Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SOME PROPERTIES OF MODULAR CONJUGATION OPERATOR OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS AND A NON-COMMUTATIVE RADON-NIKODYM THEOREM WITH A CHAIN RULE

HUZIHIRO ARAKI

Vol. 50, No. 2

October 1974

SOME PROPERTIES OF MODULAR CONJUGATION OPERATOR OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS AND A NON-COMMUTATIVE RADON-NIKODYM THEOREM WITH A CHAIN RULE

HUZIHIRO ARAKI

For a cyclic and separating vector Ψ of a von Neumann algebra R, the corresponding modular conjugation operator J_{Ψ} is characterized by the property that it is an antiunitary involution satisfying $J_{\Psi}\Psi = \Psi$, $J_{\Psi}RJ_{\Psi} = R'$ and $(\Psi, Qj_{\Psi}(Q)\Psi) \ge 0$ for all $Q \in R$ where $j_{\Psi}(Q) = J_{\Psi}QJ_{\Psi}$.

The strong closure $V_{\overline{\Psi}}$ of the vectors $Qj_{\overline{\Psi}}(Q)\Psi$ is shown to be a $J_{\overline{\Psi}}$ -invariant pointed closed convex cone which algebraically span the Hilbert space H. Any $J_{\overline{\Psi}}$ -invariant $\phi \in H$ has a unique decomposition $\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ such that $\phi_j \in V_{\overline{\Psi}}$ and $s^R(\phi_1) \perp s^R(\phi_2)$.

There exists a unique bijective homeomorphism $\sigma_{\overline{x}}$ from the set of all normal linear functionals on R onto $V_{\overline{x}}$ such that the expectation functional by the vector $\sigma_{\overline{x}}(\rho)$ is ρ . It satisfies

$$\begin{split} || \sigma_{\mathfrak{V}}(\rho_1) - \sigma_{\mathfrak{V}}(\rho_2) ||^2 &\leq || \rho_1 - \rho_2 || \\ &\leq \{|| \sigma_{\mathfrak{V}}(\rho_1) + \rho_{\mathfrak{V}}(\rho_2) || \} || \sigma_{\mathfrak{V}}(\rho_1) - \sigma_{\mathfrak{V}}(\rho_2) || . \end{split}$$

Any two $\sigma_{\overline{w}}$ and $\sigma_{\overline{w}'}$ are related by a unitary u' in R' by $u'\sigma_{\overline{w}}(\rho) = \sigma_{\overline{w}'}(\rho)$ for all ρ .

The relation $l\rho_1 \ge \rho_2$ holds if and only if there exists $A(\rho_2/\rho_1) \in R$ such that $A(\rho_2/\rho_1)\sigma_{\overline{w}}(\rho_1) = \sigma_{\overline{w}}(\rho_2)$. The smallest l is given by $||A(\rho_2/\rho_1)||$. It satisfies the chain rule $A(\rho_3/\rho_2)A(\rho_2/\rho_1) = A(\rho_3/\rho_1)$. It coincides with the positive square root of the measure theoretical Radon-Nikodym derivative if R is commutative.

As an application, it is shown that product of any two modular conjugation $j_{w}j_{\phi}$ is an inner automorphism of R.

For a product state $\otimes \rho_j$ of a C^* algebra generated by finite W^* tensor products $\{\bigotimes_{j\in I} R_j\} \otimes \{\bigotimes_{j\in I} 1_j\}$ of von Neumman algebras R_j , it is shown that $\otimes \rho_j$ and $\otimes \rho'_j$ are equivalent if and only if $\Sigma || \sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\rho_j) - \sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\rho'_j) ||^2 < \infty$ where $|| \sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\rho) - \sigma_{\mathbb{T}}(\rho') ||$ is independent of Ψ .

It is shown that there exists a unitary representation $U_{\overline{x}}(g)$ of the group of all *-automorphisms of R such that $U_{\overline{x}}(g)xU_{\overline{x}}(g)^* = g(x)$ for all $x \in R$ and $U_{\overline{x}}(g)\sigma_{\overline{x}}(g^*\rho) = \sigma_{\overline{x}})\rho$ for all normal positive linear functionals ρ .

1. Introduction. In the Tomita-Takesaki theory of modular automorphisms [9], two operators $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $J_{\mathbb{F}}$ are associated with each

cyclic and separating vector Ψ of a von Neumann algebra R on a Hilbert space H.

 Δ_r is a positive selfadjoint operator such that

(1.2)
$$au_{\mathbb{F}}(t)Q \equiv (\varDelta_{\mathbb{F}})^{it}Q(\varDelta_{\mathbb{F}})^{-it} \in R$$

for every $Q \in R$ and real t. It is called a modular operator and the automorphisms $\tau_{r}(t)$ of R is called modular automorphisms.

 $J = J_{\mathbb{F}}$ is an antiunitary involution, namely

$$(1.3) (Jx, Jy) = (y, x)$$

(1.4)
$$J^2 = 1$$
 .

It satisfies

$$(1.6) JRJ = R' {.}$$

We shall call J_{ψ} a modular conjugation operator.

 $arDelta_{ extsf{w}}$ and $J_{ extsf{w}}$ are defined through the polar decomposition

(1.7)
$$\bar{S} = J_{\psi} \mathcal{A}_{\psi}^{1/2}$$

of the closure of an antilinear operator S, which is defined on its domain $R\Psi$ by

$$SQ\Psi = Q^*\Psi, \qquad Q \in R$$

An important property is

$$(1.9) J_{\psi} \varDelta_{\psi} J_{\psi} = \varDelta_{\psi}^{-1} .$$

Our investigation centers around the following property of $J = J_{\mathbb{F}}$ observed in [2]. For any $Q \in R$, $Q \ge 0$, $Q \ne 0$, the following strict inequality holds:

$$(1.10) \qquad \qquad (\varPsi, Qj_{\Psi}(Q)\Psi) > 0$$

where

$$(1.11) j_{\mathfrak{p}}(Q) \equiv J_{\mathfrak{p}}QJ_{\mathfrak{p}} \in R' \; .$$

The validity of (1.10) comes from the property $\Delta_{r} > 0$ and the following identity obtained from (1.5), (1.7), and (1.8):

(1.12)
$$(\Psi, Qj_{\Psi}(Q)\Psi) = (Q^*\Psi, \Delta_{\Psi}^{1/2}Q^*\Psi) .$$

Our first result is the characterization of the modular conjugation J_{Ψ} for a given Ψ by (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (1.6), and (1.10). It should be

310

remarked that (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), and (1.6) without (1.10) are not sufficient to characterize $J_{\overline{v}}$. If (1.5) is dropped, then there exists a unitary u in the center such that $J = J_{u\overline{v}}$.

Our second result is concerned with the strong closure of the set of all vectors $Qj(Q)\Psi$, $Q \in R$. It is shown to be a pointed closed convex cone which algebraically span H and is selfdual in the sense that any $\Phi \in H$ satisfying

$$(1.13) \qquad \qquad (\varPhi, x) \ge 0$$

for all $x \in V_{\overline{r}}$ must be in $V_{\overline{r}}$. Any $\Phi \in V_{\overline{r}}$ is shown to have a unique decomposition $\Phi = \Phi_1 - \Phi_2$, satisfying $\Phi_1 \in V_{\overline{r}}$, $\Phi_2 \in V_{\overline{r}}$ and $s^R(\Phi_1) \perp s^R(\Phi_2)$.

Our third result is concerned with a possibility of having some $\varphi \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ for a given normal positive linear functional ρ such that $\omega_{\varphi} = \rho$ where ω_{φ} denotes the expectation functional on R by the vector φ . This turns out to be possible for all ρ in a unique and nice manner. It is shown that there exists one and only one element in $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ —denoted as $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\rho$ —for any given normal positive linear functional ρ on R, such that the expectation functional $\omega_{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\rho}$ by the vector $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\rho \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ is ρ . The mapping $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ is bicontinuous due to the following inequality:

$$egin{aligned} &\|\sigma_{arphi}(
ho_1)-\sigma_{arphi}(
ho_2)\,\|^2 &\leq \|
ho_1-
ho_2\,\| \ &\leq \{\|\sigma_{arphi}(
ho_1)+\sigma_{arphi}(
ho_2)\,\|\}\,\|\,\sigma_{arphi}(
ho_1)-\sigma_{arphi}(
ho_2)\,\| \end{aligned}$$

Any two $\sigma_{\overline{x}}$ and $\sigma_{\overline{x'}}$ are equivalent up to a unitary equivalence, namely there exists a unitary $u' \in R'$ satisfying

$$u'\sigma_{\psi}(\rho) = \sigma_{\psi'}(\rho)$$

for all ρ .

The fourth result is concerned with the Radon-Nikodym derivative satisfying a chain rule. The relation $l\rho_1 \ge \rho_2$ for two normal positive linear functional ρ_1 and ρ_2 holds if and only if there exists $A(\rho_2/\rho_1) \in R$ such that $A(\rho_2/\rho_1)\sigma_r(\rho_1) = \sigma_r(\rho_2)$. It satisfies the chain rule

$$A(\rho_{3}/\rho_{2})A(\rho_{2}/\rho_{1}) = A(\rho_{3}/\rho_{1})$$
.

If R is commutative, $A(\rho_2/\rho_1)$ is the positive square root of the measure theoretical Radon-Nikodym derivative. For a general R, $A(\rho_2/\rho_1)$ is different from the noncommutative Radon-Nikodym derivative found by Sakai [8].

As a corollary to our investigation, we find that product of any two modular conjugation $j_x j_{\phi}$ is an inner * automorphism of R.

Another application is made in connection with an infinite tensor product of von Neumann algebras R_j . We define

$$d'(
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\,
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) = ||\,\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{v}}(
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) - \sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle \overline{v}}(
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})\,||$$

HUZIHIRO ARAKI

which is independent of the choice of cyclic and separating vector Ψ . For normal states ρ_i and ρ'_i of each R_j , we consider product states $\otimes \rho_i$ and $\otimes \rho'_j$ on the C^* algebra A generated (as an inductive limit) by finite W^* tensor products $\{\bigotimes_{j \in I} R_j\} \equiv R(I)$ where I is any finite index set. The representations of A canonically associated with $\otimes \rho_j$ and $\otimes \rho'_j$ are quasi-equivalent if and only if

$$\Sigma d'(
ho_j,\,
ho_j')^2<\infty$$

and the central supports of ρ_j and ρ'_j are the same. The distance d' is in general larger than Bures distance [5]. They coincides if ρ_1 and ρ_2 commute.

As a further application, we show that there exists a unitary representation $U_{\overline{r}}(g)$ of the group of all *-automorphisms of R such that $U_{\overline{r}}(g)xU_{\overline{r}}(g)^* = g(x)$ for all $x \in R$ and $U_{\overline{r}}(g)\sigma_{\overline{r}}(g^*\rho) = \sigma_{\overline{r}}(\rho)$ for all normal positive linear functionals ρ .

We also give a simple proof of the continuity of the modular automorphism $\tau_{\rho}(t)x$ in ρ for a fixed $x \in R$ and bounded t.

2. A characterization of the modular conjugation operator.

THEOREM 1. Let Ψ be a cyclic and separating vector for a von Neumann algebra R on H. An operator J is the modular conjugation for Ψ if and only if the following 5 conditions are fulfilled.

- (i) (Jx, Jy) = (y, x) for all $x, y \in H$.
- (ii) $J^2 = 1$.
- (iii) JRJ = R'.
- (iv) $J\Psi = \Psi$.

(v) $(\Psi, Qj(Q)\Psi) \ge 0$ for all $Q \in R$ where $j(Q) \equiv JQJ$. The equality in (v) holds if and only if Q = 0.

Proof. It is known [9] that the modular conjugation J_{Ψ} for the vector Ψ satisfies (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv). (v) with the strict inequality for $Q \neq 0$ is already proved in § 1.

We now prove that J satisfying the 5 conditions must by J_{ψ} . From (i), it follows that J is antilinear. From (ii), it follows that J is bijective. Hence J is antiunitary.

Let T be defined on $R\Psi$ by

$$(2.1) TQ\Psi = JQ^*\Psi , Q \in R .$$

Since Ψ is separating for R, $Q_1\Psi = Q_2\Psi$ implies $Q_1 = Q_2$ and hence $JQ_1^*\Psi = JQ_2^*\Psi$. Therefore, T is well-defined and is linear. Since Ψ is cyclic for R, T has a dense domain. By (iv) and (v),

(2.2)
$$(Q\Psi, TQ\Psi) = (\Psi, Q^*j(Q^*)\Psi) \ge 0, \quad Q \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus T is positive on its domain and hence is symmetric. By (1.8) and (2.1), we have

$$(2.3) T = JS .$$

Since J preserves norm, we have $\overline{T} = J\overline{S}$ and

(2.4)
$$D(\bar{T}) = D(\bar{S}) = D(\varDelta_{\mathscr{Y}}^{1/2})$$

Define

$$(2.5) u \equiv JJ_{\psi} .$$

Both J and J_{ψ} are antiunitary. Hence u is unitary. We have

$$(2.6) \bar{T} = u \varDelta_{\Psi}^{1/2} ,$$

where (1.7) is used. We shall now show that \overline{T} is selfadjoint. Then (2.2) implies that \overline{T} is positive and hence (2.6) implies $\overline{T} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^{1/2}$ and u = 1, which proves $J = J_{\mathbb{F}}$ by (2.5).

From (2.3), we have 1

(2.7)
$$T^* = S^*J$$
.

It is known [9] that $R'\Psi$ is a core of S^* . (Namely, the closure of restriction of S^* to $R'\Psi$ is S^* .) By (iii), $JR\Psi = R'\Psi$. Hence RT is a core of T^* . Since $R\Psi$ is the domain of T and $T^* \supset T$, we have $T^* = \overline{T}$.

The condition (iv) of Theorem 1 is not essential as is seen in the next result.

THEOREM 2. Let Ψ be cyclic and separating for R in H. An operator J satisfies conditions (i), (ii), (iii), and (v) of Theorem 1 if and only if there exists a unitary u in the center of R such that

$$(2.8) J = J_{uv} (= u J_{v} u^*) .$$

The condition (2.8) is equivalent to JJ_{π} being in $R \cap R'$.

For the proof we need preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 1. The weakly closed linear hull of the set of all operators $Qj(Q), Q \in R$ is $\{R \cup R'\}''$.

Proof. For arbitrary $Q_1 \in R$ and $Q_2 \in R'$, we have

$$egin{aligned} Q_1Q_2&=\,4^{-1}\sum\limits_{n=0}^3 e^{i\,n\pi/2}X_n j(X_n)\;,\ X_n&=\,Q_1\,+\,e^{i\,n\pi/2}j(Q_2)\in R\;, \end{aligned}$$

313

¹ This part of proof has been simplified by a suggestion of Dr. G. Elliott.

where $j(Q_2) \equiv JQ_2J \in R$, $j(X_n) \equiv JX_nJ$.

LEMMA 2. Let W be a von Neumann algebra on H such that W' is commutative. If $\Psi = \Psi_+ + \Psi_-$ is a cyclic vector for W in H, and

$$(2.9) \qquad \qquad (\varPsi_+, Q\varPsi_-) + (\varPsi_-, Q\varPsi_+) = 0$$

for all $Q \in W$, then there exists a selfadjoint operator A such that its spectral projections are in the center W' of W and

$$(2.10) s^{W'}(\Psi_+)\Psi_- = iAs^{W'}(\Psi_-)\Psi_+$$

where $s^{W'}(\Psi_{\pm})$ are projections onto the closures of $W\Psi_{\pm}$.

Proof. $s^{W'}(\Psi_{\pm})$ belong to W' which is commutative and hence is the center of W. Let

$$E = s^{\scriptscriptstyle W'}(\varPsi_+)s^{\scriptscriptstyle W'}(\varPsi_-)$$
 .

Then

$$(2.11) E \Psi_{\mp} = s^{W'}(\Psi_{\pm}) \Psi_{\mp} .$$

We define A to be 0 on (1 - E)H. If E = 0, (2.10) is trivially satisfied. Hence we consider the case $E \neq 0$.

We are going to define a selfadjoint operator $A_1 = AE$ on EH satisfying

$$(2.12) E \varPsi_{-} = i A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} E \varPsi_{+}$$

which implies (2.10) in view of (2.11).

Since $WE\Psi_{\pm} = EW\Psi_{\pm}$ are dense in $Es^{W'}(\Psi_{\pm})H = EH$, $E\Psi_{\pm}$ are both cyclic for WE on EH. Define an operator A_2 by

on a dense subset $WE\Psi_+$ of EH.

If $QE\Psi_{+} = 0$, then (2.9), where Q is replaced by $EQ_{1}^{*}QE$, implies

$$egin{aligned} &(Q_1 E arPsi_+, \, Q E arPsi_-) = (arPsi_+, \, E Q_1^* Q E arPsi_-) \ &= -(arPsi_-, \, E Q_1^* Q E arPsi_+) \ &- 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $Q_1 \in W$. Therefore $QE\Psi_- = 0$. Hence $QE\Psi_+ = Q'E\Psi_+$ for $Q, Q' \in W$ implies $QE\Psi_- = Q'E\Psi_-$, which shows that A_2 is well-defined. A_2 is obviously linear.

From (2.9), we have for $Q_1, Q_2 \in W$

$$egin{aligned} &(Q_1 E arPsi_+, \ A_2 Q_2 E arPsi_+) = (arPsi_+, \ -i E Q_1^* Q_2 E arPsi_-) \ &= (-i arPsi_-, \ E Q_1^* Q_2 E arPsi_+) \ &= (A_2 Q_1 E arPsi_+, \ Q_2 E arPsi_+) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore A_2 is symmetric. A_2 obviously commutes with $Q \in W$ on its domain.

Since Ψ is cyclic for W, $WE\Psi = EW\Psi$ is dense in *EH*. Hence $E\Psi_+ + E\Psi_- = E\Psi$ is cyclic for EW on *EH*. It is therefore separating for the commutant of *EW* on *EH*, which is *EW'*.

From (2.9), we have

$$(E \Psi_+ - E \Psi_-, Q(E \Psi_+ - E \Psi_-)) = (E \Psi_+ + E \Psi_-, Q(E \Psi_+ + E \Psi_-))$$
.

Hence $||Q(E\Psi_+ - E\Psi_-)||^2 = 0$ implies $||QE\Psi_-||^2 = 0$ for any $Q \in W$. As we have seen, $E\Psi$ is separating for EW' and hence $E\Psi_+ - E\Psi_-$ is also separating for EW'. It is therefore cyclic on EH for the commutant of EW' on EH which is EW.

Since

$$egin{aligned} &(A_2+i)QE{arPsi}_+=iQ(E{arPsi}_+-E{arPsi}_-)\ ,\ &(A_2-i)QE{arPsi}_+=-iQ(E{arPsi}_++E{arPsi}_-)\ , \end{aligned}$$

for all $Q \in W$, $A_2 + i$ and $A_2 - i$ have both dense ranges in EH by cyclicity of $E\Psi_+ - E\Psi_-$ and $E\Psi_+ + E\Psi_-$ for EW. Therefore, the closure $A_1 = \overline{A_2}$ of A_2 is selfadjoint. By (2.13) with Q = 1, we have (2.12).

REMARK. The assumption that Ψ is cyclic for W can be omitted. Let $e = s^{W'}(\Psi)$. Then $(1-e)\Psi_+ = -(1-e)\Psi_-$. Substituting Q = (1-e) into (2.9), we obtain

$$||(1-e)\Psi_+||^2 = ||(1-e)\Psi_-||^2 = 0$$
.

Hence we may restrict our attention to eW on eH with Ψ, Ψ_+, Ψ_- all in eH and apply proof of Lemma 2.

LEMMA 3. If $Q \in R \cap R'$, then

where J_{Ψ} is the modular conjugation operator for a cyclic and separating vector Ψ of R.

Proof. It is known ([1], [9]) that the center of R is elementwise invariant under any KMS automorphisms. Hence $Q \in R \cap R'$ commutes with Δ_{r} . We have

$$egin{aligned} & (J_{arphi}QJ_{arphi})arPsymp = J_{arphi}QarPsymp & = arDelta_{arphi}^{_{1/2}}Q^*arPsymp \ & = Q^*arDelta_{arPsymp}^{_{1/2}}arPsymp & = Q^*arPsymp \ & , \end{aligned}$$

By (iii) of Theorem 1, $J_{\Psi}(R \cap R')J_{\Psi} = R \cap R'$. Since Ψ is separating for $R \supset R \cap R'$, we have (2.14).

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that J satisfies (i), (ii), (iii), and (v) of Theorem 1. From (i) and (ii), J is an antiunitary operator. Set

$$(2.15) \Psi_{\pm} = 2^{-1} (\Psi \pm J \Psi) .$$

We have

$$(2.16) J \Psi_{\pm} = \pm \Psi_{\pm} ,$$

By (2.16), we have for $Q \in R$

$$egin{aligned} & (\varPsi_{\pm},\,Qj(Q)\varPsi_{\pm}) = (J\varPsi_{\pm},\,Qj(Q)J\varPsi_{\pm}) \ & = (J\varPsi_{\pm},\,JQj(Q)\varPsi_{\pm}) \ & = (\varPsi_{\pm},\,Qj(Q)\varPsi_{\pm}) \ & = (\varPsi_{\pm},\,Qj(Q)\varPsi_{\pm}) \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is due to Qj(Q) = j(Q)Q and the last equality is due to (i). Similarly,

$$(\Psi_{\pm}, Qj(Q)\Psi_{\mp}) = -\overline{(\Psi_{\pm}, Qj(Q)\Psi_{\mp})}$$
.

