b'$. If a' and b' do not satisfy the requirements with some $c' \in A$, then for all $d \in A$ we have $a' \wedge d = a'$ and $b' \vee d = b'$, that is, $a' < d < b'$ for any $d \in A$, $d \neq a'$, $d \neq b'$. In this case set $c = a'$, $a = d$, and $b = b'$.

So we can assume that we have

$$(10) \quad c < a < b, a, b \in G,$$

since the other case, $a < b < c$ can be proceeded with similarly (dually).

By (10) $c \neq a$, so $\Theta(c, a) \neq \omega$. By the definition of Φ , we have $\Phi \leq \Theta(c, a)$, and by the definition of G and (10) we must have

$$a \equiv b(\Theta(c, a)).$$

We apply the hypothesis of Theorem 2 to this congruence. We obtain:

$$(c \vee a) \vee a = (c \vee b) \vee a,$$

that is, $a = (c \vee b) \vee a$, in other words,

$$(11) \quad c < b \leq a .$$

We claim that

$$(12) \quad c = c \vee b .$$

Assume, to the contrary, that $c < c \vee b$. Then $\Theta(c, c \vee b) \neq \omega$, and so as above we get

$$a \equiv b(\Theta(c, c \vee b)) .$$

Applying the hypothesis of Theorem 2 to this congruence we obtain:

$$(13) \quad c \wedge (a \wedge (c \vee b)) = c \wedge (b \wedge (c \vee b)) .$$

By (11), $a \wedge (c \vee b) = a$ and, by (10), $c \wedge a = c$ so (13) yields $c = c \wedge b$, or, equivalently,

$$(14) \quad c \leq b .$$

On the other hand, by (11), $c \vee b \leq a$; combining this with (14) we obtain $b \leq a$, contradicting (10). This verifies (12).

(10) and (12) jointly mean that $\{a, b, c\}$ is a subalgebra of A and $\{a, b, c\}$ is isomorphic to Z .

We claim that $A = \{a, b, c\}$.

Assume to the contrary that there is an element $d \in A$ such that $d \notin \{a, b, c\}$. We claim that d can be chosen to be comparable to one of a, b , and c . Indeed, if there is no such d then for an arbitrary $e \in A$, $a \wedge e = a$, since $a \wedge e < a$ implies that $a \wedge e = c$ and so $c < e$. Similarly, $a \vee e = a$, implying that $a = e$, a contradiction. Thus, by reason of symmetry and duality we can assume that there is an element $d \in A$ satisfying

$$(15) \quad d \in \{a, b, c\} \quad \text{and} \quad d < a .$$

Since $a, b \in G$ and $b < c < a$ we conclude that $c \in G$. Thus $d \neq a$ implies the congruence

$$b \equiv c(\Theta(d, a)) .$$

Therefore,

$$(16) \quad d \wedge (b \wedge a) = d \wedge (c \wedge a) .$$

But by (10) $b \wedge a = a$ and $c \wedge a = c$; by (15), $d \wedge a = d$, hence (16) yields: $d = d \wedge c$. Since $c \neq d$ this means that $d < c$. So we get the congruence

$$a \equiv b(\Theta(d, c)) ,$$

which implies that

$$(17) \quad (d \vee a) \vee c = (d \vee b) \vee c .$$

But $d \vee a = a$, $a \vee c = c$, hence (17) gives

$$(18) \quad a = (d \vee b) \vee c .$$

Observe that $d \leq c$ and $b \leq c$. Therefore, $d \vee b \leq c$, and so $(d \vee b) \vee c = c$, contradicting (18) and $a \neq c$.

This contradiction shows that $A = \{a, b, c\}$, that is, $A \cong Z$, which completes the proof of Theorem 2.

5. Identities for Z . We want to find a finite set Σ of identities characterizing Z . This set Σ should express that Z is a class of WA -lattices in which minimal congruences can be described by Theorem 1. It is easy to find identities which imply that the relation given by Theorem 1. (ii) is reflexive, symmetric, and has the Substitution Property for \wedge and \vee . However, transitivity takes the form “if Theorem 1. (ii) holds for c, d , and for c_1, d_1 , and $d = c_1$, then it holds for c, d_1 ” which we could not turn into an identity.

The trick is to find identities that prove that $\Theta(a, b)$ is in some sense the transitive extension of the relation given by Theorem 1. (ii). Then to show that this implies that Theorem 1. (iii) can be used to describe $\Theta(a, b)$.

