

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE TOPOLOGY OF COMPACT  
CONVERGENCE ON  $C(X)$**

WILLIAM ALAN FELDMAN

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE TOPOLOGY OF COMPACT CONVERGENCE ON $C(X)$

WILLIAM A. FELDMAN

**The function space of all continuous real-valued functions on a realcompact topological space  $X$  is denoted by  $C(X)$ . It is shown that a topology  $\tau$  on  $C(X)$  is a topology of uniform convergence on a collection of compact subsets of  $X$  if and only if (\*)  $C_\tau(X)$  is a locally  $m$ -convex algebra and a topological vector lattice. Thus, the topology of compact convergence on  $C(X)$  is characterized as the finest topology satisfying (\*). It is also established that if  $C_\tau(X)$  is an  $A$ -convex algebra (a generalization of locally  $m$ -convex) and a topological vector lattice, then each closed (algebra) ideal in  $C_\tau(X)$  consists of all functions vanishing on a fixed subset of  $X$ . Some consequences for convergence structures are investigated.**

**Introduction.** Throughout this paper,  $X$  will denote a realcompact topological space and  $C(X)$  the algebra and lattice of all real-valued continuous functions on  $X$  under the pointwise defined operations. After preliminary remarks in §1, we describe (Theorem 1) closed (algebra) ideals in  $C(X)$  endowed with a topology  $\tau$  making  $C_\tau(X)$  an  $A$ -convex algebra (a generalization of locally  $m$ -convex introduced in [4]) and a topological vector lattice. As a corollary, we state sufficient conditions for  $\tau$  so that an ideal in  $C_\tau(X)$  is closed if and only if it consists of all functions vanishing on a subset of  $X$ . Then, in Theorem 3, we characterize topologies on  $C(X)$ , which are topologies of uniform convergence on a collection of compact subsets of  $X$ . In particular, the corollary of Theorem 3 provides a characterization of the topology of compact convergence on  $C(X)$ . We conclude the note (§3), by discussing generalizations applicable to convergence structures on  $C(X)$ .

**1. Definitions and preliminary results.** Since our major concern is the algebra  $C(X)$ , we restrict our definitions to commutative algebras over the reals.

**DEFINITION 1.** Given a commutative  $R$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , an absolutely convex subset  $S \subset \mathcal{A}$  is said to be *m-convex* (respectively, *A-convex*) if  $S \cdot S = \{fg: f, g \in S\}$  is contained in  $S$  (respectively,  $fS = \{fg: g \in S\}$  is absorbed by  $S$  for each  $f$  in  $S$ ). Now  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ , the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  together with a convergence structure  $\tau$  (see [1]) is said to be an *m-convex* (respectively, *A-convex*) *convergence algebra* if  $\tau$  is a convergence vector space structure (see [1]) and for every filter  $\theta$  con-

vergent to zero (in  $(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ ), there exists a coarser filter  $\Phi$  convergent to zero with a basis consisting of  $m$ -convex (respectively,  $A$ -convex) sets.

It is evident that if  $\tau$  is a topology, these definitions coincide with the concepts of a locally  $m$ -convex algebra (respectively, an  $A$ -convex algebra as defined in [4]). Since every  $m$ -convex set is  $A$ -convex, every  $m$ -convex convergence algebra is also an  $A$ -convex convergence algebra.

**DEFINITION 2.** In a vector lattice  $L$ , a subset  $S$  of  $L$  is said to be *solid*, if  $f \in S$  whenever  $|f| \leq |g|$  ( $f \in L$ ) and  $g \in S$  (e.g., see [8], p. 35). Given a convergence vector space structure  $\tau$  on  $L$  with the property that for every filter  $\theta$  convergent to zero, there exists a coarser filter  $\Phi$  convergent to zero, where  $\Phi$  has a basis of solid sets, we call  $(L, \tau)$  a *convergence vector lattice*.

Clearly, a convergence vector lattice that is also a topological space is a topological vector lattice. Further, one can readily verify that in every convergence vector lattice, the lattice operations are continuous.

The algebra  $C(X)$  is a lattice with respect to the order induced by the cone of nonnegative functions. Thus for  $f \in C(X)$ , the function  $|f|$  may be characterized by  $|f|(x) = |f(x)|$  for every  $x \in X$ . The symbols " $\vee$ " and " $\wedge$ " will denote the lattice operations of "sup" "inf", respectively. In addition, we will use the notation " $1$ " to represent the function of constant value 1.

