

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ATOMS ON THE ROYDEN BOUNDARY

KWANG-NAN CHOW AND MOSES GLASNER

ATOMS ON THE ROYDEN BOUNDARY

KWANG-NAN CHOW AND MOSES GLASNER

Let R be a hyperbolic Riemann surface and P a nonnegative C^1 -density on R . Every \widetilde{PE} -minimal function is shown to be \widetilde{PD} -minimal. Conversely \widetilde{PD} -minimal functions corresponding to atoms in a certain subset Δ_P of the Royden harmonic boundary are \widetilde{PE} -minimal. Points in Δ_P are atoms with respect to the PD -representing measure if and only if they are atoms with respect to the HD -representing measure.

Throughout this paper R denotes a hyperbolic Riemann surface. A positive function f in a family of real-valued functions X on R is called X -minimal if for every $g \in X$ with $f \geq g \geq 0$ there is a constant $c = c(g)$ such that $cf = g$. If Y is any family of functions on R , then the symbol \widetilde{Y} is used to denote the functions that are expressible as decreasing limits of sequences of nonnegative functions in Y . The space of harmonic functions with finite Dirichlet integrals over R , $\int_R du \wedge *du < \infty$, is denoted by $HD(R)$ and for a nonnegative C^1 -density P on R the space of Dirichlet finite (energy finite, $\int_R du \wedge *du + u^2 P < \infty$, resp.) solutions of the equation $\Delta u = Pu$ on R is denoted by $PD(R)$ ($PE(R)$, resp.)

The study of the spaces $PE(R)$ and $PD(R)$ was initiated by M. Ozawa [9] and H. Royden [10] and recently revitalized by the idea of looking at them in terms of their boundary values on the Royden harmonic boundary (cf. [2] and [7]). Following M. Nakai [4] the more general classes $\widetilde{PE}(R)$ and $\widetilde{PD}(R)$ can also be characterized in terms of their boundary values.

One of the main concerns in the study of solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ on Riemann surfaces is the "comparison theorems" between various spaces of solutions and harmonic function. The purpose of this paper is to give the precise relations between minimal functions in the classes $\widetilde{HD}(R)$, $\widetilde{PE}(R)$, and $\widetilde{PD}(R)$. The relation between the first two notions was given in [1].

Our main results appear in Nos. 7 and 11. Their proofs depend heavily on the results of several papers listed among the references. For the sake of convenience we quote them in Nos. 2 and 3. The results in Nos. 5, 6, and 8 are generalizations of results of M. Nakai for the case $P \equiv 0$. Comparison with the exact references given there and with the exposition in the monograph of Sario-Nakai [11] should clarify what is involved.

1. Throughout we shall use the notations of Sario-Nakai [11] which we list here. The Royden algebra of R is denoted by $M(R)$, the Royden compactification by R^* and the Royden boundary $\Gamma = \Gamma(R) = R^* \setminus R$. $M_\Delta(R)$ stands for the BD -closure of $M_0(R)$, the functions with compact support in $M(R)$ and the harmonic boundary $\Delta = \Delta(R)$ is the set of common zeros of functions in $M_\Delta(R)$.

If A is a subset of R we use the symbol ∂A for the boundary of A with respect to R , \bar{A} for the closure of A in R^* , and bA for the set $(\bar{A} \setminus \partial \bar{A}) \cap \Gamma$. Thus $\bar{A} \setminus A = \partial \bar{A} \cup bA$. Also if B^* is a subset of R^* , then B will denote $B^* \cap R$.

A subregion G of R can be viewed as a Riemann surface in its own right. There is a unique mapping $j : G^* \rightarrow \bar{G}$ which is continuous, onto and leaves G invariant pointwise. Moreover, j restricted to $G \cup j^{-1}(bG)$ is a homeomorphism onto $G \cup bG$ (cf. [6, Proposition 7]). These facts will play an essential role later on.