We need some notation. We shall use p, p_1, \dots, p_4 of (6) and (7) without references. Two 4-ary polynomials derived from p will be used often:

$$(19) \quad q_1 = p(x_1, x_1, x_1 \vee x_2, x_3, x_3 \vee x_4)$$

$$(20) \quad q_2 = p(x_1 \vee x_2, x_1, x_1 \vee x_2, x_3, x_3 \vee x_4) .$$

Finally, for the polynomials t_1, t_2, t_3 , and t_4 , let $R(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$ denote the identities

$$(21) \quad t_3 = q_1(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \quad \text{and} \quad t_3 \vee t_4 = q_2(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_3 \vee t_4) .$$

Σ consists of three sets of identities. Σ_1 is a set of identities for WA -lattices (for instance, (1)–(4)) and one more identity

$$(22) \quad ((x \vee y) \vee (x \wedge z)) \vee (x \vee z) = (x \vee (y \vee z)) \vee z .$$

Σ_2 is the following eight identities:

$$(23) \quad R(x_1, x_2, q_i \wedge x_5, q_j \wedge x_5), \{i, j\} = \{1, 2\}$$

$$(24) \quad R(x_1, x_2, q_i \vee x_5, q_j \vee x_5), \{i, j\} = \{1, 2\} .$$

Σ_3 consists of two identities

$$(25) \quad x_1 \wedge (q_1 \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2)) = x_1 \wedge (q_2 \wedge (x_1 \vee x_2))$$

$$(26) \quad (x_1 \vee q_1) \vee (x_1 \vee x_2) = (x_1 \vee q_2) \vee (x_1 \vee x_2) .$$

THEOREM 3. $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3$ defines the class \mathcal{Z} .

Proof. First we have to see that Σ is satisfied in \mathcal{Z} . Σ_1 is obviously satisfied excepting (22).

It is sufficient to verify (22) in \mathcal{Z} . Let $a, b, c \in \mathcal{Z}$. If $|\{a, b, c\}| \leq 2$, then they form a sublattice, in which (22) becomes $(a \vee b) \vee (a \vee c) = a \vee (b \vee c)$, a triviality. Thus we can assume that $\mathcal{Z} = \{a, b, c\}$. If $a = 0, b = 1, c = 2$, then $((0 \vee 1) \vee (0 \wedge 2)) \vee (0 \vee 2) = (1 \vee 2) \vee 0 = 2 \vee 0 = 0$ and $((2 \vee 1) \vee 0) \vee 2 = (2 \vee 0) \vee 2 = 0 \vee 2 = 0$; if $a = 1, b = 0, c = 2$, then $((1 \vee 0) \vee (1 \wedge 2)) \vee (1 \vee 2) = ((1 \vee 1) \vee 1) \vee 2 = 1 \vee 2 = 2$ and $((2 \vee 0) \vee 1) \vee 2 = (0 \vee 1) \vee 2 = 1 \vee 2 = 2$. All the other substitutions agree with one of these two (up to automorphism) showing (22) in \mathcal{Z} . If $x_1 \equiv x_1 \vee x_2$, then by (19), and (20), $q_1 \equiv q_2$ and so $q_1 \wedge x_5 \equiv q_2 \wedge x_5$. In other words,

$$q_1 \wedge x_5 \equiv q_2 \wedge x_5 (\Theta(x_1, x_1 \vee x_2)) ,$$

or

$$q_1 \wedge x_5 \equiv (q_1 \wedge x_5) \vee (q_2 \wedge x_5) (\Theta(x_1, x_1 \vee x_2)) .$$

Applying Theorem 1. (ii) to this congruence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 \wedge x_5 &= q_1(x_1, x_1 \vee x_2, q_1 \wedge x_5, (q_1 \wedge x_5) \vee (q_2 \wedge x_5)) \\ (q_1 \wedge x_5) \vee (q_2 \wedge x_5) &= q_2(x_1, x_1 \vee x_2, q_1 \wedge x_5, (q_1 \wedge x_5) \vee (q_2 \wedge x_5)) . \end{aligned}$$

By (21), these two are written in the form $R(x_1, x_1 \vee x_2, q_1 \wedge x_5, q_2 \wedge x_5)$. The other six identities under (23) and (24) are similarly proved. Finally, since $q_1 \equiv q_2 (\Theta(x_1, x_1 \vee x_2))$, an application of Theorem 1. (iii) proves (25) and (26).