**DEFINITION 3.** Let  $C_\tau(X)$  denote  $C(X)$  together with the convergence structure  $\tau$ . Now, the space  $C_\tau(X)$  will be called an  *$m$ -convex* (respectively,  *$A$ -convex*) *convergence lattice* if it is both an  $m$ -convex (respectively,  $A$ -convex) convergence algebra and a convergence vector lattice with respect to the natural order defined above. If, in addition,  $C_\tau(X)$  is a topological space, we will substitute the word "topological" for "convergence".

In discussing  $A$ -convex convergence lattices, the following two technical results will prove important.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $C_\tau(X)$  be an  $A$ -convex convergence lattice. For every filter  $\theta$  convergent to zero in  $C_\tau(X)$ , there exists a coarser filter  $\Phi$  convergent to zero, where  $\Phi$  has a basis consisting of solid,  $A$ -convex sets. If, in addition  $\tau$  is a topology, members of a basis for  $\Phi$  can be chosen closed,  $A$ -convex and solid.*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta$  be a filter convergent to zero in  $C_\tau(X)$ . Since the Fréchet filter  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by  $\left(\frac{1}{n}1\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to zero, the as-

sumption implies that there exists a filter  $\psi$  coarser than  $\mathcal{F} \cap \theta$  and having a basis of solid sets. In turn,  $\psi$  is finer than a filter  $\Omega$  having a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  consisting of  $A$ -convex sets. For each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , we define  $B'$  to be the collection of all functions  $f \in B$  with the property that if  $|g| \leq |f|$  ( $g \in C(X)$ ) then  $g \in B$ . Clearly,  $B'$  is solid and since  $B$  contains a solid set  $S$  in  $\psi$ , we have  $B' \supset S$  (i.e.,  $B' \in \psi$ ). Moreover, we will show that  $B'$  is an  $A$ -convex set. First, to verify that  $B'$  is absolutely convex, let  $f, g$  be in  $B'$  and  $k$  any function in  $C(X)$  with  $|k| \leq |\lambda f + \beta g|$ , where  $(|\lambda| + |\beta|) \leq 1$ . We need only verify that  $k \in B$ . Now the function

$$h = (k \wedge |\lambda||f|) \vee (-|\lambda||f|)$$

has the property that  $|h| \leq |\lambda||f|$  and  $|k - h| \leq |\beta||g|$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are elements of  $B'$ , both  $h/\lambda$  and  $(k - h)/\beta$  are in  $B$ . The absolute convexity of  $B$  implies  $k$  is in  $B$ . Now, to show that  $B'$  is  $A$ -convex, let  $g$  be any member of  $B'$ . Since  $\Omega$  is contained in  $\mathcal{F}$ , the function 1 is absorbed by  $B'$  and hence,  $|g| + 1$  is absorbed by  $B'$ . We know that  $B'$  is contained in the  $A$ -convex set  $B$ , which implies that for some  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(*) (|g| + 1) \cdot B' \subset \alpha B \text{ for every } \alpha \geq \lambda.$$

We wish to demonstrate that  $gB' \subset \alpha B'$  for  $\alpha \geq \lambda$ . Given  $k \in C(X)$  with  $|k| \leq |gf|$  and  $f$  an element of  $B'$ , it suffices to show that  $k \in \lambda B$ . Clearly,  $|k|/(|g| + 1)$  is less than or equal to  $|f|$ , and thus  $k/(|g| + 1)$  is in  $B'$ . It follows from (\*) that  $(|g| + 1)k/(|g| + 1)$  is in  $\lambda B$  as desired. It is evident that  $(B_1 \cap B_2)' \subset (B_1' \cap B_2')$  for  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ , and thus  $\{B' : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$  is a basis for a filter  $\Phi$  convergent to zero since  $\Phi \supset \Omega$ . We conclude that  $\Phi$  has the required properties. Finally, if  $C_c(X)$  is a topological space, it can be easily verified that the closures of neighborhoods  $B'$  remain  $A$ -convex and solid.

Any function  $f \in C(X)$  can be regarded as a continuous function from  $X$  into  $\hat{R}$ , the one point compactification of the reals. Thus, the function  $f$  can be extended (uniquely) to a function  $\bar{f}$  from  $\beta X$ , the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $X$ , into  $\hat{R}$ . If  $f$  is an element of  $C^0(X)$ , the collection of all bounded elements in  $C(X)$ , then  $\bar{f}$  can, of course, be regarded as a real-valued function. We will use the concept of a support set as introduced by Nachbin in [7]. Let  $V$  be a convex subset of  $C^0(X)$  (respectively,  $C(X)$ ). A compact subset  $G \subset \beta X$  with the property that  $f$  is an element of  $V$  whenever  $\bar{f}$  vanishes on  $G$  and  $f \in C^0(X)$  (respectively,  $C(X)$ ), is called a *support set* for  $V$ . The following result for a convex subset of  $C(X)$  is due to Nachbin. (See [7].) Its extension to  $C^0(X)$  is easily obtained from Nachbin's proof.

