Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LIPSCHITZ SPACES ON THE SURFACE OF THE UNIT SPHERE IN EUCLIDEAN *n*-SPACE

HARVEY CHARLES GREENWALD

Vol. 50, No. 1

September 1974

LIPSCHITZ SPACES ON THE SURFACE OF THE UNIT SPHERE IN EUCLIDEAN *n*-SPACE

HARVEY C. GREENWALD

This paper is concerned with defining Lipschitz spaces on Σ_{n-1} , the surface of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . The importance of this example is that Σ_{n-1} is not a group but a symmetric space. One begins with functions in $L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Σ_{n-1} is a symmetric space and is related in a natural way to the rotation group SO(n). One can then use the group SO(n) to define first and second differences for functions in $L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$. Such a function is the boundary value of its Poisson integral. This enables one to work with functions which are harmonic. Differences can then be replaced by derivatives.

For a brief historical survey of Lipschitz spaces, the reader is referred to the introduction in Taibleson [18] and to the papers of Nikolskii [9] and Peetre [10]. For this paper, the approach of two people stands out as being of significant importance.

The first is Zygmund [20; Chapter VII]. Zygmund draws upon the results of Hardy and Littlewood [6]. For brevity we consider only the case $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $f \in L_p[0, 2\pi]$ and be extended periodically, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and let

$$\omega_{p}(\delta) = \sup_{0 < h < \delta} \left\{ rac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(x + h) - f(x)|^{p} \, dx
ight\}^{1/p}$$

Then $f \in \Lambda^p_{\alpha}$ if and only if $\omega_p(\delta) = O(\delta^{\alpha})$. For $p = \infty$, let $\omega_{\infty}(\delta) = \sup |f(x_2) - f(x_1)|$ where the sup is over all x_1, x_2 such that $|x_1 - x_2| \leq \delta$. Then $f \in \Lambda^\infty_{\alpha}$ if and only if $\omega_{\infty}(\delta) = O(\delta^{\alpha})$.

An important result is that u(r, x) is the Poisson integral of a function $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ if and only if $(\partial/\partial x)u(r, x) = O(\partial^{\alpha-1})$ where $\delta = 1 - r$, uniformly in x as $r \to 1^{-}$.

The second person is Taibleson [18]. For brevity we consider only the case $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let $||f(x+h) - f(x)||_{p,d_x}$ be the L_p norm of [f(x+h) - f(x)] considered as a function of x. Then $f \in A(\alpha; p, q)$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, if and only if

$$\left\{\int_{R^n} [|h|^{-lpha} ||f(x+h) - f(x)||_{p,dx}]^q dh/|h|^n\right\}^{1/q} < \infty \; .$$

An important result is that f(x, y), $0 < y < \infty$, is the Poisson integral of a function $f \in \Lambda(\alpha; p, q)$ if and only if

$$iggl\{ \int_0^\infty & \left[y^{1-lpha} \left\| rac{d}{dy} \, f(x,\,y) \,
ight\|_{p,\,dx}
ight]^q rac{dy}{y}
ight\}^{1/q} + ||f||_p < \infty ~~ ext{for} \ 1 \leq q < \infty, \sup_y y^{1-\infty} \left\| rac{d}{dy} \, f(x,\,y)
ight\|_{p,\,dx} + ||f||_p < \infty ~~ ext{for} ~~q = \infty ~.$$

Some of the results in this paper are contained in a paper of Heideman [7]. Heideman deals with a generalization of these notions. He considers Banach spaces of distributions.

Another paper of interest is one by Stein [16] where he has worked out the important Littlewood-Paley theory for a compact Lie group.

Lastly, Ragozin [11] also has defined Lipschitz spaces on Σ_{n-1} . His notion is somewhat different and he is concerned mainly with polynomial approximation.

For the most part, the approach used in this paper is similar to Taibleson's approach as developed in [18], [19], and [20].

1. Preliminaries.

DEFINITION. The Poisson kernel is the function $P(rx, y) = C_n(1 - r^2)/|rx - y|^n$ where $x, y \in \Sigma_{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : |x| = 1\}, 0 \leq r < 1$, and C_n is a constant such that $\int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} P(rx, y) \, dy = 1$ for each $x \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ where dy is non normalized Lebesgue measure.

NOTE. It is often convenient to consider P to be a function of r and θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. Then

$$P(r, \theta) = C_n \frac{1 - r^2}{[1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2]^{n/2}} = P(r, \cos \theta)$$

where $\cos \theta = x \cdot y$. We shall also write

$$P(r, x \cdot y) = C_n \frac{1 - r^2}{[1 - 2rx \cdot y + r^2]^{n/2}} \cdot$$

DEFINITION. Let $f \in L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. The Poisson integral of f is defined as $f(rx) = \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} f(y)P(r, x \cdot y) \, dy$. We shall assume that the reader is familiar with the properties of Poisson integrals. The reader is referred to Zygmund [21] for the one dimensional case and Stein and Weiss [17; Chapter 2] for the case of \mathbb{R}^n .

NOTATION. Let $Y_l^{(k)}$, $l = 1, \dots, n(k)$, be an orthonormal basis for the spherical harmonics of degree k. Let $Z_y^{(k)}$ be the zonal harmonic of degree k with pole y. For a full discussion of spherical harmonics see Stein and Weiss [17; Chapter 4]. The following facts are well known:

$$egin{aligned} P(r,\,x\!\cdot\!y) &= \sum\limits_{k=0}^\infty r^k Z_y^{(k)}(x)\;, \ f(rx) &= \sum\limits_{k=0}^\infty \sum\limits_{l=1}^{n(k)} a_{kl} r^k Y_l^{(k)}(x)\;, \end{aligned}$$

and the convergence is absolute and uniform for $r \leq r_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} < 1.$

DEFINITION. Let $F \in L_1(\Sigma_{n-1})$ and $G \in L_1([-1, 1], d\mu)$ where $d\mu(s) = \omega_{n-1}(1-s^2)^{(n-3)/2} ds$ for $-1 \leq s \leq 1$ and ω_{n-1} is the surface area of Σ_{n-1} . The spherical convolution of F and G is the function

$$F^*G(x) = \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} F(y)G(x \cdot y) \, dy \, .$$

(1.1) Let $F \in L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$ and $G \in L_q([-1, 1], d\mu)$ where $0 \le 1/p + 1/q - 1 = 1/t \le 1$ and $1 \le p, q \le \infty$. Let $H(x) = \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} F(y)G(x \cdot y) dy$. Then $H \in L_t(\Sigma_{n-1})$ and $||H||_t \le ||F||_p ||G||_{q,d\mu}$.

REMARK. This is a standard result known as Young's inequality. See Calderón and Zygmund [4] or Askey and Wainger [1] for a more extensive discussion of spherical convolution.