Now let K be the class of all algebras satisfying Σ . By what we have proved above, $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq K$.

Let $A \in K$, $a, b \in A$, and $a \leq b$. We define a binary relation Φ on A : $c \equiv d(\Phi)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $c = r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n = d$ of elements of A such that, for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, r_i and r_{i+1} are comparable and $R(a, b, r_i \wedge r_{i+1}, r_i \vee r_{i+1})$.

We claim that Φ is a congruence relation, in fact, $\Phi = \Theta(a, b)$.

Φ is obviously symmetric and transitive. Next we show that Φ is reflexive, in other words, for all $c \in A$, $R(a, b, c, c)$. By (19)–(21), this means that

$$(27) \quad p(a, a, b, c, c) = p(b, a, b, c, c) = c .$$

Using (6) we compute: $p_1 = a \wedge c$, $p_2 = a \vee c$, $p_3 = (b \vee c) \vee c = b \vee c$ (by (3)), $p_4 = c$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} p(a, a, b, c, c) &= (((a \vee (a \wedge c)) \vee (a \vee c)) \wedge (b \vee c)) \wedge c \text{ by (3)} \\ &= ((a \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c)) \wedge c = c \text{ by (4)} . \end{aligned}$$

For the second half of (27) compute: $p_1 = a \wedge c$, $p_2 = a \vee c$, $p_3 = (b \vee c) \vee c = b \vee c$, $p_4 = c$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} &p(b, a, b, c, c) \\ &= (((b \vee (a \wedge c)) \vee (a \vee c)) \wedge (b \vee c)) \wedge c \quad \text{since } a \leq b \\ &= (((a \vee b) \vee (a \wedge c)) \vee (a \vee c)) \wedge (b \vee c) \wedge c \\ &\quad \text{apply (22) with } x = a, y = b, \text{ and } z = c \\ &= (((a \vee (b \vee c)) \vee c) \wedge (b \vee c)) \wedge c \\ &\quad \text{use the second half of (4) with } x = \\ &\quad a \vee (b \vee c), y = b, \text{ and } z = c \\ &= c . \end{aligned}$$

To show the Substitution Property for \wedge , let $c \equiv d(\Phi)$ with the sequence r_0, \dots, r_n and let $e \in A$. Consider the sequence $e \wedge c = e \wedge r_0$, $(e \wedge r_0) \vee (e \wedge r_1)$, $e \wedge r_1$, $((e \wedge r_1) \vee (e \wedge r_2))$, $e \wedge r_2$, \dots , $e \wedge r_n = e \wedge d$. For any given i , $0 \leq i < n$, either $r_i \leq r_{i+1}$ or $r_{i+1} \leq r_i$. Let us assume that $r_i \leq r_{i+1}$ (if $r_{i+1} \leq r_i$ we proceed similarly). By the definition of Φ , we have $R(a, b, r_i, r_{i+1})$. By the definition of R , this means that

$$r_i = q_1(a, b, r_i, r_{i+1})$$

and

$$r_{i+1} = q_2(a, b, r_i, r_{i+1}) .$$

By (23),

$$R(a, b, q_1 \wedge e, q_2 \wedge e) \quad \text{and} \quad R(a, b, q_2 \wedge e, q_1 \wedge e) ,$$

that is,

$$R(a, b, r_i \wedge e, r_{i+1} \wedge e) \quad \text{and} \quad R(a, b, r_{i+1} \wedge e, r_i \wedge e) .$$

Therefore, by the definition of R :

$$R(a, b, r_i \wedge e, (r_i \wedge e) \vee (r_{i+1} \wedge e))$$

and

$$R(a, b, r_{i+1} \wedge e, (r_i \wedge e) \vee (r_{i+1} \wedge e)) ,$$

showing $c \wedge e \equiv d \wedge e(\Phi)$.

Using (24) rather than (23) we prove that $c \vee e \equiv d \vee e(\Phi)$.

Thus Φ is a congruence relation.

Observe that $p(t, a, b, a, b) = (t \vee a) \wedge b$. Thus $p(a, a, b, a, b) = a$ and $p(b, a, b, a, b) = b$, proving $a \equiv b(\Phi)$.

Finally, if $a \equiv b(\Theta)$ for any congruence relation Θ , then $\Phi \leq \Theta$, thus $\Phi = \Theta(a, b)$.

