ON THE EIGENVALUES OF A SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC OPERATOR IN AN UNBOUNDED DOMAIN

DENTON ELWOOD HEWGILL

ON THE EIGENVALUES OF A SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC OPERATOR IN AN UNBOUNDED DOMAIN

Denton Hewgill

Let E be an open set in R^n which satisfies the "narrowness at infinity" condition:

$$\operatorname{meas}(E \cap \{x \in R^n\colon a \leqq |x| < a + 1\}) \leqq \operatorname{const}(a + 1)^{-\beta},$$

for all $a > 0$ and some $\beta > 0$. It is known that a uniformly strongly elliptic self-adjoint partial differential operator, on such a set E, has a discrete spectrum of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}$. This paper is concerned with the growth rate of the function

$$N(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda_n \leqq \lambda} 1.$$

The main result of the paper is to give an upper bound for $N(\lambda)$. This upper bound will be a function of the β from the "narrowness" condition.

An unbounded open set E in Euclidean n-space R^n is said to be quasi-bounded if the points $x \in E$ with $|x|$ large are near the boundary ∂E:

$$\lim_{x \to \infty, x \in E} \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial E) = 0.$$

Let T be the $L_2(E)$-realization of the uniformly strongly elliptic second order partial differential operator $a(x, D)$ with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$a(x, D) = -\sum_{|\alpha| \leqq 2} a_\alpha(x) D^\alpha, \qquad D^\alpha = (\partial/\partial x_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\partial/\partial x_n)^{\alpha_n},$$

$$|\alpha| = |\alpha_1| + \cdots + |\alpha_n|,$$

$$a_0(x, \xi) \geqq \operatorname{const}|\xi|^{2m}, \qquad x \in R^n, \quad \xi \in R^n$$

where $a_0(x, \xi)$ is the principle part of $a(x, \xi)$; the coefficients $a_\alpha(x)$ are infinitely differentiable bounded real functions in R^n; $a(x, D)$ is formally self-adjoint;

$$\mathscr{D}(T) = H_0^1(E) \cap \{f \in L_2(E)\colon a(x, D)f \in L_2(E)\}$$

$$Tf = a(x, D)f, \qquad f \in \mathscr{D}(T),$$

where $H_0^1(E)$ is the standard Sobolev space. If E is quasi-bounded and satisfies some additional smoothness conditions, that it is known, Clark [4] and Adams [1], that T has a compact resolvent, and thus a discrete spectrum, consisting of eigenvalues λ_j satisfying

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leqq \lambda_2 \leqq \cdots \lambda_j \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad j \longrightarrow \infty .$$

Define the "trace function" by

$$N(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda_j \leqq \lambda} 1 .$$

For $a(x, D)$ equal to the Laplacian, the asymptotic nature of $N(\lambda)$ was discussed by: Weyl for E a bounded set; Clark [5] for E an unbounded set with finite volume; Clark and Hewgill [6] for E with an infinite volume.

In this paper we consider domains which satisfy a β-condition:

$$\text{meas} \, (E \cap \{x: a \leqq x < a + 1\}) \leqq C(a + 1)^{-\beta} , \quad a \geqq 0 ,$$

where β and C are positive constants. The main result we shall prove is theorem. If $4k > \beta^{-1}$ when dim $E = 2$, and $2k > \beta^{-1}$ when dim $E = 3$, then

$$N(\lambda) \leqq \text{const} \, \lambda^{4k} , \quad \lambda > 0 .$$

This theorem will generalize, to an elliptic operator, some of the results stated in Hewgill [8] and Rozenbljum [11].

The method we shall use is to construct a fundamental singularity of a special type which will estimate the Green's function for the problem. Then, we prove that some iterate of the Green's function is a Hilbert-Schmidt kernel, from which our upper bound on $N(\lambda)$ will follow.

1. **The fundamental singularity and the Green's function.** We take as a starting point Gårding's paper [7], which constructs a fundamental singularity for T in an unbounded domain. We remark here that Gårding's construction of the Green's function and his estimates for it will not work in an unbounded domain. Gårding's results to be used are summarized in the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let the coefficients of the uniformly strongly elliptic operator $a(x, D)$ be infinitely differentiable functions in R^n. Then, there exists a function $\Gamma(\tau, z, x)$, the fundamental singularity for $a(x, D)$, such that the following conditions hold:*

$$\Gamma(\tau, z, x) = O(1)\tau^n e_0(\tau(x - z))(1 + |\tau(x - z)|^N)^{-1} , \quad x, z \in R^n ,$$

where $e_0(y) = |y|^{2-n-\varepsilon}$ for $n \geqq 2$;

$$(a(x, D) + \tau^2)\Gamma(\tau, z, x) = 0 , \quad x \neq z ;$$

$$\tau^{-2}(a(x, D) + \tau^2)\Gamma f(x) = f(x)$$

where

$$\Gamma f(x) = \int \Gamma(\tau, z, x) f(z) dz , \qquad f \in C_0^\infty(R^n) .$$

In these formulas $N > 0$ and $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ are arbitrary, and the estimate $O(1)$, for large τ, is uniform in $R^n \times R^n$.