2. We suppose that there is a nonnegative C^1 -density P given on R and we consider the space $P(R)$ of solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ on R . The subspace $\left\{ u \in P(R) \mid \int_R du \wedge * du < \infty \right\}$ of $P(R)$ will be denoted by $PD(R)$ and $PE(R) = \left\{ u \in PD(R) \mid \int_R u^2 P < \infty \right\}$. When $P \equiv 0$, then $PD(R) = PE(R) = HD(R)$. We shall use P as a superscript in the symbol for quantities related to $PE(R)$ and P as a subscript in those related to $PD(R)$. The subspaces of bounded functions in $PD(R)$, $PE(R)$, and $HD(R)$ are denoted by $PBD(R)$, $PBE(R)$, and $HBD(R)$. Also when no confusion can arise we omit the reference to R .

We set

$$\Delta^P = \left\{ p \in \Delta \mid \text{there is a nbd } U^* \text{ of } p \text{ with } \int_{U^*} P < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\Delta_P = \left\{ p \in \Delta \mid \text{there is a nbd } U^* \text{ of } p \text{ with } \iint_{U \times U} g_R(x, y) P(x) P(y) < \infty \right\}.$$

Here $g_R(\cdot, y)$ is the Green's function of R with singularity at y .

The set Δ^P was introduced in [2] and Δ_P by Nakai [7].

We now state several properties of Δ_P . The analogous ones for Δ^P are also valid and we leave it to the reader to formulate them. For every $u \in PD$, $u|_{\Delta_P} = 0$ and $|u| \leq \sup_{\Delta_P} |u|$. Given $\varphi \in M(R)$ such that $\text{supp } \varphi \cap \Delta$ is compact and in Δ_P then there exists $u \in PD$ such that $u|_{\Delta} = \varphi|_{\Delta}$. Since all such φ 's restricted to Δ_P are dense in the sup norm in the space $C_0(\Delta_P)$ of continuous function on Δ_P vanishing at infinity, it easily follows that for every $f \in C_0(\Delta_P)$ there is a $u \in P(R)$

such that u is continuous on $R \cup \Delta$ and $u|_{\Delta} = f$. (Obviously we mean that $f|_{\Delta \setminus \Delta_P} = 0$.) Furthermore, if we fix a point $z_0 \in R$, then there is a finite regular Borel measure μ_P on Δ_P such that $\int_{\Delta_P} u d\mu_P = u(z_0)$ for every $u \in PBD$. Using the result of Nakai [7] that every $u \in PD$ is the CD -limit of a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset PBD$ with the boundary values of $\{u_n\}$ on Δ also converging monotonically to those of u , we obtain the same formula for every $u \in PD$. We extend μ_P to Δ by setting $\mu_P(\Delta \setminus \Delta_P) = 0$ and call μ_P the PD -representing measure for R with center z_0 .

Now denote by μ_P^z the above measure constructed for an arbitrary point $z \in R$. Consider an arbitrary $f \in C_0(\Delta_P)$. Then the above remark to the effect that f is the uniform limit of boundary values of functions in PD shows that $\int_{\Delta} f d\mu_P^z$ considered as a function of z is in $P(R)$. Thus the Harnack inequality gives that μ_P^z and μ_P are mutually absolutely continuous on Δ and hence there is a nonnegative function $K_P(z, \cdot) \in L^1(\mu_P)$ such that for every $u \in PD(R)$ and every $z \in R$, $u(z) = \int_{\Delta} K_P(z, \cdot) u d\mu_P$. The kernel $K_P(z, p)$ can also be chosen to have the following properties: $K_P(z_0, \cdot) = 1$, $K_P(\cdot, p) = 0$ if $p \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_P$ and for each $p \in \Delta_P$, $K_P(\cdot, p) \in P(R)$. It also follows that for any $f \in L^1(\mu_P)$, the function $u(z) = \int_{\Delta} K_P(z, \cdot) f d\mu_P \in P(R)$. If in addition f is bounded, $f|_{\Delta \setminus \Delta_P} = 0$, $f|_{\Delta_P}$ vanishes at infinity and f is continuous at a point $p \in \Delta_P$, then $\lim_{z \rightarrow p} u(z) = f(p)$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow q} u(z) = 0$ for every $q \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_P$. Finally if s is a subsolution on R and $s \in M(R)$, then $s(z_0) \leq \int_{\Delta} s d\mu_P$.

3. Some other results that we quote here for future reference are as follows. If $u \in P(R)$, u is bounded from below and $\lim_{z \rightarrow p} u(z) \geq 0$ for every $p \in \Delta$, then $u \geq 0$. This result follows easily from the fact that for any compact $E \subset \Gamma \setminus \Delta$ there is a nonnegative superharmonic function s (and hence supersolution) such that s takes on the boundary values ∞ on E and 0 on Δ continuously (cf. [4]).