(1.2) Let f(r) be a nonnegative function defined on 0 < r < 1, $\alpha \neq 0$, $p \ge 1$. Let F(s) be defined by $F(s) = \int_{0}^{s} f(r) dr$ if $\alpha > 0$ and $F(s) = \int_{s}^{1} f(r) dr$ if $\alpha < 0$. Then

$$igg[\int_{0}^{1} [(1-r)^{lpha} F(r)]^{p} \, dr/(1-r) igg]^{1/p} \leq |lpha|^{-1} \ imes \left[\int_{0}^{1} [(1-r)^{lpha+1} f(r)]^{p} \, dr/(1-r)
ight]^{1/p}.$$

REMARK. This is a standard result known as Hardy's inequality. See Hardy, Littlewood, and Połya [6] or Taibleson [18, Lemma 3, p. 418].

2. Radial derivatives. In this section Lipschitz spaces are defined. A justification for calling these spaces Lipschitz spaces will be given in § 4.

There are two questions to be decided. The first is which differential operator to use. The simplest would be $\partial/\partial r$. Unfortunately, $f_r(rx)$ is not harmonic in general. However, $rf_r(rx)$ and $\partial/\partial r (rf)(rx)$ are harmonic. $rf_r(rx)$ has the disadvantage that the constant term in the expansion $f(rx) = \sum_{k,l} \alpha_{kl} r^k Y_l^{(k)}(x)$ is lost. Furthermore, the operator $(rf)_r$ is related to the Bessel potential operator. Thus, the operator $(rf)_r$ will be the one most often used. However, there will be circumstances in which the other operators will be useful. For this reason the relations between these operators will be studied in this section.

The second question is: Which mixed norms are to be used? There are essentially two approaches. One uses Zygmund [21; Chapter VII] as a starting point and the other uses Taibleson [18] as a starting point.

The Taibleson norm

$$\left[\int_{0}^{\infty}\left[y^{1-\alpha}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial y}f(x, y)\right\|_{p, dx}\right]^{q}dy/y\right]^{1/q}$$

can be transformed to

$$\left[\int_{0}^{1} [(ln1/r)^{1-\alpha} || rf_{r}(rx) ||_{p,dx}]^{q} \frac{dr}{rln1/r}\right]^{1/q}$$

with the change of variables $r = e^{-y}$ where $1 \leq q < \infty$, and

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{k,l} a_{kl} (e^{-y})^{/k} Y_l^{(k)}(x) .$$

This approach also correspond to that of Stein [16] for a compact Lie group. (Note that Σ_{n-1} is the symmetric space SO(n)/K where SO(n) is the group of rotations on \mathbb{R}^n and K is the stability group of e_1 . $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the canonical base for \mathbb{R}^n . SO(n) is, of course, a compact Lie group.)

On the other hand, if one chooses Zygmund [20; Chapter VII] as a starting point one should choose

$$\left[\int_{0}^{1} \left[(1-r)^{1-\alpha} || rf_r(rx) ||_{p,dx} \right] dr / (1-r) \right]^{1/q}$$

as a norm where $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$.

We now proceed to investigate the relationship between these various norms.

DEFINITION. Let $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, 0 < r < 1, and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. We define

$$|| F(rx) ||_{pq} = || [|| F(rx) ||_{p,dx}] ||_{q,dr/(1-r)}$$

and

$$||F(rx)||_{pq}^{*} = ||[||F(rx)||_{p,dx}]||_{q,dr/rln1/r}$$

(2.1) Let $f \in L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then if $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, the following are equivalent:

(i)
$$||(1-r)^{\alpha}T^{k}f(rx)||_{pq} + ||f(x)||_{p}$$
 where $Tf = (rf)_{r}$,

(ii)
$$\left\| (1-r)^{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial r^{k}} f(rx) \right\|_{pq} + \|f(x)\|_{p},$$

(iii)
$$||(1-r)^{\alpha}T_{1}^{k}f(rx)||_{pq} + ||f(x)||_{p}$$
 where $T_{1}f = rf_{r}$,

(iv)
$$||(ln1/r)^{\alpha}T_{1}^{k}f(rx)||_{pq}^{*} + ||f(x)||_{p}$$

$$(v) \qquad || (rln1/r)^{\alpha}T^{k}f(rx) ||_{pq}^{*} + || f(x) ||_{p}.$$

REMARK 2.2. Let f(rx) be harmonic on $B_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}$. Assume f(0) = 0. Then if $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, the corresponding pq norms of (2.1) are equivalent.

(2.3) Let $f \in L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then if $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and if $\overline{\alpha}$ is the smallest integer greater than α and k is any integer greater than α , the following are equivalent:

(i)
$$||(1-r)^{k-\alpha}T^kf(rx)||_{pq}$$

and

(ii)
$$||(1-r)^{\overline{\alpha}-\alpha}T^{\overline{\alpha}}f(rx)||_{pq}$$

REMARK. The proofs of the above use (1.1) and (1.2). See Taibleson [18] for the analogues in \mathbb{R}^n .

DEFINITION. Let $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \le p, q \le \infty$. Then $\Lambda(\alpha; p, q; \Sigma_{n-1}) = \Lambda(\alpha; p, q)$ is defined to be the set of functions $f \in L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$ for which the norm $||f||_{\alpha;p,q} = ||(1-r)^{\overline{\alpha}-\alpha}T^{\overline{\alpha}}f(rx)||_{pq} + ||f(x)||_p$ is finite.

3. Tangential derivatives. In this section globially defined differential operators on Σ_{n-1} are discussed. The reader is referred to Ragozin [11] for a more complete discussion of these operators. Let D be an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix and let $f \in L_1(\Sigma_{n-1})$. Define $Df(x) = (d/dt) f[(\exp tD)(x)]|_{t=0}$. Since $d/dt (\exp tD)(x)|_{t=0} = Dx$, the map from the matrix D to the differential operator D is linear. Define an inner product by $\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle = -1/2$ trace (D_1D_2) . Let D_{ij} be the map which takes e_i to e_j , e_j to $-e_i$, and e_k to zero if $k \neq i, j$ and i < j. Then $\{D_{ij}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for the skew-symmetric matrices. Actually this is an inner product on linear transforms since the trace is invariant under change of basis.

(3.1) Let f(rx) be harmonic on B_n . Then if $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, the following are equivalent:

(i)
$$|| (1 - r)^{\alpha} f_r(rx) ||_{pq}$$
,

(ii)
$$\sum_{i < j} \left\| (1 - r)^{\alpha} D_{ij} f(rx) \right\|_{pq},$$

(iii)
$$||(1-r)^{\alpha} \nabla_T f(rx)||_{pq}$$

where V_T is the gradient in the tangential plane for f(rx) considered

as a function of x.

REMARK. The proof is similar to the proofs of (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3). Again, the reader is referred to Taibleson [17].

4. First and second differences. In this section, first and second differences for functions with domain Σ_{n-1} are defined. The equivalence between the norms based on differences and the norms introduced in § 2 is shown. It is at this point that the symmetric space property of Σ_{n-1} is used. Σ_{n-1} can be identified with SO(n)/SO(n-1).

