

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE REALIZATION OF POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS AS COHOMOLOGY RINGS

ALLAN CLARK AND JOHN HARWOOD EWING

THE REALIZATION OF POLYNOMIAL ALGEBRAS AS COHOMOLOGY RINGS

ALLAN CLARK AND JOHN EWING

To the memory of Norman Steenrod

We construct, for certain choices of a group G , a prime p , and a positive integer n , a space $X(G, p, n)$ whose cohomology ring mod p is a polynomial algebra, and we classify the polynomial algebras which can be realized as cohomology rings by this construction.

Let \mathbf{Z}_p denote the ring of p -adic integers. From Sullivan's work on completions [15] it follows that the Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(\mathbf{Z}_p^n, 2)$ is the p -profinite completion of $K(\mathbf{Z}^n, 2)$, and that as a consequence of the p -analogue of [15, 3.9] we have

$$H^*(K(\mathbf{Z}_p^n, 2); \mathbf{Z}_p) = \mathbf{Z}_p[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$$

where $\deg x_i = 2$. Now if G is a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ and finite, we have an action of G on the space $K(\mathbf{Z}_p^n, 2)$ which passes to its cohomology ring, and we define

$$X(G, p, n) = K(\mathbf{Z}_p^n, 2) \times_G EG$$

where EG is the total space of a universal bundle for G .

PROPOSITION. *If p does not divide the order of G , then $H^*(X(G, p, n); \mathbf{Z}_p)$ is the subalgebra of invariants of $H^*(K(\mathbf{Z}_p^n, 2); \mathbf{Z}_p)$ under the action of G .*

Obviously the conclusions of this proposition apply as well with coefficients in the prime field \mathbf{F}_p or in the field \mathbf{Q}_p of p -adic numbers. For the sake of completeness we sketch a proof.

Proof. From [5, Th. 3.1] and [8] it follows that the cohomology of $X(G, p, n)$ is given by $\text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}_p(G)}(C_*(EG), C^*(K(\mathbf{Z}_p^n, 2)))$, where we let $\mathbf{Z}_p(G)$ denote the group ring over \mathbf{Z}_p and C_* and C^* denote singular chains with coefficients in \mathbf{Z}_p . The Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence associated with this Ext has E_2 term determined by

$$E_2^{r,s} = \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Z}_p(G)}^r(\mathbf{Z}_p, H^s(K(\mathbf{Z}_p^n, 2); \mathbf{Z}_p))$$

and it follows that for $r > 0$, $|G|E_2^{r,s} = 0$ by the results of [3, Ch. XII, 2.5]. However, $E_2^{r,s}$ is a \mathbf{Z}_p -module and therefore can have only p -torsion. The fact that p does not divide $|G|$ implies that $E_2^{r,s} = 0$

except for $r = 0$ and the spectral sequence collapses, giving

$$E_2 = E_\infty = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}_p(G)}(\mathbf{Z}_p, H^*(K(\mathbf{Z}_p^n, 2); \mathbf{Z}_p))$$

which is clearly the invariant subalgebra under the action of G . Furthermore, E_∞ is a free \mathbf{Z}_p -module and as a result $H^*(X(G, p, n); \mathbf{Z}_p)$ is isomorphic to the invariant subalgebra as a \mathbf{Z}_p -module. This shows that the bundle projection

$$K(\mathbf{Z}_p^n, 2) \times EG \longrightarrow X(G, p, n)$$

induces an isomorphism from the cohomology of $X(G, p, n)$ onto the subalgebra of invariants under the action of G , and the proof is complete.

These remarks reduce the problem to the purely algebraic question of when the subalgebra of invariants of a finite group acting homogeneously on a polynomial algebra is again a polynomial algebra. This question is answered completely by the following result abstracted from Theorem 4 of [2, Ch. 2, §5].

THEOREM. *Let G be a subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$ where V is a vector space of dimension n over a field k with characteristic prime to the order of G or with $\text{char } k = 0$. Let R denote the invariants of the action of G on $S(V)$, the symmetric algebra of V . Then R is a polynomial algebra if and only if G is a finite group generated by pseudo-reflections.*

A pseudo-reflection of V is an endomorphism s such that $1 - s$ has rank 1. $\text{Ker}(1 - s)$ is called the hyperplane of s . We shall call a finite group generated by pseudo-reflections a *hyperplane group* of V . Of course when k is a subfield of the real numbers, pseudo-reflections are just reflections. We also observe that if we are given a basis x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n of V , that $S(V) = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ and that if $R = k[u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n]$, then the order of G is the product of the degrees of the u_i 's. This is also proved in [2]. Of course we shall prefer to use topological degrees in these graded algebras rather than homogeneous degrees. As a result we obtain the following theorem about the cohomology ring of the space $X(G, p, n)$ by first applying the theorem above to the case $k = \mathbf{Q}_p$ and $k = \mathbf{F}_p$, and then lifting the result to \mathbf{Z}_p .

