Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE ADDITIVITY THEOREM FOR n-DIMENSIONAL ASYMPTOTIC DENSITY

ALLEN ROY FREEDMAN

Vol. 49, No. 2 June 1973

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 49, No. 2, 1973

ON THE ADDITIVITY THEOREM FOR n-DIMENSIONAL ASYMPTOTIC DENSITY

A. R. FREEDMAN

"Additivity theorems" are approximations to countable additivity for set functions. A special case of the additivity theorem for natural density in n-dimensions is proved. To accomplish this a slightly different n-dimensional asymptotic density is defined for which the additivity theorem holds in general.

The additivity theorem (AT) for the case of sets of nonnegative integers reads as follows: If $A_1, A_2, \cdots$ is a disjoint sequence of sets each of which possesses natural density (i.e., for each i,

$$\nu(A_i) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A_i(n)}{n}$$

exists), then there exists a sequence $B_1, B_2, \cdots$ such that, for each i, $A_i \sim B_i$ (i.e., the symmetric difference of A_i and B_i is finite), the natural density of $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ exists and $\nu(V) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i)$. This is as close as we can get to countable additivity for natural density.

In [3] the author has generalized asymptotic density and natural density to sets of n-dimensional lattice points. The reader is referred to that paper with respect to all concepts and notations which remain undefined below. The question naturally arises as to the validity of the AT for this generalized natural density. Firstly, it seems natural to define $A \sim B$ to mean that the symmetric difference of A and B is contained in $J(N)$ for some N. Then we may conjecture: If A_1, $A_2, \cdots$ is a disjoint sequence of subsets of S (S = all n-tuples of nonnegative integers) where $\nu(A_i)$ exists for each i, then there exists $B_1, B_2, \cdots$ with $B_i \sim A_i$ for each i such that $\nu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i)$ exists and equals $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i)$.

At present we are able to prove only a special case of this conjecture, namely, when $\nu(A_i) = 0$ for each i. (Using [3, Theorem 5.5] we could trivially extend this to the case where $\nu(A_i) \neq 0$ for at most finitely many i.) To accomplish the proof of the special case we shall, in § 2, introduce a slightly different asymptotic density, upper asymptotic density and natural density in n-dimensions. For this last density we prove, in § 3, the AT and apply it, in § 4, to the special case involving our original density.

2. The $\mathscr{C}$-densities. We consider first the Schnirelmann type $\mathscr{C}$-density of a set $A \subseteq S$ (see [2]). It is

$$d_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = glb\left\{\frac{A(L(\boldsymbol{x}))}{S(L(\boldsymbol{x}))} \mid \boldsymbol{x} \in S\backslash\mathbf{0}\right\}.$$

We then define the $\mathscr{C}$-asymptotic density of A:

$$\delta_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = \lim_{N\to\infty} d_{\mathscr{C}}(A \cup J(N)) .$$

Continuing, in analogy to [3, § 5], we define the upper $\mathscr{C}$-density of A to be

$$\bar{d}_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = lub\left\{\frac{A(L(\boldsymbol{x}))}{S(L(\boldsymbol{x}))} \mid \boldsymbol{x} \in S\backslash\mathbf{0}\right\},$$

the upper $\mathscr{C}$-asymptotic density of A to be

$$\bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \bar{d}_{\mathscr{C}}(A\backslash J(N)) ,$$

and finally, the $\mathscr{C}$-natural density of A to be $\nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = \delta_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = \bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{C}}(A)$ when the second equality holds.

The densities d, δ, $\bar{d}$, $\bar{\delta}$, and ν of [3] will be referred to as K-densities since they depend on "d" which is commonly called "K-density". In § 4 we shall need to compare the K- and $\mathscr{C}$-densities.