Now let $c \equiv d(\Theta(a, b))$, $c = r_0, \dots, r_n = d$ as given in the definition of Φ . For a given i , then $r_i = q_1(a, b, r_i \wedge r_{i+1}, r_i \vee r_{i+1})$ and $r_{i+1} = q_2(a, b, r_i \wedge r_{i+1}, r_i \vee r_{i+1})$. Substituting these into (25) and (26) we obtain the crucial equations:

$$\begin{aligned} a \wedge (r_i \wedge b) &= a \wedge (r_{i+1} \wedge b) \\ (a \vee r_i) \vee b &= (a \vee r_{i+1}) \vee b \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Thus

$$a \wedge (c \wedge b) = a \wedge (d \wedge b)$$

and

$$(a \vee c) \vee b = (a \vee d) \vee b.$$

In other words, we have shown that $c \equiv d(\Theta(a, b))$ implies the two previous equations, which is the hypothesis of Theorem 2.

Therefore, by Theorem 2, $K \subseteq Z$. Combining this with $Z \subseteq K$ we conclude that $K = Z$, completing the proof of Theorem 3.

It should be noted that it is much easier to prove that Z can be characterized by a finite set of identities. The proof given above actually exhibits one such set.

No more than five variables were used in the identities in Σ , hence,

COROLLARY 1. *An algebra $\langle A; \wedge, \vee \rangle$ belongs to Z if and only if every subalgebra of $\langle A; \wedge, \vee \rangle$ generated by five elements belongs to Z .*

It is easily seen, that in the Corollary, “five” cannot be replaced by “three”. We do not know whether “four” would do.

Since two identities of an idempotent class can always be substituted by one, the finite set Σ of Theorem 3 can be reduced to three identities. R. Padmanabhan [15] has shown that the three identities can be replaced by two.

COROLLARY 2. *There exist two identities characterizing Z .*

PART II. Structure Theorems.

6. Finite algebras. The main result of this section is the following.

THEOREM 4. *Every finite algebra A of Z has a representation of the form*

$$A \cong D \times Z^k,$$

where D is a (finite) distributive lattice and k a nonnegative integer. In this representation k is unique and D is unique up to isomorphism. In fact, D is a maximal homomorphic image of A in D .

This result is based on three lemmas.

LEMMA 8. *Let the algebra A be a subdirect product of the algebras A_1, \dots, A_n . Let us assume that there exists a family $p_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ of polynomials satisfying the following two conditions:*

- (i) $p_\lambda(x, y, y, \dots, y) = x$ holds in all A_i ;
- (ii) for $a, b \in A_i$ there exist $a_1, a_2, \dots \in A_i$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $p_\lambda(a, a_1, a_2, \dots) = b$.

Let, further, A be a subdirect product of A_1, \dots, A_n with the property that for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, there is an element $\langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle \in A$ such that $\langle c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, a, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n \rangle \in A$ for all $a \in A_i$. Then A is the direct product of A_1, \dots, A_n .

Proof. For $n = 1$ the statement is obvious. Let us assume that it has been proved for all $k < n$. Let A and A_1, \dots, A_n be given as in the lemma. Let B be the algebra we get from A by omitting the first component of each element of A . Obviously, B is a subdirect product of A_2, \dots, A_n and this subdirect product satisfies all the hypotheses of Lemma 8 (the element of B chosen for $i, 2 \leq i \leq n$ is the element of A chosen for i with its first component omitted). Therefore, by induction hypothesis, $B = A_2 \times \dots \times A_n$.

It is also clear that A is a subdirect product of A_1 and B , and (using the hypothesis for A, A_1, \dots, A_n and $i = 1$) there is an element $d \in B$ such that $\langle c, d \rangle \in A$ for all $c \in A_1$. Now take an arbitrary $\langle a, b \rangle \in A_1 \times B$. Since A is a subdirect product of A_1 and B , there exist $e \in A_1$ such that $\langle e, b \rangle \in A$. By (ii), there exist $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots \in A$, such that $p_\lambda(e, a_1, a_2, \dots) = a$. Thus, $\langle e, b \rangle, \langle a_1, d \rangle, \langle a_2, d \rangle, \dots \in A$ and so (using (i)):

$$\begin{aligned} p_\lambda(\langle e, b \rangle, \langle a_1, d \rangle, \langle a_2, d \rangle, \dots) &= \langle p_\lambda(e, a_1, a_2, \dots), p_\lambda(b, d, d, \dots) \rangle \\ &= \langle a, b \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is also in A , proving $A = A_1 \times B$. Thus,

$$A = A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n,$$

completing the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 9. *Let us assume that for the algebras A_1, \dots, A_n the polynomials $p_\lambda, \lambda \in A$ exist satisfying (i) and (ii) of Lemma 8. In addition, let us assume that for each $a, b, c \in A_i, b \neq c$ there is a polynomial g satisfying $g(a, b, c) = a$ for which $g(x, y, y) = y$ holds in A_1, \dots, A_n . Then any subdirect product of A of A_1, \dots, A_n is isomorphic to a direct product of some of the A_1, \dots, A_n .*

