Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE NUMBER OF VECTORS JOINTLY ANNIHILATED BY TWO REAL QUADRATIC FORMS DETERMINES THE INERTIA OF MATRICES IN THE ASSOCIATED PENCIL

FRANK UHLIG

Vol. 49, No. 2 June 1973

THE NUMBER OF VECTORS JOINTLY ANNIHILATED BY TWO REAL QUADRATIC FORMS DETERMINES THE INERTIA OF MATRICES IN THE ASSOCIATED PENCIL

FRANK UHLIG

Pencils of real symmetric matrices and their associated quadratic forms are interrelated. It is well known that a pencil contains a definite matrix iff the associated quadratic forms do not vanish simultaneously, provided the matrices have dimension $n \geq 3$. This knowledge is extended here to yield the following for nonsingular pairs of real symmetric matrices of dimension $n \geq 3$:

- (I) The pencil P(S,T) contains a semidefinite, but no definite matrix iff the maximal number l of lin. ind. vectors simultaneously annihilated by the associated quadratic forms lies between 1 and n-1 and certain conditions on S and T hold if l=n-1.
- (II) The pencil P(S,T) contains only indefinite matrices iff $n-1 \le l \le n$ with other (complementary to the above) conditions holding if l=n-1.

First we introduce the relevant notation for a pair of real symmetric (r.s.) matrices S and T of the same dimension n:

DEFINITION 1. (a) The pencil $P(S, T) = \{aS + bT | a, b \in R\}$ is a *d-pencil* if P(S, T) contains a definite matrix.

- (b) P(S, T) is a s.d. pencil if P(S, T) contains a nonzero semi-definite, but no definite matrix.
- (c) P(S, T) is an *i-pencil* if P(S, T) contains only indefinite matrices, except for the zero matrix.

Notation. We denote by Q_s the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x'Sx = 0\}$.

DEFINITION 2. A pair of r.s. matrices S and T is called a non-singular pair if S is nonsingular.

This is our main result:

MAIN THEOREM. For a pair of r.s. matrices S and T of dimension $n \geq 3$ let $l = \max\{k \mid \text{there exist } k \text{ lin. ind. vectors in } Q_S \cap Q_T\}$. Then we have:

- (a) P(S, T) is a d-pencil iff l = 0, and for a nonsingular pair S, T:
- (b) P(S, T) is a s.d. pencil if and only if $1 \le l \le n-1$ and

in case of l=n-1 we have that S and T are simultaneously congruent either to

(D): diag
$$\left(\varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \varepsilon_3, \cdots, \varepsilon_n \right)$$
 and diag $\left(\eta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \varepsilon_3 \alpha, \cdots, \varepsilon_n \alpha \right)$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, ε , η , $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$ so that $\varepsilon_m \varepsilon_k = -1$ for at least one pair of indices $3 \leq m, k \leq n$, or to

(E): diag
$$(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$$
 and diag $(\varepsilon_1 \lambda, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} \lambda, \varepsilon_n \mu)$,

where $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$ with $\varepsilon_m \varepsilon_k = -1$ for at least one pair $1 \le m, k \le n-1$, and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda \ne \mu$.

(c) P(S, T) is an i-pencil if and only if $n-1 \le l \le n$ and in case of l=n-1 we have that S and T are simultaneously congruent to

(A):
$$\pm \operatorname{diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 1, \dots, 1\right)$$
 and $\pm \operatorname{diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ \alpha & 1 \\ \alpha & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha, \dots, \alpha\right)$,

or

(B):
$$\pm \operatorname{diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 1, \dots, 1\right)$$
 and $\pm \operatorname{diag}\left(\begin{pmatrix} b & a \\ a & -b \end{pmatrix}, \alpha, \dots, a\right)$

with $a, b, \alpha \in R, b \neq 0$.

Part (a) is well known and was first proved to this extent by Calabi [1]; for a complete bibliography regarding (a) the reader should refer to the remarks in Uhlig [2], Theorem 0.2.

Parts (b) and (c) will be proved with the help of the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. (Uhlig [2]).

Let S, T be a nonsingular pair of r.s. matrices of dimension greater than 2.

Then P(S, T) is a s.d. pencil iff.