COROLLARY. *Let G be a finite subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ with order prime to p which is a hyperplane group in $\text{GL}(n, \mathbf{Q}_p)$. Then the cohomology ring of the space $X(G, p, n)$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z}_p, \mathbf{Q}_p$, or \mathbf{F}_p is a polynomial algebra.*

Proof. We set $V = H^2(K(\mathbb{Z}_p^n, 2); \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, and $V' = V \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, and $\bar{V} = V \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$. Then we have $S(V)$ isomorphic to the cohomology ring $H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}_p^n, 2); \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ where $\deg x_i = 2$. We let R denote the invariants of $S(V)$ under the action of G , R' the invariants of $S(V') \cong S(V) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, and \bar{R} the invariants of $S(\bar{V}) \cong S(V) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ under the action of the group \bar{G} obtained from G by reduction mod p . \bar{G} is a hyperplane group in $GL(n, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and is, in fact, isomorphic to G , as we shall see.

The theorem above applies directly to R' and \bar{R} . Clearly we have $R' = R \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. We also have $\bar{R} = R \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ by the following argument. For $v \in S(V)$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $av \in R$ implies that $g(av) - av = a(gv - v) = 0$ for every $g \in G$. Since $S(V)$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module, this implies that $v \in R$. Therefore, R is a direct summand of $S(V)$ and consequently we have $R \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$ contained in \bar{R} . On the other hand, given an element \bar{u} of \bar{R} , we can always write it in the form $\bar{u} = \sum_i v_i \otimes a_i$ for some v_i 's in $S(V)$ and some a_i 's in \mathbb{F}_p , and furthermore, since \bar{u} is an invariant we have

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{|\bar{G}|} \sum_{\bar{g}} \bar{g} \cdot \bar{u} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_i \left(\sum_{\sigma} gv_i \right) \otimes a_i$$

in which the last expression obviously belongs to $R \otimes \mathbb{F}_p$.

Now R is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module and consequently R' and \bar{R} have the same Poincaré polynomials. Since R' and \bar{R} are polynomial algebras, it follows that they have generators of the same degree. Since the product of the homogeneous degrees of these generators give the orders of the groups G and \bar{G} , it follows that G and \bar{G} must have the same orders and are isomorphic.

It remains to show that R is a polynomial algebra. Since R' is a polynomial algebra we have $R' = S(U')$ for some graded vector subspace of $S(V')$. We let $U = U' \cap R$. Then if $u \in R$ and $au \in U$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we have $au \in U'$ and it follows that $u \in U'$ and hence $u \in U$. Thus R/U is torsion free and U is a direct summand of R . The inclusion of algebras $S(U) \rightarrow R$ is a monomorphism since $S(U)$ and R are free over \mathbb{Z}_p and tensoring with \mathbb{Q}_p yields the isomorphism $S(U') \cong R'$. Comparison of Poincaré polynomials shows that $S(U) = R$, which completes the proof.

Representations of hyperplane groups. To determine which polynomial algebras are representable as the cohomology rings of spaces $X(G, p, n)$ we need a classification of the finite subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ which are generated by pseudo-reflections, and which have order prime to p . While no such classification seems to be available, a complete classification of hyperplane groups over the complex field \mathbb{C} has been given by Shepard and Todd [12], and by using some ele-

mentary theory of group representation to shift back and forth between C -representations and Z_p -representations, we can derive the results we need. Feit's book [6] is a general reference. By the *type* of a polynomial algebra we mean the list of the degrees of the generators.