For this chapter only, we assume that the Lebesgue measure dy is normalized so that $\int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} dy = 1$. We also normalize the Haar measure du on SO(n) so that $\int_{SO(n)} du = 1$.

If f is defined on Σ_{n-1} , we can construct a function $\tilde{f}(u) = f[u(x_0)]$ where $x_0 \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ and is fixed. Then

$$\int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} f(y) \, dy = \int_{\mathrm{SO}(n)} \widetilde{f}(u) \, du = \int_{\mathrm{SO}(n)} f[u(x_0)] \, du \, .$$

Equality holds for any point $x_0 \in \Sigma_{n-1}$. For a more extensive discussion of these notions see Coifman and Weiss [5]. This enables one to work with the group SO(n) in attempting to define differences.

We are going to decompose SO(n) into a "polar decomposition". Let $\rho \in SO(n)$ be such that $\rho(e_1) = e_1$. Then by an abuse of notation we can consider ρ to be in SO(n-1). For $u \in SO(n)$, it is easily seen that the spherical distance between $(u_i \rho \exp tD_{12})(e_1)$ and $(u_i \rho)(e_1)$ is t. [The spherical distance between $x, y \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ is defined by $d(x, y) = \cos^{-1}(x \cdot y)$.]

DEFINITION. Let $u \in \mathrm{SO}(n)$, $\rho \in \mathrm{SO}(n-1)$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, and $0 < t < \pi$. We define

$$||G(u, t)||_{pq} = ||(||G(u, t)||_{p,du})||_{q,dt/t}$$

and

$$egin{aligned} &|| \, H(u,\,
ho,\,t) \, ||_{pq}^{st} = \left\{ \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{\mathrm{SO}(n-1)} (|| \, G(u,\,
ho,\,t) \, ||_{p,du})^q \, d
ho \, dt/t
ight\}^{1/q} \ & ext{ if } 1 \leq q < \infty \ &= \sup_{0 < t < \pi} \, \sup_{
ho \in \mathrm{SO}(n-1)} || \, G(u,\,
ho,\,t) \, ||_{p,du} \, ext{ if } q = \infty \; . \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following first difference:

$$egin{aligned} &\|t^{-lpha}\{f[(u\exp tD_{12})(e_1)]-f[u(e_1)]\}\,\|_{pq}\ &=\|t^{-lpha}\{f[(u
ho\exp tD_{12})(e_1)]-f[u
ho(e_1)]\}\,\|_{pq}^*\,. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can define a second difference. The points $(u\rho \exp tD_{12})(e_1)$, $(u\rho)(e_1)$, and $(u\rho \exp - tD_{12})(e_1)$ all lie on a great circle and are equally spaced with $(u\rho)(e_1)$ between $(u\rho \exp tD_{12})(e_1)$ and $(u\rho \exp - tD_{12})(e_1)$.

We consider the following second difference:

$$egin{aligned} &\|t^{-lpha}\{f[(u\exp tD_{12})(e_1)]-2f[u(e_1)]+f[(u\exp -tD_{12})(e_1)]\}\,\|_{pq}\ &=\|t^{-lpha}\{f[(u
ho\exp tD_{12})(e_1)]-2f[(u
ho)(e_1)]+f[(u
ho\exp -tD_{12})(e_1)]\}\,\|_{pq}^* \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $\alpha > 0$.

We now proceed to establish the equivalence stated earlier.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let $f \in L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let f(rx) be its Poisson integral. If $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, the following are equivalent:

(i)
$$||(1-r)^{1-\alpha}rf_r(rx)||_{pq}$$

(ii)
$$||t^{-\alpha} \{f[(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - f[u(e_1)]\}_{pq}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of the succeeding Proposition 4.3. First, write

$$rfD_r(rx) = \int_{SO(n)} \{f[(u\rho \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - f[(u\rho)(e_1)]\} rP_r(r, \cos t) dv .$$

One can now proceed as in Proposition 4.3 to get part of the result. For the other part write

$$sf[s(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - sf[su(e_1)] \\= \int_r^s (\sigma f)_{\sigma}[\sigma(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)]d\sigma - \int_r^s (\sigma f)_{\sigma}[\sigma u(e_1)]d\sigma \\+ rf[r(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - rf[ru(e_1)]$$

and note that

$$f[r(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - f[ru(e_1)] = \int_0^t Df[r(u \exp sD_{12})(e_1)] ds$$

The result now follows from (3.1).

LEMMA 4.2. Let $f \in \Lambda(\alpha; p, q)$ where $\alpha > 0$ and $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Then $||(rf)_r(rx)||_{p,dx} = o(1/(1-r))$ as $r \rightarrow 1^-$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 5 of Taibleson [17; p. 426]. The proof uses (2.3) and the fact that $||(rf)_r(rx)||_{p,dx}$ is increasing as a function of r.

PROPOSITION 4.3. Let $f \in L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and f(rx) its Poisson integral. If $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, the following are equivalent:

(i)
$$||(1-r)^{2-\alpha}r(rf_r)_r(rx)||_{pq}$$

(ii) $||t^{-\alpha} \{ f[(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - 2f[ue_1] + f[(u \exp -tD_{12})(e_1)] \} ||_{pq} .$

Proof. (a) (i) $\leq A_{\alpha}$ (ii).

$$f[ue_1] = \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} f[uy] P(ry \cdot e_1) dy .$$

Let A be a linear transform on \mathbb{R}^n defined by $A(e_i) = e_i$ and $A(e_i) = -e_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$f[ue_{i}] = \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} f[(uA)y]P(rA(y) \cdot e_{i})dy$$
$$= \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} f[(uA)(y)]P(ry \cdot e_{i})dy$$
$$= \int_{SO(n)} f[(uAv)(e_{i})]P(rv(e_{i}) \cdot e_{i})dv$$

There exists a $\rho \in SO(n)$ such that $\rho e_1 = e_1$ and $(\rho \exp tD_{12})(e_1) = v(e_1)$ where $\cos t = v(e_1) \cdot e_1$ and $\rho = \rho(v)$, t = t(v). Then $A(v)(e_1) = (A_1 \rho \exp tD_{12})(e_1) = (\rho \exp - tD_{12})(e_1)$ since $\rho(e_2) \cdot e_1 = 0$. Therefore,

•

$$f[u(e_1)] = \int_{SO(n)} f[(u\rho \exp - tD_{12})(e_1)]P(r, \cos t)dv .$$

Hence

$$2r(rf_r)_r[ru(e_1)] = \int_{SO(n)} \{f[(u
ho \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - 2f[ue_1] \ + f[u
ho \exp -tD_{12})(e_1)]\}r(rP_r)_r(r,\cos t)dv ,$$

since $d/dr \int_{SO(n)} P(r, \cos t) dv = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} || r(rf_{r})_{r}(rx) ||_{p,dx} &= || r(rf_{r})_{r}[ru(e_{1})] ||_{p,du} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathrm{SO}(n)} || f[(u\rho \exp tD_{12})(e_{1})] - 2f[(u\rho)(e_{1})] \\ &+ f[(u\rho \exp -tD_{12})(e_{1})] ||_{p,du} | r(rP_{r})_{r}(r,\cos t) | dv \\ &= \int_{\mathrm{SO}(n)} g(t) | r(rP_{r})_{r}(r,\cos t) | dv \end{split}$$

where

$$g(t) = || f[(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - 2f[ue_1] + f[(u \exp -tD_{12})(e_1)] ||_{p,du}$$