Suppose G is a subregion of R with

$$(*) \quad \iint_{G \times G} g_R(z, w) P(z) P(w) < \infty .$$

Then there exists a positive isometric isomorphism $T: PBD(G) \rightarrow HBD(G)$ which is onto. Explicitly, $Tu = u + \tau u$ and $\tau u(z) = \int_G g_G(z, \cdot) u P$. If f is a nonnegative measurable function on G which is bounded by a function in $PBD(G)$, then $\tau f \in M_J(G)$. If in addition ∂G is analytic, then τf vanishes continuously on ∂G (cf. [3, Theorem 7C, Theorem 10E, Theorem 11D]).

One of the consequences of these results is that for any $f \in M(R)$

there is a $u \in PD(G)$ such that u agrees with f on $\Delta(G)$, u has continuous boundary values f on ∂G . This follows because there is a function in $HD(G)$ with these properties. Using the mapping j it can also be seen that $u - f \mid bG \cap \Delta(R) = 0$.

4. The fact that $\Delta^P \subset \Delta_P$, which can be verified by a direct computation, is a consequence of the results quoted in No. 3. Indeed for a point $p \in \Delta$ there is a $u \in PD$ (resp. PE) with $u(p) \neq 0$ if and only if $p \in \Delta_P$ (resp. $p \in \Delta^P$). But trivially $PE \subset PD$.

Note that $\int_{\Delta} u d\mu_p^z = \int_{\Delta} u d\mu_z^p$ for every $u \in PE$ and hence $\mu_z^p(B) = \mu_p^z(B)$ for every Borel set $B \subset \Delta^P$. Thus we can state the

THEOREM. *For μ^P -almost every $p \in \Delta^P$, the kernel functions of PD and PE agree on R .*

We need only recall that for every $f \in C_0(\Delta^P)$, $\int_{\Delta} K_P(z, \cdot) f d\mu_P = \int_{\Delta} f d\mu_z^p = \int_{\Delta} f d\mu_p^z = \int_{\Delta} K^P(z, \cdot) f d\mu^P = \int_{\Delta} K^P(z, \cdot) f d\mu_P$. Pick a countable dense set of points $\{z_n\}$ in R . Then for every n , $K_P(z_n, \cdot) = K^P(z_n, \cdot)$ on Δ_P except for a set E_n with $\mu^P(E_n) = 0$. Let $E = \bigcup_1^{\infty} E_n$. By the continuity of the kernel functions we obtain $K_P(\cdot, p) = K^P(\cdot, p)$ for $p \in \Delta^P \setminus E$.

5. A function u belongs to \tilde{PD} by definition if it is the limit of a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset PD$ with $u_n \geq u_{n+1} \geq 0$. Since PD is a sublattice of $P(R)$, it is easily seen that $u \in \tilde{PD}$ if and only if $u(z) = \inf \{v(z) \mid v \in PD, v \geq u\}$. Thus we are led to consider the class $U(\Delta_P)$ of functions on Δ defined by $f \in U(\Delta_P)$ if $f(p) = \inf \{v(p) \mid v \in PD, v \mid \Delta \geq f\}$. By interchanging infimum and integration we see that $u \in \tilde{PD}$ if and only if there is an $f \in U(\Delta_P)$ such that $u(z) = \int_{\Delta} K_P(z, \cdot) f d\mu_P$.

For a real-valued function ψ on R we define $\bar{\psi}$ on Δ by $\bar{\psi}(p) = \overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow p} \psi(z)$, for every $p \in \Delta$.

THEOREM. *Suppose $u(z) = \int_{\Delta} K_P(z, \cdot) f d\mu_P \in \tilde{PD}$. Then $\bar{u} \leq f$ and $\bar{u} = f \mu_P - \text{a.e.}$*

For $P = 0$, this is due to Nakai (cf. [4, Theorem 3.3]).