Proof. Again, we proceed by induction and the case $n = 1$ is obvious. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, consider the homomorphism $\varphi_i: A \rightarrow A^{(i)}$ which is the map omitting the i th component. If, for some i , φ_i is an isomorphism, then A is isomorphic to a subdirect product of $A_1, \dots, A_{i-1}, A_{i+1}, \dots, A_n$, and by the induction hypothesis, the conclusions of Lemma 9 holds for A . So we can assume that no φ_i is an isomorphism.

Now we show that A, A_1, \dots, A_n satisfy the conditions of Lemma 8. We have assumed the existence of the $p_\lambda, \lambda \in A$.

Choose an $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. We want to prove that there exists a $\langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle \in A$ such that $\langle c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, a, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n \rangle \in A$ for all $a \in A_i$.

To simplify the notation let $i = 1$. Since φ_1 is not an isomorphism there are elements $\bar{c}, \bar{d} \in A$ such that $\bar{c} \neq \bar{d}$ and $\bar{c}\varphi_1 = \bar{d}\varphi_1$. In other words, $\langle c, c_2, \dots, c_n \rangle, \langle d, c_2, \dots, c_n \rangle \in A$ for some $c_2 \in A_2, \dots, c_n \in A_n$ and $c, d \in A_1, c \neq d$.

For an arbitrary $a \in A_1$, there are $a_2 \in A_2, \dots, a_n \in A_n$ such that $\langle a, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \in A$, since A is a subdirect product. Choose a polynomial g satisfying $g(a, c, d) = a$ (and, of course, $g(x, y, y) = y$). Then $g(\langle a, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle, \langle c, c_2, \dots, c_n \rangle, \langle d, c_2, \dots, c_n \rangle) = \langle a, c_2, \dots, c_n \rangle$ is in A , verifying the condition. Thus, by Lemma 8, $A = A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$.

LEMMA 10. *Any finite subdirect power of Z is isomorphic to some direct power of Z .*

Proof. We shall verify that the hypotheses of Lemmas 8 and 9 are satisfied in Z . Let $A = \{1, 2\}$, $p_1 = ((x \vee y) \vee z) \wedge x$ and $p_2 = ((x \wedge y) \wedge z) \vee x$. It is obvious that (i) of Lemma 8 holds. Let, say, $a = 0$. Then $p_1(0, 0, 0) = 0$, $p_2(0, 2, 1) = 1$, and $p_1(0, 1, 2) = 2$, verifying (ii) of Lemma 8.

We also select $g_1 = (x \vee y) \wedge z$ and $g_2 = (x \wedge z) \vee y$. Obviously, $g_i(x, y, y) = y, i = 1, 2$. If $b \neq c$, then $b < c$ or $c < b$. In the first case let $b = 0$ and $c = 1$; then $g_1(a, b, c) = a$ for $a = 0, 1$ and $g_2(a, b, c) =$

a for $a = 2$. In the second case, $g_1(a, b, c) = a$ for $a = 2$ and $g_2(a, b, c) = a$ for $a = 0$ and 1 . This completes the proof of Lemma 10.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 4. Let A be a finite algebra in Z . The only subdirectly irreducible members of Z are C_2 and Z therefore A is a subdirect product of two algebras D and E , where D is a subdirect power of C_2 and E is a subdirect power of Z . Obviously, D is a distributive lattice. By Lemma 10, $E = Z^k$ for some integer k . Thus we have proved that A is (isomorphic to) a subalgebra of $D \times Z^k$. We prove that, in fact, $A = D \times Z^k$.