Case I. $q = \infty$. Let $A = \sup_{0 < t < \pi} t^{-\alpha}g(t)$. Then

$$(1 - r)^{2-\alpha} || r(rf_r)_r(rx) ||_{p,dx}$$

$$\leq (1 - r)^{2-\alpha} A C_n \int_0^{\pi} t^{\alpha} \sin^{n-2} t | r(rP_r)_r(r, \cos t) | dt$$

by the previous inequality since the integrals over SO(n) and Σ_{n-1} are related. The above is bounded by

$$egin{aligned} &(1-r)^{2-lpha}AC_n\int_0^{1-r}t^lpha\sin^{n-2}t\,|\,r(rP_r)_r(r,\,\cos\,t)\,|\,dt\ &+(1-r)^{2-lpha}AC_n\int_{1-r}^{\pi}t^lpha\sin^{n-2}t\,|\,r(rP_r)_r(r,\,\cos\,t)\,|\,dt \ . \end{aligned}$$

The first term is bounded by

$$egin{aligned} (1-r)^{2-lpha}AC_n'(1-r)^{lpha}(1-r)^{n-2}(1-r^2)(1-r)^{-n}\ imes\ (1-r)^{-2}(1-r) &= 2C_n'A \end{aligned}$$

since $|r(rP_r)_r(r, \cos t)| \leq B(1-r)^{-2}P(r, \cos t)$. In as much as

$$(\sin^{n-2}t)[1-2r\cos t+r^2]^{-n/2} \leq Bt^{-2}$$
 ,

the second term is bounded by

$$(1-r)^{2-lpha}AC_n''\int_{1-r}^{\pi}t^{lpha}(1-r)^{-2}(1-r^2)Bt^{-2}dt \leq AB_n\;.$$

Case II. $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. By an argument similar to the one used in Case I we have $(1 - r)^{2-\alpha} ||r(rf_r)_r(rx)||_{p,dx}$

$$\leq C_n (1-r)^{2-\alpha} \int_0^{(1-r)\pi} (\sin^{n-2} t) g(t) | r(rP_r)_r(r, \cos t) | dt \\ + C_n (1-r)^{2-\alpha} \int_{(1-r)\pi}^{\pi} (\sin^{n-2} t) g(t) | r(rP_r)_r(r, \cos t) | dt .$$

Hence, $||(1-r)^{2-\alpha}r(rf_r)_r(rx)||_{pq} \leq I + II$ where I and II are the $L_q([0, 1], dr/(1-r))$ norms of the first and second terms respectively. Now $I \leq$

$$C'_n \left\{ \int_0^1 \left[(1-r)^{2-\alpha} \int_0^{1-r} \sin^{n-2} (\pi t) g(\pi t) | r(rP_r)_r(r, \cos \pi t) | dt \right]^q dr/(1-r) \right\}^{1/q} \\ \leq C''_n \left\{ \int_0^1 \left[(1-r)^{2-\alpha} \int_0^{1-r} \sin^{n-2} (\pi t) g(\pi t) P(r, \cos \pi t) dt \right]^q dr/(1-r) \right\}^{1/q}.$$

But $(\sin^{n-2}\pi t)[1-2r\cos\pi t+r^2]^{1-(n/2)} \leq 1$ and $(1-r)^{-1}[1-2r\cos\pi t+r^2]^{-1} \leq t^{-3}$ since $t \leq (1-r)$. Hence I

$$\leq 2B_n'' \Big\{ \int_0^1 \Big[(1-r)^{-\alpha} \int_0^{1-r} t^{-3} g(\pi t) \, dt \Big]^q \, dr/(1-r) \Big\}^{1/q} \\ \leq B_{n,\alpha} \Big\{ \int_0^1 [(1-r)^{-\alpha} g[(1-r)\pi]]^q dr/(1-r) \Big\}^{1/q}$$

by (1.2). But this is equal to

$$B_{n,\alpha}^{\prime}\left\{\int_{0}^{\pi}\left[t^{-lpha}g(t)
ight]^{q}dt/t
ight\}^{1/q}$$
.

By a similar argument

II
$$\leq K_n \left\{ \int_0^1 \left[(1-r)^{-\alpha} \int_{1-r}^1 t^{-1} g(\pi t) dt \right]^q dr / (1-r) \right\}^{1/q}$$
.

By (1.2) this is bounded by

$$egin{aligned} &K_{n,lpha}igg\{\int_0^1 [(1-r)^{-lpha}g[\pi(1-r)]]^{q}dr/(1-r)igg\}^{1/q}\ &=K_{n,lpha}igg\{\int_0^\pi [t^{-lpha}g(t)]^{q}dt/tigg\}^{1/q}\,. \end{aligned}$$

(b) (ii) $\leq A_{\alpha}$ (i). We may assume f(0) = 0. Let $u \in SO(n)$, $0 < t < \pi$, and r be such that $\pi(1 - r) = t$.

$$\begin{split} sf(sx) &= \int_{r}^{s} (\rho f)_{\rho} (\rho x) d\rho + rf(rx) \\ &= \int_{r}^{s} (1 - \rho) (\rho f)_{\rho\rho} (\rho x) d\rho - (1 - s) (rf)_{r} (sx) \\ &+ (1 - r) (rf)_{r} (rx) + rf(rx) \;. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$egin{aligned} t^{-lpha} &\| sf[s(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - 2sf[su(e_1)] \ &+ sf[s(u \exp -tD_{12})(e_1)] \|_{p,du} \leq 4(1-s)t^{-lpha} \,\|\, (rf)_r(sx)\,\|_{p,dx} \ &+ 4\pi^{lpha}(1-r)^{-lpha} \int_r^1 (1-
ho)\,\|\, (
ho f)_{
ho
ho}(
ho x)\,\|_{p,dx} \ &+ 2\pi^{lpha}(1-r)^{-lpha+1}\,\|\, (rf)_r[r(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - (rf)_r[ru(e_1)]\,\|_{p,du} \ &+ t^{-lpha}\,\|\, rf[r(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - 2rf[ru(e_1)] \ &+ rf[r(u \exp -tD_{12})(e_1)]\,\|_{p,du} \,. \end{aligned}$$

(I) For the first, by Lemma 2.2 we have that

$$\lim_{s\to 1^{-}} (1-s) || (rf)_r (sx) ||_{p,dx} = 0.$$

(II) The $L_p([0, 1], dr/(1 - r))$ norm of the second term is bounded by

$$egin{aligned} &Kigg\{ \int_{0}^{1} & \left[(1-r)^{-lpha} \int_{r}^{1} (1-
ho) \, || \, (
ho f)_{
ho
ho}(
ho x) \, ||_{p,dx} d
ho
ight]^{q} dr/(1-r) igg\}^{1/q} \ &\leq K_{lpha} \, || \, (1-r)^{2-lpha}(rf)_{rr} \, ||_{pq} \, \, ext{by} \, \, (1.2) \, \, ext{if} \, \, q < \infty \; . \end{aligned}$$

This is bounded by $K'_{\alpha} || (1-r)^{2-\alpha} T^2 f(rx) ||_{pq}$ by Remark 2.2 since f(0) = 0. The above is bounded by

$$A_{\alpha} || (1-r)^{2-\alpha} T^2 f(rx) ||_{pq} \leq B_{\alpha} || (1-r)^{2-\alpha} r(rf_r)_r(rx) ||_{pq}.$$

A similar argument applies if $q = \infty$.