THEOREM. *Let G be a finite group with order prime to p . If G has a faithful representation ρ as a hyperplane group over Z_p , then G has a faithful unitary representation σ as a hyperplane group over C , such that σ affords the same character as ρ . Furthermore, the invariant algebras obtained from G acting on $S(Z_p^n)$ and $S(C^n)$ have the same type.*

Proof. Let χ denote the character of ρ , viewed as a Q_p -representation for G . Since $\text{char } Q_p = 0$, there is a finite extension E of the rational field Q and an E -representation σ of G which affords the same character as ρ . Since ρ and σ may be construed as K -representations for some common extension K of E and Q_p , and since they afford the same character, it follows that as K -representations ρ and σ are similar by [6, I, 2.6]. Thus σ still represents G as a hyperplane group and is a unitary representation of G if we consider E a subfield of C .

Let R denote the invariant subalgebra produced by the action of G on $S(Z_p^n)$ via ρ . The argument of the preceding corollary may be repeated to show that R is a polynomial algebra. Let \hat{R} denote the invariant subalgebra produced by the action of G on $S(E^n)$ via σ . The actions of G on $S(K^n)$ via ρ and σ have invariant subalgebras $R \otimes K$ and $\hat{R} \otimes K$ and these K -algebras are isomorphic since the representations are similar. The invariant subalgebra obtained from the action of G on $S(C^n)$ via σ is clearly $\hat{R} \otimes C$. It is obvious that all these invariant subalgebras must have the same types and the proof is finished.

This theorem shows that we can pass from hyperplane groups over Z_p to hyperplane groups over C . We cannot always go in the opposite direction, but the following proposition tells us when we can.

We recall that the Schur index of a character χ is the minimum of the degrees $[F:Q(\chi)]$ taken over all the fields F for which there is an F -representation affording χ .

PROPOSITION. *If σ is an irreducible unitary representation of G with character χ whose Schur index $m_Q(\chi)$ is 1, then there is a Z_p -representation of G which affords the same character χ if and only if Q_p contains a subfield isomorphic to the character field $Q(\chi)$.*

This is obvious. We now show that for hyperplane representations

over C the Schur index is always 1. In fact we can extract most of the following theorem from the section on the Schur index in Huppert's book [7, V, §14].

THEOREM. *Let σ be an irreducible C -representation of degree n of a finite group G and let χ denote the character of σ . For $g \in G$ let $F_{\sigma(g)}$ denote the subspace of C^n left pointwise fixed by $\sigma(g)$ and let*

$$k = \max \{ \dim_C F_{\sigma(g)} \mid g \in G, \sigma(g) \neq 1 \} .$$

Then the Schur index $m_Q(\chi)$ is at most $n - k$.

Proof. The group algebra $\mathbf{Q}(\chi)(G)$ is semisimple and χ is non-zero on precisely one of its constituents, call it A . A is simple and is a complete matrix algebra, by the Wedderburn theorem, of $m \times m$ matrices over D , a division ring over $\mathbf{Q}(\chi)$. Furthermore, A is central simple over $\mathbf{Q}(\chi)$ and we have

$$\dim_D A = m^2 \quad \dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\chi)} D = (m_Q(\chi))^2$$

hence

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\chi)} A = n^2 = m^2(m_Q(\chi))^2 .$$

Let π denote the projection of the group algebra $\mathbf{Q}(\chi)(G)$ onto A and let I denote a minimal nontrivial left ideal of A . G acts on I via the projection and, furthermore, I consists of $m \times m$ matrices over D which vanish outside one column. Therefore,

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\chi)} I = m \cdot \dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\chi)} D = n \cdot m_Q(\chi) .$$

In fact the action of G on I is equivalent to the representation $m_Q(\chi) \cdot \sigma$. For $g \in G$, $\pi(g)$ acts on I by left multiplication and the space $F_{\pi(g)}$ left pointwise fixed under this action is a right vector space over D . Thus when $\pi(g) \neq 1$, we have $\dim_D F_{\pi(g)} \leq m - 1$. It follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\chi)} F_{\pi(g)} \leq (m - 1)(m_Q(\chi))^2 = m_Q(\chi) \cdot (n - m_Q(\chi)) .$$

On the other hand,

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\chi)} F_{\pi(g)} = \dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\chi)} F_{m_Q(\chi) \cdot \sigma(g)} = m_Q(\chi) \dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\chi)} F_{\sigma(g)} .$$

Consequently when $\sigma(g) \neq 1$, we also have $\pi(g) \neq 1$, and thus

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Q}(\chi)} F_{\sigma(g)} \leq n - m_Q(\chi) .$$

Maximizing over the g 's for which $\sigma(g) \neq 1$ yields $k \leq n - m_Q(\chi)$.