Either S and T are simultaneously congruent to diag (a_i) and diag (b_i) with $b_i/a_i \neq b_j/a_j$ for at least one pair of indices (i, j) and we have

(i)
$$\max_{a_i>0} \frac{b_i}{a_i} = \max_{a_i<0} \frac{b_i}{a_i}$$
 or (ii) $\min_{a_i>0} \frac{b_i}{a_i} = \min_{a_i<0} \frac{b_i}{a_i}$,

or (iii) S and T are simultaneously congruent to

diag
$$(\varepsilon E, \dots, \varepsilon E, \varepsilon_{k+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_j, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_n)$$
 and diag $(\varepsilon EJ, \dots, \varepsilon EJ, \varepsilon_{k+1}\alpha, \dots, \varepsilon_j\alpha, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_n)$,

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 1 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix}$, $E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$; ε , $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, $\alpha \in R$, $b_l \neq \alpha a_l$ and ε , $b_l - \alpha a_l$ all have the same sign for $l = j + 1, \dots, n$.

THEOREM 2. (Uhlig [3]).

Let S, T be a nonsingular pair of r.s. matrices of dimension greater than 2. Let J be the real Jordan normal form of $S^{-1}T$ and define $l = \max\{k | \text{ there exist } k \text{ lin. ind. vectors in } Q_S \cap Q_T\}.$

If (a): I contains one 3-dimensional Jordan block, linear blocks else for the same eigenvalue and inertia S = (n - 1, 1, 0) or (1, n - 1, 0).

Or (β) : J contains one 2-dimensional Jordan block corresponding to a nonreal eigenvalue, linear blocks else for identical eigenvalues and inertia S = (n - 1, 1, 0) or (1, n - 1, 0), then l = n - 1.

If (γ) : J contains $k \geq 1$ identical 2-dimensional Jordan blocks corresponding to one real eigenvalue λ , linear blocks else for eigenvalues μ_i $(i = 2k + 1, \dots, n)$ and the set

$$\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_i(\mu_i - \lambda) | i > 2k\}$$
,

where the $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ are the constants in the canonical pair form of S and T, contains $r \geq 0$ zeroes $\mu_{2k+1} - \lambda = \cdots = \mu_{2k+r} - \lambda = 0$ and only positive or only negative numbers else, and $\varepsilon_{2k+1} = \cdots = \varepsilon_{2k+r}$, then l = k.

If (\delta): Condition (\gamma) holds except that not all ε_i are the same for $2k+1 \leq i \leq 2k+r$, then l=k+r.

If (η) : S and T can be simultaneously diagonalised by a real congruence transformation, then $l=0, 2, 3, \dots, n$ are possible, depending on S and T.

In all other cases $Q_s \cap Q_T$ contains l = n lin. ind. vector.And

THEOREM 3. (Uhlig [3]).

Let S, T, J, n, l, k, r, (α) , (β) , (γ) , (δ) , (η) be as in Theorem 2.

If l = 0, then (η) holds for J.

If l = 1, then (γ) holds for J with k = 1.

If $2 \le l \le n-2$, then (γ) , (δ) or (η) holds for J.

If l = n - 1, then (α) , (β) , (δ) (with k = 1, r = n - 2), or (η) holds for J.

If l = n, then none of (α) , (β) , (γ) or (δ) may hold for J.

Proof of the Main Theorem.

Part (b): All s.d. pencils have been characterized in Theorem 1. So if S and T are simultaneously congruent to $D_1 = \operatorname{diag}(\varepsilon_i)$ and $D_2 = \operatorname{diag}(\varepsilon_i\lambda_i)$ then (i) or (ii) of Theorem 1 must hold. Assume (i) holds and let

(1)
$$\lambda = \max_{\epsilon_i > 0} \lambda_i = \max_{\epsilon_i < 0} (-\lambda_i).$$

Then $x'D_1x = x_m^2 - x_k^2 + \sum \varepsilon_i x_i^2 = 0$ and $x'D_2x = \lambda x_m^2 - \lambda x_k^2 + \sum \varepsilon_i \lambda_i x_i^2 = 0$ implies

(2)
$$\sum_{i\neq m,k} \varepsilon_i (\lambda_i - \lambda) x_i^2 = 0.$$

Now for $\varepsilon_i > 0$ we have $\lambda_i \leq \lambda$ while $\varepsilon_i < 0$ implies $\lambda_i \geq \lambda$. Hence (2) is a semidefinite quadratic form in x_1, \dots, x_n with at least one nonzero coefficient $\varepsilon_i(\lambda_i - \lambda)$ by Theorem 1. Thus all vectors $x \in Q_{D_1} \cap Q_{D_2}$ must have their *i*th component equal to zero for all i with $\lambda_i \neq \lambda$ and hence $2 \leq l \leq n-1$ and l=n-1 exactly in case of (η) . The proof in case of (ii) is similar.