Hence

$$i \operatorname{Im} \left(arPsi, Qj(Q) arPsi
ight) = \left(arPsi_+, Qj(Q) arPsi_-
ight) + \left(arPsi_-, Qj(Q) arPsi_+
ight) \,.$$

By (v), this must vanish. By Lemma 1, the weakly closed linear hull of Qj(Q), $Q \in R$ is $(R \cup R')''$. Setting $W = (R \cup R')''$, the premises of Lemma 2 are satisfied. Note that $W' = R \cap R'$ is the center of R and is commutative.

Hence there exists a selfadjoint operator A affiliated with $R \cap R'$ such that (2.10) is satisfied. We define a unitary operator u in $R \cap R'$ by

(2.18)
$$u = s^{W'}(\Psi_{+})(1 - s^{W'}(\Psi_{-})) + (1 - iA)(1 + A^{2})^{-1/2}s^{W'}(\Psi_{+})s^{W'}(\Psi_{-}) + is^{W'}(\Psi_{-})(1 - s^{W'}(\Psi_{+})).$$

Because Ψ is cyclic for R, it is cyclic for W. Hence $s^{W'}(\Psi_+) \vee s^{W'}(\Psi_-) \ge s^{W'}(\Psi) = 1$. Thus

$$(1 - s^{W'}(\varPsi_{-}))(1 - s^{W'}(\varPsi_{+})) = 0$$

and u is unitary.

From (2.10) and (2.18), we have

(2.19)
$$egin{array}{ll} u arPsi &= (1 - s^{W'}(arPsi_-)) arPsi_+ \ &+ (1 + A^2)^{1/2} s^{W'}(arPsi_-) arPsi_+ \ &+ i (1 - s^{W'}(arPsi_+)) arPsi_- \ . \end{array}$$

Since JWJ = W, both $W\Psi_+$ and $W\Psi_-$ are invariant under J. Therefore $s^{W'}(\Psi_{\pm})$ both commute with J. We shall next prove that A commutes with J.

As we have seen, $E = s^{W'}(\Psi_+)s^{W'}(\Psi_-)$ commutes with J. From (2.16) and JWJ = W, the domain $WE\Psi_+$ of A_2 is invariant under J and A_2 commutes with J. Hence the closure A_1 of A_2 commutes with J, because J preserves norm. From the uniqueness of the spectral projections and

$$\int \lambda dE_{\lambda} = A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = JA_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}J = \int \lambda d(JE_{\lambda}J)$$
 ,

we have $E_{\lambda} = JE_{\lambda}J$ for all spectral projections E_{λ} of A_1 . Hence J commutes with $(1 + A^2)^{1/2}$.

From (2.19) and (2.16), we have

$$Ju \Psi = u \Psi$$
.

Since u is in the center of R, it commutes with Qj(Q), $Q \in R$. Since u is unitary, we have

$$(u \Psi, Q j(Q) u \Psi) = (\Psi, Q j(Q) \Psi) \ge 0$$
.

By Theorem 1,

 $J=J_{u^{\ensuremath{\mathbbmm}}}$.

Since the unitary mapping $H \to uH = H$, $\Psi \to u\Psi$, $R \to uRu^* = R$ brings S_{Ψ} to $uS_{\Psi}u^* = S_{u\Psi}$, we have

$$uJ_{\Psi}u^* = J_{u\Psi}$$
.

Hence we have (2.8).

By Lemma 3, we have

$$JJ_{arphi} = uJ_{arphi}u^*J_{arphi} = u^2$$

which is a unitary operator in the center of R.

Conversely, let w be a unitary operator in $R \cap R'$ and $JJ_{\#} = w$. Then $J = wJ_{\#}$ satisfies (i), (ii), (iii), and (v) of Theorem 1, where (ii) is due to Lemma 3:

$$(wJ_{x})^{2} = wJ_{x}wJ_{x} = ww^{*} = 1$$
 .

The following example shows the case where (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv)

are satisfied but $J \neq J_{\overline{v}}$. The center in this example is trivial and $J \neq uJ_{\overline{v}}u^*$ for any unitary u in the center.

EXAMPLE. Let H_n be *n* dimensional Hilbert space and $R = B(H_2) \otimes 1$ be the algebra of 2×2 matrices on $H_4 = H_2 \otimes H_2$. Let e_1, e_2 be an orthonormal basis of H_2 and $e_{ij} = e_i \otimes e_j \in H_4$. Let $\Psi = 2^{-1/2}(e_{11} + e_{22})$, $\Phi = 2^{-1/2}(e_{12} + e_{21})$. Then $J_{\Psi}e_{ij} = e_{ji}$ while $J_{\Phi}e_{ij} = e_{ij}$ for $i \neq j$ and $J_{\Phi}e_{ii} = e_{jj}$ for $i \neq j$. Hence $J_{\Psi} \neq J_{\Phi}$. However, $J = J_{\Phi}$ satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii) because it is a modular conjugation operator for Φ and satisfies (iv).

REMARK. The condition (iii) is used only in the proof of the essential selfadjointness in Theorem 1. If R is a finite matrix algebra then (i), (ii), (iv), and (v) are sufficient to prove $J = J_{\tau}$. Whether (iii) is necessary for more general case is an open question.

3. Technical lemmas concerning $\Delta_x^z Q \Delta_y^{-z}$. We denote by \mathfrak{A}_x the set of all operators Q such that there exists a family of bounded linear operators $\tau_x(z)Q$ depending on a complex parameter z, which is holomorphic in z for all z and satisfies

(3.1)
$$\tau_{\Psi}(t)Q = \varDelta_{\Psi}^{it}Q\varDelta_{\Psi}^{-it}$$

for real t.

For real z, we have

If Φ is an entire vector of $\log \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$, then the left hand side is an entire function of z and hence $Q\Phi$ must be an entire vector of $\log \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$ and (3.2) holds for all z. Since vectors, on which $\log \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$ is bounded, are entire vector of $\log \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$ and form a dense set of analytic vectors for $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$ for any real α , (3.2) holds for any z and $\Phi \in D(\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{iz})$ by Nelson's theorem.

If Q_1 and Q_2 are in $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$, then $(\tau_{\mathbb{F}}(z)Q_1)\tau_{\mathbb{F}}(z)Q_2$ is an entire function of z and satisfies (3.1) for $Q = Q_1Q_2$. Hence $Q_1Q_2 \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and

Similarly, $Q \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ implies $Q^* \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and

We define

- $\mathfrak{A}_{{}_{\mathscr{V}_1}}=\mathfrak{A}_{{}_{\mathscr{V}}}\cap R \hspace{0.1 cm}, \hspace{0.1 cm} D_{{}_{\mathscr{V}_1}}=\mathfrak{A}_{{}_{{}_{\mathscr{V}}}}{}_{\mathscr{V}} \hspace{0.1 cm},$
- $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}_2} = \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}} \cap R' \,\,, \quad D_{\mathbb{F}_2} = \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \mathbb{F} \,\,.$

If $Q \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}_1}$, then $[\tau_{\mathbb{F}}(z)Q, Q_1] = 0$ for any $Q_1 \in R'$ and real z, hence for all z by an analytic continuation. Therefore $\tau_{\mathbb{F}}(z)Q \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}_1}$. Similarly, if $Q \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$, then $\tau_{\mathbb{F}}(z)Q \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ for all z.

For any L^1 function f, we define

(3.7)
$$Q(f) = \int \varDelta_{\mathbb{F}}^{it} Q \varDelta_{\mathbb{F}}^{-it} f(t) \mathrm{d}t \; .$$

It is bounded $(||Q(f)|| \leq ||Q|| \int |f(t)| dt)$, $Q(f) \in R$ if $Q \in R$ and $Q(f) \in R'$ if $Q \in R'$. If \tilde{f} is a C^{∞} function such that $e^{\alpha \lambda} \tilde{f}(\lambda)$ is bounded for any real α , and

(3.8)
$$f(t) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int e^{-i\lambda t} \widetilde{f}(\lambda) d\lambda$$

then $Q(f) \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and

$$\tau_{\Psi}(z)Q(f) = Q(f_z) ,$$

(3.10)
$$f_z(t) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int e^{-i\lambda(t-z)} \widetilde{f}(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\lambda \; .$$

We shall use the following specific function later:

$$(3.11) f^{\scriptscriptstyle G}_{\,\beta}(t) = (\beta\pi)^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-t^2/\beta\right\}\,, \quad \beta>0$$

It has the property that $Q(f^G_{\beta})$ is in the weak closure of convex hull of $\Delta^{it}_{\mathbb{F}}Q\Delta^{-it}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and

$$(3.12) \qquad \qquad \lim_{\beta \to 0} Q(f^G_\beta) = Q \; .$$

If \tilde{f} has a compact support, then $Q(f)\Psi$ is an analytic vector of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$ for any real α . Since

$$Q(f) \varPsi = \widetilde{f} (\log \varDelta_{\varPsi}) Q \varPsi$$

and $R\Psi$ is dense, such vectors $Q(f)\Psi$ are dense and hence D_{π_1} is a core of Δ_{Ψ}^z for arbitrary z. Similarly, D_{Ψ_2} is also a core of Δ_{Ψ}^z for arbitrary z.

LEMMA 4. Let $Y = \int \lambda dp_{\lambda}$ be a positive selfadjoint operator and D be a core of Y. Then D is a core of Y^{α} for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

Proof. Any vector in the domain of Y is in the domain of Y^{α} , $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then

$$(3.13) || Y^{\alpha} x ||^{2} = || p_{1} Y^{\alpha} x || + || (1 - p_{1}) Y^{\alpha} x ||^{2} \\ \leq || p_{1} x ||^{2} + || (1 - p_{1}) Y x ||^{2} \\ \leq || x ||^{2} + || Y x ||^{2}.$$

If $x_n \in D$, $x_n \to x \in D(Y)$ and $Yx_n \to Yx$, then $Y^{\alpha}x_n$ is Cauchy by (3.13) and hence $x \in D((Y^{\alpha} | D)^{-})$. Since D(Y) is a core of Y^{α} , $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, D is also a core of Y^{α} .

LEMMA 5. For $Q \in R$, the following two conditions are equivalent.

$$(3.15) Q^* \Psi \in D(\Delta_{\Psi}^{-\alpha}) .$$

If these conditions are satisfied for an $\alpha > 0$, then there exists a family of closable operators $\hat{\tau}_{r}(z)Q$ for $\operatorname{Im} z \in [-\alpha, 0]$ with a common domain D_{r_2} such that

(1) $\hat{\tau}_{r}(z)Q$ is affiliated with R,

(2) $\hat{\tau}_{\mathbf{r}}(z)Qx$ is continuous in z for $\text{Im } z \in [-\alpha, 0]$ and analytic in z for $z \in [-\alpha, 0)$ if $x \in D_{\mathbf{r}_2}$,

Proof. Due to $J_{\Psi} \Delta_{\Psi}^{\alpha} = \Delta_{\Psi}^{-\alpha} J_{\Psi}$, we have

$$(3.16) D(\varDelta_{\overline{v}}^{-\alpha}) = J_{\overline{v}} D(\varDelta_{\overline{v}}^{\alpha}) .$$

Hence (3.15) is equivalent to

$$\varDelta^{1/2}_{\mathfrak{F}}Q\mathfrak{V}=J_{\mathfrak{F}}Q^{*}\mathfrak{V}\in D(\varDelta^{\alpha}_{\mathfrak{F}})$$

which is equivalent to (3.14).

Assume that Q satisfies (3.14) and (3.15). Define an operator A_z on $D_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ by

where $\operatorname{Im} z \in [-\alpha, 0]$. By (3.14), $Q \Psi$ is in the domain of Δ_{Ψ}^{iz} for $\operatorname{Im} z \in [-\alpha, 0]$. Since Ψ is separating for $R' \supset \mathfrak{A}_{\Psi_2}$, A_z is well-defined and linear.

To show that A_z is closable, we show that its adjoint has a dense domain. For Q'_1 and Q'_2 in \mathfrak{A}_{F_2} , we have

$$(Q'_{1}\Psi, A_{z}Q'_{2}\Psi) = (Q'_{2}*Q'_{1}\Psi, \varDelta^{iz}_{\Psi}Q\Psi)$$

= $(\varDelta^{-1/2}_{\Psi}\{\tau(-\bar{z} - i/2)(Q'_{2}*Q'_{1})\}\Psi, Q\Psi)$
= $(J_{\Psi}\varDelta^{-iz-1/2}_{\Psi}Q'_{1}*Q'_{2}\Psi, J_{\Psi}\varDelta^{1/2}_{\Psi}Q^{*}\Psi)$
= $(\varDelta^{1/2}_{\Psi}Q^{*}\Psi, \varDelta^{-iz-1/2}_{\Psi}Q'_{1}*Q'_{2}\Psi)$
= $(Q'_{1}\varDelta^{iz}_{\Psi}Q^{*}\Psi, Q'_{2}\Psi)$

where $Q^* \Psi$ is in the domain of $\Delta_{\Psi}^{i\bar{z}}$ by (3.15). This proves that $D(A_z^*)$ contains a dense set D_{Ψ_2} and A_z is closable. We denote $A_z = \hat{\tau}_{\Psi}(z)Q$.

(1) By (3.17), we have

$$Q_1^\prime A_z Q_2^\prime arpsilon = Q_1^\prime Q_2^\prime arpsilon_{arpsilon}^{iz} Q arpsilon = A_z Q_1^\prime Q_2^\prime arpsilon$$

for any Q'_1 and Q'_2 in $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$. Hence A_z commutes with $Q'_1 \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ and is affiliated with $(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathbb{F}_2})' = R$.

(2) By (3.17), we have

which has the stated continuity and analyticity due to (3.14).

(3) This follows from the following computation:

(4) This follows from the following computation where (3.18) is used.

$$egin{aligned} &(Q_1' arPsi, (\widehat{ au}_{arpsilon}(z) Q) Q_2' arPsilon) &= (Q_1' arDelta_{arPsilon}^{iz} Q^* arPsilon, Q_2' arPsilon) \ &= (arDelta_{arPsilon}^{iz} arPsilon_{arpsilon}(-\overline{z}) Q_1') Q^* arPsilon, Q_2' arPsilon) \ &= (arDelta_{arPsilon}^{iz} Q^* arepsilon_{arpsilon}(-\overline{z}) Q_1') arPsilon, Q_2' arPsilon) \ &= (arDelta_{arPsilon}^{iz} Q^* arDelta_{arpsilon}^{-iz} Q_1' arPsilon, Q_2' arPsilon) \ &= (arDelta_{arPsilon}^{iz} Q^* arDelta_{arPsilon}^{-iz} Q_1' arPsilon, Q_2' arPsilon) \ &= (arDelta_{arPsilon}^{iz} Q^* arDelta_{arPsilon}^{-iz} Q_1' arPsilon, Q_2' arPsilon) \ &= (arDelta_{arPsilon}^{iz} Q^* arDelta_{arPsilon}^{-iz} Q_1' arPsilon, Q_2' arPsilon) \ &= (arDelta_{arPsilon}^{iz} Q^* arDelta_{arPsilon}^{-iz} Q_1' arPsilon, Q_2' arPsilon) \ &= (arDelta_{arPsilon}^{iz} Q^* arPsilon_{arPsilon}^{-iz} Q_1' arPsilon, Q_2' arPsilon) \ &= (arPsilon_{arPsilon}^{iz} arPsilon_{arPsilon}^{-iz} arPsilon_{arPsi}^{-iz} arPsilon_{arPsilon}^{-iz} arPsilon_{arPs$$

COROLLARY. For $Q \in R$, the following two conditions are equivalent.

If these conditions are satisfied for an $\alpha > 0$, then there exists a family of closable operators $\hat{\tau}_{r}(z)Q$ for $\operatorname{Im} z \in [0, \alpha]$ with a common domain D_{r_2} such that

(1) $\hat{\tau}(z)Q$ is affiliated with R,

(2) $\hat{\tau}_{\mathbf{r}}(z)Qx$ is continuous in z for $\operatorname{Im} z \in [0, \alpha]$ and analytic in z for $\operatorname{Im} z \in (0, \alpha)$ if $x \in D_{\mathbf{r}_2}$,

- $(\ 3\)\quad \widehat{ au}_{arphi}(z)Qx=arLapha_{arphi}^{iz}QarLapha_{arphi}^{-iz}x, \ x\in D_{arphi_2},$
- $(4) \quad (\widehat{\tau}_{\varPsi}(z)Q)^*x = \varDelta_{\varPsi}^{i\overline{z}}Q^*\varDelta_{\varPsi}^{-i\overline{z}}x, \ x \in D_{\varPsi_2}.$

Proof. Interchange roles of Q and Q^* in Lemma 5 and denote the restriction of $\{\hat{\tau}_{\overline{x}}(\overline{z})(Q^*)\}^*$ to $D_{\overline{x}_2}$ by $\hat{\tau}_{\overline{x}}(z)Q$. The only change is in the analyticity at the boundary Im $z = \alpha$.

LEMMA 6. Assume that $Q \in R$ and

for some $Q_1 \in R$ and a real $\alpha \neq 0$. Then there exists a family of operators $\tau_{\mathbb{F}}(z)Q \in R$ for Im z between 0 and $-\alpha$ (i.e., in $[0, -\alpha]$ if $\alpha < 0$ and $[-\alpha, 0]$ if $\alpha > 0$) such that

(1) $\tau_x(z)Q$ is strongly continuous in z for Im $z \in [0, -\alpha]$ or $[-\alpha, 0]$ and analytic in z for Im $z \in (0, -\alpha)$ or $(-\alpha, 0)$.

- $(2) \quad \tau_{\Psi}(z)Qx = \varDelta_{\Psi}^{iz}Q\varDelta_{\Psi}^{-iz}x, \ x \in D(\varDelta_{\Psi}^{-iz}).$
- $(3) \quad (\tau_{\mathfrak{F}}(z)Q)^*x = \varDelta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{i\overline{z}}Q^* \varDelta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-i\overline{z}}x, \ x \in D(\varDelta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{iz}).$
- $(4) ||\tau_{\Psi}(z)Q|| \leq \max \{||Q||, ||Q_1||\}.$
- (5) $\tau_{\overline{r}}(0)Q = Q$, $\tau_{\overline{r}}(-i\alpha)Q = Q_1$.

Proof. First assume $\alpha > 0$. Since $Q_1 \Psi \in D(\Delta_{\Psi}^{1/2})$ for any $Q_1 \in R$, (3.21) implies (3.14). Consider

$$f(z) \equiv (x, \,\hat{\tau}_{\Psi}(z)Qy)$$

for x, $y \in D_{\Psi_2}$. If $x = Q'_1 \Psi$, $y = Q'_2 \Psi$, then

$$egin{aligned} &|f(z)| = |(Q_2'^*Q_1'arPsi, arDelta_arPsi^{ ext{i}z}QarPsi)| \ &\leq ||Q_2'^*Q_1'arPsi|| || arDelta_arPsi^{ ext{-Im}z}QarPsi|| \ &\leq ||Q_2'^*Q_1'arPsi|| \{||arDelta_arPsi^{ ext{-Im}z}QarPsi||^2 + ||QarPsi||^2\}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for Im $z \in [-\alpha, 0]$ due to (3.13). Since f(z) is continuous for Im $z \in [-\alpha, 0]$ and is holomorphic for Im $z \in (-\alpha, 0)$, the three line theorem is applicable.

On the boundary Im z = 0, we have

$$|f(t)| \leq ||x|| ||y|| ||Q||$$
, t real.

For $z = s - i\alpha$, we have

$$egin{aligned} &\{\widehat{ au}_{ au}(z)Q\}Q'\Psi \,=\, Q'\varDelta^{iz}_{ au}Q\Psi \ &=\, Q'\varDelta^{is}_{ au}Q_1\Psi \,=\, \{\varDelta^{is}_{ au}Q_1\varDelta^{-is}_{ au}\}Q'\Psi \,\,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|f(s - i\alpha)| \leq ||x|| ||y|| ||Q_1||$$
, s real.

Therefore,

$$|f(z)| \leq ||x|| ||y|| \max \{||Q_1||, ||Q||\}$$
.

This implies that $\hat{\tau}(z)Q$, Im $z \in [-\alpha, 0]$ is bounded. We denote its closure by $\tau(z)Q$. It satisfies (4) due to the above estimate. (5) follows from definition. From (1) of Lemma 5, $\tau(z)Q \in R$. Since $D_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ is a core of $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{iz}$ for any z, we have (2) and (3) from (3) and (4) of Lemma 5.

(1) holds on a dense set $D_{\overline{r}_2}$ by (2) of Lemma 5. Due to the uniform boundedness (4), the continuity statement holds on any vector. Then analyticity statement also holds on any vector by Cauchy integral theorem.

The proof for the case $\alpha < 0$ is the same as the case $\alpha > 0$.

4. The cone $V_{\vec{r}}^{\alpha}$. Let $V_{\vec{r}}^{\alpha}$ be the weak closure of the set of vectors

$$\{\mathcal{A}_{\mathscr{U}}^{\alpha}Q\mathscr{\Psi}; Q\in R, Q\geq 0\}$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$. $V_{\overline{\psi}}^{\circ}$ is \mathscr{P}^{*} of Takesaki [9]. Since $\varDelta_{\overline{\psi}}^{1/2}Q\Psi = J_{\overline{\psi}}Q\Psi = j_{\overline{\psi}}(Q)\Psi$ for $Q \in R, Q \ge 0$, $V_{\overline{\psi}}^{1/2}$ is \mathscr{P}^{b} of Takesaki.