LEMMA 2. If  $V$  is a convex subset of  $C^0(X)$  (respectively,  $C(X)$ ) such that  $V \supset \{f: |f| \leq \delta 1\}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $G(V)$ , the intersection of all support sets for  $V$ , is again a support set for  $V$ . Further, given any support set  $G$  for  $V$ , if  $f \in C^0(X)$  (respectively,  $f \in C(X)$ ) and

$$\|f\|_G = \sup \{|\bar{f}(x)|: x \in G\}$$

is less than  $\delta/2$ , then  $f$  is an element of  $V$ .

2. Topological algebras. We first prove the following proposition for an  $A$ -convex topological lattice  $C_r(X)$  to facilitate the study of closed ideals. The symbol  $C_k^0(X)$  will denote the algebra of all bounded elements in  $C(X)$  endowed with the topology of convergence.

PROPOSITION 1. If  $C_r(X)$  is an  $A$ -convex topological lattice, then the inclusion map from  $C_k^0(X)$  into  $C_r(X)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 1, we can assume that the neighborhood filter of zero in  $C_r(X)$  has a basis,  $\mathcal{B}$ , consisting of solid  $A$ -convex sets. Given any element  $U$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , we will show that  $U$  contains a neighborhood of zero in  $C_k^0(X)$ . Clearly,  $U^0 = U \cap C^0(X)$  is a solid  $A$ -convex subset of  $C^0(X)$ . Since  $U$  is absorbing,  $U^0$  contains  $\{f \in C^0(X): |f| < \delta 1\}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Lemma 2 states that

$$U^0 \supset \left\{ f \in C^0(X): \|f\|_{G(U^0)} < \frac{\delta}{2} \right\}$$

where  $G(U^0)$  is the smallest support set for  $U^0$ . Thus, it is sufficient to show that  $G(U^0)$  is a subset of  $X$ . Let  $p$  be an arbitrary point in  $\beta X \setminus X$ . Since  $X$  is realcompact, we can choose a function  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $\bar{f}(p) = \infty$  (for example, the function  $1/|f|$  on page 119 in [5]). For convenience, we can assume  $f$  is greater than zero and an element of  $U$ , since  $U$  is absorbing (divide by an appropriate constant). Now  $U$  is also  $A$ -convex so that  $fU \subset \alpha U$  for some  $\alpha > 1$ . Letting  $k = f/\alpha$ , one can verify that  $k^n$  is in  $U$  for every natural number  $n$ . We will establish that

$$G = \{x \in \beta X: \bar{k}(x) \leq 2\}$$

is actually a support set for  $U^0$ . To this end let  $h$  be in  $C^0(X)$  with  $\bar{h}$  vanishing on  $G$ . There exists a positive integer  $m$  so that  $|h(x)| < 2^m$  for every  $x \in X$ , and thus  $|h| \leq k^m$ . Since  $U$  is solid,  $h$  is in both  $U$  and  $U^0$ . Hence,  $G$  is a support set for  $U^0$  disjoint from  $p$ , and it follows from Lemma 2 that  $G(U^0)$  is contained in  $X$  as desired.

The term *ideal* will always mean a proper algebra ideal. In  $C(X)$  (respectively, in  $C^0(X)$ ), an ideal is said to be *full* if it consists of all

functions in  $C(X)$  (respectively, in  $C^0(X)$ ) vanishing on a nonempty subset of  $X$ . In particular, for  $N \subset X$ , let  $I(N)$  denote the full ideal in  $C(X)$  of all functions vanishing on  $N$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *In an  $A$ -convex topological lattice  $C_\tau(X)$ , every closed ideal is full.*

