

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON METRIZABILITY OF COMPLETE MOORE SPACES

GEORGE MICHAEL REED

ON METRIZABILITY OF COMPLETE MOORE SPACES

G. M. REED

This paper is concerned with the relationships between certain 'strong' completeness properties in Moore spaces and with conditions under which Moore spaces satisfying these properties are metrizable.

In [3], Heath showed that each regular T_2 -space which admits a strongly complete semi-metric is a complete Moore space. Furthermore, in [6], Heath established that each separable Moore space which admits a strongly complete semi-metric is metrizable. In [10], the author defined strong star-screenability, a property shared by separable spaces and metrizable spaces, and conjectured that separability could be replaced by strong star-screenability in Heath's result. In this paper, the author establishes relationships between different types of completeness in Moore spaces and gives two new metrization theorems for complete Moore spaces. From these results, it follows that each strongly star-screenable Moore space which admits a continuous, strongly complete semi-metric is metrizable.

An admissible semi-metric d for a T_2 -space S is a distance function for S such that (1) if each of x and y is a point of S , then $d(x, y) = d(y, x) \geq 0$, (2) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$, and (3) the topology of S is invariant with respect to d . A semi-metric d for the space S is said to be strongly complete provided that if M_1, M_2, \dots is a decreasing sequence of closed sets such that for each i , $M_i \subset \{y \in S \mid d(x_i, y) < 1/i\}$ for some $x_i \in S$, then $\bigcap M_i \neq \emptyset$. A space which admits a strongly complete semi-metric is said to be strongly complete. A development for a space S is a sequence G_1, G_2, \dots of open coverings of S such that, for each n , G_{n+1} is a subcollection of G_n , and for each point p and each open set D containing p , there is an integer n such that every element of G_n containing p is a subset of D . A development G_1, G_2, \dots for the space S is said to be complete (sequentially complete) provided that if M_1, M_2, \dots is a monotonic sequence of closed sets such that for each i , $M_i \subset g_i$ for some $g_i \in G_i$ ($M_i \subset \text{st}(x_i, G_i)$ for some $x_i \in S$), then $\bigcap M_i \neq \emptyset$. A regular space having a development is a Moore space [1]. A Moore space having a complete (sequentially complete) development is said to be complete (sequentially complete). The property of sequential completeness is due to Traylor in [11]. Although each of strong completeness and sequential completeness is stronger than completeness in Moore spaces ([8] and [11]), for pointwise paracompact Moore spaces, all three are equivalent ([4] and [11]). A space S is said to be star-screenable

(strongly star-screenable) if and only if, for each open covering G of S , there exists a σ -pairwise disjoint (σ -discrete) open covering H of S which refines $\{st(x, G) \mid x \in S\}$.

LEMMA 1. *Each sequentially complete Moore space S is strongly complete.*

Proof. Let G_1, G_2, \dots denote a sequentially complete development for S . Denote by d the "natural semi-metric" for S determined by this development, i.e., $d(x, y) = 0$ if $x = y$ and $d(x, y) = 1/n$, where n is the first positive integer such that no element of G_n contains both x and y , if $x \neq y$. It follows that if M_1, M_2, \dots is a monotonic decreasing sequence of closed sets such that for each i , there exists $x_i \in S$ such that $M_i \subset \{y \in S \mid d(x_i, y) < 1/i\}$, then for each i , $M_i \subset st(x_i, G_i)$ and $\bigcap M_i \neq \emptyset$. Thus S is strongly complete.