THEOREM 3.

(1) $V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$ is a pointed weakly closed convex cone invariant under $\varDelta_{\mathbb{F}}^{it}$.

(2) $\Phi \in V_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha}$ is in the domain of $\varDelta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{1/2-2\alpha}$ and

$$(4.2) J_{\mathfrak{Y}} \varPhi = \varDelta_{\mathfrak{Y}}^{1/2-2\alpha} \varPhi$$

(3) $\varDelta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\circ}$ is a dense subset of $V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$.

 $(4) \quad J_{arphi} V_{arphi}^{lpha} = V_{arphi}^{1/2-lpha}.$

(5) The dual of V_{Ψ}^{α} is $V_{\Psi}^{1/2-\alpha}$.

 $(6) \quad V_{\varPsi}^{\alpha} = \varDelta_{\varPsi}^{\alpha-1/4} \{ V_{\varPsi}^{1/4} \cap D(\varDelta_{\varPsi}^{\alpha-1/4}) \}.$

(7) If $Q \in R$ and $Q\Psi \in V_{\Psi}^{\alpha}$, then $\Delta_{\Psi}^{iz}Q\Delta_{\Psi}^{-iz}$ is bounded by ||Q|| for Im $z \in [0, 2\alpha]$ and satisfies

(4.3)
$$(\varDelta_{\overline{x}}^{-2lpha}Q\varDelta_{\overline{x}}^{2lpha})^{-}=Q^{*}$$
 ,

$$(4.4) \qquad \qquad (\varDelta_{\varPsi}^{-\alpha}Q\varDelta_{\varPsi}^{\alpha})^{-} \ge 0 ,$$

where the bar indicates the closure.

Conversely, if $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{-\alpha}Q\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$ is a positive bounded operator with a dense domain affiliated with R, then $Q\Psi \in V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$.

(8) If $\Phi \in V_{*}^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \leq 1/4$ and $\omega_{\phi} \leq l\omega_{*}$ for some l > 0, then there exists $Q \in R$ such that

 $(\varDelta_{\mathbb{F}}^{iz}Q\varDelta_{\mathbb{F}}^{-iz})^{-}$ is bounded by $l^{1/2}$ for $\operatorname{Im} z \in [2\alpha - 1/2, 1/2]$. (9) If $Q\Psi \in V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}, Q \in R$, then $(||Q|| - Q)\Psi \in V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$.

Proof. $V_{\mathfrak{x}}^{\alpha}$ is obviously a weakly closed convex cone. Since

$$arpert arpert_{arpert}^{it}(arpert_{arper}^{lpha}Q arPsi) = arLappa_{arper}^{lpha}Q_t arPsi$$
 , $Q_t \equiv arLappa_{arpert}^{it}Q arLapla_{arpert}^{-it}$,

and $Q_t \in R, Q_t \geq 0, V_{\Psi}^{\alpha}$ is invariant under Δ_{Ψ}^{it} .

We shall prove that $V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$ is pointed after (6).

(2) If $Q \in R$, $Q \ge 0$, we have

$$egin{aligned} J_{ec T}(ec {}^lpha_{ec T}^lpha Q ec \Psi) &= arLambda_{ec T}^{-lpha} J_{ec T} Q ec \Psi &= arLambda_{ec T}^{+(12-lpha)} (arLambda_{ec T}^lpha Q ec \Psi) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Delta_{\Psi}^{\alpha}Q\Psi$ satisfies (4.2).

Since $J_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is bounded and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}^{(1/2-2\alpha)}$ is closed, (4.2) holds for any Φ in the strong closure of the set (4.1). Since the set (4.1) is convex, its strong and weak closures coincide.

(3) Since (4.1) is convex, V_{Ψ}^{α} is the strong closure of (4.1). If $\Phi \in V_{\Psi}^{\circ}$, there exists $Q_n \in R$, $Q_n \ge 0$ satisfying $\lim Q_n \Psi = \Phi$. By (3.13),

$$egin{aligned} &\| ert \Delta_x^lpha (Q_n arpsilon - arphi) \|^2 &\leq \| ert \Delta_x^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2} (Q_n arphi - arphi) \|^2 + \| Q_n arphi - arphi \|^2 \ &= \| J_{arphi} (Q_n arphi - arphi) \|^2 + \| Q_n arphi - arphi \|^2 o 0 \;. \end{aligned}$$

This proves $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha} \mathscr{P}^{*} \subset V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$. By definition, $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha} \mathscr{P}^{*}$ contains a dense subset of $V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$.

(4) This follows from $J_{\Psi}^2 = 1$ and

$$J_{ar r} arDelta^lpha_{ar r} Q arPsi = arDelta^{1/2-lpha} Q arPsi$$

for $Q \in R$, $Q \ge 0$.

(5) Let $Q_1, Q_2 \in R, Q_1 \ge 0, Q_2 \ge 0$. Then

$$egin{aligned} &(arphi_{rac{\pi}{4}}Q_{1}arPsymp \,,\,\,arLet_{rac{\pi}{4}}^{1/2-lpha}Q_{2}arPsymp \,) = (Q_{1}arPsymp \,,\,\,arLet_{rac{\pi}{4}}^{1/2}Q_{2}arPsymp \,) \ &= (arPsymp \,,\,\, Q_{1}j_{rac{\pi}{4}}(Q_{2})arPsymp \,) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

due to $Q_1 \ge 0$, $j_{\mathfrak{F}}(Q_2) \ge 0$ and $[Q_1, j_{\mathfrak{F}}(Q_2)] = 0$. Hence

$$(4.6) (V_{\overline{x}}^{\alpha})' \supset V_{\overline{x}}^{1/2-\alpha}$$

where $(V_{\overline{x}}^{\alpha})'$ denotes the set of all Φ such that $(\Phi, x) \ge 0$ for every $x \in V_{\overline{x}}^{\alpha}$.

Next let $\Phi \in (\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha} \mathscr{P}^{*})'$. Let f_{β}^{G} be given by (3.11) and let

(4.7)
$$\Phi_{\beta} \equiv \int \varDelta_{\Psi}^{it} \Phi f_{\beta}^{G}(t) \mathrm{d}t \; .$$

Since $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha} \mathscr{P}^{\sharp}$ is invariant under $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{it}$, we have $\Phi_{\beta} \in (\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha} \mathscr{P}^{\sharp})'$. Furthermore,

(4.8)
$$\Delta_{\Psi}^{iz} \varPhi_{\beta} = \int \varDelta_{\Psi}^{it} \varPhi f_{\beta}^{G}(t-z) \mathrm{d}t$$

for real z and the right hand side has an analytic continuation to all z. Hence Φ_{β} is an entire vector of $\log \Delta_{\pi}$ and is in domain of Δ_{π}^{iz} for arbitrary z. Hence

$$arDelta_{arphi}^{lpha} arPsi_{eta} \in (\mathscr{P}^{st})' = \mathscr{P}^{b} = arDelta_{arphi}^{1/2} V^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}_{arPsi}$$

where the last equality is due to (2) and (4), for example, and the first equality is due to [9]. Hence $\Phi_{\beta} \in \Delta^{1/2-\alpha} \mathscr{P}^{\sharp}$. By (3), $\Phi_{\beta} \in V^{1/2-\alpha}$. Since $\Phi = \lim_{\beta \to 0} \Phi_{\beta}$, we have $\Phi \in V_{\overline{x}}^{1/2-\alpha}$.

By (3), we now have

$$(4.9) (V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\alpha})' \subset (\varDelta_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\alpha} \mathscr{P}^{\sharp})' \subset V_{\mathfrak{P}}^{1/2-\alpha} .$$

324

By (4.6) and (4.9) we have (5).

(6) First consider the case $\alpha < 1/4$.

For $\Phi \in V_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha}$, there exists $Q_n \in R$, $Q_n \geq 0$ such that $\Phi = \lim \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha}Q_n \Psi$. We use (3.13), in which we replace x by $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha}(Q_n - Q_m)\Psi$, Y by $\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{(1/2-2\alpha)}$ and α by 1/2. We have

$$\begin{split} & || \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{(1|4-\alpha)} \{ \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha}(Q_n - Q_m) \Psi \} ||^2 \\ & \leq || \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha}(Q_n - Q_m) \Psi ||^2 + || \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{1/2-\alpha}(Q_n - Q_m) \Psi ||^2 \\ & = || \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha}(Q_n - Q_m) \Psi ||^2 + || J_{\mathfrak{F}} \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha}(Q_n - Q_m) \Psi ||^2 \,. \end{split}$$

Hence $\Delta_{\overline{\psi}}^{1/4}Q_{n}\Psi$ is Cauchy and has a strong limit $\Delta_{\overline{\psi}}^{1/4-\alpha}\Phi$, which must be in $V_{\overline{\psi}}^{1/4}$ by definition. Hence

$$V^lpha_{arphi} \subset {arphi}^{lpha-1/4}_{arphi} \{V^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/4}_{arphi} \cap D({arphi}^{lpha-1/4}_{arphi})\}$$
 .

Let $x \in V_{\Psi}^{1/4} \cap D(\mathcal{A}_{\Psi}^{\alpha-1/4})$ and $y \in V_{\Psi}^{0}$. Then

$$(\varDelta_{\varPsi}^{1/2-lpha}y,\, \varDelta_{\varPsi}^{lpha-1/4}x)=(\varDelta_{\varPsi}^{1/4}y,\, x)\geq 0$$

due to $\varDelta_{\mathscr{V}}^{{\scriptscriptstyle 1/4}} y \in V_{\mathscr{V}}^{{\scriptscriptstyle 1/4}} \subset (V_{\mathscr{V}}^{{\scriptscriptstyle 1/4}})'$. By (3),

$$(V_{\varPsi}^{1/2-lpha})' \supset arDelta_{\varPsi}^{lpha-1/4} \{V_{\varPsi}^{1/4} \cap D(arDelta_{\varPsi}^{lpha-1/4})\}$$
 .

By (5), $(V_{\overline{\psi}}^{1/2-\alpha})' = V_{\overline{\psi}}^{\alpha}$ and hence we have (6).

The case $\alpha > 1/4$ follows from the case $\alpha < 1/4$ by (4).

(1) Let $\Phi \in V_{\overline{r}}^{\alpha}$ and $-\Phi \in V_{\overline{r}}^{\alpha}$. By (5), $\Phi \perp V_{\overline{r}}^{\perp/2-\alpha}$. The linear span of $V_{\overline{r}}^{\perp/2-\alpha}$ contains $\Delta_{\overline{r}}^{\perp/2-\alpha}\mathfrak{A}_{\overline{r}_1}\Psi = \mathfrak{A}_{\overline{r}_1}\Psi$, which is dense. Hence $\Phi = 0$ and $V_{\overline{r}}^{\alpha}$ is pointed.

(7) If $Q\Psi \in V^{\alpha}_{\Psi}$, then $Q\Psi \in D(\varDelta^{1/2-2\alpha}_{\Psi})$ and

$$J_{w}Qar{\Psi}=arDelta_{w}^{1/2}Q^{*}ar{\Psi}=arDelta_{w}^{1/2-2lpha}Qar{\Psi}$$

due to (4.2). Hence $Q\Psi \in D(\mathcal{A}_{\Psi}^{-2\alpha})$ and

$$\varDelta_{\varPsi}^{-2lpha}Q\varPsi=Q^{*}\varPsi$$
 .

By Lemma 6, we obtain the first half of (7) except for (4.4). By (3) and (4), $V_{\overline{\psi}}^{1/2-\alpha} \supset \Delta_{\overline{\psi}}^{-\alpha} \mathscr{D}^{b}$. By (5),

$$0 \leq (\mathcal{A}_{\Psi}^{-\alpha}x, Q\Psi) = (x, \tau_{\Psi}(i\alpha)Q\Psi)$$

for all $x \in \mathscr{P}^{b}$. Hence $\tau_{\mathfrak{p}}(i\alpha)Q \geq 0$ which shows (4.4).

Let $Q_1 = (\Delta_{\overline{r}}^{-\alpha}Q\Delta_{\overline{r}}^{\alpha})^-$. Then $Q\Delta_{\overline{r}}^{\alpha} \varPhi = \Delta_{\overline{r}}^{\alpha}Q_1 \varPhi$ holds for a dense set of vectors \varPhi . Hence $\Delta_{\overline{r}}^{\alpha}Q^* \Psi = Q_1^* \Psi$, which implies $\Delta_{\overline{r}}^{1/2-\alpha}Q\Psi = J_{\overline{r}}\Delta_{\overline{r}}^{\alpha}Q^*\Psi = \Delta_{\overline{r}}^{1/2}Q_1\Psi$. Therefore $Q\Psi = \Delta_{\overline{r}}^{\alpha}Q_1\Psi$. Since $Q_1 \ge 0$ by (4.4), $Q\Psi \in V_{\overline{r}}^{\alpha}$.

(8) If $\omega_{\varphi} \leq l\omega_{\pi}$, there exists $Q' \in R'$ such that $\omega_{\varphi} = \omega_{Q'\pi}$, and $||Q'|| \leq l^{1/2}$. Then there exists a partial isometry u' in R' such that

$$\Phi = u'Q'\Psi$$

By (4.2) we have

$$arDelta_{arphi}^{_{1/2-2lpha}} arPsi = J_{arphi} arPsi = j_{arPsi}(u'Q') arPsi \; .$$

By (4), $J_{\mathfrak{F}} \Phi \in V_{\mathfrak{F}}^{1/2-\alpha}$ and hence by (7), $Q_1 = j_{\mathfrak{F}}(u'Q') \in R$ has bounded $\tau_{\mathfrak{F}}(z)Q_1$ for $\operatorname{Im} z \in [0, 1-2\alpha]$. Setting $Q = \tau_{\mathfrak{F}}(i/2-2i\alpha)Q_1$, we have $\Phi = Q \mathfrak{F}, Q \in R$ and $||Q|| \leq ||Q_1|| \leq l^{1/2}$. $(\varDelta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{iz}Q\varDelta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{-iz})^- = \tau_{\mathfrak{F}}(z')Q_1$ with $z' = z + (1/2 - 2\alpha)i$ and hence is bounded by $l^{1/2}$ for $\operatorname{Im} z \in [2\alpha - 1/2, 1/2]$ and is positive for $\operatorname{Im} z = \alpha$.

(9) If $Q\Psi \in V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$, $Q \in R$, then $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}Q\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{-\alpha}$ is bounded by ||Q||, symmetric and affiliated with R due to (7). Hence

$$arDelta_{arpsilon}^lpha(||\,Q\,||\,-Q)arDelta_{arpsilon}^{-lpha}=||\,Q\,||\!-\!arDelta_{arpsilon}^lpha QarDelta_{arpsilon}^{-lpha}$$

is bounded, positive and affiliated with R. By the last half of (7), $(||Q|| - Q) \Psi \in V_{\psi}^{\alpha}$.

5. The cone $V_{\overline{x}}$. We denote $V_{\overline{x}} = V_{\overline{y}}^{1/4}$ due to an importance of $V_{\overline{x}}^{1/4}$.

THEOREM 4. Let Ψ be a cyclic and separating vector for R on H. (1) V_{Ψ} is a pointed closed selfdual convex cone.

- (2) V_{Ψ} satisfies
- $(5.1) \qquad \qquad \varDelta^{it}_{\varPsi} V_{\varPsi} = V_{\varPsi} \ , \qquad -\infty < t < \infty \ .$

$$(5.2) J_{\mathfrak{F}} x = x , x \in V_{\mathfrak{F}} .$$

$$(5.4) (x, Qj_x(Q)y) \ge 0 , \quad x, y \in V_x , \quad Q \in R .$$

(3) V_{ψ} is the strong closure of the set of

(4) If $\Phi \in V$ and Φ is separating or cyclic for R, then Φ is separating and cyclic for R and $V_{\phi} = V_{\mathbb{T}}$.

(5) If Φ is a cyclic and separating vector for R, then $\Phi \in V_{\pi}$ if and only if $J_{\phi} = J_{\pi}$ and

$$(5.6) \qquad \qquad (\varPhi, \, z\Psi) \ge 0$$

for all $z \in R \cap R'$, $z \ge 0$.

(6) Any $\Phi \in H$ has a unique decomposition

(5.7)
$$\Phi = \Phi_1 - \Phi_2 + i(\Phi_3 - \Phi_4)$$

such that $\Phi_i \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, and

(7) If
$$\Phi_1 \in V_{\mathfrak{V}}, \Phi_2 \in V_{\mathfrak{V}} and \Phi_1 \perp \Phi_2$$
, then

(5.9)
$$s^{\scriptscriptstyle R}(\varPhi_1) \perp s^{\scriptscriptstyle R}(\varPhi_2)$$
, $s^{\scriptscriptstyle R'}(\varPhi_1) \perp s^{\scriptscriptstyle R'}(\varPhi_2)$,

where $s^{\mathbb{R}}(\Phi)$ and $s^{\mathbb{R}'}(\Phi)$ denote projections onto closures of $R'\Phi$ and $R\Phi$, respectively.

(8) If $\Phi_1 \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\Phi_2 \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$, then

(5.10)
$$|| \omega_{\phi_1}^{\scriptscriptstyle R} - \omega_{\phi_2}^{\scriptscriptstyle R} || \ge || \phi_1 - \phi_2 ||^2$$

where ω_{ϕ}^{R} is the expectation functional on R by a vector Φ .

Proof. (1), (5.1) and (5.2) follows from Theorem 3. Because

$$\{Qj_{I\!\!T}(Q)\}\{Q_1j_{I\!\!T}(Q_1)\}=(QQ_1)j_{I\!\!T}(QQ_1)$$
 ,

(5.3) follows from (3). (5.4) then follows by $V'_{\mathfrak{F}} = V_{\mathfrak{F}}$. (3) Let $Q(f^{\mathfrak{G}}_{\mathfrak{F}})$ be given by (3.7) and (3.11) for $Q \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$egin{aligned} Q(f^{\scriptscriptstyle G}_{\scriptscriptstyleeta}) j_{ec r}(Q(f^{\scriptscriptstyle G}_{\scriptscriptstyleeta})) arPsi &= Q(f^{\scriptscriptstyle G}_{\scriptscriptstyleeta}) arLappa^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2}_{ec r} Q(f^{\scriptscriptstyle G}_{\scriptscriptstyleeta})^* arPsi \ &= arLappa^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/4}_{ec r} Q_1 Q_1^* arPsi \in V_{ec r} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = au_{\scriptscriptstyle F}(i/4) Q(f^{\scriptscriptstyle G}_{\scriptscriptstyleeta}) \in R \; .$$

Hence

$$Qj_{{{\mathbb F}}}(Q){{\mathbb F}}=\lim_{eta
ightarrow 0}Q(f^{\scriptscriptstyle G}_{\,eta})j_{{{\mathbb F}}}(Q(f^{\scriptscriptstyle G}_{\,eta})){{\mathbb F}}\in V_{{{\mathbb F}}}\;.$$

On the other hand, if we set

$$Q_{2eta}\equiv au_{{}_{T}}(-i/4)\{Q^{{}_{1/2}}\!(f^G_{_{eta}})\}$$
 , $\ Q\in R$, $\ Q\geqq 0$,

then

$$Q_{_{2eta}}j_{_{arepsilon}}(Q_{_{2eta}})arepsilon = Q_{_{2eta}}arepsilon_{arepsilon'}^{_{1/2}}Q_{_{2eta}}^{_{*}}arepsilon = arepsilon_{arepsilon'}^{_{1/2}}Q_{_{2eta}}^{^{1/2}}arepsilon_{_{2eta}}^{_{*}}arepsilon = arepsilon_{arepsilon'}^{_{1/2}}Q_{_{2eta}}^{^{*}}arepsilon = arepsilon_{arepsilon'}^{^{*}}arepsilon = arepsilon_{arepsilon'}^{_{1/2}}Q_{_{2eta}}^{^{*}}arepsilon = arepsilon_{arepsilon'}^{^{*}}arepsilon = arepsilon_{arepsilon'}^{$$

We have

Hence $\Delta_{\pi}^{1/4} \mathscr{D}^{*}$ is in the strong closure of the set (5.5) and we have (3). (4) If $R' \Phi$ or $R \Phi$ is dense, then $R \Phi = J_{\pi} R' J_{\pi} \Phi = J_{\pi} R' \Phi$ or $R' \Phi =$

(4) If $R\Psi$ or $R\Psi$ is dense, then $R\Psi = J_{\Psi}RJ_{\Psi}\Psi = J_{\Psi}R\Psi$ or $R\Psi = J_{\Psi}RJ_{\Psi}\Phi = J_{\Psi}R\Phi$ is dense. Hence if Φ in V_{Ψ} is separating or cyclic, then Φ is cyclic and separating. If $\Phi \in V_{\Psi}$, then J_{Ψ} satisfies

$$J_{\mathbb{F}} \Phi = \Phi$$
, $(\Phi, Qj_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)\Phi) \geq 0$

due to (5.2) and (5.4). Hence $J_{\varphi} = J_{\overline{x}}$ by Theorem 1. Since V_{φ} is the strong closure of $Qj_{\varphi}(Q)\varphi$, we have $V_{\varphi} \subset V_{\overline{x}}$ due to (5.3) and $J_{\overline{x}} = J_{\varphi}$. Since V_{φ} and $V_{\overline{x}}$ are selfdual, we have $V_{\varphi} = V'_{\varphi} \supset V'_{\overline{x}} = V_{\overline{x}}$ and hence $V_{\varphi} = V_{\overline{x}}$.