*Proof.* Let  $J$  be a closed ideal in  $C_\tau(X)$ . Proposition 1 implies that  $J^0 = J \cap C^0(X)$  is a closed ideal in  $C_k^0(X)$ . Since an ideal is closed in  $C_k^0(X)$  if and only if it is full (e.g., this follows from Lemma 3 and Proposition 3 in [2], and the fact that the topology of compact convergence is coarser than the continuous convergence structure), we can write  $J^0 = I(N) \cap C^0(X)$ , where  $N \subset X$ . We will show that  $J$  is actually the full ideal  $I(N)$ . Given any function  $f \in C(X)$ , we have

$$((-1 \vee f) \wedge 1) = uf,$$

where  $u$  is a unit (an invertible function) in  $C(X)$  (see [5], p. 21). Now if  $f \in J$ , then  $uf$  is an element of  $J^0$ , which implies that  $uf$  and hence  $f$  vanish on  $N$ , i.e.,  $J \subset I(N)$ . Conversely, if  $f \in I(N)$ , we have  $uf \in J^0$ , and finally,  $u^{-1}uf = f$  must be an element of  $J$ . Hence,  $J$  is the full ideal  $I(N)$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $C_\tau(X)$  be an  $A$ -convex topological lattice such that  $\tau$  restricted to the bounded functions is finer than the topology of pointwise convergence. An ideal in  $C_\tau(X)$  is closed if and only if it is full.*

*Proof.* In view of the previous theorem, we need only verify that  $I(N)$ , for  $N \subset X$ , is closed in  $C_\tau(X)$ . Assume that  $\theta$  is a filter in  $C(X)$  convergent to  $g$  with a basis in  $I(N)$ . We define  $t(f)$  to be  $(-1 \vee f) \wedge 1$  for  $f \in C(X)$ . Since the lattice operations are continuous, the image filter  $t(\theta)$  converges to  $g' = ((-1 \vee g) \wedge 1)$ . Furthermore, by assumption  $t(\theta) \cap C^0(X)$  converges pointwise to  $g'$ , hence,  $g$  itself vanishes on  $N$ . Therefore,  $I(N)$  is a closed ideal in  $C_\tau(X)$ .

A seminorm  $s$  on  $C(X)$  will be called a *supremum seminorm*, if, for any  $f \in C(X)$ ,

$$s(f) = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in K\},$$

where  $K$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . We say that a topology  $\tau$  on  $C(X)$  is a *topology of  $k$ -convergence* if  $\tau$  is generated by a collection of supremum seminorms, i.e.,  $\tau$  is a topology of uniform convergence on a collection of compact subsets of  $X$ .

Before characterizing the topologies of  $k$ -convergence, we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *Let  $C_r(X)$  be an  $A$ -convex topological lattice. Either of the following conditions implies that the sequence  $(|f| \wedge n1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $|f|$  for each  $f \in C(X)$ :*

- (1)  $C^0(X)$  is dense in  $C_r(X)$ .
- (2) Inversion is continuous on the set of invertible elements.

*Proof.* Given that (1) is satisfied and  $f$  is any member of  $C(X)$ , there exists a filter  $\theta$  convergent to  $|f|$  with a trace on  $C^0(X)$ . This implies that  $\theta - |f|$  is finer than a filter  $\psi$  convergent to zero with a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of solid sets. Now for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , there exists an  $A \in \theta$  so that  $B$  contains  $A - |f|$ . In particular,  $B \supset (g - |f|)$ , where  $g$  is an element of  $A \cap C^0(X)$ . If  $n_0$  is a natural number greater than the supremum of  $|g|$  on  $X$ , it follows that

$$|(|f| \wedge n1) - |f|| \leq |g - |f||$$

for every  $n \geq n_0$ . Since  $B$  is solid,  $(|f| \wedge n1) - |f|$  is an element of  $B$  for every  $n \geq n_0$  and we conclude that  $(|f| \wedge n1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $|f|$ .

Given that (2) is satisfied, we will show that  $C^0(X)$  is dense in  $C_r(X)$ , i.e., (1) is satisfied. Let  $f$  be a member of  $C(X)$  and  $U$  any solid neighborhood of zero in  $C_r(X)$ . Now there exists a solid neighborhood  $V$  of zero with  $V + V$  contained in  $U$ . Proposition 1 implies that there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $((|f| \vee \delta 1) - |f|)$  is in  $V$ . Since inversion is continuous, we can choose a neighborhood  $W$  of zero with the property that if  $g$  is a unit and  $(g^{-1} - (|f| \vee \delta 1)^{-1}) \in W$ , then  $(g - (|f| \vee \delta 1))$  is an element of  $V$ . It is evident that for an appropriate choice of  $m > \delta$ ,

$$[(|f| \vee \delta 1) \wedge m1]^{-1} - (|f| \vee \delta 1)^{-1} \in W$$

and thus  $[(|f| \vee \delta 1) \wedge m1] - |f|$  is in  $V + V$ . Since  $(V + V) \subset U$  and

$$|((-m1 \vee f) \wedge m1) - f| \leq |((|f| \vee \delta 1) \wedge m1) - |f||,$$

we conclude that  $C^0(X)$  is dense in  $C_r(X)$ .