For the proof let $v \in PD$ with $v \mid \Delta \geq f$. Then $u \leq v$ and hence $\bar{u} \leq v \mid \Delta$. Since $f \in U(\Delta_P)$, we can conclude $\bar{u} \leq f$. For the second assertion take a sequence $\{F_n\}$ of compact sets in Δ_P with $\mu_P(\Delta_P) = \lim \mu_P(F_n)$ and note that it suffices to prove $\bar{u} = f \mu_P - \text{a.e.}$ on F_n , for each n . To this end fix n and set $F = F_n$. First assume that f is bounded and hence u is also bounded since $\bar{u} \leq f$. Suppose that for

some $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a compact set $E \subset F$ such that $\bar{u}(p) < f(p) - \varepsilon$ for every $p \in E$. Denote by χ_E the characteristic function of E and set $w(z) = \varepsilon \int_{\Delta} K_P(z, \cdot) \chi_E d\mu_P$. Then $0 \leq w \leq \varepsilon$ on R and by the remarks made in No. 2 for every $p \in \Delta \setminus E$, $\lim_{z \rightarrow p} w(z) = 0$. Thus for every $p \in \Delta \setminus E$, $\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow p} u(z) + w(z) \leq \bar{u}(p) \leq f(p)$ and every $p \in E$, $\overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow p} u(z) + w(z) \leq \bar{u}(p) + \varepsilon < f(p)$. Now take any $v \in PD$ such that $v|_{\Delta} \geq f$. For every $p \in \Delta$ we have $\lim_{z \rightarrow p} v(z) - u(z) - w(z) \geq 0$. Since $v - u - w$ is bounded from below we can now conclude that $v \geq u + w$. Taking the infimum over all such v 's gives $u(z_0) \geq u(z_0) + w(z_0)$. This says that $w(z_0) = 0$ which implies that $\mu_P(E) = 0$.

If f is unbounded, then take for every positive integer k a function $v_k \in PD$ such that $0 \leq v_k \leq k$ and $v_k|_F = k$. Note that since PD is a lattice, $f \cap v_k$ (the pointwise infimum of f and v_k) is in $U(\Delta_P)$ and thus $u_k(z) = \int_{\Delta} K_P(z, \cdot) (f \cap v_k) d\mu_P \in \tilde{PD}$. Therefore, $\bar{u}_k = f \cap v_k \mu_P - \text{a.e. on } F$. Since $\bar{u} \geq \bar{u}_k$ we obtain by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ that $\bar{u} \geq f \mu_P - \text{a.e. on } F$, which completes the proof.

6. Before we turn to the problem of characterizing the \tilde{PD} -minimal functions we make the following observation. The characteristic function χ_E of any compact set contained in Δ_P belongs to $U(\Delta_P)$. In fact for any $p \in \Delta_P \setminus E$, there is a nonnegative function $\varphi \in M(R)$ such that $\varphi(p) = 0$, $\varphi|_E = 1$ and $\text{supp } \varphi \cap \Delta$ is compact and in Δ_P . Thus there is a nonnegative function $u \in PD$ such that $u|_E = 1$ and $u(p) = 0$ and the assertion follows.

THEOREM. *If u is \tilde{PD} -minimal on R then there exists a constant k and a point $p \in \Delta_P$ with $\mu_P(p) > 0$ such that $u = kK_P(\cdot, p)$ on R . If $p \in \Delta_P$ with $\mu_P(p) > 0$, then $K_P(\cdot, p)$ is \tilde{PD} -minimal on R .*

(Cf. [4, Theorem 3.6].)

If u is \tilde{PD} -minimal on R , then by Theorem 5, $u(z) = \int_{\Delta} K_P(z, \cdot) \bar{u} d\mu_P$. Set $E_n = \{\bar{u} \geq 1/n\}$. Note that \bar{u} is upper semicontinuous on Δ and hence E_n is compact in Δ . Since $\bar{u}|_{\Delta \setminus \Delta_P} = 0$, $E_n \subset \Delta_P$. By definition of minimality $u > 0$ and consequently $\mu_P(\{\bar{u} > 0\}) > 0$. Therefore, we may choose an integer n such that $\mu_P(E_n) > 0$. Set $E = E_n$ and $w(z) = \int_{\Delta} K_P(z, \cdot) \chi_E d\mu_P \in \tilde{PD}$. Since $u \geq (1/n)w \geq 0$, there is a constant c such that $cu = w$. By Theorem 5 we have that $\bar{w} = 1 \mu_P - \text{a.e. on } E$ and hence $1 = \sup_R w = \sup_R cu$. This implies that $c > 0$ and u is bounded.