LEMMA 2. *Each Moore space S which admits a continuous, strongly complete semi-metric is sequentially complete.*

Proof. Let d denote a continuous, strongly complete semi-metric for S . For each $p \in S$ and each positive integer n , let $g_n(p)$ denote an open set containing p such that if $x \in g_n(p)$ and $y \in g_n(p)$, then $d(x, y) < 1/n$. Now, for each n , let $H_n = \{g_n(p) \mid p \in S\}$. It follows immediately that G_1, G_2, \dots , where for each i , $G_i = \bigcup_{j=i}^{\infty} H_j$, is a development for S . It is also a sequentially complete development. For suppose that M_1, M_2, \dots is a monotonic decreasing sequence of closed sets such that for each i , there exists a point p_i such that $M_i \subset st(p_i, G_i)$, then for each i , $M_i \subset \{x \in S \mid d(x, p_i) < 1/i\}$ and $\bigcap M_i \neq \emptyset$. Thus, S is sequentially complete.

THEOREM 1. *Each normal, sequentially complete, star-screenable Moore space S is metrizable.*

Proof. Denote by G_1, G_2, \dots a sequentially complete development for S . Each normal star-screenable Moore space is strongly star-screenable [10]. Thus for each i , let $H_i = \bigcup_j H_{ij}$ denote an open cover of S which refines $\{st(x, G_i) \mid x \in S\}$ such that H_{ij} is discrete for each j . Since S is normal and each open set in S is the union of countably many closed sets, for each i and j , let $H_{ij}^* = \bigcup_k H_{ijk}$ such that for each k , H_{ijk} is open in S and $CL(H_{ijk}) \subset H_{ij}^*$. For each i, j , and k , let $F_{ijk} = \{H_{ijk} \cap h \mid h \in H_{ij}\}$ and note that if $f \in F_{ijk}$, then $CL(f) \subset st(x, G_i)$ for some $x \in S$. Now, for each n , let F_n denote a σ -discrete collection of open sets covering S such that if $f \in F_n$, then $CL(f) \subset st(x, G_n)$ for some $x \in S$. Let $B_1 = F_1$ and for each $i > 1$,

let B_i denote the σ -discrete collection $\{f \cap b \mid f \in F_i \text{ and } b \in B_{i-1}\}$. Finally, let $B = \bigcup B_i$ and note that B is a σ -discrete collection of open sets covering S . However, B is also a basis for S . For let $p \in S$ and let D be an open set containing p . Then by the construction of B there exists a sequence of open sets $g_1(p), g_2(p), \dots$ such that for each i , $p \in g_i(p)$, $g_i(p) \in B_i$, $g_{i+1}(p) \subset g_i(p)$, and $\text{CL}(g_i(p)) \subset \text{st}(x_i, G_i)$ for some $x_i \in S$. Suppose that for each i , $g_i(p) \cap (S - D) \neq \emptyset$. Then $(\text{CL}(g_1(p)) - D), (\text{CL}(g_2(p)) - D), \dots$ is a monotonic decreasing sequence of closed sets such that for each i , $(\text{CL}(g_i(p)) - D) \subset \text{st}(x_i, G_i)$ for some $x_i \in S$. Since G_1, G_2, \dots is a sequentially complete development for S , $\bigcap (\text{CL}(g_i(p)) - D) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, let $x \in \bigcap (\text{CL}(g_i(p)) - D)$ and note that for each i , there exist intersecting elements g_1 and g_2 of G_i which contain x and p respectively. But this contradicts the fact that G_1, G_2, \dots is a development for S . Thus, for some n , $g_n(p) \subset D$ and B is a σ -discrete basis for S . Therefore, S is strongly screenable, hence metrizable [1].

Heath in [7] defines a Moore space S with the three link property to be one with a development G_1, G_2, \dots having the three link property, i.e., for each two points p and q of S , there exists an n such that if g_1, g_2 , and g_3 are elements of G_n , g_1 contains p and intersects g_2 , and g_2 intersects g_3 , then g_3 does not contain q . Zenor has shown in [12] that a Moore space has the three link property if and only if it has a regular G_δ -diagonal.