3. **The additivity theorem for $\mathscr{C}$-natural density.** We shall say that a sequence $(\boldsymbol{x}_j)$ of point of S *converges to infinity* $((\boldsymbol{x}_j) \to \infty)$ if, for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, the ith coordinate of $\boldsymbol{x}_j$ converges to infinity as $j \to \infty$. Two useful corollaries will follow from the

LEMMA. *Let $A \subseteq S$. Then $\nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A)$ exists if and only if, for each sequence $(\boldsymbol{x}_j) \to \infty$, we have*

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{A(L(\boldsymbol{x}_j))}{S(L(\boldsymbol{x}_j))} \text{ exists} .$$

In this case all the limits are the same and

$$\nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{A(L(\boldsymbol{x}_j))}{S(L(\boldsymbol{x}_j))}$$

for each sequence $(\boldsymbol{x}_j) \to \infty$.

Proof. Our methods follow closely to those of [3, Theorems 2.6, 2.7, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4]. Therefore, much will be left for the reader. By [3, Lemma 2.2] we have, when $(\boldsymbol{x}_j) \to \infty$, that

$$\frac{S(L(\boldsymbol{x}_j) \cap J(N))}{S(L(\boldsymbol{x}_j))} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (j \longrightarrow \infty) \tag{1}$$

for each N. Thus, for each N,

$$d_{\mathscr{E}}(A \cup J(N)) \leqq \operatorname*{glb}_{j \geq 1} \frac{[A \cup J(N)](L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))}$$
$$\leqq \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{[A \cup J(N)](L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))} = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{A(L(x_j))}{(L(x_j))},$$

the last equality following from (1) above. Hence, letting $N \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\delta_{\mathscr{E}}(A) \leqq \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{A(L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))}. \tag{2}$$

Similarly we may obtain

$$\bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{E}}(A) \geqq \overline{\lim_{j \to \infty}} \frac{A(L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))}. \tag{3}$$

Now, using [3, Lemma 2.2], for each j, choose $M_j > 0$ and $x_j \in S$ such that

$$\frac{S(J(j) \cap L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))} < \frac{1}{2^j} \text{ and } \frac{[A \cup J(M_j)](L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))} < d_{\mathscr{E}}(A \cup J(M_j)) + \frac{1}{2^j}.$$

Clearly $(x_j) \to \infty$ and

$$d_{\mathscr{E}}(A \cup J(j)) \leqq \frac{[A \cup J(j)](L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))}$$
$$\leqq \frac{[A \cup J(M_j)](L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))} \leqq d_{\mathscr{E}}(A \cup J(M_j)) + \frac{1}{2^j}$$

so that $[A \cup J(j)](L(x_j))/S(L(x_j)) \to \delta_{\mathscr{E}}(A)$ as $j \to \infty$. But

$$\frac{[A \cup J(j)](L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))} - \frac{S(J(j) \cap L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))} \leqq \frac{A(L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))} \leqq \frac{[A \cup J(j)](L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))}$$

whence we have proved that there exists a sequence $(x_j) \to \infty$ such that

$$\delta_{\mathscr{E}}(A) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{A(L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))}. \tag{4}$$

Similarly we may find $(y_j) \to \infty$ such that

$$\bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{E}}(A) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{A(L(y_j))}{S(L(y_j))}. \tag{5}$$

Finally, using (2), (3), (4), and (5) we may, as in [3, Theorem 5.4], complete the proof of the Lemma.

COROLLARY 1. *If $\nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A)$ exists, then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an $N = N(\varepsilon)$, such that, if $\boldsymbol{x} \in S \backslash J(N)$, then*

$$\left| \frac{A(L(\boldsymbol{x}))}{S(L(\boldsymbol{x}))} - \nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A) \right| < \varepsilon .$$

Proof. If there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for each N there is an $\boldsymbol{x}_N \in S \backslash J(N)$ with

$$\left| \frac{A(L(\boldsymbol{x}_N))}{S(L(\boldsymbol{x}_N))} - \nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A) \right| \geqq \varepsilon ,$$

then $(\boldsymbol{x}_N) \to \infty$ but $A(L(\boldsymbol{x}_N))/S(L(\boldsymbol{x}_N))$ does not converge to $\nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A)$ contrary to the Lemma.