Now let A be a compact subset of E with $\mu_P(E \setminus A) > 0$. Assume that $\mu_P(A) > 0$. Then set $v(z) = \int_{\Delta} K_P(z, \cdot) \chi_A d\mu_P$ and note that $v \in \tilde{PD}$

and $u \geq (1/n)v \geq 0$. Thus there is a constant c_1 with $v = c_1 u$. As above it can be seen that $c_1 > 0$. Hence $c_1 \bar{u} = 0 \mu_P - \text{a.e. on } \Delta_P \setminus A$. On the other hand, $E \setminus A \subset \Delta_P \setminus A$, $\mu_P(E \setminus A) > 0$ and $c\bar{u} \geq 1 \mu_P - \text{a.e. on } E \setminus A$. This contradiction implies that $\mu_P(A) = 0$. This in turn implies that there is a point $p \in E$ with $\mu_P(E) = \mu_P(p)$. We therefore have $\mu_P(p)K(\cdot, p) = w = cu$.

For the proof of the second assertion assume $p \in \Delta_P$ and $\mu_P(p) > 0$. Then $\chi_P \in U(\Delta_P)$ and hence $\mu_P(p)K_P(z, p) = \int_{\Delta} K_P(z, \cdot) \chi_P d\mu_P \in \tilde{PD}$. By Theorem 5 we have $\mu_P(p)\tilde{K}_P(\cdot, p) = \chi_P \mu_P - \text{a.e.}$ If $v \in \tilde{PD}$ with $K_P(\cdot, p) \geq v \geq 0$, then $\bar{v} \leq \tilde{K}_P(\cdot, p)$. Consequently $\bar{v} = \bar{v}(p)\chi_P, \mu_P - \text{a.e.}$ and we conclude that $v = \bar{v}(p)\mu_P(p)K_P(\cdot, p)$, i.e., $K_P(\cdot, p)$ is \tilde{PD} -minimal.

7. Although for two arbitrary families of functions X and Y with $X \subset Y$ the notion of minimality in one has no bearing on minimality in the other we have the following corollaries to the above results of Nos. 4, 5, and 6.

THEOREM. *Every \tilde{PE} -minimal function is a \tilde{PD} -minimal function. A \tilde{PD} -minimal function is \tilde{PE} -minimal if and only if it vanishes continuously on $\Delta \setminus \Delta^P$.*

8. In order to describe the relationship between \tilde{HD} -minimality and \tilde{PD} -minimality we need the following considerations. Let G be a subregion of R with $bG \neq \emptyset$ and ∂G analytic. Denote by ν_P the PD -representing measure for G with center z_0 and by L_P the corresponding kernel. At this point it will be convenient to extend the definitions of ν_P and μ_P to all of $\Gamma(G)$ and $\Gamma(R)$ by setting them equal to zero on sets disjoint from $\Delta(G)$ and $\Delta(R)$.

THEOREM. *Suppose G is a subregion of R with $bG \neq \emptyset$, ∂G analytic and such that property (*) is satisfied. If B is a Borel subset of bG , then $\nu_P(j^{-1}(B)) > 0$ if and only if $\mu_P(B) > 0$, where ν_P and μ_P have their centers at the same $z_0 \in G$.*

The mapping j in the theorem was defined in No. 1. We present in Nos. 9 and 10 a simplified version of Nakai's proof for the case $P \equiv 0$ (cf. [6, Proposition 8]).

We begin by defining a measure σ_P on bG by setting $\sigma_P(U) = \nu_P(j^{-1}(U))$ for every open set U in bG . Note that σ_P is also a regular Borel measure and that it has the property that $\int_{bG} f d\sigma_P = \int_{j^{-1}(bG)} f \circ j d\nu_P$ for any nonnegative σ_P -measurable f . Take any $u \in PD(G)$ with continuous boundary values 0 on ∂G . Then $u \circ j$ vanishes on $j^{-1}(\partial \bar{G})$. But

clearly the continuous extension u^* of u to G^* is equal to $u \circ j$. Consequently

$$u(z_0) = \int_{\Gamma(G)} u^* d\nu_P = \int_{j^{-1}(b(G))} u \circ j d\nu_P = \int_{bG} u d\sigma_P .$$