COROLLARY. *For hyperplane representations the Schur index is always 1.*

Classification of the types. To summarize the situation to this point, we know that if p does not divide the order of G , then the space $X(G, p, n)$ has polynomial cohomology mod p precisely when G is a hyperplane group over Z_p . A hyperplane group over Z_p always has a representation as a unitary hyperplane group, while a given unitary hyperplane group has a Z_p -representation as a hyperplane group only for some primes.

Further, we observe that while the space $X(G, p, n)$ depends on the class of the Z_p -representation of G , its cohomology algebra depends only on the class of the Q_p -representation, which is to say, upon the character. For this reason it turns out that the types of polynomial algebras realizable in this way are products of irreducible types, although we cannot say the same thing for the spaces involved. It may be that such a statement is true after completion.

In the table below the types are given with topological degrees and the last column gives conditions on the primes. We give the order of G as well as the rank of the algebra it determines.

THEOREM. *The types of polynomial algebras mod p which can be realized as the cohomology ring $H^*(X(G, p, n); F_p)$ where G is a hyperplane group over Z_p with order prime to p are products of the irreducible types given by the following table:*

Number	Rank	Order	Type	Primes
1	n	$(n+1)!$	$[4, 6, \dots, 2(n+1)]$	$p \nmid (n+1)!$
$2a^*$	n	$qm^{n-1}n!$	$[2m, 4m, \dots, 2(n-1)m, 2qn]$	$p \nmid n!, p \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$
$2b$	2	$2m$	$[4, 2m]$	$m > 2, p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{m}$
3	1	m	$[2m]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$
4	2	24	$[8, 12]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
5	2	72	$[12, 24]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
6	2	48	$[8, 24]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$
7	2	144	$[24, 24]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$
8	2	96	$[16, 24]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$
9	2	192	$[16, 48]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$
10	2	288	$[24, 48]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$
11	2	576	$[48, 48]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$
12	2	48	$[12, 16]$	$p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}, p \neq 3$
13	2	96	$[16, 24]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$
14	2	144	$[12, 48]$	$p \equiv 1, 19 \pmod{24}$
15	2	288	$[24, 48]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$
16	2	600	$[40, 60]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$
17	2	1200	$[40, 120]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$
18	2	1800	$[60, 120]$	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{15}$

(table to be continued)

(table to be continued)

Number	Rank	Order	Type	Primes
19	2	3600	[120, 120]	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$
20	2	360	[24, 60]	$p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{15}$
21	2	720	[24, 120]	$p \equiv 1, 49 \pmod{60}$
22	2	240	[24, 40]	$p \equiv 1, 9 \pmod{20}$
23	3	120	[4, 12, 20]	$p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$
24	3	336	[8, 12, 28]	$p \equiv 1, 2, 4 \pmod{7}$
25	3	648	[12, 18, 24]	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
26	3	1296	[12, 24, 36]	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
27	3	2160	[12, 24, 60]	$p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{15}$
28	4	1152	[4, 12, 16, 24]	$p \neq 2$ or 3
29	4	7680	[8, 16, 24, 40]	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $p \neq 5$
30	4	14,400	[4, 24, 40, 60]	$p \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$
31	4	64·6!	[16, 24, 40, 48]	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $p \neq 5$
32	4	216·6!	[24, 36, 48, 60]	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
33	5	72·6!	[8, 12, 20, 24, 36]	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$
34	6	108·9!	[12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 84]	$p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $p \neq 7$
35	6	72·6!	[4, 10, 12, 16, 18, 24]	$p \neq 2, 3$, or 5
36	7	8·9!	[4, 12, 16, 20, 24, 28, 36]	$p \neq 2, 3, 5$, or 7
37	8	192·10!	[4, 16, 24, 28, 36, 40, 48, 60]	$p \neq 2, 3, 5$, or 7

* where $m > 1$ and $m = qr$.

Note: The product of the entries in the type is $2^{\text{rank}} \times |G|$.

