

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**INTERSECTIONAL PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN FAMILIES OF  
COMPACT CONVEX SETS**

B. E. FULLBRIGHT

## INTERSECTIONAL PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN FAMILIES OF COMPACT CONVEX SETS

B. E. FULLBRIGHT

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be integers with  $p \geq q \geq 2$ . A family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of compact convex subsets of a finite dimensional linear space is said to have the  $(p, q)$ -property if  $\mathfrak{F}$  contains at least  $p$  sets and from each  $p$  sets of  $\mathfrak{F}$  some  $q$  have a common point. In this paper a family  $\mathfrak{F}$  is defined to have the  $(p, q, k)$ -property in a  $n$ -dimensional normed linear space if  $\mathfrak{F}$  has the  $(p, q)$ -property and an additional property which is measured by  $k$ , with  $0 \leq k \leq 1$ . In some sense  $k$  measures the "squareness" of the members of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . The main result is that if  $k > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $P_n(p, q, k)$  such that each family  $\mathfrak{F}$  with the  $(p, q, k)$ -property in a  $n$ -dimensional normed linear space can be partitioned into  $P_n(p, q, k)$  subfamilies each with a nonempty intersection.

Hadwiger and Debrunner have considered the following question: Is there a positive integer  $N(p, q, n)$  such that every finite family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of sets in  $E^n$  with the  $(p, q)$ -property can be partitioned into  $N(p, q, n)$  subfamilies each of which has a nonempty intersection?

1. Preliminaries. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a family of nonempty subsets of a space  $X$  and  $r$  a positive integer. The family  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be  $r$ -pierceable if there exists a subset  $F$  of  $X$  consisting of  $r$  or fewer points such that  $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for all  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $r$ -pierceable for some  $r$ , then define  $|\mathfrak{F}| = \min \{r: \mathfrak{F} \text{ is } r\text{-pierceable}\}$ . If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not  $r$ -pierceable for any positive integer  $r$ , then define  $|\mathfrak{F}| = \infty$ .

The following lemma is a generalization of a well-known theorem about the intersection of families of closed and compact subsets of  $X$  with the finite intersectional property. The proof is routine and is omitted.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a family of closed and compact subsets of  $X$  and  $m$  a positive integer. If  $|\mathcal{G}| \leq m$  for each nonempty finite subfamily  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , then  $|\mathfrak{F}| \leq m$ .*

The symbol  $L^n$  will denote the  $n$ -dimensional normed real linear space consisting of all  $n$ -tuples of real numbers whose norm is given by  $\|(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\| = \max |\alpha_i|$ , and the symbol  $B^n$  will denote the closed unit ball of  $L^n$ . To each ordered pair  $(x, A)$  where  $A$  is a compact subset of  $L^n$  and  $x \in A$ , associate real numbers  $I(x, A)$  and  $E(x, A)$  defined by  $I(x, A) = \sup \{\lambda: x + \lambda B^n \subset A\}$  and  $E(x, A) = \inf \{\lambda \geq 0: x + \lambda B^n \supset A\}$ .

Let  $k$  be a real number with  $0 \leq k \leq 1$ . Then a family  $\mathfrak{F}$  of nondegenerate compact convex subsets of  $L^n$  is said to have the  $(p, q, k)$ -property in  $L^n$ , if  $\mathfrak{F}$  has the  $(p, q)$ -property and for each  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$  there exists a point  $x \in A$  such that  $(I(x, A)/E(x, A)) \geq k$ . Note that since  $A$  is a nondegenerate set  $E(x, A) \neq 0$ ; consequently, the above ratio is defined and satisfies the inequality  $0 \leq (I(x, A)/E(x, A)) \leq 1$ .

For integers  $p, q$ , and  $n$  with  $p \geq q \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and real number  $k$  with  $0 \leq k \leq 1$  define  $P_n(p, q, k) = \sup \{|\mathfrak{F}| : \mathfrak{F} \text{ has the } (p, q, k)\text{-property in } L^n\}$ .