Let 1 be the greatest element of D and $a \in E = Z^k$. We show that $\langle 1, a \rangle \in A$. Indeed, since A is a subdirect product of D and E there are elements $b \in E$ and $d \in D$ satisfying

$$\langle 1, b \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle d, a \rangle \in A.$$

Define $e \in E$ by the rule:

$$\begin{aligned} e(i) &= a(i) & \text{if} & \quad b(i) \leq a(i) \\ e(i) &> b(i) & \text{if} & \quad b(i) > a(i). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $e(i)$, the i th component of e , is in Z so the condition $e(i) > b(i)$ uniquely determines $e(i)$. Choose an $f \in D$ such that $\langle f, e \rangle \in A$. Then

$$(\langle 1, b \rangle \vee \langle f, e \rangle) \vee \langle d, a \rangle \in A.$$

This element is obviously of the form $\langle 1, g \rangle$, and

$$g(i) = (b(i) \vee e(i)) \vee a(i) = e(i) \vee a(i) = a(i).$$

Thus $g = a$, proving $\langle 1, a \rangle \in A$.

Now take an arbitrary $d \in D$ and $a \in E$. Then $\langle d, b \rangle \in A$ for some $b \in E$. For $a, b \in E$ let us construct $e \in E$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e(i) &= a(i) & \text{if} & \quad a(i) = b(i) \\ e(i) &\neq a(i) & \text{and} & \quad b(i) & \text{if} & \quad a(i) \neq b(i). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\langle 1, a \rangle$ and $\langle 1, e \rangle \in A$ and so

$$(\langle d, b \rangle \wedge \langle 1, e \rangle) \wedge \langle 1, a \rangle = \langle d, g \rangle \in A,$$

where $g(i) = (b(i) \wedge e(i)) \wedge a(i)$. If $a(i) = b(i)$, then $e(i) = a(i)$, and so $g(i) = a(i)$. If $a(i) < b(i)$, then (we are in Z) $b(i) < e(i) < a(i)$ and so $g(i) = (b(i) \wedge e(i)) \wedge a(i) = b(i) \wedge a(i) = a(i)$. Finally, if $b(i) < a(i)$, then $a(i) < e(i) < b(i)$, hence $g(i) = (b(i) \wedge e(i)) \wedge a(i) = e(i) \wedge a(i) = a(i)$, proving $g = a$ and $\langle d, a \rangle \in A$. This completes the proof of the first part of Theorem 4.

To prove the uniqueness of D we show that D is a maximal

homomorphic image of A in D . It is obvious that D is a homomorphic image of A in D . Let θ be an arbitrary congruence relation on A such that $A/\theta \in D$. By the Corollary to Lemma 1, $\theta = \emptyset \times \theta_1 \times \cdots \times \theta_k$ and

$$A/\theta \cong D/\theta \times Z_1/\theta_1 \times \cdots \times Z_k/\theta_k, \quad \text{where } Z_1 = \cdots = Z_k = Z.$$

Since $Z/\theta_i \in D$ only if $|Z/\theta_i| = 1$, we conclude that $A/\theta \cong D/\theta$, proving that D is a maximal homomorphic image. This implies the uniqueness of D up to isomorphism. Knowing that D is unique, it obviously follows that k is unique. This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.

COROLLARY. *The congruence lattice $C(A)$ of any finite algebra A in Z is a finite Boolean lattice.*

Proof. Indeed, if $A = D \times Z^k$, then $C(A) \cong C(D) \times C(Z)^k = C(D) \times C_2^k$, and $C(D)$ is known to be Boolean.

7. Free algebras. The following results describe the structure of free algebras over Z in terms of the free algebra over D .

THEOREM 5. *Let $F_D(n)$ and $F_Z(n)$ denote the free algebra on n generators over D and Z , respectively. Then*

$$F_Z(n) \cong F_D(n) \times Z^{k_n},$$

where $k_n = 3^{n-1} - 2^n + 1$.

*Proof*². Let $F = F_Z(n)$ and $D = F_D(n)$, and let $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of free generators of F . Obviously, $F_Z(n)$ is a subalgebra of Z^n , hence finite. Thus by Theorem 4,

$$F \cong D \times Z^k,$$

for some nonnegative integer k . By the corollaries to Lemma 1 and Theorem 4, k is the number of congruence relations θ of F satisfying $F/\theta \cong Z$.