Proof. The groups on this list come from the classification of irreducible unitary hyperplane groups given by Shepard and Todd [12, p. 301]. Given a faithful representation ρ of a group G as a hyperplane group over Z_p , we know that the character field $Q(\chi)$ is contained in Q_p and, furthermore, we know that there exists a faithful unitary representation σ of G which affords the same character χ . Now σ is equivalent to a sum $\sum_i \sigma_i$ of irreducible unitary representations σ_i with characters χ_i . Let $G_i = \sigma_i(G)$. It follows from the fact that G is generated by pseudo-reflections that each G_i is an irreducible unitary hyperplane group and that G is isomorphic to the direct product of the G_i . Of course each G_i must be among the groups listed by Shepard and Todd. What is more, since G is hyperplane and the Schur index $m_Q(\chi) = 1$, we may assume that σ is a $Q(\chi)$ -representation, and consequently that the same is true of each σ_i . As a result $Q(\chi)$ must contain the character field $Q(\chi_i)$ for each i . (The essential step in this argument is to see that each pseudo-reflection of G must belong to one of the groups G_i , that is, must leave fixed all but one of the invariant subspaces of C^n under the action of G .) Since $Q(\chi_i)$ is contained in $Q(\chi)$ and hence in Q_p , it follows that each G_i has a representation over Z_p , say ρ_i which affords the same character χ_i as

σ_i . Consequently we have that $\sum_i \rho_i$ is a representation of G as a hyperplane group over Z_p with character $\sum_i \chi_i = \chi$, as a result of which it must be equivalent to ρ , the representation with which we started. Thus the type of G is a product of the types of the G_i , that is, of types in the list of Shepard and Todd.

It remains only to compute the primes for which various types on the list can occur. To do this we must compute the character field for each of the groups from the information of Shepard and Todd [12]. In most cases this is not difficult at all and we omit the details, simply listing the results in the table below. However, we do give the details for the groups 2a and 2b, which are more difficult.

Table of Character Fields

No.	$Q(\gamma)$	No.	$Q(\chi)$	No.	$Q(\chi)$
1	Q	13	$Q(i, \sqrt{2})$	26	$Q(\omega)$
2a	$Q(\theta)$	14	$Q(\omega, \sqrt{-2})$	27	$Q(\omega, \sqrt{5})$
2b	$Q(\theta + \theta^{-1})$	15	$Q(i, \omega, \sqrt{2})$	28	Q
3	$Q(\theta)$	16	$Q(\eta)$	29	$Q(i)$
4	$Q(\omega)$	17	$Q(i, \eta)$	30	$Q(\sqrt{5})$
5	$Q(\omega)$	18	$Q(\omega, \eta)$	31	$Q(i)$
6	$Q(i, \omega)$	19	$Q(\omega, i, \eta)$	32	$Q(\omega)$
7	$Q(i, \omega)$	20	$Q(\omega, \sqrt{5})$	33	$Q(\omega)$
8	$Q(i)$	21	$Q(i, \omega, \sqrt{5})$	34	$Q(\omega)$
9	$Q(i, \sqrt{2})$	22	$Q(i, \sqrt{5})$	35	Q
10	$Q(i, \omega)$	23	$Q(\sqrt{5})$	36	Q
11	$Q(\varepsilon, \omega)$	24	$Q(\sqrt{-7})$	37	Q
12	$Q(\sqrt{-2})$	25	$Q(\omega)$		

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$, $\eta = e^{2\pi i/5}$, $\varepsilon = e^{2\pi i/3}$, $\theta = e^{2\pi i/m}$.

Character fields of the groups 2a and 2b. These are the group $G(m, r, n)$ consisting of all the transformations $x_i \rightarrow \theta \nu_i \cdot x_{\sigma(i)}$, where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n is a basis for C^n , σ is a permutation of n letters, $\theta = e^{2\pi i/m}$, and the ν_i 's are integers satisfying the congruence $\sum_i \nu_i \equiv 0 \pmod r$, and where $m > 1$ and $m = qr$. The order of $G(m, r, n)$ is $qm^{n-1} \cdot n!$ as computed in [12]. Clearly $Q(\chi)$ is contained in $Q(\theta)$ and when $n > 2$, $Q(\chi) = Q(\theta)$ because $G(m, r, n)$ contains transformations of the form $x_1 \rightarrow \theta x_1, x_2 \rightarrow \theta^q x_2, x_3 \rightarrow \theta^2 x_2$, and $x_i \rightarrow x_i$ for $i > 3$. On the other hand, for $n = 2$, $G(m, r, n)$ has the transformation $x_1 \rightarrow \theta x_1, x_2 \rightarrow \theta^{-1} x_2$, so that $Q(\theta + \theta^{-1})$ is contained in $Q(\chi)$. To decide whether $Q(\chi)$ is $Q(\theta)$ or $Q(\theta + \theta^{-1})$ we need to look closely. We observe that when $q > 2$, we have θ^r is in $Q(\chi)$ but not in $Q(\theta + \theta^{-1})$ and therefore $Q(\chi) = Q(\theta)$ for $q > 2$. This follows because $Q(\theta)$ is a degree 2 Galois extension of $Q(\theta + \theta^{-1})$ with nontrivial automorphism $\theta \rightarrow \theta^{-1}$, and $\theta^r \in Q(\theta + \theta^{-1})$ would imply $\theta^r = \theta^{-r}$ or $m \mid 2r$, contradicting $q > 2$.