It is easy to see that if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a family of subsets of  $L^n$  with the  $(p, q)$ -property then  $|\mathfrak{F}| \leq P_n(p, q, 0)$ . This fact together with Lemma 1.1 implies that the number  $N(p, q; n)$  as defined by Hadwiger and Debrunner [2] is the same as  $P_n(p, q, 0)$ . By a slight alteration of the proof of Hadwiger and Debrunner's theorem [2] one can obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a finite family of subsets of  $L^n$  with the  $(p + n, q + n - 1)$ -property, there exists a subfamily  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{F}| \leq |\mathcal{H}| + 1$  and either  $\mathcal{H}$  has the  $(p, q)$ -property or  $|\mathcal{H}| \leq p - q + 1$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.3.**  $P_n(p + n, q + n - 1, k) \leq P_n(p, q, k) + 1$  for all  $k \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 1.1.

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *If  $p \geq q \geq n + 1 \geq 2$  and  $nq \geq (n - 1)p + n + 1$ , then  $P_n(p, q, k) = p - q + 1$  for all  $k \in [0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* By means of an example it is not difficult to show that  $P_n(p, q, k) \geq p - q + 1$ . Helly's theorem [4] implies that  $P_n(p, p, k) = 1$  for  $p \geq n + 1$  and  $k \in [0, 1]$ . The corollary now follows from Corollary 1.3 and by induction on  $p - q$ .

**2. The main result.** Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \{A_i : i = 1, \dots\}$  where  $A_i$  is the convex hull of the set  $\{(1/i, 0), (0, 1 - 1/i)\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}$  has the  $(2, 2, 0)$ -property in  $L^2$  and  $|\mathfrak{F}| = \infty$ . Consequently,  $P_2(2, 2, 0) = \infty$ . Similar examples can be constructed in  $L^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , to show that  $P_n(n, n, 0) = \infty$ . Consequently,  $P_n(p, q, 0) = \infty$  for all  $p \geq q \geq 2$  and  $q \leq n$ . However, the following theorem implies that the situation is somewhat different for  $k > 0$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $p, q$ , and  $n$  be positive integers with  $p \geq q \geq 2$  and  $k$  a real number with  $0 < k \leq 1$ . Then  $P_n(p, q, k)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $N_1$  be the minimum number of translates of  $kB^n$  required to cover  $(1+k)B^n$  and  $N_2$  the minimum number of translates of  $k^2B^n$  with center of symmetry on  $\text{bd}[(1+k)B^n]$  required to cover  $\text{bd}[(1+k)B^n]$ . Lemma 1.1 implies that it suffices to show that  $|\mathfrak{F}| \leq (p-q+1)(N_1+N_2)$  whenever  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a finite family of sets with the  $(p, q, k)$ -property in  $L^n$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \{A_i: i = 1, \dots, m\}$  have the  $(p, q, k)$ -property in  $L^n$ . For each  $A_i \in \mathfrak{F}$  there exists a point  $x_i \in A_i$  such that  $(I(x_i, A_i)/E(x_i, A_i)) \geq k$ . To simplify notation let  $I_i = I(x_i, A_i)$  and  $E_i = E(x_i, A_i)$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $E_1 = \min\{E_i\} = 1$  and  $x_1 = 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  consist of all sets in  $\mathfrak{F}$  which meet  $A_1$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_2 = \mathfrak{F} \setminus \mathfrak{F}_1$ . Now divide  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  into two subfamilies  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{A_i \in \mathfrak{F}_1: \|x_i\| \leq 1+k\}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{A_i \in \mathfrak{F}_1: \|x_i\| > 1+k\}$ . There exists  $N_1$  points  $z_1, \dots, z_{N_1}$  such that  $(1+k)B^n$  is covered by the family  $\{z_i + kB^n: i = 1, \dots, N_1\}$ . For  $A_i \in \mathcal{E}_1$  it follows that  $x_i \in z_j + kB^n$  for some  $j$ . Since  $I_i \geq kE_i \geq k$ , it follows that  $x_i + kB^n \subset A_i$ . Moreover,  $x_i \in z_j + kB^n$  implies that  $z_j \in x_i + kB^n \subset A_i$ ; consequently each set in  $\mathcal{E}_1$  contains some  $z_j$ . Therefore,  $|\mathcal{E}_1| \leq N_1$ .