(III) For the third term let $D = u D_{12} u^{-1}$.

$$(rf)_{r}[r(u \exp tD_{12})(e_{1})] - (rf)_{r}[u(e_{1})]$$

= $\int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{ds} (rf)_{r}[r(\exp sD)(u(e_{1}))]ds$
= $\sum_{i < j} \int_{0}^{t} b_{ij} D_{ij} (rf)_{r}[r(u \exp sD_{12})(e_{1})]ds$

where $D = \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} D_{ij}$ and $\sum_{i < j} b_{ij}^2 = 1$. Therefore,

$$\| (rf)_r [r(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - (rf)_r [ru(e_1)] \|_{p,du} \\ \leq \sum_{i \leq j} t \| D_{ij}(rf)_r [ru(e_1)] \|_{p,du} .$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &||t^{-\alpha}(1-r)\{(rf)_{r}[r(u \exp tD_{12})(e_{1})] - (rf)_{r}[ru(e_{1})]\} ||_{pq} \\ &\leq C_{\alpha} \sum_{i < j} ||(1-r)^{2-\alpha}D_{ij}(rf)_{p}(rx) ||_{pq} \\ &\leq A_{\alpha} ||(1-r)^{2-\alpha}T^{2}f(rx) ||_{pq} \leq B_{\alpha} ||(1-r)^{2-\alpha}r(rf_{r})_{r}(rx) ||_{pq} \end{aligned}$$

by (3.1) and Remark (2.2).

(IV) For the remaining term,

$$f[r(u \exp tD_{12})(e_1)] - 2f[ru(e_1)] + f[r(u \exp -tD_{12})(e_1)]$$

= $\int_{-t}^{0} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d^2}{d\sigma ds} f[r(\exp (\sigma + s)D)(u(e_1))] ds d\sigma$

with D as in (III) above. This equals

$$\sum_{l < k} \sum_{i < j} \int_{-t}^{0} \int_{0}^{t} b_{ij} b_{lk} D_{ij} D_{lk} f[r(u \exp(\sigma + s) D_{12})(e_{l})] d\sigma ds$$

Since $(1 - r)\pi = t$,

$$\| t^{-\alpha} \{ f[r(u \exp tD_{12})(e_i)] - 2f[ru(e_i)] + f[r(u \exp - tD_{12})(e_i)] \} \|_{pq}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{l < k} \sum_{i < j} \| t^{2-\alpha} D_{ij} D_{lk} f[ru(e_i)]_{pq} \leq B \sum_{l < k} \sum_{i < j} \| (1 - r)^{2-\alpha} D_{ij} D_{lk} f(rx) \|_{pq} .$$

The above is bounded by

$$A_{lpha,n}\sum_{l< k} ||\, (1\,-\,r)^{\scriptscriptstyle 2-lpha} D_{\,lk} r f_r(rx)\,||_{pq} \leq B_{lpha,n}\,||\, (1\,-\,r)^{\scriptscriptstyle 2-lpha} r(rf_r)_r(rx)\,||_{pq} \;.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 4.3.

5. The Bessel potential operator. In this section the Bessel potential operator is defined and some of its more elementary properties are listed.

PROPOSITION 5.1. Let f(rx) be harmonic on B_n and bounded for $0 \leq r \leq \rho$ where $0 < \rho < 1$. Suppose $||(1 - r)^{k-\alpha}T^kf(rx)||_{pq} \leq D$ for some $k > \alpha > 0$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Assume also that there exists an r_0 such that $0 < r_0 < 1$ and $||f(rx)||_{p,dx} \leq D$ for $0 \leq r \leq r_0$. Then f(rx)is the Poisson integral of a function $f \in A(\alpha; p, q)$ and

$$|| f ||_{lpha;p,q} \leq A_{lpha,k,r_0} D$$
.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the proof of Lemma 5 of Taibleson [18; p. 426]. The proof uses Proposition 2.3.

DEFINITION. Let $G^{\alpha}(rx) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k (k+1)^{-\alpha} Z_{e_1}^{(k)}(x)$. For $\alpha > 0$ this will turn out to be the Poisson integral of a function $G^{\alpha}(x) \in L_1(\Sigma_{n-1})$. For $\alpha \leq 0$ this will be the Poisson integral of a distribution. The case $\alpha \leq 0$ will be discussed in a later paper.

REMARK. G^{α} will be used to define a multiplier J^{α} with the property that if $f \in L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$ and $f \sim \sum_{k,l} a_{kl} Y_l^{(k)}$, then

$$J^lpha f \sim \sum\limits_{k,l} a_{kl} (k+1)^{-lpha} Y_l^{(k)}$$

Multipliers similar to this have been investigated by Hirschman [8] for $L_p(0, 2\pi)$ and by Askey and Wainger [1] for $L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$.

NOTATION. Let $P_k^i(t)$, $\lambda > 0$, $-1 \leq t \leq 1$, be the Gegenbauer polynomials defined by $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k P_k^i(t) = (1 - 2tz + z^2)^{-\lambda}$ for |z| < 1. It is well known that $Z_{e_1}^{(k)}(x) = Z^{(k)}(x \cdot e_1) = C_n(k + \lambda)P_k^i(x \cdot e_1)$ where $\lambda = (n-2)/2$ and C_n is a constant. The following facts will be useful in obtaining information about $G^{\alpha}(x)$.

(5.2) Let $\alpha > 0$ and $t = x \cdot e_1$. Then

- (i) $G^{\alpha}(rx)$ is the Poisson integral of a function $G^{\alpha}(x) \in L_1(\Sigma_{n-1})$.
- (ii) For $t \neq 1$, $G^{\alpha}(x) = \lim G^{\alpha}(rx)$ in the pointwise sense.
- (iii) $G^{\alpha}(x)$ is continuous if $t \neq 1$.
- (iv) If $t \neq 1$,

$$egin{aligned} &|G^lpha(rx)| \leq M_{lpha,\lambda}(1-t)^{(lpha-2\lambda-1)/2} & ext{if} \ lpha < 2\lambda+1\,, \ &|G^lpha(rx)| \leq M_{lpha,\lambda}\ln(1-t) & ext{if} \ lpha = 2\lambda+1\,, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{\alpha,\lambda}$ is a constant depending only on α and λ .