(5) If $\Phi \in V_{\overline{x}}$, then $J_{\varphi} = J_{\overline{x}}$ as we have seen and (5.6) holds because $z = z^{1/2} j_{\overline{x}}(z^{1/2})$ due to Lemma 3. Conversely, assume $J_{\varphi} = J_{\overline{x}}$. By (6) and (7), which we shall prove below, we have

$$(5.11) \qquad \qquad \varPhi = \varPhi_{_1} - \varPhi_{_2} \ , \quad \varPhi_{_1} \in V_{_{\overline{x}}} \ , \quad \varPhi_{_2} \in V_{_{\overline{x}}} \ ,$$

 $(5.12) s^{\scriptscriptstyle R}(\varPhi_1) \perp s^{\scriptscriptstyle R}(\varPhi_2) \, .$

Assume that $(\Phi_1, Qj_x(Q)\Phi_2) > 0$ for some $Q \in R$. Let $Q_1 = s^R(\Phi_1)Qs^R(\Phi_2)$. We then have by (5.12)

$$egin{aligned} &(arPsi_{1},Q_{1}j_{ ar v}(Q_{1})arPsi)\ &=-(arPsi_{1},\,Q_{1}j_{ ar v}(Q_{1})Q_{2})=\,-(arPsi_{1},\,Qj_{ ar v}(Q)arPsi_{2})<0\,\,, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $s^{\scriptscriptstyle R}(\Phi_k)\Phi_k = \Phi_k$, $j_{\scriptscriptstyle T}\{s^{\scriptscriptstyle R}(\Phi_k)\} = s^{\scriptscriptstyle R'}(\Phi_k)$ (because of $J_{\scriptscriptstyle T}R'\Phi_k = j_{\scriptscriptstyle T}(R')J_{\scriptscriptstyle T}\Phi_k = R\Phi_k$) and $s^{\scriptscriptstyle R'}(\Phi_k)\Phi_k = \Phi_k$, in the second equality. This contradicts with $J_{\scriptscriptstyle T} = J_{\scriptscriptstyle \Phi}$ and (5.4) for the cone $V_{\scriptscriptstyle \Phi}$. Hence

$$(5.13) \qquad \qquad (\varPhi_1, Qj_{\Psi}(Q)\varPhi_2) = 0$$

due to (5.4) and (5.11).

From (5.13), we have

 $s^{\scriptscriptstyle W'}(\varPhi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})\perp s^{\scriptscriptstyle W'}(\varPhi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2})$

where W is the von Neumann algebra generated by $Qj_{\mathfrak{x}}(Q)$. By Lemma 1, $W' = R \cap R'$. Hence $z \equiv s^{W'}(\Phi_2) \in R \cap R'$ and

$$(\varPsi, z \varPhi) = -(\varPsi, \varPhi_2) \ge 0$$

by (5.6). Since $\Phi_2 \in V_{\overline{x}}$, we have $(\Psi, \Phi_2) \ge 0$ by $V'_{\overline{x}} = V_{\overline{x}}$ and hence $(\Psi, \Phi_2) = 0$. We shall see that this implies $\Phi_2 = 0$ in the proof of (7) and hence $\Phi = \Phi_1 \in V_{\overline{x}}$.

(6) Let $\Phi \in H$. Define

(5.14)
$$\Phi_r = 2^{-1}(\Phi + J_x \Phi)$$
, $\Phi_i = (2i)^{-1}(\Phi - J \Phi_x)$.

Then

(5.15)
$$\Phi = \Phi_r + i\Phi_i, \quad J_{\Psi}\Phi_r = \Phi_r, \quad J_{\Psi}\Phi_i = \Phi_i$$

Conversely, if (5.15) is satisfied, Φ_r and Φ_i are uniquely given by (5.14).

We now show that any $\Phi \in H$ satisfying $J_{\pi}\Phi = \Phi$ has a unique

decomposition

$$(5.16) \qquad \qquad \varPhi = \varPhi_1 - \varPhi_2 , \quad \varPhi_1 \in V_{ {\scriptscriptstyle \mathbb{F}}} , \quad \varPhi_2 \in V_{ {\scriptscriptstyle \mathbb{F}}} , \quad \varPhi_1 \perp \varPhi_2 .$$

Let

(5.17)
$$d = \inf \{ || \varphi - \varphi' ||; \varphi' \in V_{\overline{x}} \}$$

(5.18) $\lim_{\pi} || \varPhi'_n - \varPhi || = d , \qquad \varPhi'_n \in V_{\mathfrak{F}} .$

Since (5.18) implies that the sequence Φ'_n is uniformly bounded, there exists a weakly converging subsequence $\Phi'_{n(k)}$:

$$w-\lim_k arPsi_{n(k)}=arPsi_1$$

Then

$$|| arPsi - arPsi_1 ||^2 = || arPsi_1 ||^2 + d^2 - \lim || arPsi'_{n(k)} ||^2$$

By (5.17) and $|| \Phi_1 ||^2 \leq \lim || \Phi'_{n(k)} ||^2$, we have

(5.19)
$$|| \varPhi - \varPhi_1 ||^2 = d^2$$
.

Let $\Phi_2 = \Phi_1 - \Phi$ and $x \in V_{\overline{x}}$. Then $\Phi_1 + \lambda x \in V_{\overline{x}}$ for $\lambda \ge 0$. We have from (5.17) and (5.19)

$$egin{aligned} || \, arPsi_2 \, ||^2 &= d^2 &\leq || \, arPsi - (arPsi_1 + \lambda x) \, ||^2 \ &= || \, arPsi_2 \, ||^2 + \lambda \{ 2(arPsi_2, \, x) \, + \, || \, x \, ||^2 \lambda \} \end{aligned}$$

where (Φ_2, x) is real due to $J_x \Phi_2 = \Phi_2$ and $J_x x = x$. We then have

 $(\Phi_2, x) \geq 0$

which implies $\Phi_2 \in V'_{\mathbb{F}} = V_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Since \varPhi_1 and \varPhi_2 are in V_{Ψ} , $(\varPhi_1, \varPhi_2) \ge 0$. For $\lambda > 0$,

$$d^2 \leq || arPsi - (1 - \lambda) arPsi_1 ||^2 = || arPsi_2 ||^2 - \lambda (2(arPsi_1, arPsi_2) - \lambda \, || \, arphi_1 ||^2)$$

which implies $(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) = 0$.

To prove the uniqueness of the decomposition (5.16), let $\Phi = \Phi_1 - \Phi_2 = \Phi_1' - \Phi_2'$ be two such decompositions. For any vectors x_1, x_2, x_3 , we have

(5.20)
$$G(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv \det ((x_i, x_j))(= \det X^* X) \ge 0.$$

Since (Φ_k, Φ'_l) are all real, we have

(5.21)
$$0 \leq G(\varPhi, \varPhi_1', -\varPhi_2) \\ = (|| \varPhi_1 ||^2 - || \varPhi_1' ||^2) || \varPhi_1' ||^2 || \varPhi_2 ||^2 \\ - (\varPhi_1', \varPhi_2)^2 || \varPhi ||^2 - 2 || \varPhi_1' ||^2 || \varPhi_2 ||^2 (\varPhi_1', \varPhi_2) ,$$

(5.22)
$$0 \leq G(\varPhi, \varPhi_1, -\varPhi'_2) \\ = (|| \varPhi'_1 ||^2 - || \varPhi_1 ||^2) || \varPhi_1 ||^2 || \varPhi'_2 ||^2 \\ - (\varPhi_1, \varPhi'_2)^2 || \varPhi ||^2 - 2 || \varPhi_1 ||^2 || \varPhi'_2 ||^2 (\varPhi_1, \varPhi'_2) .$$

Since $(\Phi_k, \Phi'_l) \ge 0$ by $V'_{\overline{r}} = V_{\overline{r}}$, either all terms in (5.21) are negative or all terms in (5.22) are negative. In the first case, all terms in (5.21) vanish and we have the following three alternatives:

Case (i). $\Phi'_1 = 0, \Phi = -\Phi'_2$. Then

$$|| arPhi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} ||^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = (arPhi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, arPhi) = -(arPhi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, arPhi_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}') \leqq 0$$

and hence $\Phi_1 = 0 = \Phi_1'$ and $\Phi_2 = -\Phi = \Phi_2'$. Case (ii). $\Phi_2 = 0, \ \Phi = \Phi_1$. Then

$$||\, arPsi_{2}^{\,\prime}\, ||^{_{2}} = \, - (arPsi_{2}^{\,\prime}, \, arPsi) = \, - (arPsi_{2}^{\,\prime}, \, arPsi_{1}) \leqq 0$$

and hence $\Phi'_2 = 0 = \Phi_2, \, \Phi'_1 = \Phi = \Phi_1.$ Case (iii). $(\Phi'_1, \, \Phi_2) = 0$ and $||\Phi_1||^2 = ||\Phi'_1||^2$. Then

$$|| \, arPsi_{_1} \, ||^{_2} = \, || \, arPsi_{_1} \, ||^{_2} = (arPsi_{_1} , \, arPsi) = (arPsi_{_1} , \, arPsi_{_1})$$

which implies $|| \Phi_1 - \Phi'_1 ||^2 = 0$. Hence $\Phi_1 = \Phi'_1, \Phi_2 = \Phi'_2$. If all terms in (5.22) vanish, we have the same argument.

(7) First we prove that any nonzero $\Phi \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ is never orthogonal to Ψ . By (3), there exists $Q_n \in R$ such that

$$arPhi = \lim_n Q_n j_{arPsi}(Q_n) arPsi$$
 .

Assume that $(\Psi, \Phi) = 0$. Then

$$egin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_n \left(arPsi, \, Q_n j_{arYsigma}(Q_n) arPsi
ight) \ &= \lim_n || \, arLambda_{arPsi}^{1/4} Q_n arPsi \, ||^2 \; . \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = Qj(Q)\Psi$, $Q' \in R$, $Q = Q'(f^{G}_{\beta})$. Then

$$egin{aligned} &(x,\,arPhi)\,=\,\lim\,(x,\,Q_n j_{arPhi}(Q_n)arPhi)\ &=\,\lim\,(j_{arPhi}(Q_n^*Q)arPhi,\,Q^*Q_narPhi)\ &=\,\lim\,||\,\,\mathcal{J}^{1/4}_{arPhi}Q^*Q_narPhi\,||^2\ &=\,\lim\,||\,\,\{ au_{arPhi}(i/4)Q\}^*\mathcal{J}^{1/4}_{arPhi}Q_narPhi\,||^2\ &=\,0\,\,. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.12) and Lemma 1 (or (3) and (6)), such x is total in H and hence $\Phi = 0$.

Since $V_{\varphi_1} = V_{\mathbb{F}}$ for any separating Φ_1 in $V_{\mathbb{F}}$, we have

$$(5.23) (\varPhi_1, \varPhi_2) > 0$$

if $\Phi_1 \in V_{\Psi}$, $\Phi_2 \in V_{\Psi}$, Φ_1 is separating for R and $\Phi_2 \neq 0$.

330

We now assume that $\Phi_1 \in V_{\overline{x}}, \Phi_2 \in V_{\overline{x}}$ and $\Phi_1 \perp \Phi_2$. Let s and s' denote $s^{\mathbb{R}}(\Phi_1)$ and $s^{\mathbb{R}'}(\Phi_1)$, respectively. Since $J_{\mathbb{F}}R'\Phi_1 = j_{\mathbb{F}}(R')\Phi_1 = R\Phi_1$, we have $j_{x}(s) = s'$. Hence J_{x} commutes with ss'.

Consider the space $\hat{H} = ss'H$ and a von Neumann algebra $\hat{R} = sRss'$ on \hat{H} . Φ_1 is in \hat{H} and is cyclic and separating for \hat{R} by definition of s and s'. Since $J_{\overline{x}}$ commutes with ss', the restriction of $J_{\overline{x}}$ to \hat{H} is the modular conjugation operator \hat{J}_{ϕ_1} for Φ_1 on \hat{H} due to Theorem 1. We also have

$$ss'Qj_{ ar v}(Q)ar ar ar _{_1}=ss'Qj_{ ar v}(Q)ss'ar ar _{_1}=\widehat Qj_{ar ar ar _1}(\widehat Q)ar _{_1}$$

where $\hat{Q} = sQs$. Hence $ss' V_{\Psi} = \hat{V}_{\varphi_1}$.

Let $\hat{\varPhi}_2 = ss'\varPhi_2$. $\hat{\varPhi}_2 \in \hat{V}_{\varPhi_1}$ because $\varPhi_2 \in V_{F}$. We also have

$$(\widehat{\varPhi}_2, \varPhi_1) = (\varPhi_2, \varPhi_1) = 0$$

By (5.23), we have $\widehat{\varPhi}_2 = 0$.

Denoting $\varphi = (1 - s)(1 - s')\Phi_2$, $\varphi_1 = s(1 - s')\Phi_2$, and $\varphi_2 = (1 - s)s'\Phi_2$, we have

$$arPsi_2 = arPsi + arPsi_1 + arPsi_2$$
 .

Since $J_x \Phi_2 = \Phi_2$, and $j_x(s) = s'$, we have $J_x \varphi_1 = \varphi_2$. We now prove $\varphi_1=\varphi_2=0.$

Assume $\mathcal{P}_1 \neq 0$ and let $s_k = s^R(\mathcal{P}_k)$, $s'_k = s^{R'}(\mathcal{P}_k)$, k = 1, 2. Then $j_{\mathfrak{x}}(s_1)=s_2',\ j_{\mathfrak{x}}(s_2)=s_1',\ s_1\leq s,\ s_2\leq 1-s.$ Let c(E) denote the central support of $E \in (R \cup R')''$. Then $j_{\mathfrak{r}}(c(E)) = c(E)^* = c(E)$ by Lemma 3. Hence $c(j_{\mathbb{F}}(E)) = c(E)$. Setting $E = s_1s'_1$, we have $c(s_1s'_1) = c(s_2s'_2)$. Since $s_1s'_1\varphi_1=\varphi_1\neq 0, \ c(s_1s'_1)\neq 0.$ We have $c(s_1)\geq c(s_1s'_1)=c(s_2s'_2)$ and $c(s_2)\geq c(s_1s'_1)=c(s_2s'_2)$ $c(s_2s'_2)$. Therefore, there exists a partial isometry $u \in R$ such that $u^*u \leq s_1, uu^* \leq s_2, c(uu^*) = c(u^*u) = c(s_2s'_2).$

Since s_1 is the support of \mathcal{P}_1 , $u^*u\mathcal{P}_1 \neq 0$. Then $s'' \equiv s^{\mathcal{R}'}(u^*u\mathcal{P}_1) \leq s'_1$ is nonzero and $c(s'') \leq c(s_2s'_2) \leq c(s'_2)$. Hence there exists a partial isometry $v \in R'$ such that $v^*v \leq s''$, $vv^* \leq s_2'$, $v \neq 0$. Again $v^*vu^*u\varphi_1 \neq 0$.

Since

$$uv arphi_1 \in uH \subseteq s_2H$$
 , $uv arphi_1 \in vH \subseteq s_2'H$,

there exists $A \in s_2 R s_2$ such that

(5.24)
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(uv\mathcal{P}_{1}, A\mathcal{P}_{2}\right) > 0$$
.

Let $Q = A^*u - j_{\mathfrak{x}}(v)$. A^*u vanishes on (1-s)H and its range is in (1 - s)H. $j_{\psi}(v)$ vanishes on sH and its range is in sH. v vanishes on s'H and its range is in s'H. $j_{\bar{x}}(A^*u)$ vanishes on (1-s')H and its range is in (1 - s')H. Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} 0 &\leq (arPsi_2,\,Qj_{ ar r}(Q)arPsi_2) \ &= -(arphi_1,\,j_{ ar r}(A^*u)j_{ ar r}(v)arPsi_2) - (arPsi_2,\,A^*uvarPsi_1) \ &= -2\operatorname{Re}\left(arphi_2,\,A^*uvarPsi_1
ight) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $J_{\overline{v}}\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$, $\varphi_1 = J_{\overline{v}}\varphi_2$. This contradicts with (5.24). Therefore $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0$ and $\varphi_2 = \varphi$.

We now have

$$egin{aligned} s^{\scriptscriptstyle R}(arPsi_2) &= s^{\scriptscriptstyle R}(arphi) \leqq 1 - s \;, \ s^{\scriptscriptstyle R'}(arPsi_2) &= s^{\scriptscriptstyle R'}(arPsi) \leqq 1 - s' \;. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (5.9) is satisfied.

(8) For $\Phi_1 \in V_F$ and $\Phi_2 \in V_F$, we have a decomposition

satisfying $\Phi_{\pm} \in V_{\overline{r}}, \Phi_{+} \perp \Phi_{-}$, due to (6). By (7), we have $s^{\mathbb{R}}(\Phi_{+}) \perp s^{\mathbb{R}}(\Phi_{-})$. Let $E \equiv s^{\mathbb{R}}(\Phi_{+}) - s^{\mathbb{R}}(\Phi_{-})$. Then $||E|| \leq 1$. We have

$$egin{aligned} &||\omega_{arphi_1}-\omega_{arphi_2}|| \geq |||\omega_{arphi_1}(E)-\omega_{arphi_2}(E)||\ &=2^{-1}|\left(arphi_1-arphi_2,\,E(arphi_1+arphi_2)
ight)+\left(arphi_1+arphi_2,\,E(arphi_1-arphi_2)
ight)|\ &=|\operatorname{Re}\left(arphi_++arphi_-,\,arphi_1+arphi_2
ight)|\ &=\left(arphi_++arphi_-,\,arphi_1+arphi_2
ight)|\ &\geq\left(arphi_+-arphi_-,\,arphi_1-arphi_2
ight)=||arphi_1-arphi_2||^2\ , \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $(\Phi_1, \Phi_-) \ge 0$ and $(\Phi_2, \Phi_+) \ge 0$ due to $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_-, \Phi_+ \in V_{\overline{r}}$.

6. Some Radon-Nikodym theorems.

THEOREM 5. Let μ be a normal positive linear functional on a von Neumann algebra R with a cyclic and separating vector Ψ such that $\mu \leq \omega_{\Psi}$. Then there exists $h_{\alpha} \in R$, $||h_{\alpha}|| \leq 1$, $h_{\alpha} \geq 0$ for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that

(6.1)
$$2\mu(Q) = (\Delta_{\Psi}^{\alpha/2}Q^*\Psi, \Delta_{\Psi}^{\alpha/2}h_{\alpha}\Psi) + (\Delta_{\Psi}^{\alpha/2}h_{\alpha}\Psi, \Delta_{\Psi}^{\alpha/2}Q\Psi) .$$

Proof. Let $h \in R$, $h^* = h$ and

(6.2)
$$f^{\alpha}_{h}(Q) = \{ (\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha/2}Q^*\mathfrak{V}, \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha/2}h\mathfrak{V}) + (\Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha/2}h\mathfrak{V}, \Delta_{\mathfrak{F}}^{\alpha/2}Q\mathfrak{V}) \}/2 .$$

If $\alpha \leq 1/2$, then

(6.3)
$$f^{\alpha}_{h}(Q) = (1/2)\{(\Psi, Q \Delta^{\alpha}_{\Psi} h \Psi) + (\Delta^{\alpha}_{\Psi} h \Psi, Q \Psi)\}.$$

If $\alpha \geq 1/2$, then

(6.4)
$$f^{\alpha}_{h}(Q) = (1/2)\{(J_{\overline{\psi}} \mathcal{A}^{\alpha/2}_{\overline{\psi}} h \mathcal{\Psi}, J_{\overline{\psi}} \mathcal{A}^{\alpha/2}_{\overline{\psi}} Q^{*} \mathcal{\Psi}) \\ + (J_{\overline{\psi}} \mathcal{A}^{\alpha/2}_{\overline{\psi}} Q \mathcal{\Psi}, J_{\overline{\psi}} \mathcal{A}^{\alpha/2}_{\overline{\psi}} h \mathcal{\Psi})\} = f^{1-\alpha}_{h}(Q) .$$

332

Hence f_{h}^{α} is a normal linear functional on R. If $Q^{*} = Q$, then

$$(6.5) f^{\alpha}_{h}(Q) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\varDelta^{a/2}_{\varPsi}Q^{*}\varPsi, \ \varDelta^{\alpha/2}_{\varPsi}h\varPsi\right)$$

and hence f_h^{α} is selfadjoint. Since

$$f^{lpha}_{h}(Q) = \{(\varDelta^{lpha}_{arPs} Q^{*}arPsi, harPsi) + (harPsi, arDsigma^{lpha}_{arPsi} Q arPsi)\}/2$$

for $\alpha \leq 1/2$ and $f_h^{\alpha}(Q) = f_h^{1-\alpha}(Q)$, f_h^{α} is weakly continuous in h.

Let F be the set of f_h^{α} , $h \in R$, $h^* = h$, $1 \ge h \ge 0$. Then as an image of a compact, convex set under continuous real linear map, F is weakly compact and convex. F contains 0. Let F^0 be the polar of F, namely the set of $Q \in R$, $Q^* = Q$ and $f(Q) \le 1$ for all $f \in F$. Then $(F^0)^0 = F$, where $(F^0)^0$ is the set of all normal linear selfadjoint functionals f satisfying $f(Q) \le 1$ for all $Q \in F^0$.