Now let  $A_i \in \mathcal{E}_2$ . Since  $A_i \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $A_1 \subset B^n$ , it follows that  $A_i \cap B^n \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $y_i \in [\text{bd}B^n] \cap A_i$  and  $w_i = \lambda x_i + (1-\lambda)y_i$  belong to  $\text{bd}[(1+k)B^n]$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$ . The set  $w_i + \lambda(I_i B^n)$  is contained in the convex hull of the set  $\{x_i + I_i B^n\} \cup \{y_i\}$  which is contained in  $A_i$ . Now  $k \leq \|y_i - w_i\| = \lambda \|y_i - x_i\| \leq \lambda E_i$ , which implies  $\lambda I_i \geq (k/E_i)I_i \geq k^2$ . Therefore,  $w_i + k^2 B^n \subset A_i$ . Let  $u_1, \dots, u_{N_2}$  be  $N_2$  points such that  $u_j \in \text{bd}[(1+k)B^n]$  and  $\text{bd}[(1+k)B^n]$  is covered by the family  $\{u_j + k^2 B^n: j = 1, \dots, N_2\}$ . Now  $w_i \in u_j + k^2 B^n$  for some  $j$ , which implies that  $u_j \in w_i + k^2 B^n \subset A_i$ . Hence, each set in  $\mathcal{E}_2$  contains one of the  $N_2$  points  $u_1, \dots, u_{N_2}$ ; consequently  $|\mathcal{E}_2| \leq N_2$ . Therefore,  $|\mathfrak{F}_1| \leq N_1 + N_2$ .

To complete the proof note that since each set in  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  fails to intersect  $A_1$ , it follows that either  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  has the  $(p-1, q, k)$ -property or  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  fails to contain  $p-1$  sets. The theorem now follows by induction on  $p-q$ .

For  $k > 0$  the proof of Theorem 2.1 can be used to obtain upper bounds for the values of  $P_n(p, q, k)$ . In the case of  $k = 1$ , Hadwiger and Debrunner's work with families of mutually parallel parallelotopes [3, p. 32] can be used to obtain upper bounds for  $P_n(p, q, 1)$  and if the supplementary condition  $2 \leq q \leq p \leq 2q - 2$  is satisfied, then  $P_n(p, q, 1) = p - q + 1$ .

As a function of  $k \in [0, 1]$  to the positive integers union  $\infty$ ,  $P_n(p, q, k)$  is a decreasing function and Theorem 2.1 implies that it is

integral-valued for  $k \in (0, 1]$ . The following theorem implies that it is continuous from the right at each  $k \in [0, 1)$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $k_0 \in [0, 1)$  then  $P_n(p, q, k) \rightarrow P_n(p, q, k_0)$  as  $k \rightarrow k_0^+$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.1 it is enough to show that  $|\mathfrak{F}| \leq \sup \{P_n(p, q, k) : k > k_0\}$  for each finite family  $\mathfrak{F}$  with the  $(p, q, k_0)$ -property in  $L^n$ . For such an  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\mathfrak{F}_\varepsilon = \{A + \varepsilon B^n : A \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F}_\varepsilon$  has the  $(p, q, k_\varepsilon)$ -property in  $L^n$ , where  $k_\varepsilon > k_0$  and  $k_\varepsilon \rightarrow k_0$  for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ; also, by simple compactness arguments  $|\mathfrak{F}| \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\mathfrak{F}_\varepsilon| \leq \sup \{P_n(p, q, k) : k > k_0\}$ .