Let φ_1 and φ_2 be homomorphisms of F onto Z inducing the same congruence relation θ . Then $X\varphi_1 = X\varphi_2 = Z$ and $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2$, partitions X into X_0^i, X_1^i, X_2^i by setting $X_j^i = j\varphi_i^{-1}$. Since these partitions are the restrictions of the θ -classes to X , they agree. It is easily seen that for $a \in X_0^i$ and $b \in X_1^i$ the fact that $a\varphi_i < b\varphi_i$ is expressed by $a \vee b \equiv b(\theta)$. Therefore, for some automorphisms α of Z , we have $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2\alpha$. Since the converse is obvious, we conclude that k equals

² We would like to thank R. Quackenbush for a considerable simplification of the original proof.

the number of maps of X onto Z up to automorphisms of Z , or equivalently, all maps φ of X onto Z satisfying $x_1\varphi = 0$. There are altogether 3^{n-1} maps of $\{x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ into Z . Of these, 2^{n-1} does not have 1 in the image and 2^{n-1} does not have 2 in the image, the overlap being one map (the constant 0 map). Therefore, $k = 3^{n-1} - 2 \cdot 2^{n-1} + 1 = 3^{n-1} - 2^n + 1$, as claimed.

We can apply Theorem 5 to describe all finite projective algebras in Z .

COROLLARY. *A finite algebra A is projective in Z if and only if it is isomorphic to some $P \times Z^k$ where k is a nonnegative integer and P is projective in D .*

REMARK. By R. Balbes [2] (see also G. Grätzer and B. Wolk [11]) a finite distributive lattice is projective in D if and only if the join of any two meet irreducible elements is again meet irreducible.

Proof. It is well-known that A is projective if and only if it is a retract (idempotent endomorphic image) of a free algebra. Firstly, let $A = P \times Z^k$ where P is projective in D . Choose an integer n such that P is a retract on $F_D(n)$ and $k < k_n$. Then, obviously, A is a retract of $F_Z(n)$. Conversely, let A be a retract of some $F_Z(n)$. By Theorem 4, $A \cong D \times Z^k$. Since D is a retract of A , we conclude that D is a retract of $F_Z(n)$. By the Corollary of Lemma 1, the retraction must collapse all copies of Z , hence P is a retract of $F_D(n)$, showing that P is projective in D . This concludes the proof.

8. Injective algebras. The algebra I of Z is called *injective* (see, for instance, [8], §13) if for any $A, B \in Z$, A a subalgebra B , any homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow I$ can be extended to a homomorphism of B into I .

THEOREM 6. *Z is injective in Z . Any direct power of Z is injective in Z and, therefore, every algebra can be embedded in an injective. An algebra is injective if and only if it is isomorphic to the extension of Z by a complete Boolean algebra.*

Proof. Rather than giving a direct proof of these results we shall employ a trick from [14] and then use a result of [3] to get the last statement of Theorem 6, which implies the other two.

Let us denote by \hat{Z} the algebra Z with three new nullary operations: 0, 1, and 2. Let $\hat{\hat{Z}}$ denote the equational class generated by \hat{Z} . Just as in Lemma 2, every algebra in $\hat{\hat{Z}}$ can be embedded in a direct power of \hat{Z} .

\hat{Z} is generated by a finite simple algebra $\hat{\hat{Z}}$ with no subalgebras

and so by a result of A. Day [3], the injectives in \hat{D} are exactly the algebras $\hat{Z}[B]$ where B is a complete Boolean algebra (for this concept see [7], §22).

Therefore, it suffices to prove the following statement:

An algebra A is injective in Z if and only if 0, 1, and 2 can be interpreted on A so that the resulting algebra \hat{A} belongs to \hat{Z} and \hat{A} is injective in \hat{Z} .

Indeed, if A is injective in Z , then for some set J there is a homomorphism (in fact, a retraction) φ of Z^J onto A . We can interpret 0, 1, 2 on Z^J as on $(\hat{Z})^J$, and then on A by $0\varphi, 1\varphi$, and 2φ . This makes \hat{A} a homomorphic image of $(\hat{Z})^J \in \hat{Z}$, and so $\hat{A} \in \hat{Z}$. Since \hat{A} is a retract of $(\hat{Z})^J$, it is injective in \hat{Z} . The converse is obvious. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

It follows from Theorem 6 and B. Banaschewski [1] that every algebra A in Z has an injective hull uniquely determined up to isomorphism (leaving A fixed).