9. Note that our problem now is to prove $\sigma_P(B) > 0$ if and only if $\mu_P(B) > 0$. To this end we may assume B is compact, in view of the regularity of the measures. We also take $\{V_n^*\}$ a sequence of open sets in R^* such that $B \subset \bar{V}_{n+1}^* \subset V_n^* \subset G \cup bG$ and $\sigma_P(B) = \lim \sigma_P(V_n^* \cap \Gamma(R))$ and $\mu_P(B) = \lim \mu_P(V_n^* \cap \Gamma(R))$. Now we choose $f_n \in M(R)$ with $0 \leq f_n \leq 1, f_n|_{V_{n+1}} = 1$ and $\text{supp } f_n \subset V_n$. The hypothesis on G (cf. No. 3), gives the existence of a function $t_n \in PD(G)$ with continuous boundary values 0 on ∂G and $t_n|_{bG \cap \Delta} = f_n|_{bG \cap \Delta}$. If we extend t_n to a function s_n on R by setting $s_n = 0$ on $R \setminus G$, then $s_n \in M(R)$ and s_n is a subsolution on R . Thus $s_n(z_0) \leq \int_{\Gamma(R)} s_n d\mu_P$ and $s_n(z_0) = t_n(z_0) = \int_{bG} t_n d\sigma_P$. Since $\int_{\Gamma(R)} s_n d\mu_P \leq \mu_P(V_n^* \cap \Gamma)$ and $\sigma_P(V_{n+1}^* \cap \Gamma) \leq \int_{bG} t_n d\sigma_P$, we conclude by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ that $\sigma_P(B) \leq \mu_P(B)$.

10. For the converse assume that $\sigma_P(B) = 0$. Then $\lim t_n(z_0) = 0$ and hence by the Harnack principle t_n converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of G . The reflection principle allows us to conclude the same result on compact subsets of $G \cup \partial G$. This means that s_n converges to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of R .

Let $\{R_m\}_1^\infty$ be a regular exhaustion of R . Consider functions $u_{nm} \in C(R)$ such that $u_{nm}|_{R \setminus R_m} = s_n$ and $u_{nm} \in P(R_m)$. Since s_n is a bounded nonnegative subsolution on R , the weak Dirichlet principle (cf. [7]) implies that there is a solution $u_n = BD\text{-}\lim_m u_{nm}$. Since $s_n - u_{nm} \in M_0(R)$, we also have $u_n - s_n \in M_\Delta(R)$, i.e., $u_n = s_n$ on Δ . Therefore, $\mu_P(B) = \lim \int u_n d\mu_P = \lim u_n(z_0)$.

On the other hand, we have $u_{1m} - u_{nm} \geq s_1 - s_n$ since $s_1 - s_n$ is also a subsolution on R . This in turn implies that $u_{1,m+1} - u_{n,m+1} \geq u_{1m} - u_{nm}$ and hence $u_1 - u_n \geq u_{1m} - u_{nm}$. Note that for m so large that $z_0 \in R_m$ we have $\lim_n u_{nm}(z_0) = 0$ in view of the fact that $\{s_n\}$ converges uniformly to 0 on ∂R_m . Thus $\lim_n u_1(z_0) - u_n(z_0) \geq u_{1m}(z_0)$ and consequently $\lim u_n(z_0) \leq 0$. Since $u_n(z_0) \geq 0$, we obtain $\lim u_n(z_0) = 0$ and the proof of Theorem 8 is complete.

11. We are ready to state our main result.

THEOREM. *A point $p \in \Delta_P(\Delta^P \text{ resp.})$ is an atom with respect to $\mu_P(\mu^P \text{ resp.})$ if and only if it is an atom with respect to μ_0 .*

The statement for μ^P and Δ^P has been established by [1]. Since

nonnegative solutions are subharmonic functions one can easily see that $\mu_0(B) \geq \mu_P(B)$ for every Borel set $B \subset \mathcal{A}$. Thus if $p \in \mathcal{A}_P$ is an atom with respect to μ_P then it must be an atom with respect to μ_0 .