When $q = 2$, we have $\theta^r = -1$, and $G(m, r, 2)$ contains the transformations $x_1 \rightarrow \theta x_1$, $x_2 \rightarrow \pm \theta^{-1} x_2$ so that $\theta \pm \theta^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathbf{Q}(\chi)$ and $\mathbf{Q}(\chi) = \mathbf{Q}(\theta)$. Finally, for $q = 1$, $G(m, m, 2)$ is the dihedral group of order $2m$ generated by the transformations $x_1 \rightarrow \theta x_1$, $x_2 \rightarrow \theta^{-1} x_2$, and $x_1 \rightarrow x_2$, $x_2 \rightarrow x_1$, from which we compute directly that $\mathbf{Q}(\chi) = \mathbf{Q}(\theta + \theta^{-1})$.

Determination of the primes for which a given type occurs. This is a simple matter now that the character fields are given. In the cases 1, 28, 35, 36, 37, the character field is \mathbf{Q} and the only restriction is that p does not divide the order of G . For many of the other cases the conditions are determined by the fact that \mathbf{Q}_p contains only the $(p - 1)$ st roots of unity. In the cases where square roots occur, we use the theorem that \mathbf{Q}_p contains \sqrt{a} if and only if a is a quadratic residue mod p [1, Th. 1, p. 48]. The results are obtained by routine use of quadratic reciprocity.

This completes the proof of the classification theorem.

Remarks on the primes allowable for a type. All the presently known types of polynomial algebras which can occur as the mod p cohomology of a space are given as products of the types in the list above. However, it is clear that some types occur for primes other than those listed. It turns out, however, that we are missing at most primes which divide the order of the group. To verify this it is sufficient to apply the following result [4, Th. 2].

THEOREM. *If B is an algebra over the Steenrod algebra as well as a polynomial algebra over \mathbf{F}_p on generators of even degree, one of which occurs in degree $2m$, then either $p \mid m$ or else B has a generator in some degree $2n$ where $n \equiv 1 - p \pmod{m}$.*

This theorem can also be used to eliminate some of the primes dividing the order of the group. Specifically we can eliminate $p = 3$ for the groups 6, 8, 9, 13, 16, 17, 22, 23, 24, 29, 30, 31, and we can eliminate $p = 5$ for the groups 20, 21, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34. There still remain a number of cases not constructed by our method for given primes dividing the order of the group.

Final remarks. One would like to have a complete answer to the question first raised by Steenrod in [13]: *Given a graded polynomial algebra $A = \mathbf{F}_2[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ of rank n over the prime field \mathbf{F}_2 with generators of even degree, under what conditions does there exist a space X whose cohomology algebra $H^*(X; \mathbf{F}_2)$ is isomorphic to A ?*

The requirement that A admit an action of the Steenrod algebra,

and higher order operations, severely limits the types of polynomial algebras which can be realized. In the rank one case $F_p[x]$ admits Steenrod operations only when $\deg x = 2\lambda p^k$ where $\lambda | (p-1)$, and secondary operations eliminate all the cases where $k \neq 0$, except the type [4] for $p = 2$ (realized by infinite quaternionic projective space). All the types $[2\lambda]$ where $\lambda | (p-1)$ are realized as shown by Sullivan [15, 4.30] and by group 3 above. In rank 2 some restrictions have been obtained by Nakagawa and Ochiai [9], but their results can be improved even by further use of primary operations. In ranks above 2 nothing whatever has been accomplished and in general it seems that we are very far from an answer.

We see no reason not to conjecture that the list of types constructed above, and their products, with the exceptional primes determined, is the complete list of polynomial algebras realizable as cohomology rings, but the evidence for this is very slender.