THEOREM 2. *Let  $C_r(X)$  be an  $A$ -convex topological lattice. The topology  $\tau$  is coarser than the topology of compact convergence if:*

- (1)  $C^0(X)$  is dense in  $C_r(X)$ . Or,
- (2) Inversion is continuous on the set of invertible elements.

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be any neighborhood of zero in  $C_r(X)$ , an  $A$ -convex topological lattice. In view of Lemma 1, we may assume  $U$  is  $A$ -convex, solid and closed. Now, Lemma 2 and the fact that  $U$  is ab-

sorbing, implies that  $U$  contains  $\{f \in C(X): \|f\|_{G(U)} < \delta/2\}$ , where  $\delta > 0$  and  $G(U)$  is the smallest support set for  $U$ . To establish the result, we will show (by an argument similar to that in the proof of Proposition 1) that  $G(U)$  is contained in  $X$  assuming condition (1) or (2) is satisfied. For  $p$  any point in  $\beta X \setminus X$ , choose a positive function  $f$  in  $U$  with  $f(p) = \infty$ . We can suppose  $f^n \in U$  for every  $n \in N$  (otherwise, pick an appropriate scalar multiple of  $f$ ), and we claim

$$G = \{x \in \beta X: \bar{f}(x) \leq 2\}$$

is a support set for  $U$ . If  $h \in C(X)$  with  $\bar{h}(G) = \{0\}$ , then  $(|h| \wedge n1) \leq f^n$  for every  $n \in N$ , and hence,  $(|h| \wedge n1)$  is in  $U$ . It follows from the supposition and the previous lemma that  $h$  itself is in  $U$  as desired.

Given that  $C_c(X)$  is an  $m$ -convex topological lattice, we know that inversion is continuous (e.g., see [6], Proposition 2.8), and thus, the stipulation of (1) or (2) in the previous theorem can be omitted. Furthermore, we can now prove the following:

**THEOREM 3.**  *$C_c(X)$  is an  $m$ -convex topological lattice, if and only if  $\tau$  is a topology of  $k$ -convergence.*

*Proof.* Let  $C_c(X)$  be an  $m$ -convex topological lattice. An  $m$ -convex closed neighborhood  $M$  of zero in  $C_c(X)$  contains a solid closed neighborhood of zero, call it  $N$ . The proof of Lemma 1 established that the set  $M'$  consisting of all  $f \in M$  with the property that  $|g| \leq |f|$  implies that  $g \in M$  is an absolutely convex set containing  $N$ . We claim that  $M'$  is also  $m$ -convex. Given  $|k| \leq |f| \cdot |g|$  for  $f, g \in M'$ , we need only verify that  $k$  is in  $M$ . For any solid neighborhood  $U$  of zero, there exists a solid neighborhood  $\tilde{U}$  of zero with  $|g| \cdot \tilde{U} \subset U$ . Now, Proposition 1 implies that  $\tilde{U}$  contains  $\{f \in C^0(X): |f| \leq \delta 1\}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . We have  $|k|(|f| + \delta 1)^{-1} \leq |g|$  since

$$|k| \leq |f| |g| \leq (|f| + \delta 1) |g|.$$

By writing

$$\begin{aligned} k &= [k \cdot (|f| + \delta 1)^{-1}] \cdot (|f| + \delta 1) \\ &= [k \cdot (|f| + \delta 1)^{-1}] \cdot |f| + [k \cdot (|f| + \delta 1)^{-1}] \cdot \delta 1, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $k \in (M \cdot M + U)$  and thus  $k \in (M + U)$ . Since  $U$  was arbitrary and  $M$  is closed, we conclude that  $k$  is indeed in  $M$ . One may verify that the closure of  $M'$  is still  $m$ -convex and solid, and hence, we may choose as a basis for the neighborhoods of zero in  $C_c(X)$  a collection  $\mathcal{M}$  of closed,  $m$ -convex, solid sets. For  $V \in \mathcal{M}$ , as in the proof of Theorem 2, we have

$$V \supset \{f \in C(X): \|f\|_{G(V)} \leq \eta\}$$



where  $\eta > 0$  and  $G(V) \subset X$ . We will show that

$$V \subset \{f \in C(X) : \|f\|_{\sigma(V)} \leq 3\}.$$

Assume to the contrary that there exists an  $f \in V$  with  $f(p) > 3$  for  $p \in G(V)$ . Since  $V$  is solid, we can assume  $f$  is bounded and non-negative. We will establish that