For  $p \geq q \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$ , let  $D(p, q; n)$  denote the set of points in  $[0, 1]$  where as a function of  $k$   $P_n(p, q, k)$  is discontinuous from the left. If  $p, q$ , and  $n$  satisfy the inequalities in Corollary 1.4, then clearly  $D(p, q; n) = \emptyset$ . It is unknown whether or not there exist values of  $p, q$ , and  $n$  such that  $D(p, q; n)$  is a nonempty finite set. If  $D(p, q; n) \neq \emptyset$  then  $D(p, q; n)$  can be written in the form  $\{\xi_i(p, q; n) : i \in J\}$ , where  $J$  is either the set of positive integers or an initial segment of the positive integers, with  $\xi_{i+1}(p, q; n) < \xi_i(p, q; n)$ . In the next section  $\xi_1(p, q; n)$  will be determined for certain values of  $p, q$ , and  $n$ .

**3. Some examples.** From Corollary 1.4 and the fact that  $P_n(p, q, 0) = \infty$  for  $n \geq q \geq 2$  it follows that the case with the smallest values for  $p, q$ , and  $n$ , in which the question is unanswered as to whether or not  $P_n(p, q, 0)$  is finite or not is that of  $p = 4, q = 3$ , and  $n = 2$ . However, it is known that  $P_2(4, 3, 1) = 2$ . An example will now be constructed to show that  $P_2(4, 3, k) \geq 3$  and  $P_2(2, 2, k) \geq 3$  for all  $k$  with  $0 \leq k < 1$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.1.** For  $m \geq 3$  define points in  $L^2$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A_m &= (-1/2m, 0), & B_m &= (1/2m, 0), & C_m &= (0, -1/m), & D &= (1, 0), \\ E &= (-1, 0), & F_m &= (1, -1/m), & G &= (-1, -1), & H &= (1, -1), \\ I &= (0, -1), & J &= (-1, 2), & K &= (1, 2), & L_m &= (-1, -1 + 1/2m). \end{aligned}$$

Also, let  $M_m$  and  $N_m$  denote the points of intersection of the line through  $C_m$  and  $D$  with the line  $x = -1/2m$  and  $x = 1/2m$ , respectively,  $P_m$  the intersection of the line through  $I$  and  $N_m$  with the line  $y = -1 + 1/2m$ ,  $R_m$  the intersection of the line through  $I$  and  $M_m$  with the line through  $E$  and  $C_m$ .

Using the above points, define sets in  $L^2$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_m^1 &= \text{conv} \{J, K, D, C_m, E\}, & S_m^2 &= \text{conv} \{N_m, D, H, I\}, \\ S_m^3 &= \text{conv} \{C_m, F_m, I, H\}, & S_m^4 &= \text{conv} \{E, R_m, I, G\}, \end{aligned}$$



the  $(n, n, j/(j+1))$ -property in  $L^n$  and  $|\mathfrak{F}_j| = 2$ . Thus, if  $0 \leq k < 1$ , there exists a  $j$  such that  $\mathfrak{F}_j$  has the  $(n, n, k)$ -property in  $L^n$  and  $|\mathfrak{F}_j| = 2$ . Consequently,  $P_n(n, n, k) \geq 2$  for all  $k$  with  $0 \leq k < 1$ .

4. **Concluding remarks.** The procedure used in this paper in defining the piercing function  $P_n(p, q, k)$  depends on the set  $B^n$ . A similar development using any closed unit ball of an  $n$ -dimensional normed linear space could have been done. The theorems and corollaries which were proven in §§1 through 2 would still remain true. However, the piercing function will not be identical to  $P_n(p, q, k)$  unless the unit ball is a parallelotope. The unit ball  $B^n$  seems to be the best of all possible choices because  $P_n(2, 2, 1) = 1$ . This would not have been the case if the unit ball was not a parallelotope.