(v) If $\alpha > 2\lambda + 1$, $G^{\alpha}(x)$ is continuous for all $x \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ and hence $G^{\alpha}(rx)$ is bounded for all $x \in \Sigma_{n-1}$.

Proof. See Askey and Wainger [1].

We shall also need some information about $G^{\alpha}(rx)$ when $-\infty < \alpha \leq 0$. (5.3) If $\lambda + 1 < \alpha < 2\lambda + 1$ and -1 < t < 1, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty (k\,+\,\lambda) k^{-lpha}\,|\,P_k^{\scriptscriptstyle\lambda}(t)\,|\,\leq M_{lpha\,,\lambda}(1\,-\,t^2)^{\langlelpha-2\lambda-1
angle/2}$$
 .

Proof. See Askey and Wainger [1; Lemma 5, p. 204].

(5.4) Let $F_{\varepsilon}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+\lambda)(e^{-\varepsilon})^k (k+1)^{-\alpha} P_k^{\lambda}(t)$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $-\infty < \alpha < 2\lambda + 1$. Then if -1 < t < 1,

$$|F_{arepsilon}(t)| \leq M_{lpha, \lambda} (1-t^2)^{(lpha-2\lambda-1)/2}$$

Proof. Choose ν so large that $\alpha + 2\nu > (\lambda + \nu) + 1$. By Askey and Wainger [1; p. 199, line 5]

$$F_{\varepsilon}(t) = \sum_{j,l,m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{j,k,l,m} (e^{-\varepsilon})^k k^{-\nu - j + 1} \varepsilon^m P_k^{\lambda + \nu}(t) [G_l(k) + G_{l+1}(k)]$$

where $G_l(k) = \max_{k \leq l \leq k+b_{\nu}} |d^l/dt^l (1+t)^{-\alpha}|$, $a_{j,k,l,m}$ and b_{ν} are bounded, $l+j+m=\nu$; l, j, m are nonnegative integers, and $\nu - j \geq 1$. If α is a nonpositive integer we assume that $-\alpha - l \geq 0$. Since $(e^{-\varepsilon})^k \varepsilon^m = O(k^{-m})$,

$$egin{aligned} |\,F_{arepsilon(t)}\,|&\leq A_{
u,\lambda}\sum\limits_{j,l,m}\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}k^{-
u-j+1}k^{-m}(k+1)^{-lpha-l}\,|\,P_k^{\lambda+
u}(t)\,| \ &\leq A_{
u,\lambda}\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty}k^{-2
u-lpha+1}\,|\,P_k^{\lambda+
u}(t)\,|\leq M_{
u,\lambda}(1-t^2)^{(lpha-2\lambda-1)/2} \end{aligned}$$

by (5.3) for -1 < t < 1.

REMARK. If we set $r=e^{-\varepsilon}$, we have from the above that $|G^{lpha}(rx)|\leq M_{lpha,\lambda}(1-t^2)^{(lpha-2\lambda-1)/2}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{if} & -\infty < \alpha < 2\lambda + 1 \ \text{for} \ t \neq \pm 1 \ \text{where} \ t = x \cdot e_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}. \\ (5.5) \quad G^{\alpha}(x) \geq 0 \ \text{if} \ \alpha > 0. \end{array}$

Proof. In view of (5.2), it suffices to show that $G^{\alpha}(rx) \geq 0$. $P(rx, e_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k Z_{e_1}^{(k)}(x) \geq 0$. Hence $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k e^{-tk} Z_{e_1}^{(k)}(x) \geq 0$ and so

$$egin{aligned} &0 \leq \Gamma(lpha)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{lpha} e^{-t} \Big(\sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} r^{k} e^{-tk} Z_{e_{1}}^{(k)}(x) \Big) dt/t \ &= \Gamma(lpha)^{-1} \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} r^{k} Z_{e_{1}}^{(k)}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{lpha-1} e^{-t-tk} \, dt \; . \end{aligned}$$

This is justified since the series converges absolutely and uniformly for $0 \leq r < 1$. The above is equal to

$$egin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^\infty r^k Z^{(k)}_{e_1}(x) \varGamma(lpha)^{-1} \int_0^\infty t^{lpha-1} e^{-(k+1)t} \, dt \ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty r^k Z^{(k)}_{e_1}(x) \varGamma(lpha)^{-1} \int_0^\infty rac{s^{lpha-1}}{(k+1)^{lpha-1}} e^{-s} rac{ds}{k+1} \ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty r^k Z^{(k)}_{e_1}(x) (k+1)^{-lpha} = G^lpha(rx) \;. \end{aligned}$$

(5.6)
$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_{n-1}} G^{\alpha}(x) dx = 1 \quad \text{if } \alpha > 0 \ .$$

(5.7)
$$G^{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(x) = G^{\alpha_1*}G^{\alpha_2}(x) \text{ if } \alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0.$$

Proof. (5.6) and (5.7) are clear since $G^{\alpha}(rx) \to G^{\alpha}(x)$ as $r \to 1^{-}$ in $L_1(\Sigma_{n-1})$.

$$(5.8) \qquad G^{\alpha}(x) \in \Lambda\left(\alpha - \frac{(n-1)}{p'}; p, \infty\right) \quad \text{if } 0 < \alpha - \frac{(n-1)}{p'} < 1.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda = (n-2)/2$. We are going to apply Proposition 5.1 to $G^{\alpha}(rx)$,

(a) Suppose $lpha < 2\lambda + 2$. $G^{lpha}(rx) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{-lpha} Z^{(k)}_{\epsilon_1}(x)$ and

$$TG^{\alpha}(rx) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{1-\alpha} Z^{(k)}_{e_1}(x) = G^{\alpha-1}(rx) .$$

By (5.4) with $t = x \cdot e_1$, if $t \neq \pm 1$, $|TG^{\alpha}(rx)| \leq M_{\alpha,n}(1-t^2)^{(\alpha-2\lambda-2)/2}$. Thus if $p < \infty$, $||TG^{\alpha}(rx)||_{pq}$

$$\leq M'_{\alpha,n} \left[\int_{-1+(1-r)^2}^{1-(1-r)^2} |TG^{\alpha}(r,t)|^p (1-t^2)^{\lambda-1/2} dt \right]^{1/p} \\ + M'_{\alpha,n} \left[\int_{1-(1-r)^2}^{1} |TG^{\alpha}(r,t)|^p (1-t^2)^{\lambda-1/2} dt \right]^{1/p} \\ + M'_{\alpha,n} \left[\int_{-1}^{-1+(1-r)^2} |TG^{\alpha}(r,t)|^p (1-t^2)^{\lambda-1/2} dt \right]^{1/p}.$$

The *p*th power of the first term is bounded by

$$M \int_{-1+(1-r)^2}^{1-(1-r)^2} (1-t^2)^{(lpha-2ar{\lambda}-2)/2} (1-t^2)^{\lambda-1/2} dt \leq M' (1-r)^{(lpha-2ar{\lambda}-2)\,p+2ar{\lambda}+1}$$