COROLLARY 2. *$\nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A)$ is a finitely additive set function.*

Proof. For $A_1, \cdots, A_k$ disjoint and each possessing $\mathscr{C}$-natural density, take any $(\boldsymbol{x}_j) \to \infty$. Then, by the Lemma,

$$\frac{\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i\right)(L(\boldsymbol{x}_j))}{S(L(\boldsymbol{x}_j))} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{A_i(L(\boldsymbol{x}_j))}{S(L(\boldsymbol{x}_j))} \to \sum_{i=1}^{k} \nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A_i)$$

as $j \to \infty$.

THEOREM. (*Additivity theorem for $\mathscr{C}$-natural density.*) *Let (A_i) be a sequence of subsets of S, pairwise disjoint and such that $\nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A_i)$ exists for each i. Then there exists a sequence (B_i) of sets such that $B_i \sim A_i$ for each i, $\nu_{\mathscr{C}}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i)$ exists and equals $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A_i)$.*

Proof. The B_i's shall be of the form $B_i = A_i \backslash J(N_i)$ for suitable integers N_i. Firstly, for arbitrary N_i, let $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$. Then, for each k, since $V \supset B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_k$ and applying Corollary 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathscr{C}}(V) &\geqq \delta_{\mathscr{C}}(B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_k) = \nu_{\mathscr{C}}(B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \nu_{\mathscr{C}}(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A_i) . \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A_i)$ exists and $\delta_{\mathscr{C}}(V) \geqq \sigma$.

We now choose suitable N_i's to assure that $\bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{C}}(V) \leqq \sigma$. We apply Corollary 1 noting that, by Corollary 2, the sets A_1, $A_1 \cup A_2$, $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$, $\cdots$ possess $\mathscr{C}$-natural density. Take $N_1 = -1$ (i.e., $B_1 = A_1$) and, for $k \geqq 1$, choose $N_{k+1} > N_k$ such that $\boldsymbol{x} \in S \backslash J(N_{k+1})$ implies

$$\frac{A_1(L(\boldsymbol{x})) + \cdots + A_{k+1}(L(\boldsymbol{x}))}{S(L(\boldsymbol{x}))} \leqq \nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A_1) + \cdots + \nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{k} .$$

Now, in accordance with (5) above, find a sequence $(x_j) \to \infty$ such that

$$\bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{C}}(V) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{V(L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))} .$$

Let $m(j)$ be such that $x_j \in J(N_{m(j)+1}) \setminus J(N_{m(j)})$. Clearly $m(j) \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{C}}(V) &= \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{V(L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))} = \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{B_1(L(x_j)) + \cdots + B_{m(j)}(L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))} \\ &\leqq \overline{\lim_{j\to\infty}} \frac{A_1(L(x_j)) + \cdots + A_{m(j)}(L(x_j))}{S(L(x_j))} \\ &\leqq \lim_{j\to\infty} \left(\nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A_1) + \cdots + \nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A_{m(j)}) + \frac{1}{m(j)-1}\right) = \sigma .\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

We mention here that the author's student, Mr. F. Wong, has obtained, in the course of his M.Sc. research, the following related but slightly different result (see [1]): Let $A_1 \subseteqq A_2 \subseteqq \cdots \subseteqq S$ be an increasing sequence of sets. Then there is a sequence (B_i) such that $B_i \sim A_i$ for each i,

$$\delta_{\mathscr{C}}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \delta_{\mathscr{C}}(A_i)$$

and

$$\bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{C}}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{C}}(A_i) .$$

4. **Comparison of $\mathscr{C}$- and K-asymptotic densities and the special case of the AT for K-natural density.** It is evident from the definitions and (2) and (3) above that, for each $A \subseteqq S$, $\delta(A) \leqq \delta_{\mathscr{C}}(A) \leqq \bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{C}}(A) \leqq \bar{\delta}(A)$. Thus, if the K-natural density of A exists, then so does the $\mathscr{C}$-natural density and the two are equal. We venture to conjecture the converse, namely, if the $\mathscr{C}$-natural density of A exists then so does the K-natural density. If this conjecture is ture, then the AT for K-natural density is clearly proved by applying the above theorem. However, we can prove only a little part of this conjecture, namely, that $\nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = 0$ if and only if $\nu(A) = 0$. From this, with the AT for $\mathscr{C}$-natural density, follows immediately the special case of the AT for K-natural density promised above.