THEOREM 2. *Each sequentially complete, strongly star-screenable Moore space S with the three link property is metrizable.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, let G_1, G_2, \dots denote a sequentially complete development for S with the three link property. Now, by a construction similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 1, let $B = \bigcup B_i$ denote a σ -discrete open covering of S such that for each i , if $b \in B_i$, then $b \subset \text{st}(x, G_i)$ for some $x \in S$. (Note that without normality, we cannot require $\text{CL}(b) \subset \text{st}(x, G_i)$.) However, B still forms a basis for S . For suppose that $p \in S$ and D is an open set containing p . Then there exists a sequence of open sets $g_1(p), g_2(p), \dots$ such that for each i , $p \in g_i(p)$, $g_i(p) \in B_i$, $g_{i+1}(p) \subset g_i(p)$, and $g_i(p) \subset \text{st}(x_i, G_i)$ for some $x_i \in S$. Suppose that for each i , $g_i(p) \cap (S - D) \neq \emptyset$. Thus, for each i , let $p_i \in g_i(p) \cap (S - D)$. Consider $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$. Suppose this set has no limit point. Then for each i , $M_i = \{p_i, p_{i+1}, \dots\}$ is a closed set such that $M_i \subset \text{st}(x_i, G_i)$ for some $x_i \in S$. And since G_1, G_2, \dots is a sequentially complete development for S , $\bigcap M_i \neq \emptyset$. But if $\bigcap M_i \neq \emptyset$, as in the proof of Theorem 2, we contradict the fact that G_1, G_2, \dots is a development for S . However, if x is a limit point of $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$, then for each i , there exists an element g_1 of G_i

which contains both x and p_j for some $j > i$. But $p_j \in g_j(p)$ and $g_j(p) \subset \text{st}(x_j, G_j)$ for some $x_j \in S$. Thus, there exist intersecting elements g_2 and g_3 of G_j , hence of G_i , which contain p and p_j respectively. Therefore, for each i , there exist elements g_1, g_2 , and g_3 of G_i such that g_1 contains x and intersects g_2 , g_2 intersects g_3 , and $p \in g_3$. But this contradicts the fact that G_1, G_2, \dots has the three link property. Thus, for some n , $g_n(p) \subset D$ and it follows that B is a σ -discrete basis for S . Again, by [1], S is metrizable.

THEOREM 3. *Each strongly star-screenable Moore space S which admits a continuous, strongly complete semi-metric is metrizable.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, S is sequentially complete. And from ([2], Theorem 8) it follows that S has the three link property. Thus, by Theorem 2, S is metrizable.

The next two theorems show that Theorem 3 is a reasonable partial answer to question (5) in [10].

THEOREM 4. *There exists a strongly star-screenable Moore space which admits a continuous semi-metric that is not metrizable.*

Proof. In [2], Cook gave an example of a separable, nonmetrizable Moore space which admits a continuous semi-metric. Since each separable space is strongly star-screenable, that example has the desired properties.

THEOREM 5. *There exists a Moore space S which admits a continuous, strongly complete semi-metric which is not metrizable.*

Proof. Consider the following Moore space S given by Heath in [5]. The points of S are all points of the plane on or above the x -axis. For each positive integer n , define H_n as follows: (1) for p above the x -axis, $\{p\} \in H_n$; (2) for each rational number r on the x -axis, $\{(r, y) \mid 0 \leq y \leq 1/n\} \in H_n$; (3) for each irrational number x on the x -axis, $\{(t, y) \mid t = x + y, 0 \leq y \leq 1/n\} \in H_n$. Then, $\bigcup H_n$ forms a basis for S and the sequence G_1, G_2, \dots , where for each i , $G_i = \bigcup_{j=i}^{\infty} H_j$, is a development for S . It is easily seen that the "natural semi-metric" for S with respect to this development has the required properties.

REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bing, *Metrization of topological spaces*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **3** (1951), 175-186.
2. H. Cook, *Cartesian products and continuous semi-metrics*, *Proc. of the Arizona State Univ. Top. Conf.*, (1967), 58-62.