Hence the first term is bounded by

$$M''(1-r)^{(\alpha-2\lambda-2)+(2\lambda+1)p^{-1}} = M''(1-r)^{\alpha-(n/p')-1+(1/p')}$$

since $2\lambda + 1 = (2\lambda + 1)/p + n/p' - 1/p'$ and thus $1/p' - n/p' - 1 = (2\lambda + 1)/p - 2\lambda - 2$. For the last two terms we use the fact that $|Z_{\epsilon_1}^{(k)}(x)| \leq Bk^{22}$. So the *p*th power of the second or third terms is

bounded by

$$B'\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}k^{1-lpha}k^{2\lambda}r^k
ight)^p(1-r)^{2\lambda+1}\leq B''(1-r)^{(lpha-2\lambda-2)p+2\lambda+1}$$

since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\beta} r^k \leq A(1-r)^{-1-\beta}$ if $\beta > -1$. Hence, the second and third terms are bounded by

$$B'''(1-r)^{\alpha-2\lambda-2+(2\lambda+1)p^{-1}}=B'''(1-r)^{\alpha-(n/p')-1+(1/p')}$$

Thus $(1 - r)^{1-\alpha+(n-1)/p'} || TG^{\alpha}(rx) ||_{p,dx} \leq K$ if $p < \infty$. If $p = \infty$, as in the estimates for the second and third terms we have

$$|| TG^{lpha}(rx) ||_{{}_{\infty}, dx} \leq K(1-r)^{{}_{lpha-2\lambda-2}} = K(1-r)^{{}_{lpha-n}} \; .$$

Hence $(1 - r)^{n-\alpha} || TG^{\alpha}(rx) ||_{\infty, dx} \leq K$. The result now follows from (5.2) and Proposition (5.1).

(b) If $\alpha \ge 2\lambda + 2$, a similar argument applies.

(5.9)
$$G^{\alpha}(x) \in \Lambda\left(\alpha - \frac{(n-1)}{p'}; p, \infty\right) \text{ if } \alpha - \frac{n-1}{p'} > 0.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the corresponding result by Taibleson [8; p. 428]. One can write $G^{\alpha} = G^{\alpha_1*} \cdots * G^{\alpha_{\overline{\alpha}}}$ where $\overline{\alpha} = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{\overline{\alpha}}$, $0 < \alpha_1 - (n-1)/p' < 1$, and $0 < \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_{\overline{\alpha}} < 1$. The result easily follows from (5.8).

DEFINITION. For $\alpha > 0$ and $f \in L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, define J^{α} by $J^{\alpha}f(x) = G^{\alpha*}f(x)$. Since $G^{\alpha} \in L_1(\Sigma_{n-1})$, this convolution is well-defined.

PROPOSITION 5.10. Let $\alpha, \beta > 0, 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$. Then J^{β} maps $\Lambda(\alpha; p, q)$ isomorphically onto $\Lambda(\alpha + \beta; p, q)$.

Proof. (a) J^{β} maps $\Lambda(\alpha; p, q)$ continuously into $\Lambda(\alpha + \beta; p, q)$. The proof is analogous to the proof of the corresponding part of Theorem 5 of Taibleson [19; p. 429]. The proof uses (5.9).

(b) J^{β} maps $\Lambda(\alpha; p, q)$ isomorphically onto $\Lambda(\alpha + \beta; p, q)$. For $\beta > 0$ and $f \in L_p(\Sigma_{n-1})$ let

$$J^{-\beta}f(rx) = G^{-\beta}(r, \cdot)^*f(x) .$$

This is well-defined since for fixed r < 1, $G^{-\beta}(rx)$ is a bounded function of x. It suffices to prove the proposition for $0 < \beta < 1$. $G^{-\beta}(rx) = TG^{1-\beta}(rx)$ and $1 > 1 - \beta > 0$. By (5.9), $G^{1-\beta} \in \Lambda(1 - \beta; p, q)$. Again, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 5 of Taibleson [19; p. 429], one can show that $J^{-\beta}f \in \Lambda(\alpha; p, q)$ for $f \in \Lambda(\alpha + \beta; p, q)$. We need to show that $J^{-\beta}(J^{\beta}f)(x) = f(x)$ for almost every $x \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ if $f \in \Lambda(\alpha; p, q)$. This follows from the fact that

$$[G^{-\beta}(r_1, \cdot)^*G^{\beta}(r_2, \cdot)](x) = P(r_1r_2x \cdot e_1).$$

Similarly $J^{\beta}(J^{-\beta}f)(x) = f(x)$ for almost every $x \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ if $f \in \Lambda(\alpha + \beta; p, q)$. This finishes the proof of the proposition.

REMARK. In view of the above, it follows that J^{α} is an elliptic pseudodifferential operator of order $-\alpha$. See Seeley [14] for a more extensive discussion of these operators.

6. Further remarks. In this section we would like to discuss another way of defining Lipschitz spaces on Σ_{n-1} . It involves the use of local coordinates. For brevity we consider only the case $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $p = \infty = q$. The reader is referred to Ragozin [12].

Let $B = \overline{B}_{n-1} = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |z| \leq 1\}$. For $f \in L_{\infty}(B)$, we say $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(B)$ if the norm

$$||f||_{{}^{I}_{lpha}(B)}=||f||_{\infty}+\mathop{\mathrm{ess\,sup}}_{z_1,z_2\in B}|z_1-z_2|^{-lpha}|f(z_1)-f(z_2)|<\infty.$$

REMARK 6.1. The following are easily seen to be linear transforms of $\Lambda_{\alpha}(B)$ into itself:

(a) multiplication by C^{∞} functions and

(b) $f \mapsto f \circ \mathcal{P}$ where $\mathcal{P}: B \to B$ is any C^{∞} map.

We now define $\Lambda_{\alpha}(\Sigma_{n-1})$ to be $\{f \in L_{\infty}(\Sigma_{n-1}): \text{ For each } x \in \Sigma_{n-1}, \text{ there}$ exists a C^{∞} chart $\varphi: B \to \Sigma_{n-1}$ such that $x \in \text{int } \varphi(B)$ and $f \circ \varphi \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(B)\}$.

REMARK 6.2. In view of Remark 6.1, if $\mathcal{P}_i: B \to \Sigma_{n-1}, i = 1, \dots, n$, are a finite collection of C^{∞} charts with $\Sigma_{n-1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} \operatorname{int} \mathcal{P}_i(B)$, then $f \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(\Sigma_{n-1})$ if and only if $f \circ \mathcal{P}_i \in \Lambda_{\alpha}(B)$ for each *i*. Moreover, one can define a norm

$$||f||_{A_{\alpha}(\mathcal{D}_{n-1})} = \sum_{i=1}^{l} ||f \circ \mathcal{P}_{i}||_{A_{\alpha}(B)}.$$

We would like to show that this definition is equivalent to $\Lambda(\alpha; \infty, \infty; \Sigma_{n-1})$. By virtue of Proposition 4.1, $\Lambda(\alpha; \infty, \infty; \Sigma_{n-1})$ is the collection of functions for which the norm

$$|| \ f \ ||_{lpha : \infty,\infty} = || \ f \ ||_{\infty} + \mathop{\mathrm{ess\,sup}}\limits_{x,y \ \in \ \Sigma_{n-1}} d(x, \ y)^{-lpha} \, | \ f(x) - f(y) \, | < \infty \; .$$

The following is well known.