Conversely suppose $p \in \mathcal{A}_P$ and $\mu_0(p) > 0$. Then by definition of \mathcal{A}_P there is a neighborhood U^* of p with p with

$\iint_{U \times V} g_R(x, y)P(x)P(y) < \infty$. By the well known result of Nakai [6, Proposition 9] we can find a neighborhood G^* of p with $G^* \subset U^*$, G a region in R and ∂G analytic. Note that $p \in bG$ and G satisfies condition (*). Thus $\nu_0(j^{-1}(p)) > 0$; that is, there is an atom with respect to ν_0 on the Royden boundary of G . Our task now is to show that this point is also an atom with respect to ν_P for then another application of Theorem 8 gives the desired result.

The isomorphism T described in No. 3 can be extended to a mapping on the bounded functions in $\widetilde{PD}(G)$. In fact, if $u \in \widetilde{PD}(G)$ and $u \leq c$, then take $u_n \in PD(G)$ with $u_n \downarrow u$. Since $T^{-1}(c) \in PBD(G)$, $u \leq T^{-1}(c)$ and $PD(G)$ is a sublattice of $P(G)$ we have that $u_n \wedge T^{-1}(c) \downarrow u$. Thus the set of bounded functions in $\widetilde{PD}(G)$ is exactly $\widetilde{PBD}(G)$. So for $u \in PBD(G)$ set $Tu = \lim Tu_n$ and note that $Tu \in \widetilde{HBD}(G)$. By the monotone convergence theorem T is again given by the formula $Tu = u + \tau u$ and hence is order preserving and commutes with multiplication by positive scalars. Also note that this extension maps $\widetilde{PBD}(G)$ onto $\widetilde{HBD}(G)$, which trivially are the bounded function in $\widetilde{HD}(G)$.

Since $\tau u \in M_l(G)$ (cf. No. 3) for every $q \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ we have

$$(**) \quad \overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow q} Tu(z) = \overline{\lim}_{z \rightarrow q} u(z) .$$

Thus Theorem 5 shows that $Tu = 0$ if and only if $u = 0$. This in turn shows that T preserves minimal functions in $\widetilde{PBD}(G)$ and $\widetilde{HBD}(G)$. In view of the fact that all minimal functions in $\widetilde{PD}(G)$ and $\widetilde{HD}(G)$ are bounded we conclude that T preserves them.

Suppose that q_0 is the point in $\mathcal{A}(G)$ with $\nu_0(q_0) > 0$. Then Theorem 6 shows that $L_0(\cdot, q_0)$ is an \widetilde{HD} -minimal function on G . By the above remark and again by Theorem 6 there is a point $q_1 \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ such that $\nu_P(q_1) > 0$ and $TL_P(\cdot, q_1) = L_0(\cdot, q_0)$.

We trivially have $L_0(z, q_0) = 1/\nu_0(q_0) \int_{\mathcal{A}(G)} L_0(z, \cdot) \chi_{q_0} d\nu_0$. Since χ_{q_0} is continuous at every $q \in \mathcal{A}(G)$, $q \neq q_0$ we have $\lim_{z \rightarrow q} L_0(z, q_0) = 0$ for every $q \neq q_0$, (cf. No. 2). In view of the analogous property for $L_P(\cdot, q_1)$ and (**) we conclude that either $q_1 = q_0$ or $\overline{L_P(\cdot, q_1)} = 0$. But since $L_P(\cdot, q_1) > 0$, Theorem 5 excludes the latter alternative. Thus $\nu_P(q_0) > 0$.

REFERENCES

1. K. Chow, *Minimality in families of solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ on Riemann surfaces*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., **24** (1972), 136-141.
2. M. Glasner and R. Katz, *On the behavior of solutions $\Delta u = Pu$ at the Royden boundary*, J. Analyse Math., **22** (1969), 345-354.
3. M. Glasner and M. Nakai, *Riemannian manifolds with discontinuous metrics and the Dirichlet integral*, Nagoya Math. J., **46** (1972), 1-48.
4. M. Nakai, *A measure on the harmonic boundary of a Riemann surface*, Nagoya Math. J., **17** (1960), 181-218.
5. ———, *The space of Dirichlet-finite solutions of the equation $\Delta u = Pu$ on a Riemann surface*, Nagoya Math. J., **18** (1961), 111-131.
6. ———, *Genus and classification of Riemann surfaces*, Osaka J. Math., **14** (1962), 153-180.
7. ———, *Dirichlet-finite solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$, and classification of Riemann surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **77** (1971), 381-385.
8. ———, *Dirichlet-finite solutions of $\Delta u = Pu$ on open Riemann surfaces*, Kōdai Math., Sem. Rep., **23** (1971), 385-397.
9. M. Ozawa, *Classification of Riemann surfaces*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., **4** (1952), 63-76.
10. H. Royden, *The equation $\Delta u = Pu$ and the classification of open Riemann surfaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser., AI **271** (1959), 27 pp.
11. L. Sario and M. Nakai, *Classification Theory of Riemann Surfaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