3. R. W. Heath, *Arcwise connectedness in semi-metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **12** (1963), 1301-1319.
4. ———, *A nonpointwise paracompact Moore space with a point countable base*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1963), 649-650.
5. ———, *Screenability, pointwise paracompactness, and metrization of Moore spaces*, Canad. J. Math., **16** (1964), 763-770.
6. ———, *Separability and \aleph_1 -compactness*, Coll. Math., **12** (1964), 11-14.
7. ———, *Metrizability, compactness, and paracompactness in Moore spaces*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., **10** (1963), 105.
8. L. F. McAuley, *A relation between perfect separability, completeness, and normality in semi-metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **6** (1956), 315-326.
9. R. L. Moore, *Foundations of Point Set Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. 13, Revised Edition, Providence, R.I., 1962.
10. G. M. Reed, *On screenability and metrizability of Moore spaces*, Canad. J. Math., **23** (1971), 1087-1092.
11. D. R. Traylor, *Completeness in developable spaces*, preprint.
12. P. Zenor, *On spaces with regular G_s -diagonals*, Pacific J. Math., **40** (1972), 759-763.

Received January 28, 1972 and in revised form July 31, 1973.

OHIO UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$60.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$30.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

| | |
|--|-----|
| Mustafa Agah Akcoglu, John Philip Huneke and Hermann Rost, <i>A counter example to the Blum Hanson theorem in general spaces</i> | 305 |
| Huzihiro Araki, <i>Some properties of modular conjugation operator of von Neumann algebras and a non-commutative Radon-Nikodym theorem with a chain rule</i> | 309 |
| E. F. Beckenbach, Fook H. Eng and Richard Edward Tafel, <i>Global properties of rational and logarithmico-rational minimal surfaces</i> | 355 |
| David W. Boyd, <i>A new class of infinite sphere packings</i> | 383 |
| K. G. Choo, <i>Whitehead Groups of twisted free associative algebras</i> | 399 |
| Charles Kam-Tai Chui and Milton N. Parnes, <i>Limit sets of power series outside the circles of convergence</i> | 403 |
| Allan Clark and John Harwood Ewing, <i>The realization of polynomial algebras as cohomology rings</i> | 425 |
| Dennis Garbanati, <i>Classes of circulants over the p-adic and rational integers</i> | 435 |
| Arjun K. Gupta, <i>On a "square" functional equation</i> | 449 |
| David James Hallenbeck and Thomas Harold MacGregor, <i>Subordination and extreme-point theory</i> | 455 |
| Douglas Harris, <i>The local compactness of vX</i> | 469 |
| William Emery Haver, <i>Monotone mappings of a two-disk onto itself which fix the disk's boundary can be canonically approximated by homeomorphisms</i> | 477 |
| Norman Peter Herzberg, <i>On a problem of Hurwitz</i> | 485 |
| Chin-Shui Hsu, <i>A class of Abelian groups closed under direct limits and subgroups formation</i> | 495 |
| Bjarni Jónsson and Thomas Paul Whaley, <i>Congruence relations and multiplicity types of algebras</i> | 505 |
| Lowell Duane Loveland, <i>Vertically countable spheres and their wild sets</i> | 521 |
| Nimrod Megiddo, <i>Kernels of compound games with simple components</i> | 531 |
| Russell L. Merris, <i>An identity for matrix functions</i> | 557 |
| E. O. Milton, <i>Fourier transforms of odd and even tempered distributions</i> | 563 |
| Dix Hayes Pettey, <i>One-one-mappings onto locally connected generalized continua</i> | 573 |
| Mark Bernard Ramras, <i>Orders with finite global dimension</i> | 583 |
| Doron Ravdin, <i>Various types of local homogeneity</i> | 589 |
| George Michael Reed, <i>On metrizable complete Moore spaces</i> | 595 |
| Charles Small, <i>Normal bases for quadratic extensions</i> | 601 |
| Philip C. Tonne, <i>Polynomials and Hausdorff matrices</i> | 613 |
| Robert Earl Weber, <i>The range of a derivation and ideals</i> | 617 |