For each real $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, consider

$$egin{aligned} m_\hbar^lpha(Q) &= \sup_t \operatorname{Re} f_\hbar(lpha \,+\, it) \;, \ f_\hbar(lpha \,+\, it) &= (arphi_{arphi}^{(lpha - it)/2} Q^* arPsi, \, arDelta_{arphi}^{(lpha + it)/2} h arPsi) \;. \end{aligned}$$

 $f_{h}(z)$ is obviously an analytic function of z for Re $z \in (0, 1)$. It is continuous for Re $z \in [0, 1]$. Furthermore,

$$egin{array}{l} |f_h(lpha+it)| &\leq ||\, {\cal J}_{arpsi}^{(lpha-it)/2}Q^*arPsi|\, ||\, {\cal J}_{arpsi}^{(lpha+it)/2}harPsi|| \ &\leq \{||\, {\cal J}_{arPs}QarPsi|\, |^2+\, ||\, Q^*arPsi|\, |^2\}^{1/2}\{||\, {\cal J}_{arpsi}harPsi\, ||^2+\, ||\, harPsi\, ||^2\}^{1/2} \end{array}$$

By the three line theorem,

$$\sup_t \operatorname{Re} f_h(\alpha + it) = \log \sup_t |e^{f_h(\alpha + it)}|$$

is a convex function of α . Hence

$$g^lpha(Q)=\sup_h \left\{m^lpha_h(Q);\,h\in R,\,h^*=h,\,1\geqq h\geqq 0
ight\}$$

is also a convex function of α .

Since
$$f_h(\alpha + it) = f_{h'}(\alpha)$$
, $h' = \varDelta_{\Psi}^{it}h \varDelta_{\Psi}^{-it}$, we have for $Q^* = Q$

$$g^lpha(Q)=\sup_h\left\{f^lpha_h(Q);\,h\in R,\,h^*=h,\,1\geqq h\geqq 0
ight\}$$
 .

By (6.4) we have

$$g^{\alpha}(Q) = g^{1-\alpha}(Q)$$
.

Due to convexity,

(6.6)
$$g^{\alpha}(Q) \ge g^{1/2}(Q)$$
.

We have

$$egin{aligned} &f_h^{_{1/2}}(Q) = (arPsi, j_{arPsi}(h) Q arPsi) \ &= \omega_{\phi}(Q) \;, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Phi = j_{\Psi}(h)^{1/2} \Psi$$

The set of such ω_{ϕ} for $h \in R$, $h^* = h$, $1 \ge h \ge 0$ is exactly the set of all normal positive linear functionals μ of R satisfying $\mu \le \omega_{\mathbb{F}}$. Hence $g^{1/2}(Q) \ge \mu(Q)$ and by (6.6)

$$g^{\alpha}(Q) \ge \mu(Q)$$

for any $Q^* = Q$, $Q \in R$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Hence $\mu \in (F^{\circ})^{\circ} = F$.

REMARK. $h_{1-\alpha} = h_{\alpha}$. h_{α} is unique. (If $\mu = 0$, set $Q = h_{\alpha}$.)

COROLLARY. If $\Phi \in V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$, $l\Psi - \Phi \in V_{\mathbb{F}}^{\alpha}$ and $\alpha \leq 1/4$, then there exists $h \in R$ such that $0 \leq h \leq l$ and

$$(6.7) 2\Phi = h\Psi + \Delta_{\Psi}^{2\alpha}h\Psi .$$

Such h is unique. If $\Phi \in V^{\alpha}_{\mathbb{F}}$, $l\Psi - \Phi \in V^{\alpha}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\alpha \geq 1/4$, then there exists $h' \in R'$ such that $0 \leq h' \leq l$ and

(6.8)
$$2\Phi = h'\Psi + \Delta_{\Psi}^{2\alpha-1}h'\Psi.$$

Such h' is unique.

Proof. Let $\alpha \leq 1/4$, $\beta = 1/2 - \alpha$ and $\mu(Q) \equiv (\varPhi, \varDelta^{\beta}_{*}Q\Psi)/l$, $Q \in R$.

Since $\Delta_{\Psi}^{\beta}Q\Psi \in V_{\Psi}^{\beta} = (V_{\Psi}^{\alpha})'$ for $Q \ge 0$, we have $\mu \ge 0$. By $l\Psi - \Phi \in V_{\Psi}^{\alpha}$, we also have $\mu \le \omega_{\Psi}$. By applying Theorem 5 to μ and setting $h = lh_{\beta}$, we have

$$2l\mu(Q)=(har \Psi,\,arDelta^{\scriptscriptstyleeta}_{ar arPer}Qar \Psi)+(arDelta^{\scriptscriptstyleeta}_{arPer}Q^*arPer,\,har \Psi)\;.$$

Since

$$egin{aligned} &(arDelta_{arphi}^{eta}Q^{*}arPert,\ harPert) = (J_{arPert}harPert,\ J_{arPer}arDelta_{arPert}^{eta}Q^{*}arPert) \ &= (arDelta_{arPer}^{1/2}harPert,\ arDelta_{arPer}^{1/2-eta}QarPert) \ &= (arDelta_{arPer}^{2lpha}harPert,\ arDelta_{arPer}^{eta}QarPert) \ , \end{aligned}$$

we have (6.7).

If h_1 and h_2 yield the same Φ , then we have for $h = h_1 - h_2$

$$0=(har{\Psi}+arphi_{ar{arphi}}^{2lpha}har{\Psi},har{arphi})=||\,har{arphi}\,||^2+||\,arphi_{arphi}^{lpha}har{arphi}\,||^2\,.$$

Hence $h\Psi = 0$ and $h_1 = h_2$, which proves the uniqueness of h.

If $\alpha \ge 1/4$, then we interchange the role of R and R'. Then $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1}$ replaces $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $1/2 - \alpha$ replaces α . We then obtain the latter half of corollary.

REMARK. If $\alpha = 1/4$, then $\varDelta_{\Psi}^{2\alpha}h\Psi = J_{\Psi}h\Psi$, $\varDelta_{\Psi}^{2\alpha-1}h'\Psi = J_{\Psi}h'\Psi$ and hence $h' = j_{\Psi}(h)$.

THEOREM 6. For any normal state μ of a von Neumann algebra R with a cyclic and separating vector Ψ , there exists $\Phi \in V_{\Psi}$ such that $\omega_{\phi} = \mu$.

We first prove a technical lemma.

LEMMA 7. Let Ψ be a cyclic and separating vector for R and S be an operator in R with a bounded inverse $S^{-1} \in R$ such that $S\Psi \in V_{\Psi}$. If $\varDelta_{\Psi}^{1/2}Q\Psi = Q_{1}\Psi$ for some $Q \in R$ and $Q_{1} \in R$, then

(6.9) ${\it L}_{SF}^{_{1/2}}Q(S\Psi)=Q_2(S\Psi)$, $Q_2=SQ_1S^{-1}$.

Proof. By using $J_{\mathbb{F}} = J_{S^{\mathbb{F}}}$ due to $S^{\mathbb{F}} \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$, we have

$$egin{aligned} & arpi_{Sarpi}^{_{1/2}}QSarPerline &= J_{_{SarPerline }}Q^*SarPerline &= Sj_{_{SarPerline }}(Q^*)arPerline &= Sj_{_{SarPerline }}(Q^*)arPerline &= SJ_{arPerline }Q^*arPerline &= SJ_{arPerline }Q^*arPerline &= SQ_1 arPerline &= SQ_1 S^{-1}(SarPerline) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 6.

Step (i). Let $0 < \delta \leq 2^{-4}$. We prove that if Ψ_1 is cyclic and separating vector belonging to $V_{\mathbb{F}}$, $t_1 \in R$, $t'_1 \in R$ and

(6.11)
$$||t_1|| \leq \delta$$
, $||t_1'|| \leq \delta$,

$$(6.13) \qquad \qquad \omega_{\phi} = \omega_{\phi_1} \,.$$

We first note that by Theorem 4 (4) and (5), $J_{\bar{r}_1} = J_{\bar{r}}$ and $V_{\bar{r}} = V_{\bar{r}_1}$. Let

(6.14)
$$t_{1\pm} \equiv (1/2)\{t_1 \pm t_1'\}$$
.

Then

$$J_{\Psi_1}t_{1\pm}\Psi_1=\pm t_{1\pm}\Psi_1.$$

By Theorem 4 (6) and (7), there exists $\Psi_{11} \in V_{\Psi_1}$ and $\Psi_{12} \in V_{\Psi_1}$ such that

HUZIHIRO ARAKI

$$-it_{1-}arPsi_{1}=arPsi_{11}-arPsi_{12}$$
 , $s^{\scriptscriptstyle R}(arPsi_{11})oxplus s^{\scriptscriptstyle R}(arPsi_{12})$.

Let

$$t_{_{11}}\equiv -is^{_{R}}(arPsi_{_{11}})t_{_{1-}}$$
 , $t_{_{12}}\equiv is^{_{R}}(arPsi_{_{12}})t_{_{1-}}$.

Then

$$egin{array}{ll} t_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}arpsi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = arpsi_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} \in V_{arpsi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} \,, & t_{\scriptscriptstyle 12}arpsi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = arPsi_{\scriptscriptstyle 12} \in V_{arpsi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}} \,, \ & || \, t_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} \, || \leq \delta \,, & || \, t_{\scriptscriptstyle 12} \, || \leq \delta \,. \end{array}$$

By Theorem 3 (9), $(\delta - t_{11})\Psi_1 \in V_{\overline{r}_1}$, $(\delta - t_{12})\Psi_1 \in V_{\overline{r}_1}$. Hence by corollary to Theorem 5, there exists $h_1 \in R$ and $h_2 \in R$ such that

$$egin{aligned} 0 &\leq h_1 \leq \delta \;, \qquad 0 \leq h_2 \leq \delta \;, \ t_{11} arpsilon_1 &= (h_1 arpsilon_1 + J_{arpsilon_1} h_1 arpsilon_1)/2 \;, \ t_{12} arpsilon_1 &= (h_2 arpsilon_1 + J_{arpsilon_1} h_2 arpsilon_1)/2 \;. \end{aligned}$$
From $J_{arpsilon_1} h_k arpsilon_1 &= d_{arpsilon_1}^{1/2} h_k^* arpsilon_1 &= d_{arpsilon_1}^{1/2} h_k arpsilon_1 = d_{arpsilon_1}^{1/2} h_k arpsilon_1 = d_{arpsilon_1}^{1/2} h_1 \;, \ we \; ext{obtain} \; h_1' &\equiv au_{arpsilon_1} (-i/2) h_1 = 2t_{11} - h_1 \;, \ h_2' &\equiv au_{arpsilon_1} (-i/2) h_2 = 2t_{12} - h_2 \;. \end{aligned}$

Thus

$$||\,h_1'-h_2'\,|| \leq 2\,||\,t_{\scriptscriptstyle 1-}\,|| + ||\,h_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}-h_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\,|| \leq 3\delta\;.$$

We set

$$egin{aligned} &arPsi_2 \equiv u' arPsi_1 \ , & u' \equiv \exp\left\{-i j_{ ar r_1} (h_1 - h_2)
ight\} , \ &arPsi_2 \equiv S_1 arPsi_1 \ , & S_1 \equiv 1 + t_1 - i (h_1' - h_2') \ , \ &t_2' \equiv (1 + t_1) (-1 + i (h_1' - h_2') + \exp\left\{-i (h_1' - h_2')
ight\}) - i t_1 (h_1' - h_2') \ , \ &t_2 \equiv t_2' S_1^{-1} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Since u' commutes with t_1 and $u'\Psi_1 = \exp\{-i(h'_1 - h'_2)\}\Psi_1$ due to $j_{\Psi_1}(h_1 - h_2)\Psi_1 = (h'_1 - h'_2)\Psi_1$, we obtain

We have

$$S_1 = 1 + t_{1+} + (i/2)\{(h_1 - h_2) - (h_1' - h_2')\}$$

Hence $\tau_{\mathbb{F}_1}(-i/2)S_1^* = S_1$ and $(\tau_{\mathbb{F}_1}(i/4)S_1)$ is symmetric. Furthermore,

336

$$(6.15) || t_{1+} + (i/2) \{ (h_1 - h_2) - (h_1' - h_2') \} || \le 3\delta < 1.$$

Hence $||\tau_{\mathfrak{V}_1}(-i/4)(S_1-1)^*|| \leq 3\delta$ and $\tau_{\mathfrak{V}_1}(i/4)S_1 \geq 0$. Therefore $\mathfrak{V}_2 \in V_{\mathfrak{V}_1} = V_{\mathfrak{V}}$.

Since S_1 is invertible, Ψ_2 is again cyclic and separating. We have

$$egin{aligned} \|t_2'\| &\leq (1+\delta)(e^{3\delta}-1-3\delta)+3\delta^2 \ , \ \|S_1^{-1}\| &\leq (1-3\delta)^{-1} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$||t_2|| \leq a_1 \delta^2$$

with

$$egin{aligned} a_1 &\equiv (1-3\delta)^{-1} \{3+(1+\delta)(e^{3\delta}-1-3\delta)/\delta^2\} \ &\leq (1-3\delta)^{-1} (3+(9/2)(1+\delta)e^{3\delta}) < 16 \end{aligned}$$

for $\delta \leq 2^{-4}$. Hence

 $||t_2|| \leq a\delta$

with $a = a_1 2^{-4} < 1$. By Lemma 7,

$$au_{{}^{w_2}}\!(-i\!/\!2)\!(t_{{}^{2}}^*)=S_{{}_{1}}\!\{ au_{{}^{w_1}}\!(-i\!/\!2)\!(S_{{}^{1}}^{*-1}\!t_{{}^{2}}^{*})\}S_{{}^{1}}^{-1}$$
 .

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Since } \tau_{{\scriptstyle {\mathbb{F}}}_1}(-i/2)S_1^*=S_1,\\ \\ \tau_{{\scriptstyle {\mathbb{F}}}_2}(-i/2)(t_2^*)=\{\tau_{{\scriptstyle {\mathbb{F}}}_1}(-i/2)(t_2'^*)\}S_1^{-1}\\ \\ =\{(-1-i(h_1-h_2)+\exp{\{i(h_1-h_2)\}})(1+\tau_{{\scriptstyle {\mathbb{F}}}_1}(-i/2)(t_1^*))\\ \\ +i(h_1-h_2)\tau_{{\scriptstyle {\mathbb{F}}}_1}(-i/2)(t_1^*)\}S_1^{-1}. \end{array}$$

Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} &|| \, au_{\mathbb{F}_2}(-i/2)(t_z^*) \, || &\leq \{(1+\delta)(e^\delta-1-\delta)+\delta^2\}(1-3\delta)^{-1} \ &\leq a\delta \ . \end{aligned}$$

From (6.15), we also have

$$egin{aligned} &||ec{\Psi}_1 - ec{\Psi}_2|| \leq ||\, 1 - S_1\,||\, ||ec{\Psi}_1\,|| \ &\leq ||\, 1 - S_1\,||\, ||\, (1 + t_1)^{-1}\,||\, arphi_{arphi_1}(1)^{1/2} \ &\leq 3\delta(1 - \delta)^{-1} arphi_{arphi_1}(1)^{1/2} \ &\leq 4\delta arphi_{arphi_1}(1)^{1/2} \ . \end{aligned}$$

We can now repeat the process and obtain a sequence of vectors Φ_n, Ψ_n and operators $t_n \in R$ such that Ψ_n is cyclic and separating, $\Psi_n \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$,

$$egin{aligned} & \varPhi_n = \varPsi_n + t_n \varPsi_n \; , \ & \mid t_n \mid \mid \leq a^{n-1} \delta \; , \qquad \mid \mid au_{\varPsi_n}(-i/2)(t_n^*) \mid \mid \leq a^{n-1} \delta \; , \ & \omega_{\varPhi_n} = \omega_{\varPhi_1} \; , \end{aligned}$$

$$|| ar ar \Psi_n - ar ar \Psi_{n-1} || \leq 4 a^{n-2} \delta \omega_{ar arphi_1}(1)^{1/2} \; .$$

 Ψ_n is a Cauchy sequence and has a limit

$$\Phi = \lim \Psi_n \in V_{\Psi}$$
.

Since $\lim ||t_n \Psi_n|| = 0$, we have

$$arPhi = \lim arPhi_n$$
 , $arphi_{arphi} = \lim arphi_{arphi_n} = arphi_{arphi_1}$.

Step (ii). We prove that if $t^* = t \in R$ and $\tau_{\mathbb{F}}(z)t \in R$ for $\operatorname{Im} z \in [-1, 1]$, then there exists $\Phi \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $\omega_{\varphi} = \omega_{(\exp t)\mathbb{F}}$.

Let $x(\lambda) \equiv (\exp \lambda t) \Psi$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. It is cyclic and separating because Ψ is cyclic and separating and $e^{\lambda t}$ is invertible. We have

$$egin{aligned} &J_{x(\lambda)} arphi_{x(\lambda)}^{\perp l^2} tx(\lambda) = tx(\lambda) = e^{\lambda t} t arPsi \ &= e^{\lambda t} J_{arPsi} \{ au_{arphi}(-i/2) t \} arPsi = t' e^{\lambda t} arPsi \end{aligned}$$

where $t' \equiv j_{\mathfrak{F}} \{ \tau_{\mathfrak{F}}(-i/2)t \} \in R'$. Then

$$egin{aligned} & arpi_{x(\lambda)}^{1/2} J_{x(\lambda)} t'x(\lambda) = t'^*x(\lambda) = e^{\lambda t} t'^* arpsilon \ &= e^{\lambda t} J_{w} arpi_{w}^{-1/2} t' arpsilon = e^{\lambda t} arpi_{w} t arpi = t'' x(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

where $t'' = e^{\lambda t} \{ \tau_{\psi}(-i)t \} e^{-\lambda t}$. Combining two computations, we have

 $\varDelta_{x(\lambda)}tx(\lambda) = t''x(\lambda) .$

By Lemma 6, $\tau_{x(\lambda)}(z)t \in R$ for $\operatorname{Im} z \in [-1, 0]$. Since $(\tau_{x(\lambda)}(\overline{z})t)^*$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Im} z \in (0, 1)$ and coincides with $\tau_{x(\lambda)}(z)t$ at $\operatorname{Im} z = 0$, it is an analytic continuation of $\tau_{x(\lambda)}(z)t$. We have $\tau_{x(\lambda)}(z) \in R$ for $\operatorname{Im} z \in [-1, 1]$ and $||\tau_{x(\lambda)}(z)t|| \leq ||t''||$. We note that $||t|| = ||\tau_{x(\lambda)}(0)t|| \leq ||t''||$.

For $y \in D_{x^{(\lambda)}}$ we have convergence of

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty {(n!)^{-1}} (\lambda' t)^n arDelta_{x(\lambda)}^{-iz} y = e^{\lambda' t} arDelta_{x(\lambda)}^{-iz} y \; ,$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n!)^{-1} arpsi_{x(\lambda)}^{iz} (\lambda' t)^n arpsi_{x(\lambda)}^{-iz} y = \exp \left\{ \lambda' au_{x(\lambda)}(z) t
ight\} y$$

for $\text{Im } z \in [-1, 1]$. Hence

$$arproj_{x(\lambda)}^{iz}e^{\lambda't}arproj_{x(\lambda)}^{-iz}y=\exp{\{\lambda' au_{x(\lambda)}(z)t\}y}\;.$$

In particular, for $\lambda' > 0$,

$$|| \tau_{x(\lambda)}(-i/2)e^{\lambda' t} - 1 || \leq e^{\lambda' ||t''||} - 1$$
.

Let N be a natural number satisfying

$$N \geqq 2^4 C e^{\scriptscriptstyle C}$$
 , $C = e^{2||t||} \, || \, au_{arphi}(-i)t \, || \geqq || \, t^{\prime\prime} \, ||$.

Let $\lambda_n = n/N$. We have

Similarly, for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$,

$$|| \, au_{x(\lambda)}(-i/2) e^{\lambda_1 t} - 1 \, || \leq 2^{-4}$$

In other words, $t^{\prime\prime\prime} \equiv e^{\lambda_1 t} - 1$ satisfies $||t^{\prime\prime\prime}|| \leq 2^{-4}$ and

$$|| \tau_{x(\lambda)}(-i/2)t''' || \leq 2^{-4}$$

for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, and $e^{\lambda_1 t} = 1 + t'''$.

Let $y(n) = \exp(t/N)\Phi(n-1)$, where $\Phi(0) \equiv \Psi$ and $\Phi(n)$ is to be determined inductively such that $\Phi(n) \in V_{\Psi}$, $\Phi(n)$ is cyclic and separating, $\omega_{\Phi(n)} = \omega_{x(\lambda_n)}$ and $n \leq N$. $\Phi(0) \equiv \Psi$ obviously satisfies requirements for $\Phi(n)$, n = 0.

If $\omega_{\phi(n-1)} = \omega_{x(\lambda_{n-1})}$, then $\omega_{y(n)} = \omega_{\exp(tN)x(\lambda_{n-1})} = \omega_{x(\lambda_n)}$. Since $y(n) = (1 + t''')\Phi(n-1)$, we can apply Step (i) if $\Phi(n-1) \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\Phi(n-1)$ is cyclic and separating. There exists $\Phi(n) \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $\omega_{\phi(n)} = \omega_{y(n)} = \omega_{x(\lambda_n)}$. Since $x(\lambda)$ is separating, $s^R(\omega_{\phi(n)}) = 1$. Hence $s^{R'}(\Phi(n)) = j_{\mathbb{F}}\{s^R(\Phi(n))\} = 1$ due to $\Phi(n) \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$. Thus, by induction, we have desired $\Phi(n), n \leq N$. In particular, $\Phi(N) \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ satisfies $\omega_{\phi(N)} = \omega_{(\exp t)\mathbb{F}}$.