$$H = \{x \in \beta X : \bar{f}(x) \leq 2\}$$

is a support set for  $V$ , which will contradict the fact that  $G(V)$  is minimal (as  $H \cap G(V)$  is a support set). If  $g \in C(X)$  with  $\bar{g}(H) = \{0\}$ , then  $(|g| \wedge n1) \leq f^n$  for every  $n \in N$ . The fact that  $V$  is  $m$ -convex implies that each  $(|g| \wedge n1)$  is in  $V$ , and since  $V$  is closed and solid, we conclude by an application of Lemma 3 that  $g$  is in  $V$ . Thus, we have proved that  $C_\tau(X)$  carries a topology of  $k$ -convergence. The converse is immediate.

**COROLLARY.** *The topology of compact convergence on  $C(X)$  is the finest among all topologies  $\tau$  making  $C_\tau(X)$  an  $m$ -convex topological lattice.*

**3. Consequences for convergence spaces.** Here, we will provide sufficient conditions for closed ideals in  $A$ -convex convergence lattices to be full.

In studying these structures that are not necessarily topological, we will utilize properties of the Marinescu space  $C_r(X)$  introduced in [3]. A set  $Z \subset \beta X$  is said to be a *zero-set* if  $Z = \{p \in \beta X : f(p) = 0\}$  for some function  $f$  in  $C(\beta X)$ . For any zero-set  $Z \subset \beta X \setminus X$ , the algebra  $C(\beta X \setminus Z)$  can be identified with a subalgebra of  $C(X)$ ; namely, restriction of the functions to  $X$ . The space  $C_r(X)$  is the inductive limit in the category of convergence spaces of the family

$$(*) \quad \{C_k(\beta X \setminus Z) : Z \subset \beta X \setminus X \text{ is a zero-set}\}$$

together with the order defined by inclusion ( $k$  again denotes the topology of compact convergence). Actually,  $C_r(X)$  can be regarded as  $C(X)$  endowed with the finest convergence structure making all the inclusion maps from members of  $(*)$  into  $C(X)$  continuous. Furthermore, it is easily verified that  $C_r^0(X)$ , the bounded functions together with the convergence structure inherited from  $C_r(X)$ , coincides with the inductive limit of the family of all  $C_k^0(\beta X \setminus Z)$  for  $Z$  a zero-set contained in  $\beta X \setminus X$ .

In analogy to Proposition 1, we prove:

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $C_\tau(X)$  is an  $A$ -convex convergence lattice, then the inclusion map from  $C_r^0(X)$  into  $C_\tau(X)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Because  $C_r^0(X)$  is itself an inductive limit, it is sufficient to show that the inclusion map

$$i: C_k^0(\beta X \setminus Z) \longrightarrow C_r(X)$$

is continuous for each zero-set  $Z \subset \beta X \setminus X$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  denote the image filter under  $i$  of the neighborhood filter at zero in  $C_k^0(\beta X \setminus Z)$ . The subset  $Z$  can be considered a zero-set of a function  $\bar{f}$ , where  $f$  is a positive function in  $C^0(X)$ . Since  $f$  is invertible, we set  $g = f^{-1}$  and note that  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , the Fréchet filters generated by  $\left(\frac{1}{n}1\right)_{n \in N}$  and

$\left(\frac{1}{n}g\right)_{n \in N}$ , both converge to zero in  $C_r(X)$ . Thus, we can find a filter  $\theta$  coarser than both  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , where  $\theta$  converges to zero and has a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of solid,  $A$ -convex sets. To complete the proof, we wish to establish that  $\mathcal{U}$  contains  $\theta$ . Given any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , clearly,  $B \cap C^0(X)$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2, and thus, it is sufficient to show that some support set for  $B \cap C^0(X)$  is contained in  $\beta X \setminus Z$ . Since  $g$  is absorbed by  $B$ , proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 1, we can choose a scalar multiple of  $g$ , call it  $k$ , with the property that  $k^n \in B$  for every  $n \in N$ . Since  $\bar{g}(Z) = \{\infty\}$ , it is clear that the set

$$G = \{x \in \beta X: \bar{k}(X) \leq 2\}$$

is contained in  $\beta X \setminus Z$ . To establish that  $G$  is actually a support set, let  $h$  be a bounded function in  $C(X)$  with  $\bar{h}(G) = \{0\}$ . It follows that  $|h| \leq k^m$  for some  $m \in N$ , and thus,  $h$  itself is in  $B$ , since  $B$  is solid.