REFERENCES

1. Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich, *Number Theory*, New York, Academic Press, 1966.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Paris, Hermann, 1968.
3. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.
4. A. Clark, *On π_3 of finite dimensional H-spaces*, Ann. of Math., **78** (1963), 193-196.
5. S. Eilenberg and J. C. Moore, *Homological algebra and fibrations*, Colloque de Topologie, Bruxelles, (1964), 81-90.
6. W. Feit, *Characters of Finite Groups*, New York, Benjamin and Co., 1967.
7. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
8. J. C. Moore, *Algebre homologique et homologie des espaces classifiants*, Seminaire H. Cartan—J. C. Moore, **12** (1959/60) exposé 7.
9. R. Nakagawa and S. Ochiai, *On the dimension of generators of a polynomial algebra over the mod p Steenrod algebra*, Proc. Japan Acad., **43** (1967), 932-936.
10. G. C. Shepard, *Unitary groups generated by reflections*, Canad. J. Math., **5** (1953), 364-383.
11. ———, *Some problems on finite reflection groups*, L'Enseignement Mathématique, II^e Série, t. **2** (1956), 42-48.
12. G. C. Shepard and J. A. Todd, *Finite unitary reflection groups*, Canad. J. Math., **6** (1954), 274-304.
13. N. E. Steenrod, *The cohomology algebra of a space*, L'Enseignement Mathématique, II^e Série, t. **7** (1961), 153-178.
14. ———, *Polynomial algebras over the algebra of cohomology operations, H-spaces*, Neuchâtel (Suisse) Août, 1970. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 196, Springer-Verlag.
15. D. Sullivan, *Geometric Topology Part I*, M. I. T., April, 1971.

Received November 11, 1972. Partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

BROWN UNIVERSITY,
 DARTMOUTH COLLEGE,
 AND
 UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS, DENMARK

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$60.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$30.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Mustafa Agah Akcoglu, John Philip Huneke and Hermann Rost, <i>A counter example to the Blum Hanson theorem in general spaces</i>	305
Huzihiro Araki, <i>Some properties of modular conjugation operator of von Neumann algebras and a non-commutative Radon-Nikodym theorem with a chain rule</i>	309
E. F. Beckenbach, Fook H. Eng and Richard Edward Tafel, <i>Global properties of rational and logarithmico-rational minimal surfaces</i>	355
David W. Boyd, <i>A new class of infinite sphere packings</i>	383
K. G. Choo, <i>Whitehead Groups of twisted free associative algebras</i>	399
Charles Kam-Tai Chui and Milton N. Parnes, <i>Limit sets of power series outside the circles of convergence</i>	403
Allan Clark and John Harwood Ewing, <i>The realization of polynomial algebras as cohomology rings</i>	425
Dennis Garbanati, <i>Classes of circulants over the p-adic and rational integers</i>	435
Arjun K. Gupta, <i>On a "square" functional equation</i>	449
David James Hallenbeck and Thomas Harold MacGregor, <i>Subordination and extreme-point theory</i>	455
Douglas Harris, <i>The local compactness of vX</i>	469
William Emery Haver, <i>Monotone mappings of a two-disk onto itself which fix the disk's boundary can be canonically approximated by homeomorphisms</i>	477
Norman Peter Herzberg, <i>On a problem of Hurwitz</i>	485
Chin-Shui Hsu, <i>A class of Abelian groups closed under direct limits and subgroups formation</i>	495
Bjarni Jónsson and Thomas Paul Whaley, <i>Congruence relations and multiplicity types of algebras</i>	505
Lowell Duane Loveland, <i>Vertically countable spheres and their wild sets</i>	521
Nimrod Megiddo, <i>Kernels of compound games with simple components</i>	531
Russell L. Merris, <i>An identity for matrix functions</i>	557
E. O. Milton, <i>Fourier transforms of odd and even tempered distributions</i>	563
Dix Hayes Pettey, <i>One-one-mappings onto locally connected generalized continua</i>	573
Mark Bernard Ramras, <i>Orders with finite global dimension</i>	583
Doron Ravdin, <i>Various types of local homogeneity</i>	589
George Michael Reed, <i>On metrizable complete Moore spaces</i>	595
Charles Small, <i>Normal bases for quadratic extensions</i>	601
Philip C. Tonne, <i>Polynomials and Hausdorff matrices</i>	613
Robert Earl Weber, <i>The range of a derivation and ideals</i>	617