Step (iii). Let $S_{\mathbb{F}}$ be the set of all ω_x , $x \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$. $S_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a norm closed subset of R^+_* by (5.10). We prove that any $\rho \in R^+_*$ is in $S_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Since Ψ is cyclic and separating, there exists a positive selfadjoint operator A_2 affiliated with R such that Ψ is in the domain of A_2 and $\rho = \omega_{A_2 \Psi}[3]$. Let $A_2 = \int \lambda dE_{\lambda}, A_2^L = A_2(E_L - E_{1/L}) + \{1 - E_L + (1/L)E_{1/L}), t = (\log A_2^L)(f_{\beta}^{\rho}), \rho_{L\beta} = \omega_{(\exp t)\Psi}$. Then t is a selfadjoint element of \mathfrak{A}_{π_1} . By Step (ii), $\rho_{L\beta} \in S_{\Psi}$. Since $\lim_{L \to +\infty} \lim_{\beta \to +0} || \rho_{L\beta} - \rho || = 0$, we have $\rho \in S_{\Psi}$.

7. Representation of R_*^+ by V_x . We denote the set of all normal positive linear functionals on R by R_*^+ and the set of all normal states on R by R_{*1}^+ . As before ω_x denotes the expectation functional by a vector x.

THEOREM 7. Assume that R and R_{α} have cyclic and separating vectors Ψ and Ψ_{α} , respectively.

(1) The mapping $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ from $\omega_x \in R^+_*$ to $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}(\omega_x) \equiv x \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a bijective homeomorphism from R^+_* onto $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ relative to the norm topologies.

(2) If $\rho = \sum_n \rho_n$, $\rho \in R^+_*$, $\rho_n \in R^+_*$ and $s(\rho_n)$ are mutually orthogonal, then $\sigma_{\rm w}\rho = \sum \sigma_{\rm w}\rho_n$.

(3) If $R = \bigoplus_n R_n$, $\Psi = \bigoplus \Psi_n$, then $\sigma_{\Psi}(\bigoplus \rho_n) = \bigoplus \rho_{\Psi_n}(\rho_n)$ for any $\rho_n \in (R_n)^+_*$, $\bigoplus \rho_n \in R^+_*$.

(4) If $R = \bigotimes (R_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha})$ on $H = \bigotimes (H_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha})$ (the incomplete infinite tensor product containing $\Psi \equiv \bigotimes \Psi_{\alpha}$), then $\sigma_{\Psi}(\otimes \rho_{\alpha}) = \bigotimes \sigma_{\Psi_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha})$ if $\rho_{\alpha} \in (R_{\alpha})^{+}_{*1}$ and $\bigotimes \sigma_{\Psi}(\rho_{\alpha}) \in \bigotimes (H_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha})$. The last condition is equivalent to existence of $\rho \in R^{+}_{*}$ such that

$$ho(Q\otimes(\bigotimes_{lpha\notin J}1_{lpha}))=(\bigotimes_{lpha\notin J}
ho_{lpha})(Q)\;,\qquad Q\in\bigotimes_{lpha\in J}R_{lpha}$$

for every finite index set J. (Symbolically $\bigotimes \rho_{\alpha} \in R_*^+$.)

(5) For any $\Phi \in H$, there exists a unique $|\Phi|_{\mathfrak{x}} \in V_{\mathfrak{x}}$ and a partial isometry $u' \in R'$ such that

$$(7.1) \Phi = u' |\Phi|_{\mathfrak{F}},$$

(7.2)
$$u'u'^* = s^{R'}(\Phi)$$
, $u'^*u' = s^{R'}(|\Phi|_{\mathbb{F}})$.

There also exist a unique $| \Phi |_{x} \in V_{x}$ and a partial isometry $u \in R$ such that

$$(7.3) \Phi = u | \Phi |'_{\mathfrak{F}},$$

(7.4)
$$uu^* = s^R(\Phi) , \qquad u^*u = s^R(|\Phi|'_{\mathfrak{F}}) .$$

They are related by

(7.5)
$$u = j_{\mathfrak{x}}(u')^*$$
, $|\Phi|'_{\mathfrak{x}} = u'j_{\mathfrak{x}}(u') |\Phi|_{\mathfrak{x}}$.

(6) If Φ is any cyclic and separating vector for R, there exists a unitary $w \in R'$ such that

(7.6)
$$\sigma_{\Psi}(\rho) = w \sigma_{\phi}(\rho)$$

for all $\rho \in R_*^+$.

Proof.
$$(1)$$
 follows from Theorem 6, (5.10) and

$$egin{aligned} &|\omega_x(Q)-\omega_y(Q)| = |(x+y,\,Q(x-y))+(x-y,\,Q(x+y))|/2\ &\leq ||x+y||\,||x-y||\,||Q|| \ , \end{aligned}$$

which implies

(7.7)
$$||\omega_x - \omega_y|| \le ||x + y|| ||x - y||.$$

(2) By (1), there exists $\Phi_n \in V_x$ such that $\omega_{\phi_n} = \rho_n$. Since $s(\rho_n)$ are mutually orthogonal, $s^R(\Phi_n) = s(\rho_n)$ are mutually orthogonal and

$$\sum || arPhi_n ||^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = \sum
ho_n(1) =
ho(1) < \infty$$
 .

Hence we have convergence of

 $arPhi = \sum arPhi_n$.

Since $\Phi_n \in V_{\overline{x}}$, $s^{R'}(\Phi_n) = j_{\overline{x}}(s^R(\Phi_n))$ are also mutually orthogonal. Hence

$$egin{aligned} & (arPsi_n,\,QarPsi_m)=(arPsi_n,\,Qs^{\scriptscriptstyle R'}(arPsi_m)arPsi_m)\ &=(s^{\scriptscriptstyle R'}(arPsi_m)arPsi_m,\,QarPsi_m)=0 \end{aligned}$$

for $Q \in R$ and $m \neq n$. Therefore,

$$(\Phi, Q\Phi) = \sum (\Phi_n, Q\Phi_n) = \sum \rho_n(Q) = \rho(Q)$$
.

Hence $\Phi = \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} \rho = \sum \sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} \rho_n$.

(3) This follows from (2).

(4) If $\Psi = \bigotimes \Psi_{\alpha}$, then $J_{\overline{\psi}} = \bigotimes J_{\overline{\psi}_{\alpha}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\psi}} = \bigotimes \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\psi}_{\alpha}}$ which is seen as follows: Let $J = \bigotimes J_{\overline{\psi}_{\alpha}}$, $\mathcal{L}^{it} = \bigotimes \mathcal{L}^{it}_{\overline{\psi}_{\alpha}}$. Then $J\mathcal{L}^{1/2}Q\Psi = Q^*\Psi$ if $Q = \bigotimes Q_{\alpha}$ and $Q_{\alpha} = 1$ except for a finite number of α . Since such Q is * strongly total in $R, J\mathcal{L}^{1/2}Q\Psi = Q^*\Psi$ for any $Q \in R$ and hence $J\mathcal{L}^{1/2} \supset J_{\overline{\psi}}\mathcal{L}^{1/2}_{\overline{\psi}}$. J satisfies (i)-(iv) of Theorem 1. It also satisfies (v) due to $JQ^*\Psi = \mathcal{L}^{1/2}Q\Psi$ and $\mathcal{L} \geq 0$. Hence $J = J_{\overline{\psi}}$. Hence $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\overline{\psi}}$.

If $\bigotimes \sigma_{\Psi_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha}) \in \bigotimes (H_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha})$ and ρ_{α} are faithful, then

$$J_{\otimes \sigma_{\mathscr{V}_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha})} = \bigotimes J_{\sigma_{\mathscr{V}_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha})} = \bigotimes J_{\mathscr{V}_{\alpha}} = J_{\otimes \mathscr{V}_{\alpha}}$$

Let Z_{α} be the center of R_{α} . Then $\{\bigotimes (R_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha})\}' = \bigotimes (R'_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha})$ and hence the center Z of $\bigotimes (R_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha})$ is given by $\bigotimes (Z_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha})$. If z_{α} is a projection in Z_{α} and $z_{\alpha} = 1$ except for a finite number of α , then $z = \bigotimes z_{\alpha} \in Z$ satisfies

$$(\Psi, z\{\bigotimes \sigma_{\Psi_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha})\}) = \prod (\Psi_{\alpha}, z\sigma_{\Psi_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha})) \ge 0$$
.

 Z_{α} and Z can be viewed as $L^{\infty}(\Xi_{\alpha}, \mu_{\alpha})$ and $L^{\infty}(\prod \Xi_{\alpha}, \bigotimes \mu_{\alpha})$ where projections are characteristic functions. Hence any projection in Z can be weakly approximated by a finite sum of projections $z = \bigotimes z_{\alpha}$. This implies

$$(\varPsi, z \{ \bigotimes \sigma_{ \varPsi_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha}) \}) \ge 0$$

for all projections in Z and hence for all $z \in Z$, $z \ge 0$.

By Theorem 4 (5), we have $\bigotimes \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha}) \in V_{\otimes \mathbb{F}_{\alpha}}$. The same conclusion holds for nonfaithful ρ_{α} , by taking a limit of faithful $\rho_{\alpha} + \lambda_{\alpha}\omega_{\mathbb{F}_{\alpha}}$, $\lambda_{\alpha} \geq 0$ as $\sum \lambda_{\alpha} \to 0$. ($\bigotimes \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}(\rho_{\alpha}) \in \bigotimes (H_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha})$ implies $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}(\rho_{\alpha}) = \Psi_{\alpha}$ except for a countable number of α .) We also have

$$igodot
ho_{lpha} \equiv \omega_{\otimes \sigma_{ec T_{lpha}}(
ho_{lpha})} \in R_*^+$$
 .

Hence

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}}(\bigotimes \rho_{\alpha}) = \bigotimes \sigma_{\mathfrak{P}_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha}) \; .$$

Next assume $\bigotimes \rho_{\alpha} \in R_{*}^{*}$. Without loss of generality we may assume $||\Psi_{\alpha}|| = 1$. Let $R(I) = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in I} R_{\alpha}, \Psi(I) = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in I} \Psi_{\alpha}, \Phi_{\alpha} = \sigma_{\Psi_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha}), \Phi = \sigma_{\Psi}(\bigotimes \rho_{\alpha}), \rho_{0}(I) = \omega_{\Psi(I)}$ for an arbitrary index set I and $\rho(J) = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in J} \rho_{\alpha}, \Phi(J) = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in J} \phi_{\alpha}$ for a finite index set J. J^{c} denotes the complement of J in the index set. $\rho_{\alpha} \in R_{*1}^{+}$ implies

$$|| \varPhi_{\alpha} || = || \varPhi(J) || = || \varPhi || = 1$$
.

Since $\Psi(J^c) \otimes z$ is total when J runs over finite index sets and z runs over $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in J} H_{\alpha}$, there exists a finite index set J and a $z \in \bigotimes_{\alpha \in J} H_{\alpha}$ such that $(\varPhi, \Psi(J^c) \otimes z) \neq 0$, ||z|| = 1. Then for any $K \subset J^c$, we have

$$||\,
ho(K)-
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(K)\,||=||\, arphi_{arphi}^{_{R(K)}}-arphi_{arphi(J^C)\otimes z}^{_{R(K)}}\,||<2\;.$$

(If $(x, y) \neq 0$, then (7.7) implies $||\omega_x - \omega_{y'}||^2 \leq (||x||^2 + ||y'||^2)^2 - 4(x, y')^2$ for $y' = e^{i\theta}y$ where θ is a real number such that (x, y') > 0. Hence $||\omega_x - \omega_y|| < ||x||^2 + ||y||^2$.)

By the first part of the proof of (4), we have $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}(K)}(\rho(K)) = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in K} \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha}) = \varPhi(K)$ for a finite index set K where the condition $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in K} \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha}) \in \bigotimes_{\alpha \in K} H_{\alpha}$ is trivially satisfied. By (5.10)

$$\|arphi(K)-arphi(K)\|^{2}\leq \|
ho(K)-
ho_{0}(K)\|^{2}$$

and hence

$$(\varPsi(K),\, arPhi(K)) \geqq 2^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}\!(2-||\,
ho(K)-
ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\!(K)\,||)\equiv \delta>0$$
 ,

where we have used $(\Psi(K), \Phi(K)) \ge 0$ due to $\Phi(K) \in V_{\Psi(K)}$. Since $||\Psi_{\alpha}|| = ||\Phi_{\alpha}|| = 1$, we have $1 \ge (\Psi_{\alpha}, \Phi_{\alpha}) > 0$ and hence

$$1 \geqq \prod_{lpha \in K} (\varPsi_{lpha}, arPsi_{lpha}) \geqq \delta > 0$$

for any finite index set $K \subset J^c$. Hence

$$\sum\limits_{lpha} |1-(arPsi_{lpha},arPsi_{lpha})| < \infty$$

which implies $\bigotimes \Phi_{\alpha} \in \bigotimes (H_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha}).$

Therefore, $\bigotimes \rho_{\alpha} \in R^+_*$ implies $\bigotimes \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha}) \in \bigotimes (H_{\alpha}, \Psi_{\alpha}).$

(5) For any $\Phi \in H$, there exists a unique $|\Phi|_{\mathbb{F}} \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ satisfying $\omega_{\varphi} = \omega_{|\varphi|_{\mathbb{F}}}$ by (1). Then there exists a unique partial isometry $u' \in R'$ satisfying (7.1) and (7.2).

Next set

$$| \varPhi |_{arphi}' \equiv u' j_{arphi}(u') \, | \varPhi |_{arphi} \; .$$

342

Then $| \varPhi |'_{\mathfrak{r}} = j_{\mathfrak{r}}(u') \varPhi$. Since $s^{\mathfrak{r}}(| \varPhi |_{\mathfrak{r}}) = j_{\mathfrak{r}}(s^{\mathfrak{r}'}(| \varPhi |_{\mathfrak{r}})) = j_{\mathfrak{r}}(u'^*u')$, we have $\varPhi = u' | \varPhi |_{\mathfrak{r}} = j_{\mathfrak{r}}(u')^* | \varPhi |'_{\mathfrak{r}}$.

We also have

$$j_{ au}(u')j_{ au}(u')^* = j_{ au}(s^{R'}(arPsi)) = j_{ au}\{s(\omega_{\phi}^{R'})\} = j_{ au}\{s^{R'}(\omega_{|\phi|_{ au}}^{R'})\} \ = j_{ au}\{s^{R'}(|arPsi|_{ au})\} = s^{R}(|arPsi|_{ au})$$

where the last equality is due to $|\Phi|_{\mathbb{F}} \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\omega_x^{R'}$ denotes the expectation functional on R' by a vector x.

Thus (7.5) satisfies (7.3) and (7.4).

To see the uniqueness of $|\Phi|'_{x}$ and u, we note $\omega_{\phi}^{R'} = \omega_{|\phi|'_{x}}^{R'}$. If we interchange the role of R and R' in the definition of $V_{\overline{x}}$, we obtain the same set $V_{\overline{x}}$. Hence by (1), a vector $x \in V_{\overline{x}}$ satisfying $\omega_{x}^{R'} = \rho$ for any given $\rho \in (R')^{+}_{*}$ is unique. Hence the uniqueness of $|\Phi|'_{\overline{x}}$. The unitary operator $u \in R$ satisfying (7.3) and (7.4) is unique because $uQ |\Phi|'_{\overline{x}} = Q\Phi$ for $Q \in R'$ determines u on $s^{R}(|\Phi|'_{\overline{x}})$.

(6) Since Φ is separating $s^{R}(\sigma_{\pi}\omega_{\phi}) = s(\omega_{\phi}) = 1$. Hence $s^{R'}(\sigma_{\pi}\omega_{\phi}) = j_{\pi}\{s^{R}(\sigma_{\pi}\omega_{\phi})\} = 1$ and $\sigma_{\pi}\omega_{\phi}$ is cyclic and separating. By Corollary 2 of § 4, $J_{\sigma_{\pi}\omega_{\phi}} = J_{\pi}$ and $V_{\sigma_{\pi}\omega_{\phi}} = V_{\pi}$.

Since $\omega_{\phi} = \omega_{\sigma_{\overline{x}}\omega_{\phi}}$, there exists a partial isometry $w \in R'$ such that $\sigma_{\overline{x}}\omega_{\phi} = w\Phi$. Since both Φ and $\sigma_{\overline{x}}\omega_{\phi}$ are cyclic, w is unitary.

Since $w \in R'$, we have for $S = J_{w\phi} \varDelta_{w\phi}^{1/2}$ and $S_{\phi} = J_{\phi} \varDelta_{\phi}^{1/2}$,

 $SwQ \Phi = SQw \Phi = Q^*w \Phi = wQ^* \Phi = wS_{\phi}Q \Phi$, $Q \in R$.

Hence $S = wS_{\phi}w^*$ and $J_{\psi} = J_{w\phi} = wJ_{\phi}w^*$. Hence

$$(w\sigma_{\phi}\rho, Qj_{\tau}(Q)w\Phi) = (\sigma_{\phi}\rho, Qj_{\phi}(Q)\Phi) \geq 0$$
.

By Theorem 4(1) and (4),

$$w\sigma_{\varphi}
ho\in V_{w\varphi}=V_{\mathfrak{F}}$$
 .

By the uniqueness in (1), $w\sigma_{\phi}\rho = \sigma_{r}\rho$.

8. Applications of σ_r . The following theorems are examples of applications of Theorem 7.

THEOREM 8. Let Ψ and Φ be cyclic and separating vectors for R. Then the * automorphism

$$Q \in R \longrightarrow j_{arphi}\{j_{arphi}(Q)\} \in R$$

of R is inner.¹

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ The author is informed by Professor Takesaki that Dr. Connes has a simple proof of this.

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 7 (6),

 $J_{\pi} = w J_{\bullet} w^*$

for a unitary $w \in R'$. Setting $u = j_{\varphi}(w^*)$, we have

$$j_{\mathfrak{F}}\{j_{\mathfrak{g}}(Q)\} = uQu^*$$

where u is unitary and $u \in R$.

THEOREM 9. Let \mathfrak{A} be the C^* algebra inductive limit of finite W^* tensor products $\{\bigotimes_{\alpha \in J} R_{\alpha}\} \equiv R(J)$, where J is any finite subset of given index set $\{\alpha\}$. Let $\rho_{\alpha}, \rho'_{\alpha} \in (R_{\alpha})^{+}_{*1}$. Assume that central supports of ρ_{α} and ρ'_{α} are the same. The representations of \mathfrak{A} canonically associated with $\bigotimes \rho_{\alpha}$ and $\bigotimes \rho'_{\alpha}$ are quasi-equivalent, if and only if $\sum d'(\rho_{\alpha}, \rho'_{\alpha})^2 < \infty$, where

$$(8.1) d'(\rho_{\alpha}, \rho'_{\alpha}) \equiv || \sigma_{\Psi_{\alpha}}(\rho_{\alpha}) - \sigma_{\Psi_{\alpha}}(\rho'_{\alpha}) ||$$

does not depend on Ψ_{α} .

Proof. By Theorem 7 (6), $d'(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ does not depend on Ψ .

First assume $\sum d'(
ho_lpha,
ho_lpha')^2<\infty.$ Then there exists a countable index set I such that $d'(\rho_{\alpha}, \rho'_{\alpha}) = 0$ for $\alpha \notin I$. Then $\rho_{\alpha} = \rho'_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \notin I$. By assumption

Hence $\Phi \equiv \bigotimes_{\alpha} \sigma_{\pi_{\alpha}} \rho_{\alpha}$ and $\Phi' \equiv \bigotimes_{\alpha} \sigma_{\pi_{\alpha}} \rho'_{\alpha}$ belong to the same incomplete infinite tensor product $H = \bigotimes (H_{\alpha}, \sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{\alpha}}\rho_{\alpha})$. The C^{*} algebra \mathfrak{A} has a natural representation π on H and $\bigotimes \rho_{\alpha} = \omega_{\varphi}, \bigotimes \rho'_{\alpha} = \omega_{\varphi'}$. Let E_{α} be the central support of ρ_{α} , which is the same as the central support Then $(R_{\alpha} \cup R'_{\alpha})\sigma_{\mathbb{F}_{\alpha}}\rho_{\alpha} = E_{\alpha}H_{\alpha}$. Since $(\bigotimes R_{\alpha})' = \bigotimes R'_{\alpha}$ in an of ρ'_{α} . incomplete infinite tensor product, the central support E of $\bigotimes \sigma_{\pi_{\alpha}} \rho_{\alpha}$ satisfies $EH = \lim_{J\uparrow} (\bigotimes_{\alpha \notin J} \sigma_{\overline{x}_{\alpha}} \rho_{\alpha}) \otimes (\bigotimes_{\alpha \in J} E_{\alpha} H_{\alpha})$. By the same calculation the central support of $\bigotimes \sigma_{\pi_{\alpha}} \rho'_{\alpha}$ coincides with E. Hence $\bigotimes \rho_{\alpha}$ and $\bigotimes \rho'_{\alpha}$ produce quasi-equivalent representations of \mathfrak{A} .