A completely regular topological space  $Y$  will be called *z-realcompact*, if every compact subset of  $\beta Y \setminus Y$  is contained in a zero-set  $Z$ , where  $Z \subset \beta Y \setminus Y$ .

It is evident that any  $z$ -realcompact space is realcompact, and on the other hand, every locally compact  $\sigma$ -compact (Hausdorff) topological space is  $z$ -realcompact (see [3], p. 445 and [5], p. 115).

For a  $z$ -realcompact space  $X$ , it can be established by modifying the proof of Theorem 2 in [3] that an ideal in  $C_r^0(X)$  is closed, if and only if it is full. (In modifying the proof, the set  $K$  would be replaced by a zero-set in  $\beta X \setminus X$  containing  $K$ .) Now, given a closed ideal  $J$  in an  $A$ -convex convergence lattice  $C_r(X)$ , where  $X$  is  $z$ -realcompact, Proposition 2 implies that  $J \cap C^0(X)$  is closed in  $C_r^0(X)$ . Thus,  $J \cap C^0(X)$  is a full ideal, and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1, we conclude that  $J$  itself is a full ideal. To summarize, we state:

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $X$  be a  $z$ -realcompact space and  $C_r(X)$  an  $A$ -convex convergence lattice. Every closed ideal in  $C_r(X)$  is full.*

The argument in the proof of the corollary to Theorem 1 is valid for convergence spaces, and thus, we have:

COROLLARY. *Let  $X$  be a  $z$ -realcompact topological space and  $C_c(X)$  an  $A$ -convex convergence lattice. If  $\tau$  restricted to  $C^0(X)$  is finer than the topology of pointwise convergence, then an ideal in  $C_c(X)$  is closed if and only if it is full.*

It is clear that  $C_c(X)$  and in fact any inductive limit (in the category of convergence spaces) of  $m$ -convex (respectively,  $A$ -convex) topological lattices are  $m$ -convex (respectively,  $A$ -convex) convergence lattices. The following proposition provides examples of  $m$ -convex (and hence,  $A$ -convex) convergence lattices which cannot be realized as inductive limits of topological vector spaces.

For a completely regular topological space  $Y$ , let  $C_c(Y)$  denote the algebra  $C(Y)$  endowed with the continuous convergence structure (see [1]). In [3] (Theorem 8), it is shown that  $C_c(Y)$  is not in general an inductive limit of topological vector spaces, while we can prove:

PROPOSITION 3. *Let  $Y$  be a completely regular topological space.  $C_c(Y)$  is an  $m$ -convex convergence lattice.*

*Proof.* A filter  $\theta$  converges to zero in  $C_c(Y)$  if and only if for every  $p \in Y$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  and a  $B$  in  $\theta$  such that

$$B \cdot U \subset \left[ \frac{-1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right]$$

(i.e.,  $|f(x)| \leq 1/n$  for every  $f \in B$  and  $x \in U$ ). Given  $\Phi$  convergent to zero in  $C_c(Y)$ , we associate to every point  $y \in Y$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  a neighborhood  $U_{(y,n)}$  of  $y$  and a  $B$  in  $\Phi$  so that

$$B \cdot (U_{(y,n)}) \subset \left[ \frac{-1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right].$$

Now, we define

$$T_{(y,n)} = \left\{ f \in C(Y) : f(U_{(y,n)}) \subset \left[ \frac{-1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right] \right\}.$$

It is clear that all the sets  $T_{(y,n)}$  for  $y \in Y$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  generate a filter  $\psi$  coarser than  $\Phi$  and convergent to zero in  $C_c(Y)$ . Furthermore, it is easy to check that finite intersections of sets  $T_{(y,n)}$  are also  $m$ -convex and solid, which completes the proof.

## REFERENCES

1. E. Binz and H. H. Keller, *Funktionenräume in der Kategorie der Limesräume*, Ann. Acad. Scie. Fenn. A.I., (1966), 1-21.
2. ———, *On closed ideals in convergence function algebras*, Math. Ann., **182** (1969), 145-153.