Received August 4, 1972. Supported in part by NSF Grant No. GP14133 at the California Institute of Technology.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, NORTHRIDGE
AND
PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI*

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Copyright © 1973 by
Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 49, No. 2

June, 1973

Wm. R. Allaway, <i>On finding the distribution function for an orthogonal polynomial set</i>	305
Eric Amar, <i>Sur un théorème de Mooney relatif aux fonctions analytiques bornées</i>	311
Robert Morgan Brooks, <i>Analytic structure in the spectrum of a natural system</i>	315
Bahattin Cengiz, <i>On extremely regular function spaces</i>	335
Kwang-nan Chow and Moses Glasner, <i>Atoms on the Royden boundary</i>	339
Paul Frazier Duvall, Jr. and Jim Maxwell, <i>Tame Z^2-actions on E^n</i>	349
Allen Roy Freedman, <i>On the additivity theorem for n-dimensional asymptotic density</i>	357
John Griffin and Kelly Denis McKennon, <i>Multipliers and the group L_p-algebras</i>	365
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>Characterizations of λ connected plane continua</i>	371
Jon Craig Helton, <i>Bounds for products of interval functions</i>	377
Ikuko Kayashima, <i>On relations between Nörlund and Riesz means</i>	391
Everett Lee Lady, <i>Slender rings and modules</i>	397
Shozo Matsuura, <i>On the Lu Qi-Keng conjecture and the Bergman representative domains</i>	407
Stephen H. McCleary, <i>The lattice-ordered group of automorphisms of an α-set</i>	417
Stephen H. McCleary, <i>o-2-transitive ordered permutation groups</i>	425
Stephen H. McCleary, <i>o-primitive ordered permutation groups. II</i>	431
Richard Rochberg, <i>Almost isometries of Banach spaces and moduli of planar domains</i>	445
R. F. Rossa, <i>Radical properties involving one-sided ideals</i>	467
Robert A. Rubin, <i>On exact localization</i>	473
S. Sribala, <i>On Σ-inverse semigroups</i>	483
H. M. (Hari Mohan) Srivastava, <i>On the Konhauser sets of biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials</i>	489
Stuart A. Steinberg, <i>Rings of quotients of rings without nilpotent elements</i>	493
Daniel Mullane Sunday, <i>The self-equivalences of an H-space</i>	507
W. J. Thron and Richard Hawks Warren, <i>On the lattice of proximities of Čech compatible with a given closure space</i>	519
Frank Uhlig, <i>The number of vectors jointly annihilated by two real quadratic forms determines the inertia of matrices in the associated pencil</i>	537
Frank Uhlig, <i>On the maximal number of linearly independent real vectors annihilated simultaneously by two real quadratic forms</i>	543
Frank Uhlig, <i>Definite and semidefinite matrices in a real symmetric matrix pencil</i> ...	561
Arnold Lewis Villone, <i>Self-adjoint extensions of symmetric differential operators</i>	569
Cary Webb, <i>Tensor and direct products</i>	579
James Victor Whittaker, <i>On normal subgroups of differentiable homeomorphisms</i>	595
Jerome L. Paul, <i>Addendum to: "Sequences of homeomorphisms which converge to homeomorphisms"</i>	615
David E. Fields, <i>Correction to: "Dimension theory in power series rings"</i>	616
Peter Michael Curran, <i>Correction to: "Cohomology of finitely presented groups"</i>	617
Billy E. Rhoades, <i>Correction to: "Commutants of some Hausdorff matrices"</i>	617
Charles W. Trigg, <i>Corrections to: "Versum sequences in the binary system"</i>	619