Next assume that representations of \mathfrak{A} associated with $\bigotimes \rho_{\alpha}$ and $\bigotimes
ho'_{\alpha}$ are quasi-equivalent. Let H_{α} , π_{α} , Φ_{α} be canonically associated with ρ_{α} . We have $\omega_{\varphi} = \bigotimes \rho_{\alpha}$ for $\Phi = \bigotimes \Phi_{\alpha}$.

By assumption of quasi-equivalence, there exists $x_n \in \bigotimes (H_{\alpha}, \Phi_{\alpha})$, $x_1 \neq 0$ such that $\bigotimes \rho'_{\alpha} = \sum_n \omega_{x_n}$. Since $(\bigotimes_{\alpha \notin J} \Phi_{\alpha}) \bigotimes z$ is total when J runs over all finite index sets and z runs over $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in J} H_{\alpha}$, there exists a finite index set J and $z \in \bigotimes_{\alpha \in J} H_{\alpha}$ such that $(\mathbf{x}_1, (\bigotimes_{\alpha \in J} \Phi_{\alpha}) \otimes z) \neq 0$. Denote $\rho' = \bigotimes \rho'_{\alpha}$ and $\rho'' = \omega_{(\bigotimes_{\alpha \notin J} \vartheta_{\alpha}) \otimes z}$. Then $||\rho' - \rho''|| < 2$. Let $\rho_{\kappa} = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \kappa} \rho_{\alpha}$, $\rho'_{\kappa} = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in \kappa} \rho'_{\alpha}$. Restrictions of ρ'' and ρ' to

 $\bigotimes_{\alpha \in K} R_{\alpha}$ is ρ_{K} and ρ'_{K} for any finite index set K in J^{c} . By Theorem 7

(4) and (3.10), we have

where $\Psi(K) = \bigotimes_{\alpha \in K} \Psi_{\alpha}$. Since $0 \leq (\sigma_{\Psi_{\alpha}} \rho_{\alpha}, \sigma_{\Psi_{\alpha}} \rho'_{\alpha}) \leq 1$, we have

REMARK 1. The distance $d'(\rho, \rho')$ satisfies

(8.2)
$$d'(\rho, \rho') \ge d(\rho, \rho')$$

where $d(\rho, \rho')$ is the Bures distance [5]. Since $\sum d(\rho_{\alpha}, \rho'_{\alpha})^2 < \infty$ is another necessary and sufficient condition for quasi-equivalence, it must be equivalent to $\sum d'(\rho_{\alpha}, \rho'_{\alpha})^2 < \infty$. Hence there must be a constant $\lambda > 1$, such that

(8.3)
$$\lambda d(\rho, \rho') \ge d'(\rho, \rho') .$$

REMARK 2. If R is semifinite, φ if a σ -finite faithful normal trace on R, H is the Hilbert space of Hilbert-Schmidt operator affiliated with R, Hilbert-Schmidt relative to φ , and R is left multiplication, then an example of $V_{\mathbb{T}}$ is the set of vector corresponding to positive Hilbert-Schmidt operators. The inequality (5.10) correspond to the inequality $||\sigma - \rho||_{\text{tr}} \ge ||\sigma^{1/2} - \rho^{1/2}||_{\text{H.S}}^2$ [7].

THEOREM 10 [6]. $\tau_{\rho}(t)x \rightarrow \tau_{\psi}(t)x$ strongly as $||\rho - \psi|| \rightarrow 0$ where ρ and ψ are faithful positive linear functionals of R, both $x \in R$ and ψ are fixed.

Proof. Let $\xi_{\rho} = \sigma_{\overline{x}}(\rho)$ and $\xi_{\psi} = \sigma_{\overline{x}}(\psi)$ for some cyclic and separating Ψ . Then for $x \in R$,

$$egin{aligned} &\|J_{arepsilon\psi}^{_{1/2}}x \hat{arepsilon}_{\psi} - J_{arepsilon
ho}^{_{1/2}}x \hat{arepsilon}_{arepsilon
ho} \,\| &= \|J_x J_{arepsilon\psi}^{_{1/2}}x \hat{arepsilon}_{\psi} - J_x J_{arepsilon
ho}^{_{1/2}}x \hat{arepsilon}_{arepsilon
ho} \,\| \ &= \|x^*(arepsilon_{\psi} - arepsilon_{
ho})\| \leq \|x\| \,\|\psi - arepsilon\|^{_{1/2}} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Theorem 4 (5) and (8). Hence

$$\|\, (arDelta_{\xi_{\psi}}^{_{1/2}}+1) x \xi_{\psi} - (arDelta_{\xi_{arphi}}^{_{1/2}}+1) x \xi_{
ho}\,\| \leq 2\,\|\,x\,\|\,\|\,\psi\,-\,
ho\,\|^{_{1/2}}\,.$$

Since $||(\varDelta_{\varepsilon\psi}^{1/2}+1)^{-1}|| \leq 1$, we have

$$egin{aligned} &\|\{(arLambda_{\hat{arepsilon}
ho}^{_{1/2}}+1)^{-1}-(arLambda_{\hat{arepsilon}\psi}^{_{1/2}}+1)xigin\{+1)xigin\{+1)xigin\{+1\}xigin\{+1)xigin\{+1\}$$

Since $\Delta_{\xi\psi}^{_{1/2}}$ is essentially self-adjoint on $R\xi_{\psi}$, $(\Delta_{\xi\psi}^{_{1/2}}+1)R\xi_{\psi}$ is dense.

HUZIHIRO ARAKI

Hence by uniform boundedness $|| (\mathcal{A}_{\xi_{\rho}}^{1/2} + 1)^{-1} || \leq 1$,

$$(\mathscr{A}_{\varepsilon_{\rho}}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2}+1)^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} \rightarrow (\mathscr{A}_{\varepsilon_{\psi}}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2}+1)^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}$$

strongly as $||\xi_{\rho} - \xi_{\psi}|| \to 0$. Let $f_t((u+1)^{-1}) = u^{2it}$. f_t is a family of continuous functions on (0, 1), equicontinuous on compact subsets of (0, 1) for bounded t and uniformly bounded. Hence by [4]

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon_{\rho}}^{it} \to \Delta_{\varepsilon_{\psi}}^{it}$$
 strongly as $||\rho - \psi|| \to 0$

uniformly in t in a compact set. This implies $\tau_{\rho}(t)x \to \tau_{\psi}(t)x$ strongly as $||\rho - \psi|| \to 0$, uniformly in t in a compact set.

REMARK 3. A similar application yields an alternative proof of Theorem 3 of [6]:

In Theorem 3 of [6], let

$$arphi_{\mathbf{i}}(x) = (1-\lambda)^{-1} \{ \lambda \varphi(uxu^*) + (1-\lambda) \varphi(uu^*xuu^*) \} \; .$$

Then $\varphi_1 \geq 0$, φ_1 is faithful if φ is faithful and

$$egin{aligned} &|| \, arphi_{\mathrm{l}}(1) - 1 \, || = \lambda (1 - \lambda)^{-1} arphi(uu^*) - arphi(u^*u) \ &\leq (1 - \lambda)^{-1} arepsilon \; . \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$egin{aligned} &|| \, arphi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(x) - arphi(x) \,|| &\leq (1 \,-\, \lambda)^{-1} \,|\, \lambda arphi(uxu^*) - (1 \,-\, \lambda) arphi(xu^*u) \,| \ &+\, \lambda^{-1} \,|\, \lambda arphi(uu^*xuu^*) - (1 \,-\, \lambda) arphi(u^*xuu^*u) \,| \ &+\, \lambda^{-1} \,|\, (1 \,-\, \lambda) arphi(u^*xu) \,-\, \lambda arphi(xuu^*) \,| \ &\leq (2 \,-\, \lambda)(1 \,-\, \lambda)^{-1} \,||\, x \,|| \,arepsilon \;. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|| \, arphi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} - arphi \, || \leq (2 \, - \, \lambda) (1 \, - \, \lambda)^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} \! \lambda^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} \! arepsilon \; .$$

It is easily seen that $\lambda \varphi_i(xu^*) = (1 - \lambda)\varphi(u^*x)$ and hence

$$(\varDelta_{arphi_1}^{_{1/2}}-\lambda^{_{1/2}}\!(1-\lambda)^{_{-1/2}})u^*\xi_{arphi_1}=0\;.$$

Since $||u^*\xi_{\varphi_1}||^2 = \varphi(uu^*) \ge 1 - \lambda - \varepsilon$, we have

$$\| (arDelta_arphi^{1/2} - \lambda^{1/2} (1-\lambda)^{-1/2}) u^* \! \hat{\xi}_arphi \| \leq (1+\lambda^{1/2} (1-\lambda)^{-1/2}) \, \| \, arphi - arPsi_1 \|^{1/2} \, .$$

This proves Theorem 3 of [6].

Let Aut (R) denote the set of all *-automorphisms of R. Each $g \in Aut(R)$ induces an adjoint mapping on R_*^+ :

$$(g^*\varphi)(x) = \varphi(g(x))$$
.

THEOREM 11. There exists a unitary representation $U_{\mathbf{y}}(g)$ of

346

 $\operatorname{Aut}(R)$ such that

$$(8.4) \hspace{1.5cm} U_{\scriptscriptstyle {\rm I\!\! F}}(g) x \hspace{1.5cm} U_{\scriptscriptstyle {\rm I\!\! F}}(g)^* \hspace{1.5cm} = \hspace{1.5cm} g(x) \hspace{1.5cm} , \hspace{1.5cm} x \in R \hspace{1.5cm} ,$$

$$(8.5) U_{\mathfrak{F}}(g)\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(g^*\rho)=\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho)\;, \qquad \rho\in R^+_*\;.$$

Each $U_{\mathbb{F}}(g)$, $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(R)$, commutes with $J_{\mathbb{F}}$. For two cyclic and separating vectors Ψ and Φ , $U_{\mathbb{F}}$ and U_{ϕ} are unitarily equivalent through a unitary operator $u' \in R'$:

$$(8.6) u' U_{\mathfrak{F}}(g) = U_{\mathfrak{g}}(g) u' .$$

Proof. Let $\xi(g) = \sigma_{\tau}(g^*\omega_{\tau})$ where ω_{τ} is the expectation functional by Ψ . We define

$$(8.7) U_0(g)x\Psi = g(x)\xi(g^{-1}) , x \in R .$$

We have

$$(g(x)\xi(g^{-1}), g(y)\xi(g^{-1})) = (g^{-1})^*\omega_x(g(x^*y)) = (x\varPsi, y\varPsi)$$

Hence $U_0(g)$ is well-defined and its closure $U_{\mathbb{F}}(g)$ is isometric. Since $g^*\omega_{\mathbb{F}}$ is faithful because Ψ is separating and g is an automorphism, $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}(g^*\omega_{\mathbb{F}}) = \xi(g)$ is separating. Since $\xi(g) \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$, it is cyclic if it is separating. Hence $U_{\mathbb{F}}(g)$ is unitary.

From the definition (8.7), $U_0(g)x = g(x)U_0(g)$ and hence (8.4) holds. Let $S_1 = J_{\mathbb{F}} \Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{1/2}$ and $S_2 = J_{\xi} \Delta_{\xi}^{1/2}$ for $\xi = \xi(g^{-1})$. We have

$$egin{array}{lll} U(g)S_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}xarPer=U(g)x^*arPer=g(x^*)arpi\ =S_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}g(x)arpi=S_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}U(g)xarPer\ =S_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}U(g)xarPer\ \end{array}$$

for $x \in R$. Since $R\Psi$ is a core of S_1 and $R\xi$ is a core of S_2 , we have $U(g)S_1U(g)^* = S_2$. By the uniqueness of polar decomposition, we have $U(g)J_TU(g)^* = J_{\xi}$. Since $\xi(g^{-1}) \in V_T$, we have $J_{\xi} = J_T$. Hence U(g) commutes with J_T .

Let $x \in R$ and $\psi \in V_{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then

$$egin{aligned} &(U(g)\psi,\,xj_{arepsilon}(x)\hat{arepsilon}) = (\,U(g)\psi,\,\{U(g)yU(g)^*\}J_{arepsilon}\{U(g)yU(g)^*\}\hat{arepsilon}) \ &= (\psi,\,yj_{arepsilon}(y)arepsilon) \ge 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $y = g^{-1}(x)$, $J_{\xi} = J_{\overline{x}}$, $[U(g), J_{\overline{x}}] = 0$, $U(g)^* \xi = \mathcal{V}$. This implies

$$U(g)\psi\in V'_{arepsilon}=~V_{arepsilon}=~V_{arepsilon}$$
 .

Hence $U(g) V_{\mathfrak{F}} \subset V_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

By (8.4), we have for $\psi = U(g)\sigma_{r}(\rho)$ and $\rho \in R^+_*$

$$\omega_{\psi}(gx) = \rho(x) \; .$$

By $U(g)\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}(\rho) \in V_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have (8.5).

From (8.5), we have

$$U_{arpsilon}(g_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) \, U_{arpsilon}(g_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \psi \, = \, U_{arpsilon}(g_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}g_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \psi$$

for $\psi \in \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}(R^+_*) = V_{\mathbb{F}}$. Since $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ linearly span H, we have

$$U_{\mathfrak{F}}(g_1)U_{\mathfrak{F}}(g_2) = U_{\mathfrak{F}}(g_1g_2) \;.$$

For two cyclic and separating vectors Ψ and Φ , there exists a unitary $u' \in R'$ such that $u'\sigma_{\pi}(\omega_{\varphi}) = \Phi$, which automatically satisfies $u'\sigma_{\pi}(\rho) = \sigma_{\varphi}(\rho)$ for all $\rho \in R_*^+$. Then

$$egin{aligned} u'U_{ au}(g)\sigma_{ au}(g^*
ho) &= u'\sigma_{ au}(
ho) = \sigma_{\phi}(
ho) = U_{\phi}(g)\sigma_{\phi}(g^*
ho) \ &= U_{\phi}(g)u'\sigma_{ au}(g^*
ho) \;. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_{\Psi}(g^*\rho)$, $\rho \in R_*^+$, is total, we have (8.6).

REMARK. The weak, strong and *-strong topologies coincide on unitaries and they induce a topology τ_{U} on Aut(R) through $U_{\mathbb{F}}(g)$. Since the multiplication of unitaries is continuous relative to strong topology, (Aut(R), τ_{U}) is a topological group. On Aut(R) there is a topology τ by the norm convergence of $g^*\rho$ for every $\rho \in R_*^+$. The two topologies τ and τ_{U} coincide which can be seen as follows:

The strong convergence of $U_{\overline{x}}(g)$ is equivalent to the strong convergence of $U_{\overline{x}}(g)^*$.

Since $V_{\overline{x}}$ span H, the strong convergence of $U_{\overline{x}}(g)^*$ is equivalent to the strong convergence of $U_{\overline{x}}(g^{-1})\sigma_{\overline{x}}(\rho) = \sigma_{\overline{x}}(g^*\rho)$ for each $\rho \in R_*^+$.

Since $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}$ is a homeomorphism, the strong convergence of $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}(g^*\rho)$ is equivalent to the norm convergence of $g^*\rho$ for each $\rho \in R^+_*$.

9. Radon-Nikodym derivative satisfying a chain rule.

THEOREM 12. Let $\rho, \mu \in R^+_*$ and Ψ be a cyclic and separating vector.

(1) The following two conditions are equivalent.

- (a) $l\rho \ge \mu$ for some l.
- (β) There exists $A = A(\mu/\rho) \in R$ such that

(9.1)
$$\mu(x) = \rho(A^*xA), \qquad A\sigma_{\mathfrak{v}}(\rho) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{v}}(\mu),$$

$$(9.2) s(\rho) \ge s(A^*A) .$$

The operator $A \in R$ satisfying (9.1) and (9.2) is unique. (2) If (α) or (β) holds, then

- (9.3) $||A(\mu/\rho)||^2 = \inf \{l; l\rho \ge \mu\}$,
- (9.4) $|| A(\mu/\rho) || \sigma_{\mathfrak{V}}(\rho) \geq \sigma_{\mathfrak{V}}(\mu)$

where $x \ge y$ denotes $x - y \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$.

(3) If
$$l_1\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$$
, $l_2\mu_2 \geq \mu_3$, then

(9.5)
$$A(\mu_3/\mu_1) = A(\mu_3/\mu_2)A(\mu_2/\mu_1) .$$

(4)
$$A(\mu/\mu) = s(\mu)$$
.

(5) $A(\mu/\rho)$ does not depend on Ψ .

Proof. (1) First assume (β). Noting $J_{\mathfrak{F}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho)$, we have $\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mu) = J_{\mathfrak{F}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mu) = J_{\mathfrak{F}}A\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho) = j_{\mathfrak{F}}(A)\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho)$.

Hence

(9.6)
$$\begin{aligned} \mu(Q) &= (Q^{1/2}\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho), \, j_{\mathfrak{F}}(A^*A)Q^{1/2}\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho)) \\ &\leq ||\, j_{\mathfrak{F}}(A^*A)\,||\,\rho(Q) \end{aligned}$$

for $Q \ge 0$, $Q \in R$. Hence (β) implies (α). Next assume (α). Then there exists $t' \in R'$ such that

(9.7)
$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{x}}(\mu) = t'\sigma_{\mathfrak{x}}(\rho) , \qquad ||t'|| \leq l .$$

Since $J_{\mathfrak{r}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}(\mu) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}(\mu)$ and $J_{\mathfrak{r}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}(\rho) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}(\rho)$, we have

Hence we have (9.1) with

 $A=j_{ argar{v}}\{t's^{\scriptscriptstyle R'}(\sigma_{ argar{v}}(
ho))\}\;.$

Since $j_{\mathfrak{r}}\{s^{\mathfrak{K}'}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}(\rho))\} = s^{\mathfrak{K}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}(\rho)) = s(\rho)$ due to $J_{\mathfrak{r}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}(\rho) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}(\rho)$, we have $s^{\mathfrak{K}}(A^*A) \leq j_{\mathfrak{r}}\{s^{\mathfrak{K}'}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}(\rho))\} = s(\rho)$.

If $A_1\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho) = A_2\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mu)$, then $(A_1 - A_2)\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho) = 0$. Hence $(A_1 - A_2)s^{\mathfrak{F}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho)) = 0$. By (9.2), $A_ks^{\mathfrak{F}}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{F}}(\rho)) = A_k$ and hence $A_1 = A_2$. (2) From (9.6), we have

$$l_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \equiv \inf \left\{ l; \, l
ho \geqq \mu
ight\} \leqq || \, A^* A \, || = || \, A \, ||^2$$
 .

From (9.7), we have

$$||A||^2 \leq ||t'||^2 \leq l$$

for any *l* satisfying $l\rho \ge \mu$. Hence we have (9.3).

To prove (9.4), we first show that

(9.8)
$$s(AA^*) = s(\mu)$$

For $e \in R$, $e \ge 0$, $\mu(e) = 0$ is equivalent to $eA\sigma_{\overline{r}}(\rho) = 0$, which is equiva-

lent to eA = 0 due to $s(A^*A) \leq s(\rho)$. Hence (9.8) holds. We now consider restriction of R and H by $s(\rho)j_{\mathbb{F}}\{s(\rho)\}$. Let $M = s(\rho)Rs(\rho) \mid K$, $K = s(\rho)j_{\mathbb{F}}\{s(\rho)\}H$. ξ_{ρ} is cyclic and separating and

$$s(
ho)j_{ au}\{s(
ho)\}A\xi_{
ho}=s(
ho)A\xi_{
ho}=A\xi_{
ho}$$

where $s(\rho) \ge s(\mu)$ due to $l\rho \ge \mu$, which implies $s(\rho)A = A$, and $j_{\mathfrak{F}}\{s(\rho)\}\xi_{\rho} = \xi_{\rho}$. Thus $A\xi_{\rho} \in V_{\xi_{\rho}} = s(\rho)j_{\mathfrak{F}}\{s(\rho)\}V_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

By Theorem 3 (9), we have (9.4).

- (3) follows from the uniqueness.
- (4) $s(\mu)$ satisfies (9.1) and (9.2) with $\rho = \mu$.
- (5) follows from Theorem 7 (6).

REMARK. If R is commutative, $A(\mu/\rho)$ is the same as the positive square root of the Radon-Nikodym derivative in measure theoretical sense. The following theorem gives a condition that $A(\mu/\rho)$ coincides with Sakai's noncommutative Radon-Nikodym derivative. Because of the chain rule, it also coincides with the condition $A_1(\mu/\rho) = A_2(\mu/\rho)$ when $l_1\mu \ge \rho$ and $l_2\rho \ge \mu$, where $A_k(\mu/\nu)$, k = 1, 2, are defined in [3].

THEOREM 13. If $l\rho \ge \mu$, the following conditions are equivalent. (a) $A(\mu/\rho)^* = A(\mu/\rho)$,

(b) $A(\mu/\rho) \geq 0$,

(c) $\tau_{\rho}(t)A(\mu/\rho) = A(\mu/\rho)$ where $\tau_{\rho}(t)$ is the modular automorphism for the state ρ of the reduced algebra $s(\rho)Rs(\rho)$.

(d) μ commutes with ρ .

Proof. If (c) holds, then $A(\mu/\rho)\xi_{\rho} = \xi_{\mu} \in V_{\xi_{\rho}}$ implies

$$0 \leq au_{
ho}(i/4)A(\mu/
ho) = A(\mu/
ho)$$
 .