If S and T are not simultaneously diagonalizable, then by Theorem 1 we must have case (γ) or (δ) of Theorem 2. We conclude that $1 \le l \le n-1$ and l=n-1 only if (δ) holds with k=1, r=n-2, hence if (D) holds. Now for the converse: If l=n-1 and (D) holds, then P(S,T) is a s.d. pencil by Theorem 1. If $1 \le l \le n-2$ then by Theorem 3 only (γ) , (δ) or (η) may hold for S and T. We are done in case of (γ) or (δ) due to Theorem 1. For arbitrary l the case of (η) will be settled by the following Lemma:

LEMMA 1. For two real diagonal matrices $D_1 = \operatorname{diag}(\varepsilon_i)$ and $D_2 = \operatorname{diag}(\varepsilon_i\lambda_i)$, where $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $l = \max\{k \mid \text{there exist } k \text{ lin. ind. vectors in } Q_{D_1} \cap Q_{D_2}\}$.

Then $P(D_1, D_2)$ is an i-pencil iff l = n.

Proof. Assume $P(D_1, D_2)$ is not an *i*-pencil. Then it must be a *d*-pencil or a s.d. pencil, in which cases l=0 or $1 \le l \le n-1$ as shown above.

Conversely if $P(D_1, D_2)$ is an *i*-pencil, then $L = \{(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i \lambda_i)\}$ is contained in no open or closed halfspace of \mathbb{R}^2 and hence we may assume without loss of generality that $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ and $\varepsilon_1 = -1$, ε_2 , $\varepsilon_3 = 1$.

Then the quadratic forms read like:

$$x'D_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}x = -x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^2 + x_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^2 + x_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}^2 + \sum_{i=3}^n arepsilon_i x_i^2$$

and

$$x'D_2x = -\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \lambda_3 x_3^2 + \sum_{i=3}^n \epsilon_i \lambda_i x_i^2$$
.

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$; $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2, -\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \lambda_3 x_3^2)$. We will show that f is surjective:

First if $a, b \in \text{Im } f$, then $\alpha a + \beta b \in \text{Im } f$ for all $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$. For if $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = a$, $f(y_1, y_2, y_3) = b$, then

$$f(\sqrt{lpha x_1^2+eta y_1^2},\sqrt{lpha x_2^2+eta y_2^2},\sqrt{lpha x_3^2+eta y_3^2})=lphalpha+eta b$$
 .

Secondly $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ can always be found s.t. $f(x) = (\varepsilon, \delta)$, whenever $(\varepsilon, \delta) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (-1, 0), (0, 1), (0, -1)\}$, which will complete the proof that Im $f = \mathbb{R}^2$:

To solve $f(x) = (\varepsilon, \delta)$ means to solve the following linear system of equations in x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2 :

$$\left(egin{array}{cccc} -1 & 1 & 1 \ -\lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 \ \end{array} \middle| \stackrel{arepsilon}{\delta}
ight)$$
 .

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 & \varepsilon \\ 0 & \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 & \lambda_3 - \lambda_1 & \delta - \varepsilon \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2$, the second equation in (3) represents a line L with positive slope in the quarter plane $\{(x_2^2, x_3^2)\}$. Hence this line will have points in common with the set $A = \{(x_2^2, x_3^2) | x_2^2 + x_3^2 > 1\}$. But $\varepsilon \leq 1$, hence taking $(x_2^2, x_3^2) \in L \cap A \neq \emptyset$, then the system (3) can be solved with $x_1^2 > 0$.

The lemma is proved if we exhibit n lin. ind. vectors in $Q_{D_1} \cap Q_{D_2}$. Let $y_1 = (x_1, x_2, x_3, 0, \dots, 0)$ with $x_1, x_2, x_3 \neq 0$ s.t. $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$,

$$egin{aligned} y_2 &= (-x_1,\,x_2,\,x_3,\,0,\,\cdots,\,0) \ y_3 &= (-x_1-x_2,\,x_3,\,0,\,\cdots,\,0) \quad ext{and} \ y_i &= (lpha_i,\,eta_i,\,\gamma_i,\,0,\,\cdots,\,0) + e_i \quad ext{for} \quad i \geqq 4 \;, \end{aligned}$$

where e_i is the *i*th unit vector and α_i , β_i , γ_i are such that $f(\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i) = (-\varepsilon_i, -\varepsilon_i\lambda_i)$.

Part (c): This is obvious once parts (a) and (b) have been proved. Thus the Main Theorem is proved.