This paper gives rise to several unanswered questions which should be rather clear from the context. However, they could all probably be answered by answering the primary question, that is, what is the value of  $P_n(p, q, k)$  for all  $p \geq q \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $0 \leq k \leq 1$ ?

#### REFERENCES

1. B. Grünbaum, *On intersections of similar sets*, Portugal. Math., **18** (1959), 155-164.
2. H. Hadwiger, and H. Debrunner, *Über eine variante zum hellyschen satz*, Arch. der Math., **8** (1957), 309-313.
3. H. Hadwiger, H. Debrunner, and V. Klee, *Combinatorial Geometry in the Plane*, New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, 1964.
4. E. Helly, *Über mengen konvexer körper mit gemeinschaftlichen punkten*, Jber. Deutsch. Math. Verein., **32** (1923).

Received September 26, 1972 and in revised form December 18, 1972. The results of this paper form part of Chapter 3 of the author's Ph. D. thesis "Intersectional Properties of Families of Compact Convex Sets", which was prepared under the guidance of Professor E. K. McLachlan at Oklahoma State University. The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Professor McLachlan for his helpful suggestions and criticism and also to the referee for his suggestions and comments.

OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI\*  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

\* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.



# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 50, No. 1

September, 1974

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Gail Atneosen, <i>Sierpinski curves in finite 2-complexes</i> . . . . .   | 1   |
| Bruce Alan Barnes, <i>Representations of <math>B^*</math>-algebras on Banach spaces</i> . . . . .   | 7   |
| George Benke, <i>On the hypergroup structure of central <math>\Lambda(p)</math> sets</i> . . . . .  | 19  |
| Carlos R. Borges, <i>Absolute extensor spaces: a correction and an answer</i> . . . . .   | 29  |
| Tim G. Brook, <i>Local limits and tripleability</i> . . . . .   | 31  |
| Philip Throop Church and James Timourian, <i>Real analytic open maps</i> . . . . .  | 37  |
| Timothy V. Fossum, <i>The center of a simple algebra</i> . . . . .  | 43  |
| Richard Freiman, <i>Homeomorphisms of long circles without periodic points</i> . . . . .  | 47  |
| B. E. Fullbright, <i>Intersectional properties of certain families of compact convex sets</i> . . . . .   | 57  |
| Harvey Charles Greenwald, <i>Lipschitz spaces on the surface of the unit sphere in Euclidean <math>n</math>-space</i> . . . . .                 | 63  |
| Herbert Paul Halpern, <i>Open projections and Borel structures for <math>C^*</math>-algebras</i> . . . . .                                      | 81  |
| Frederic Timothy Howard, <i>The numer of multinomial coefficients divisible by a fixed power of a prime</i> . . . . .                           | 99  |
| Lawrence Stanislaus Husch, Jr. and Ping-Fun Lam, <i>Homeomorphisms of manifolds with zero-dimensional sets of nonwandering points</i> . . . . . | 109 |
| Joseph Edmund Kist, <i>Two characterizations of commutative Baer rings</i> . . . . .  | 125 |
| Lynn McLinden, <i>An extension of Fenchel's duality theorem to saddle functions and dual minimax problems</i> . . . . .                         | 135 |
| Leo Sario and Cecilia Wang, <i>Counterexamples in the biharmonic classification of Riemannian 2-manifolds</i> . . . . .                         | 159 |
| Saharon Shelah, <i>The Hanf number of omitting complete types</i> . . . . .   | 163 |
| Richard Staum, <i>The algebra of bounded continuous functions into a nonarchimedean field</i> . . . . .   | 169 |
| James DeWitt Stein, <i>Some aspects of automatic continuity</i> . . . . .   | 187 |
| Tommy Kay Teague, <i>On the Engel margin</i> . . . . .  | 205 |
| John Griggs Thompson, <i>Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable, V</i> . . . . .                                   | 215 |
| Kung-Wei Yang, <i>Isomorphisms of group extensions</i> . . . . .  | 299 |