For $\nu_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nu(A) = 0$ it suffices to show that

$$\bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{C}}(A) = 0 \Longrightarrow \bar{\delta}(A) = 0 . \tag{7}$$

We use the remarkable result of B. Müller [4, Satz 8] which implies,

for our purposes, that

$$(8) \qquad \frac{A(F)+1}{S(F)+1} \geqq (1-(1-d_{\mathscr{C}}(A))^{1/n})^n ,$$

where $F \in \mathscr{K}$ and, of course, n is the dimension of S (see [2, Theorem 17]).

Now (7) will follow immediately from

$$\bar{\delta}(A) \leqq 1-(1-(\bar{\delta}_{\mathscr{C}}(A))^{1/n})^n$$

which in turn follows, upon taking the limit as $N \to \infty$, from

$$(9) \qquad \bar{d}(A\backslash J(N)) \leqq 1-\left(\frac{(N+1)^n-1}{(N+1)^n}\right)(1-(\bar{d}_{\mathscr{C}}(A\backslash J(N)))^{1/n})^n .$$

To prove (9) we take an arbitrary $F \in \mathscr{K}$ and show that $[A\backslash J(N)](F)/S(F)$ does not exceed the right hand side of (9). If the point $(N, N, \cdots, N) \notin F$, then $[A\backslash J(N)](F)=0$ and we are done. Hence, assuming that $(N, \cdots, N) \in F$, we have $[\bar{A} \cup J(N)](F) \geqq (N+1)^n-1$ (here $\bar{A}=S\backslash A$). We have, applying (8),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{[\bar{A} \cup J(N)](F)}{S(F)} &> \frac{[\bar{A} \cup J(N)](F)}{[\bar{A} \cup J(N)](F)+1} \cdot \frac{[\bar{A} \cup J(N)](F)+1}{S(F)+1} \\ &\geqq \left(\frac{(N+1)^n-1}{(N+1)^n}\right)[1-(1-d_{\mathscr{C}}(\bar{A} \cup J(N)))^{1/n}]^n ,\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{[A\backslash J(N)](F)}{S(F)} &= 1-\frac{[\bar{A} \cup J(N)](F)}{S(F)} \\ &\leqq 1-\left(\frac{(N+1)^n-1}{(N+1)^n}\right)[1-(1-d_{\mathscr{C}}(\bar{A} \cup J(N)))^{1/n}]^n .\end{aligned}$$

We obtain (9) if we can show that $d_{\mathscr{C}}(\bar{A} \cup J(N)) \geqq 1-\bar{d}_{\mathscr{C}}(A\backslash J(N))$. But this is easy since, for each $x \in S\backslash 0$, we have

$$\frac{[\bar{A} \cup J(N)](L(x))}{S(L(x))} = 1-\frac{[A\backslash J(N)](L(x))}{S(L(x))} \geqq 1-\bar{d}_{\mathscr{C}}(A\backslash J(N)) .$$

We conclude with an example which shows that, while ν and $\nu_{\mathscr{C}}$ may be the same, δ and $\delta_{\mathscr{C}}$ are not. We leave to the reader the task of proving that $\delta(A) \neq \delta_{\mathscr{C}}(A)$ where A is defined presently.

EXAMPLE. Let S be of dimension two. For integers a and b let

$$\begin{aligned}D(a, b) = &[U((a+b, a)) \cap L((a+2b, a+b))] \\ &\cup [U((a, a+b)) \cap L((a+b, a+2b))] .\end{aligned}$$

Take $a_1 = b_1 = 1$, $a_{i+1} = a_i + 2b_i$, $b_{i+1} = (a_{i+1})!$ and define $A = S \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D(a_i, b_i)$.