Outlook. The Main Theorem contains three "if and only if" statements relating the type of pencil a nonsingular pair of r.s. matrices S and T generates to the maximal number l of lin. ind. vectors simultaneously annihilated by the two associated real quadratic forms. On the one hand the distinction of d-, s.d. and i-pencils is easily made, while on the other it is also enough to know l for a specific pair of matrices except for the case that l=n-1. Then the further conditions on the finest simultaneous block diagonal structure of S and T are somewhat hard to verify; one needs to know the real Jordan normal form of $S^{-1}T$ for example.

It is an open question whether this difficulty could be avoided either by changing the concepts of d-, s.d. or i-pencil slightly or by using a characterization of pairs of quadratic forms different from our number l.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. Dr. Olga Taussky-Todd, my thesis advisor at the California Institute of Technology, had suggested to try to generalize the theorems about simultaneous diagonalization and about d-pencils by studying $Q_S \cap Q_T$. Moreover, Dr. H. F. Bohnenblust suggested to find properties of P(S, T) that relate to l, the maximal number of lin. ind. vectors in $Q_S \cap Q_T$, rather than relating l to the real Jordan normal form of $S^{-1}T$ as done in [3]. I am grateful to both, for both suggestions have been intertwined here.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. Calabi, Linear systems of real quadratic forms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 15 (1964), 844-846.
- 2. F. Uhlig, Definite and semidefinite matrices in a real symmetric matrix pencil, Pacific J. Math., 49 (1973), 561-568.
- 3. ———, On the maximal number of linearly independent real vectors simultaneously annihilated by two real quadratic forms, Pacific J. Math., 49 (1973), 543-560.

Received July 12, 1972.

Universität Würzburg

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. Dugundji*

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$48.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$24.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Copyright © 1973 by
Pacific Journal of Mathematics
All Rights Reserved

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 49, No. 2

June, 1973

Wm. R. Allaway, On finding the distribution function for an orth	
set Eric Amar, Sur un théorème de Mooney relatif aux fonctions and	
Robert Morgan Brooks, <i>Analytic structure in the spectrum of a n</i>	
Bahattin Cengiz, On extremely regular function spaces	•
Kwang-nan Chow and Moses Glasner, <i>Atoms on the Royden bou</i>	
Paul Frazier Duvall, Jr. and Jim Maxwell, <i>Tame</i> Z^2 -actions on E	*
Allen Roy Freedman, On the additivity theorem for n-dimension	
density	
John Griffin and Kelly Denis McKennon, Multipliers and the gro	oup L_p -algebras
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, Characterizations of λ connected pla	•
Jon Craig Helton, Bounds for products of interval functions	
Ikuko Kayashima, On relations between Nörlund and Riesz mean	ns
Everett Lee Lady, Slender rings and modules	
Shozo Matsuura, On the Lu Qi-Keng conjecture and the Bergma.	
Stephen H. McCleary, The lattice-ordered group of automorphis	ms of an α-set
Stephen H. McCleary, $o-2$ -transitive ordered permutation grounds	*
Stephen H. McCleary, o-primitive ordered permutation groups.	
Richard Rochberg, Almost isometries of Banach spaces and mod	
domains	V 1
R. F. Rossa, Radical properties involving one-sided ideals	
Robert A. Rubin, On exact localization	
S. Sribala, On Σ -inverse semigroups	
H. M. (Hari Mohan) Srivastava, On the Konhauser sets of biorth	ogonal polynomials
suggested by the Laguerre polynomials	
Stuart A. Steinberg, Rings of quotients of rings without nilpotent	elements
Daniel Mullane Sunday, The self-equivalences of an H-space	
W. J. Thron and Richard Hawks Warren, On the lattice of proxim	iities of Čech
compatible with a given closure space	
Frank Uhlig, The number of vectors jointly annihilated by two re	al quadratic forms
determines the inertia of matrices in the associated pencil.	
Frank Uhlig, On the maximal number of linearly independent red	al vectors annihilated
simultaneously by two real quadratic forms	
Frank Uhlig, Definite and semidefinite matrices in a real symmet	ric matrix pencil
Arnold Lewis Villone, Self-adjoint extensions of symmetric different	rential operators
Cary Webb, Tensor and direct products	
James Victor Whittaker, On normal subgroups of differentiable	
homeomorphisms	
Jerome L. Paul, Addendum to: "Sequences of homeomorphisms	
homeomorphisms"	
David E. Fields, Correction to: "Dimension theory in power ser	
Peter Michael Curran, Correction to: "Cohomology of finitely pr	esented groups"
Billy E. Rhoades, Correction to: "Commutants of some Hausdon	ff matrices"
Charles W. Trigg, Corrections to: "Versum sequences in the bind	ary system"