Hence (c) implies (b). (b) trivially implies (a).

Assume (a). For any $Q \in R$ and $A = A(\mu/\rho)$, we have

$$egin{aligned} &(\xi_{
ho},\,QA\xi_{
ho})=(\xi_{
ho},\,QJ_{\xi_{
ho}}A\xi_{
ho})=(\xi_{
ho},\,Qj_{\xi_{
ho}}(A)\xi_{
ho})\ &=(j_{\xi_{
ho}}(A)\xi_{
ho},\,Q\xi_{
ho})=(J_{\xi_{
ho}}A\xi_{
ho},\,Q\xi_{
ho})\ &=(A\xi_{
ho},\,Q\xi_{
ho})=(\xi_{
ho},\,AQ\xi_{
ho})\;. \end{aligned}$$

Such A is known to be invariant under $\tau_{\rho}(t)$. ([9]) The equivalence of (c) and (d) is known. ([9])

10. Ψ -bounded operators. We shall call $Q \in R \Psi$ -bounded if

$$\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle Q arpi} \leq l \omega_{arpi}$$
 .

for some $l \ge 0$. We shall call $Q \in R$ Ψ -symmetric if

 $J_{\mathbf{v}}Q\Psi = Q\Psi$.

We shall call $Q \in R$ Ψ -positive if

 $Q \varPsi \in V_{\varPsi}$.

THEOREM 14.

(1) Q is Ψ -bounded if and only if there exists a $Q^{\Psi} \in R$ such that

$$(10.1) \qquad \qquad \Delta_{\overline{v}}^{1/2}Q^*\overline{\psi} = Q^{\overline{v}}\overline{\psi} .$$

(2) Any Ψ -bounded Q can be decomposed as $Q = Q_r + iQ_i$ where $Q_r, Q_i \in R$ and both are Ψ -symmetric.

(3) Any Ψ -symmetric $Q \in R$ is Ψ -bounded and $Q^{\Psi} = Q$. It has a decomposition

(10.2)
$$Q = Q_1 - Q_2$$

where $Q_1, Q_2 \in R$, both Q_1 and Q_2 are Ψ -positive, $||Q_1|| \leq ||Q||$, $||Q_2|| \leq ||Q||$ and

(10.3)
$$s(Q_1Q_1^*) \perp s(Q_2Q_2^*)$$
.

(4) Any $Q \in R$ has a unique decomposition

$$(10.4) Q = u | Q |_{\mathbb{F}}$$

where u is a partial isometry in R such that

(10.5)
$$u^* u = s(|Q|_{\mathbb{F}} |Q|_{\mathbb{F}})$$

and $|Q|_{\Psi}$ is Ψ -positive.

(5) $Q \in R$ is Ψ -positive if and only if Q is Ψ -symmetric and $\tau_{\Psi}(i/4)Q$ is positive.

Proof (1). If $\omega_{Q^{T}} \leq l\omega_{T}$, then there exists $Q' \in R'$, $0 \leq Q' \leq l^{1/2}$ such that $\omega_{Q^{T}} = \omega_{Q'^{T}}$. Then there exists a partial isometry $u' \in R'$ such that $Q^{T} = u'Q'^{T}$. Let $Q^{T} \equiv j_{T}(u'Q')$. We have

$$Q^{\operatorname{\mathfrak{p}}} \operatorname{\mathfrak{P}} = J_{\operatorname{\mathfrak{p}}} u' Q' \operatorname{\mathfrak{P}} = J_{\operatorname{\mathfrak{p}}} Q \operatorname{\mathfrak{P}} = \varDelta_{\operatorname{\mathfrak{p}}}^{1/2} Q^* \operatorname{\mathfrak{P}} \ .$$

Conversely, if (10.1) holds, then

$$Q ar Y = J_{ar x} ar d^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2}_{ar x} Q^* ar Y = J_{ar x} Q^{ar x} ar Y = j_{ar x} (Q^{ar x}) ar Y \; .$$

Hence $\omega_{Q_{\mathbb{F}}} \leq ||j_{\mathbb{F}}(Q^{\mathbb{F}})||^2 \omega_{\mathbb{F}}$.

(2) Define $Q_r = (Q + Q^{\mathbb{F}})/2$, $Q_i = (Q - Q^{\mathbb{F}})/(2i)$. Then both are Ψ -symmetric and $Q = Q_r + iQ_i$.

(3) Let $Q\Psi = \Phi_1 - \Phi_2$, $\Phi_1 \in V_{\overline{x}}$, $\Phi_2 \in V_{\overline{x}}$, $s^{R'}(\Phi_1) \perp s^{R'}(\Phi_2)$, $s^{R}(\Phi_1) \perp$

 $S^{\mathbb{R}}(\Phi_2)$ be the decomposition given by Theorem 4 (6). Denote $s' = s^{\mathbb{R}'}(\Phi_1)$. We have $\Phi_1 = s'Q\Psi$. Hence $\omega_{\Phi_1} = w_{s'Q\Psi} \leq \omega_{Q\Psi}$. Since $Q\Psi = J_{\mathbb{T}}Q\Psi = j_{\mathbb{T}}(Q)\Psi$, $\omega_{Q\Psi} \leq ||j_{\mathbb{T}}(Q)||^2 \omega_{\mathbb{T}} = ||Q||^2 \omega_{\mathbb{T}}$. Hence by Theorem 3 (8), there exists a Ψ -positive $Q_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi_1 = Q_1 \Psi$ and $||Q_1|| \leq ||Q||$. Similarly there exists Ψ -positive $Q_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi_2 = Q_2 \Psi$ and $||Q_2|| \leq ||Q||$. Since Ψ is separating, (10.2) holds.

Since Ψ is separating for R, we have $s^{\mathbb{R}}(Q_k\Psi) = s(Q_kQ_k^*)$, k = 1, 2. Since $s^{\mathbb{R}}(\Phi_1) \perp s^{\mathbb{R}}(\Phi_2)$, we have (10.3).

(4) Let $\rho = \omega_{J_{\overline{x}}Q\overline{x}}$. Then $\rho \leq ||j_{\overline{x}}(Q)||^2 \omega_{\overline{x}} = ||Q||^2 \omega_{\overline{x}}$. Hence there exists a $\overline{\Psi}$ -positive $Q_1 \in R$ such that $\sigma_{\overline{x}}\rho = Q_1\Psi$. Since $\omega_{J_{\overline{x}}Q\overline{x}} = \omega_{Q_1\overline{x}}$, there exists a partial isometry $u' \in R'$ such that $J_{\overline{x}}Q\Psi = u'Q_1\Psi$ and $u'^*u' = s^{R'}(Q_1\Psi) = j_{\overline{x}}\{s^R(Q_1\Psi)\} = j_{\overline{x}}\{s(Q_1Q_1^*)\}$ where we have used the property $J_{\overline{x}}Q_1\Psi = Q_1\Psi$.

We now have $Q\Psi = J_{\mathbb{F}}u'Q_{1}\Psi = j_{\mathbb{F}}(u')J_{\mathbb{F}}Q_{1}\Psi = uQ_{1}\Psi$ where $u \equiv j_{\mathbb{F}}(u')$. Since Ψ is separating for $R, Q = uQ_{1}$. We have $u^{*}u = j_{\mathbb{F}}(u'^{*}u') = s(Q_{1}Q_{1}^{*})$. Hence $Q_{1} = |Q|_{\mathbb{F}}$ and u satisfy (10.4) and (10.5).

Conversely, assume that $Q = u_k Q_k$, Q_k is Ψ -positive, u_k is partially isometric, u_k , $Q_k \in R$, $u_k^* u_k = s(Q_k Q_k^*)$, k = 1, 2. Then $\omega_{J_T Q^T} = \omega_{Q_k T}$ where we have used $J_T Q_k \Psi = Q_k \Psi$. Since $Q_k \Psi \in V_T$, such $Q_k \Psi$ is unique by Theorem 7 (1) and we have $Q_1 = Q_2$.

Since $u_1Q_1 = u_2Q_2 = u_2Q_1$, we have $(u_1 - u_2)s(Q_1Q_1^*) = 0$. Since $u_1^*u_1 = s(Q_1Q_1^*) = s(Q_2Q_2^*) = u_2^*u_2$, we have $u_ks(Q_1Q_1^*) = u_k$, k = 1, 2, and hence $u_1 = u_2$.

(5) Q is Ψ -symmetric if Q is Ψ -positive by (5.2). By Theorem 3 (7) with $\alpha = 1/4$, $\tau_{\mathbb{F}}(i/4)Q \ge 0$ if $Q\Psi \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$. If Q is Ψ -symmetric, then $JQ\Psi = Q\Psi$. Hence $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{1/2}Q^*\Psi = Q\Psi$, which implies $\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1/2}Q\Psi = Q^*\Psi$. Hence $\tau_{\mathbb{F}(z)}Q \in R$ can be defined by Lemma 6 for Im $z \in [0, 1/2]$. Hence $(\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1/4}Q\Delta_{\mathbb{F}}^{1/4})^{-1}$ is in R. If it is positive, then $Q\Psi \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$ by Theorem 3 (7).

THEOREM 15. If $\rho \leq l\omega_{\mathbb{F}}$, there exists $Q \in R$, $0 \leq Q \leq l^{1/4}$ such that $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\rho = Qj_{\mathbb{F}}(Q)\mathbb{F}$.

Proof. Let $\rho_1(A) = (\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\rho, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{F}}^{1/4}A\mathbb{F})$ for $A \in R$. Then $\rho_1 \in R_*^+$. Since $\rho \leq l\omega_{\mathbb{F}}$, there exists $Q_1 \in R$ such that $Q_1\mathbb{F} = \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\rho$, $||Q_1||^2 \leq l$. Then $Q_1\mathbb{F} = j_{\mathbb{F}}(Q_1)\mathbb{F}$ and

$$arDelta_{arPsi}^{_{1/4}} j_{arpsi}(Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) arPsi = j_{arPsi}(au_{arpsi}(i/4)Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) arPsi$$
 ,

where

$$0 \leq au_{ au}(i/4)Q_1 \equiv Q_2 \leq ||Q_1|| \leq l^{1/2}$$

by Theorem 3 (7).

Set $Q'_2 = j_{\overline{x}}(Q_2)$. We have

$$ho_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(A) = (Q_2' arPsi, A arPsi) = (Q_2'^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2} arPsi, A Q_2'^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2} arPsi) \;.$$

Hence $\rho_1 \leq ||Q_2'|| \omega_{\mathbb{F}} \leq l^{1/2}\omega_{\mathbb{F}}$. By Theorem 7 (1), there exists a \mathscr{V} -positive $Q_3 \in R$ such that $\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}\rho_1 = Q_3\mathscr{V}$, $||Q_3|| \leq l^{1/4}$. Let $Q = \tau_{\mathbb{F}}(i/4)Q_3$. By Theorem 3 (7), $||Q|| \leq ||Q_3|| \leq l^{1/4}$ and $Q \geq 0$. We have $Q_3\mathscr{V} = J_{\mathbb{F}}Q_3\mathscr{V} = j_{\mathbb{F}}(Q_3)\mathscr{V}$ and hence

Since $\Delta_{\Psi}^{1/4}A\Psi$, $A \in R$, is dense, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{P}} \rho = Q j_{\mathfrak{P}}(Q) \mathfrak{P} .$$

11. Additional remarks. In this paper, we have assumed that R has a faithful normal state. This assumption is not essential in defining $d'(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ and $(\sigma_{\pi}\rho_1, \sigma_{\pi}\rho_2)$. They can be defined relative to sRs where $s = s(\rho_1) \vee s(\rho_2)$. With such definition, Theorem 9 holds.

The cone $W_{\mathbb{F}}$ has been introduced as the weakly closed convex hull of $Qj_{\mathbb{F}}(Q), Q \in R$. It is a weakly closed selfadjoint convex cone which form a semigroup under multiplication. It is total in $W \equiv (R \cup R')''$.

If $\rho \in W_*$ is of the form $\rho = \sum_j \omega_{x_j y_j}$ with $x_j, y_j \in V_{\overline{x}}$, then $\rho(w) \ge 0$ for all $w \in W_{\overline{x}}$. If $\rho \in W_*$, $\rho = \omega_x$ and $\rho(w) \ge 0$ for all $w \in W_{\overline{x}}$, then $\rho = \omega_y$ for $y \in V_{\overline{x}}$ by Theorem 3. It is of interest to determine the dual of $W_{\overline{x}}$ in W_* . If R is a type I factor, the dual of $W_{\overline{x}}$ consists of $\rho = \sum_j \omega_{x_j y_j}, x_j, y_j \in V_{\overline{x}}$.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Professor Coleman and Professor Woods for their warm hospitality at Department of Mathematics, Queen's University, where this work has been done. The author would like to thank Drs. G. Elliott and O. Nielsen for helpful comments.

Appendix. The result that V_{τ} is selfdual can be proved directly as follows:

We define $V_{\mathfrak{F}}$ first as the closed convex hull of $\{Qj_{\mathfrak{F}}(Q)\mathfrak{F}; Q \in R\}$. Then (5.1)~(5.4) are immediate. In particular (5.4) shows $V_{\mathfrak{F}} \subset V'_{\mathfrak{F}}$. Let $\Phi \in V'_{\mathfrak{F}}$.

By noncommutative Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists a positive selfadjoint A_2 affiliated with R and a partial isometry $u' \in R'$ such that $\Phi = u'A_2\Psi$. If $A_2 = \int \lambda dE_\lambda$, we set $A_2^L = A_2E_L$ and

$$arPhi^{\scriptscriptstyle L}\equiv E_{\scriptscriptstyle L} j_{\scriptscriptstyle arPhi}(E_{\scriptscriptstyle L})arPhi=j_{\scriptscriptstyle arPhi}(E_{\scriptscriptstyle L}) u' A_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle L} arPhi$$

Then $\lim \Phi^{\scriptscriptstyle L} = \Phi$ and $\Phi^{\scriptscriptstyle L} \in V'_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$. Since $\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle \Phi} L \leq \omega_{A_2^{\scriptscriptstyle L} \scriptscriptstyle T}$, there exists $t \in R$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and a partial isometry $w \in R'$ such that

$$arPerla^{\scriptscriptstyle L}=wtA^{\scriptscriptstyle L}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}arPerla,\,w^*arPerla^{\scriptscriptstyle L}=tA^{\scriptscriptstyle L}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}arPerl,\,s^{\scriptscriptstyle R\prime}(arPerla^{\scriptscriptstyle L})=ww^*\;.$$

353

Set $\Phi' = A_3 \Psi$, $A_3 \equiv j_{\mathbb{F}}(w^*)tA_2^L \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\Phi' = w^*j_{\mathbb{F}}(w^*)\Phi^L \in V'_{\mathbb{F}}$. Since $\Phi^L \in V'_{\mathbb{F}}$, we have $(x, J_{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^L) = (\Phi^L, J_{\mathbb{F}}x) = (\Phi^L, x) = (x, \Phi^L) \ge 0$ for $x \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$. Since $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ is total, we have $J_{\mathbb{F}}\Phi^L = \Phi^L$ and hence $j_{\mathbb{F}}(w)w\Phi' = j_{\mathbb{F}}(ww^*)\Phi^L = \Phi^L$. Hence it is enough to show $\Phi' \in V_{\mathbb{F}}$. Let $\Phi'_{\beta} = A_4\Psi$, $A_4 = A_3(f^G_{\beta})$ defined by (3.7) and (3.11). Then $\Phi'_{\beta} \in V'_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\lim_{\beta \to +0} \Phi'_{\beta} = \Phi'$. Let $A_5 = \tau(i/4)A_4$. Since $A_4\Psi \in V'_{\mathbb{F}}$, we have

$$egin{aligned} & (arDelta_{arphi}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/4} arDelta arPsi, \, A_5 arDelta_{arPsi}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/4} Q arPsi) = (Q arPsi, \, A_4 arDelta_{arPsi}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2} Q arPsi) = (Q arPsi, \, A_4 arDelta_{arPsi}) arPsi) \ &= (j_{arPsi}(Q) Q arPsi, \, A_4 arPsi) \geqq 0 \, \, . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta_{\Psi}^{1/4} R \Psi$ is dense, we have $A_5 \ge 0$. Let $B = A_5^{1/2}$, $B_{\gamma} = B(f_{\gamma}^{C})$. Then $\lim B_{\gamma}^2 = A_5$ and

$$\begin{split} || \, \mathscr{I}_{\varPsi}^{1/4} (B_{7}^{2} - A_{5}) \mathscr{\Psi} \, ||^{2} &= (\{B_{7}^{2} - A_{5}\} \mathscr{\Psi}, \, \mathscr{I}_{\varPsi}^{1/2} \{B_{7}^{2} - A_{5}\} \mathscr{\Psi}) \\ &= (\{B_{7}^{2} - A_{5}\} \mathscr{\Psi}, \, J_{\varPsi} \{B_{7}^{2} - A_{5}\} \mathscr{\Psi}) \to 0 \end{split}$$

as $\gamma \rightarrow +0$. Therefore,

$$\lim arDelta_{arpsilon}^{1/4}B_{_{arPsilon}}^{_2}arPsilon = arDelta_{arPsilon}^{_{1/4}}A_{_5}arPsilon = \{ au_{arpsilon}(-i/4)A_{_5}\}arPsilon = A_4arPsilon = arPsi_{eta}\ .$$

We also have

$$\Delta^{1/4}_{\Psi}B^2_{\tau}\Psi = Cj_{\Psi}(C)\Psi$$

for $C = \tau_{\mathfrak{F}}(-i/4)B_{\mathfrak{F}}$ due to $J_{\mathfrak{F}}C\mathfrak{V} = C\mathfrak{V}$. Hence $\mathscr{A}_{\mathfrak{F}}^{1/4}B_{\mathfrak{F}}^{2}\mathfrak{V} \in V_{\mathfrak{F}}$. This completes the proof.

References

1. H. Araki, Multiple time analyticity of a quantum statistical state satisfying the KMS boundary condition, Publ. RIMS, **4A** (1968), 361-371.

2. _____, On quasifree states of CAR and Bogolubov automorphisms, Publ. RIMS, 6 (1970/71), 385-442.

3. _____, Bures distance function and a generalization of Sakai's noncommutative Radon-Nikodym theorem, Publ. RIMS, **8** (1972/73), 335-362.

4. H. Araki and E. J. Woods, Topologies induced by representations of the canonical commutation relations, Rep. Math. Phys., 4 (1973), 227-254.

5. D. J. C. Bures, An extension of Kakutani's theorem on infinite product measures to the tensor product of semifinite W*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **135** (1969), 199-212.

6. A. Connes, États presque périodiques sur une algèbre de von Neumann, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **274** (1972), 1402-1405.

7. R. T. Powers and E. Størmer, Free states of the canonical anticommutation relations, Commun. Math. Phys., 16 (1970), 1-33.

8. S. Sakai, C*-Algebras and W*-Algebras, Springer Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1971.

9. M. Takesaki, Tomita's Theory of Modular Hilbert Algebras and its Applications, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.

Received September 29, 1972.

KYOTO UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. DUGUNDJI*

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

K. YOSHIDA

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98105

B.H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON * * * * AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$60.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$30.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 50, No. 2 October, 1974

Mustafa Agah Akcoglu, John Philip Huneke and Hermann Rost, <i>A counter</i> example to the Blum Hanson theorem in general spaces	305
Huzihiro Araki, Some properties of modular conjugation operator of von Neumann algebras and a non-commutative Radon-Nikodym theorem with a chain rule	309
E. F. Beckenbach, Fook H. Eng and Richard Edward Tafel, <i>Global</i> properties of rational and logarithmico-rational minimal surfaces	355
David W. Boyd, A new class of infinite sphere packings	383
K. G. Choo, Whitehead Groups of twisted free associative algebras	399
Charles Kam-Tai Chui and Milton N. Parnes, <i>Limit sets of power series</i>	577
outside the circles of convergence	403
Allan Clark and John Harwood Ewing, <i>The realization of polynomial</i>	102
algebras as cohomology rings	425
Dennis Garbanati, <i>Classes of circulants over the p-adic and rational</i>	
integers	435
Arjun K. Gupta, On a "square" functional equation	449
David James Hallenbeck and Thomas Harold MacGregor, Subordination	
and extreme-point theory	455
Douglas Harris, The local compactness of vX	469
William Emery Haver, Monotone mappings of a two-disk onto itself which	
fix the disk's boundary can be canonically approximated by	
homeomorphisms	477
Norman Peter Herzberg, On a problem of Hurwitz	485
Chin-Shui Hsu, A class of Abelian groups closed under direct limits and subgroups formation	495
Bjarni Jónsson and Thomas Paul Whaley, Congruence relations and	
multiplicity types of algebras	505
Lowell Duane Loveland, Vertically countable spheres and their wild	
sets	521
Nimrod Megiddo, Kernels of compound games with simple components	531
Russell L. Merris, An identity for matrix functions	557
E. O. Milton, Fourier transforms of odd and even tempered distributions	563
Dix Hayes Pettey, One-one-mappings onto locally connected generalized	
continua	573
Mark Bernard Ramras, Orders with finite global dimension	583
Doron Ravdin, Various types of local homogeneity	589
George Michael Reed, On metrizability of complete Moore spaces	595
Charles Small, Normal bases for quadratic extensions	601
Philip C. Tonne, <i>Polynomials and Hausdorff matrices</i>	613
Robert Earl Weber, <i>The range of a derivation and ideals</i>	617