REFERENCES

1. R. C. Buck, *Generalized asymptotic density*, Amer. J. Math., **75** (1953), 335-346.
2. A. R. Freedman, *Some generalizations in additive number theory*, J. reine angew. Math., **235** (1969), 1-19.
3. ———, *On asymptotic density in n-dimensions*, Pacific J. Math., **29** (1969), 95-113.
4. B. Müller, *Halbgruppen und Dichteabschätzungen in lokalkompakten abelschen Gruppen*, J. reine angew. Math., **210** (1962), 89-104.

Received July 29, 1972.

SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: $24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Copyright © 1973 by
Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 49, No. 2 June, 1973

Wm. R. Allaway, *On finding the distribution function for an orthogonal polynomial set* 305
Eric Amar, *Sur un théorème de Mooney relatif aux fonctions analytiques bornées* 311
Robert Morgan Brooks, *Analytic structure in the spectrum of a natural system* 315
Bahattin Cengiz, *On extremely regular function spaces* 335
Kwang-nan Chow and Moses Glasner, *Atoms on the Royden boundary* 339
Paul Frazier Duvall, Jr. and Jim Maxwell, *Tame Z^2-actions on E^n* 349
Allen Roy Freedman, *On the additivity theorem for n-dimensional asymptotic density* 357
John Griffin and Kelly Denis McKennon, *Multipliers and the group L_p-algebras* 365
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, *Characterizations of λ connected plane continua* 371
Jon Craig Helton, *Bounds for products of interval functions* 377
Ikuko Kayashima, *On relations between Nörlund and Riesz means* 391
Everett Lee Lady, *Slender rings and modules* 397
Shozo Matsuura, *On the Lu Qi-Keng conjecture and the Bergman representative domains* 407
Stephen H. McCleary, *The lattice-ordered group of automorphisms of an α-set* 417
Stephen H. McCleary, *$o-2$-transitive ordered permutation groups* 425
Stephen H. McCleary, *o-primitive ordered permutation groups. II* 431
Richard Rochberg, *Almost isometries of Banach spaces and moduli of planar domains* 445
R. F. Rossa, *Radical properties involving one-sided ideals* 467
Robert A. Rubin, *On exact localization* 473
S. Sribala, *On Σ-inverse semigroups* 483
H. M. (Hari Mohan) Srivastava, *On the Konhauser sets of biorthogonal polynomials suggested by the Laguerre polynomials* 489
Stuart A. Steinberg, *Rings of quotients of rings without nilpotent elements* 493
Daniel Mullane Sunday, *The self-equivalences of an H-space* 507
W. J. Thron and Richard Hawks Warren, *On the lattice of proximities of Čech compatible with a given closure space* 519
Frank Uhlig, *The number of vectors jointly annihilated by two real quadratic forms determines the inertia of matrices in the associated pencil* 537
Frank Uhlig, *On the maximal number of linearly independent real vectors annihilated simultaneously by two real quadratic forms* 543
Frank Uhlig, *Definite and semidefinite matrices in a real symmetric matrix pencil* 561
Arnold Lewis Villone, *Self-adjoint extensions of symmetric differential operators* 569
Cary Webb, *Tensor and direct products* 579
James Victor Whittaker, *On normal subgroups of differentiable homeomorphisms* 595
Jerome L. Paul, *Addendum to: "Sequences of homeomorphisms which converge to homeomorphisms"* 615
David E. Fields, *Correction to: "Dimension theory in power series rings"* 616
Peter Michael Curran, *Correction to: "Cohomology of finitely presented groups"* 617
Billy E. Rhoades, *Correction to: "Commutants of some Hausdorff matrices"* 617
Charles W. Trigg, *Corrections to: "Versum sequences in the binary system"* 619