REMARK 6.3. Let \mathscr{P} be a C^{∞} chart: $B \to \Sigma_{n-1}$. Then (a) d(x, y) is equivalent to |x - y| for all $x, y \in \Sigma_{n-1}$, (b) $|\mathscr{P}(z_1) - \mathscr{P}(z_2)| \leq M |z_1 - z_2|$ for all $z_1, z_2 \in B$, and (c) $\mathscr{P}^{-1}(x) - \mathscr{P}^{-1}(y)| \leq M |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in \Sigma_{n-1}$. REMARK 6.4. In view of Remark 6.3, it easily follows that

$$\mathop{\mathrm{ess\,sup}}_{x,y\,\in\,arphi(B)}(|\,f(x)\,|\,+\,d(x,\,y)^{-lpha}\,|\,f(x)\,-\,f(y)\,|)<\,\infty$$

if and only if $||f \circ \mathcal{P}||_{A_{\alpha}(B)} < \infty$. Thus, the two definitions agree locally. One can then use the Lebesgue number for the covering $\{\operatorname{int} \mathcal{P}_1(B), \cdots, \operatorname{int} \mathcal{P}_l(B)\}$ to show that the definitions agree globally. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that if $x, y \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ and $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$, then there exists *i* such that $x \in \operatorname{int} \mathcal{P}_i(B)$. Then if $x, y \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ and $d(x, y) \ge \varepsilon$, $|f(x) - f(y)| \le 2||f||_{\infty} = 2(||f||_{\infty}/\varepsilon^{\alpha})\varepsilon^{\alpha} \le Md(x, y)^{\alpha}$.

It is easy enough to see that the norms corresponding to the two definitions are equivalent also.

References

1. R. Askey and S. Wainger, On the behavior of special classes of ultraspherical expansions-I, J. D'Analyse Mathématique, 15 (1965), 193-220.

2. H. Bateman, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol. 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, Toronto, London, 1953.

3. A. P. Calderón, Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method, Studia Math., 24 (1964), 113-190.

4. A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, On a problem of Mihlin, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **78** (1955), 209-224.

5. R. R. Coifman and G. L. Weiss, Representations of compact groups and spherical harmonics, L'Enseignment Mathématique, Tome XIV, fasc. 2 (1968), 121-173.

6. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, Inequalities, Cambridge, 1959.

7. N. J. H. Heideman, Duality and fractional integration in the spaces $\Lambda(B, X)$ of A. P. Calderón, Ph. D. dissertation, Washington University, (1970).

8. I. I. Hirschman, Jr., Fractional Integration, Amer. J. Math., 75 (1953), 531-546.

9. S. M. Nikolśkii, On imbedding, continuation and approximation theories for differentiable functions of several variables, Russian Math. Surveys, London Math. Soc., 16, No. 5 (1961), 55-104.

10. J. Peetre, Espaces d'Interpolation et Théoreme de Soboleff, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 16, 1 (1966), 279-317.

11. D. L. Ragozin, Polynomial Approximation and Harmonic Analysis on Spheres, Seminar Report, Mass. Inst. of Tech., 1968.

12. _____, Polynomial approximation on compact manifolds and homogeneous spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **150** (1970), 41–53.

13. R. T. Seeley, *Spherical Harmonics*, No. 11 of the H. Ellsworth Slaught Memorial Papers, Amer. Math. Monthly, **73**, No. 4 (1966), 115-121.

14. ____, Complex powers of an elliptic operator, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 10 (1967), 288-307.

15. E. M. Stein, On the functions of Littlewood-Paley, Lusin and Marcinkiewicz, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 88 (1958), 430-466.

16. _____, Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood-Paley Theory, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 63, Princeton University Press, 1970.

17. E. M. Stein and G. L. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton University Press, 1971.

18. M. H. Taibleson, On the theory of Lipschitz spaces of distributions on Euclidean n-space, I, Principal properties, J. Math. and Mech., 13, No. 13 (1964), 407-480.

19. _____, II, Translation invariant operators, duality, and interpolation, J. Math. and Mech., 14, No. No. 5, (1965), 821-840.

HARVEY C. GREENWALD

M. H. Taibleson, III, Smoothness and integrability of Fourier transforms, smoothness of convolution kernels, J. Math. and Mech., 15, No. 6 (1966), 973-982.
 A. Zygmund, Trigonometric Series, Sec. Ed., Cambridge, 1968.

Received June 28, 1971 and in revised form June 5, 1973.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. DUGUNDJI* Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

Pacific Journal of MathematicsVol. 50, No. 1September, 1974

Gail Atneosen, Sierpinski curves in finite 2-complexes	1
Bruce Alan Barnes, <i>Representations of B*-algebras on Banach spaces</i>	7
George Benke, On the hypergroup structure of central $\Lambda(p)$ sets	19
Carlos R. Borges, Absolute extensor spaces: a correction and an	
answer	29
Tim G. Brook, Local limits and tripleability	31
Philip Throop Church and James Timourian, Real analytic open maps	37
Timothy V. Fossum, <i>The center of a simple algebra</i>	43
Richard Freiman, Homeomorphisms of long circles without periodic	
points	47
B. E. Fullbright, Intersectional properties of certain families of compact	
convex sets	57
Harvey Charles Greenwald, Lipschitz spaces on the surface of the unit	
sphere in Euclidean n-space	63
Herbert Paul Halpern, Open projections and Borel structures for	
C*-algebras	81
Frederic Timothy Howard, The numer of multinomial coefficients divisible	
by a fixed power of a prime	99
Lawrence Stanislaus Husch, Jr. and Ping-Fun Lam, Homeomorphisms of	
manifolds with zero-dimensional sets of nonwanderin <mark>g points</mark>	109
Joseph Edmund Kist, <i>Two characterizations of commutative Baer rings</i>	125
Lynn McLinden, An extension of Fenchel's duality theorem to saddle	
functions and dual minimax problems	135
Leo Sario and Cecilia Wang, Counterexamples in the biharmonic	
classification of Riemannian 2-manifolds	159
Saharon Shelah, <i>The Hanf number of omitting complete types</i>	163
Richard Staum, The algebra of bounded continuous functions into a	
nonarchimedean field	169
James DeWitt Stein, Some aspects of automatic continuity	187
Tommy Kay Teague, On the Engel margin	205
John Griggs Thompson, Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local	
subgroups are solvable, V	215
Kung-Wei Yang, Isomorphisms of group extensions	299