3. E. Binz and W. Feldman, *On a Marinescu structure on  $C(X)$* , Comm. Math. Helv., **46** Fasc. 4, (1971), 436-450.
4. A. C. Cochran, E. R. Keown, and C. R. Williams, *On a class of topological algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **34** (1970).
5. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
6. E. A. Michael, *Locally multiplicatively-convex topological algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Memoirs, **11** (1952).
7. L. Nachbin, *Topological vector spaces of continuous functions*, Proc. N.A.S., **40** (1954), 471-474.
8. Anthony L. Peressini, *Ordered Topological Vector Spaces*, Harper and Row, New York, 1967.

Received October 16, 1972.

UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

**RICHARD ARENS** (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

**J. DUGUNDJI\***  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

**R. A. BEAUMONT**  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

**D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM**  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

**E. F. BECKENBACH**

**B. H. NEUMANN**

**F. WOLF**

**K. YOSHIDA**

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

**UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA**  
**CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY**  
**UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA**  
**MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY**  
**UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA**  
**NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY**  
**OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY**  
**UNIVERSITY OF OREGON**  
**OSAKA UNIVERSITY**

**UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA**  
**STANFORD UNIVERSITY**  
**UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO**  
**UNIVERSITY OF UTAH**  
**WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY**  
**UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON**  
\* \* \*  
**AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY**  
**NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER**

\* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 51, No. 1

November, 1974

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Zvi Arad, $\pi$ -homogeneity and $\pi'$ -closure of finite groups .....  | 1   |
| Ivan Baggs, A connected Hausdorff space which is not contained in a maximal connected space .....  | 11  |
| Eric Bedford, The Dirichlet problem for some overdetermined systems on the unit ball in $C^n$ .....  | 19  |
| R. H. Bing, Woodrow Wilson Bledsoe and R. Daniel Mauldin, Sets generated by rectangles .....   | 27  |
| Carlo Cecchini and Alessandro Figà-Talamanca, Projections of uniqueness for $L^p(G)$ .....   | 37  |
| Gokulananda Das and Ram N. Mohapatra, The non absolute Nörlund summability of Fourier series .....   | 49  |
| Frank Rimi DeMeyer, On separable polynomials over a commutative ring .....   | 57  |
| Richard Detmer, Sets which are tame in arcs in $E^3$ .....   | 67  |
| William Erb Dietrich, Ideals in convolution algebras on Abelian groups .....   | 75  |
| Bryce L. Elkins, A Galois theory for linear topological rings .....  | 89  |
| William Alan Feldman, A characterization of the topology of compact convergence on $C(X)$ .....  | 109 |
| Hillel Halkin Gershenson, A problem in compact Lie groups and framed cobordism .....   | 121 |
| Samuel R. Gordon, Associators in simple algebras .....   | 131 |
| Marvin J. Greenberg, Strictly local solutions of Diophantine equations .....   | 143 |
| Jon Craig Helton, Product integrals and inverses in normed rings .....   | 155 |
| Domingo Antonio Herrero, Inner functions under uniform topology .....  | 167 |
| Jerry Alan Johnson, Lipschitz spaces .....   | 177 |
| Marvin Stanford Keener, Oscillatory solutions and multi-point boundary value functions for certain $n$ th-order linear ordinary differential equations ..... | 187 |
| John Cronan Kieffer, A simple proof of the Moy-Perez generalization of the Shannon-McMillan theorem .....  | 203 |
| Joong Ho Kim, Power invariant rings .....  | 207 |
| Gangaram S. Ladde and V. Lakshmikantham, On flow-invariant sets .....  | 215 |
| Roger T. Lewis, Oscillation and nonoscillation criteria for some self-adjoint even order linear differential operators .....                                 | 221 |
| Jürg Thomas Marti, On the existence of support points of solid convex sets .....   | 235 |
| John Rowlay Martin, Determining knot types from diagrams of knots .....  | 241 |
| James Jerome Metzger, Local ideals in a topological algebra of entire functions characterized by a non-radial rate of growth .....                           | 251 |
| K. C. O'Meara, Intrinsic extensions of prime rings .....   | 257 |
| Stanley Poreda, A note on the continuity of best polynomial approximations .....   | 271 |
| Robert John Sacker, Asymptotic approach to periodic orbits and local prolongations of maps .....   | 273 |
| Eric Peter Smith, The Garabedian function of an arbitrary compact set .....  | 289 |
| Arne Stray, Pointwise bounded approximation by functions satisfying a side condition .....   | 301 |
| John St. Clair Werth, Jr., Maximal pure subgroups of torsion complete abelian $p$ -groups .....  | 307 |
| Robert S. Wilson, On the structure of finite rings. II .....   | 317 |
| Kari Ylinen, The multiplier algebra of a convolution measure algebra .....   | 327 |