REFERENCES

1. B. Banaschewski, *Injectivity and essential extensions in equational classes of algebras*, Proceedings of the Conference on Universal Algebra, October, 1969, (Queen's University, Kingston, 1970), 131-147.
2. R. Balbes, *Projective and injective distributive lattices*, Pacific J. Math., **21** (1967), 405-420.
3. A. Day, *Injectivity in congruence distributive equational classes*, Thesis, McMaster University, 1970.
4. ———, *A note on the congruence extension property*, Algebra Universalis, **1** (1971), 234-235.
5. E. Fried, *Tournaments and nonassociative lattices*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math., **13** (1970), 151-164.
6. E. Fried and G. Grätzer, *On some classes of nonassociative lattices*, To appear.
7. G. Grätzer, *Universal Algebra*, The University Series in Higher Mathematics, D. Van Nostrand Co., Princeton, N. J., 1968.
8. ———, *Lattice Theory, First Concepts and Distributive Lattices*, W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, Calif., 1971.
9. G. Grätzer and H. Lakser, *Identities for equational classes generated by tournaments*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1971), 794.
10. G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt, *Ideals and congruence relations in lattices*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungarí, **9** (1958), 137-175.
11. G. Grätzer and B. Wolk, *Finite projective distributive lattices*, Canad. Math. Bull., **13** (1970), 139-140.
12. B. Jónsson, *Extensions of relational structures*, Symposium on the Theory of Models, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1965, 146-157.
13. ———, *Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive*, Math. Scand., **21** (1967), 110-121.
14. J. Kagan and R. Quackenbush, *Self-dual closure algebras*, Manuscript.
15. R. Padmanabhan, *Equational theory of idempotent algebras*, Algebra Universalis, **2** (1972), 57-61.
16. H. L. Skala, *Trellis theory*, Algebra Universalis, **1** (1971), 218-233.

Received July 19, 1972 and in revised form October 15, 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 49, No. 1

May, 1973

A. Bigard, <i>Free lattice-ordered modules</i>	1
Richard Bolstein and Warren R. Wogen, <i>Subnormal operators in strictly cyclic operator algebras</i>	7
Herbert Busemann and Donald E. Glassco, II, <i>Irreducible sums of simple multivectors</i>	13
W. Wistar (William) Comfort and Victor Harold Saks, <i>Countably compact groups and finest totally bounded topologies</i>	33
Mary Rodriguez Embry, <i>Maximal invariant subspaces of strictly cyclic operator algebras</i>	45
Ralph S. Freese and James Bryant Nation, <i>Congruence lattices of semilattices</i>	51
Ervin Fried and George Grätzer, <i>A nonassociative extension of the class of distributive lattices</i>	59
John R. Giles and Donald Otto Koehler, <i>On numerical ranges of elements of locally m-convex algebras</i>	79
David A. Hill, <i>On dominant and codominant dimension of QF – 3 rings</i>	93
John Sollion Hsia and Robert Paul Johnson, <i>Round and Pfister forms over $R(t)$</i>	101
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>Equally partitioned groups</i>	109
Athanassios G. Kartsatos and Edward Barry Saff, <i>Hyperpolynomial approximation of solutions of nonlinear integro-differential equations</i>	117
Shin'ichi Kinoshita, <i>On elementary ideals of θ-curves in the 3-sphere and 2-links in the 4-sphere</i>	127
Ronald Brian Kirk, <i>Convergence of Baire measures</i>	135
R. J. Knill, <i>The Seifert and Van Kampen theorem via regular covering spaces</i>	149
Amos A. Kovacs, <i>Homomorphisms of matrix rings into matrix rings</i>	161
Young K. Kwon, <i>HD-minimal but no HD-minimal</i>	171
Makoto Maejima, <i>On the renewal function when some of the mean renewal lifetimes are infinite</i>	177
Juan José Martínez, <i>Cohomological dimension of discrete modules over profinite groups</i>	185
W. K. Nicholson, <i>Semiperfect rings with abelian group of units</i>	191
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Three theorems on imbedded prime divisors of principal ideals</i>	199
Billy E. Rhoades and Albert Wilansky, <i>Some commutants in $B(c)$ which are almost matrices</i>	211
John Philip Riley Jr., <i>Cross-sections of decompositions</i>	219
Keith Duncan Stroyan, <i>A characterization of the Mackey uniformity $m(L^\infty, L^1)$ for finite measures</i>	223
Edward G. Thurber, <i>The Scholz-Brauer problem on addition chains</i>	229
Joze Vrabec, <i>Submanifolds of acyclic 3-manifolds</i>	243
Philip William Walker, <i>Adjoint boundary value problems for compactified singular differential operators</i>	265
Roger P. Ware, <i>When are Witt rings group rings</i>	279
James D. Wine, <i>Paracompactifications using filter bases</i>	285