In the case where $a(x, D)$ is the Laplace operator, Brownell [3] has shown that the fundamental singularity has exponential decay in R^n.

Next, we need a Green's function $G_R(\tau, z, x)$ for the bounded cut off domain $E_R = \{x \in E : |x| < R\}$. Krzyżański [9] shows:

THEOREM 1.2. *For τ sufficiently large and positive, there exists a Green's function $G_R(\tau, z, x)$, which is continuous in* cl $E_R \times$ cl E_R *when $x \neq z$;*

$$G_R f(x) = \int_{E_R} G_R(\tau, z, x) f(z) dz , \qquad f \in C_0^\infty(E_R) ,$$

has continuous second derivatives in E_R;

$$(a(x, D) + \tau^2) G_R f(x) = f(x) , \qquad f \in C_0^\infty(E_R) ;$$

and $G_R f(x) = 0$ for x in the boundary of E_R.

LEMMA 1.3. *If* dim E *is 2 or 3, then the function $\Gamma f(x)$, defined for $f \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$ by Theorem 1.1, tends to zero as $|x| \to +\infty$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1,

$$\Gamma(\tau, z, x) = O(1) \tau^n |\tau(x - z)|^{2-n-\varepsilon} (1 + |\tau(x - z)|^{2N})^{-1} ,$$

for arbitrary N and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. If $f \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$, then

$$|\Gamma f(x)| \leq \left\{ \sup_{z \in \text{supp} f} 2(1 + |\tau(x - z)|^N)^{-1} \right\} \int_{R^n} O(1) \tau^n |\tau(x - z)|^{2-n-\varepsilon}$$

$$(1 + |\tau(x - z)^N|)^{-1} |f(z)| dz ,$$

by use of the elementary inequality $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$.

Since $|f(z)|$ is bounded, the above integral is independent of x by translation, and is convergent if N is chosen larger than $n + 1$ with dim E equal to 2 or 3. Since f has bounded support, the function $\Gamma f(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

LEMMA 1.4. *The fundamental solution $\Gamma(\tau, z, x)$ is nonnegative in $R^n \times R^n$.*

Proof. Assume $\Gamma(\tau, z_0, x_0)$ is negative for some x_0 and z_0 with $x_0 \neq z_0$. Then, there is a function f, which is nonnegative and has

small support, such that $\Gamma f(x_0) < 0$. Let $\varepsilon = \Gamma f(x_0)/2$. By Lemma 1.3, we have a sphere K such that $|\Gamma f(x)| \leqq \varepsilon$ in $R^n - K$. Also

$$[-a(x, D) - \tau^2]\Gamma f(x) = -\tau^2 f(x) \leqq 0 ,$$

by Theorem 1.1. Thus the Hopf theorem implies that $\Gamma f(x) \geqq -\varepsilon$ in all of R^n, which is a contradiction and so the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 1.5. *The Green's function for the cut off domain E_R, given in Theorem 1.2, satisfies the inequality*

$$0 \leqq G_R(\tau, z, x) \leqq \Gamma(\tau, z, x) , \qquad x, z \in E_R .$$

Proof. The proof follows directly from a version of the maximum theorem given in Krzyżański [9, p. 436], by considering the function

$$V(\tau, z, x) = \Gamma(\tau, z, x) - G_R(\tau, z, x) ,$$

and using the properties of Γ and G_R proved in Lemmas 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4.

The next task is to discuss the Green's function for the whole domain E and show its relation to the fundamental singularity Γ.

THEOREM 1.6. *The operator T, which is defined on the open domain E satisfying the β-condition, is a closed linear operator; the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ is discrete and has no finite limit points; the resolvent operator $R_\lambda(T) = (\lambda I - T)^{-1}$ is completely continuous; there is a complete set of eigenfunctions $\{u_j\}$ such that $Tu_j = \lambda_j u_j$.*

Proof. Since the β-condition on E implies that E is quasi-bounded, this is a special case of Clark's result [4, Theorem 5] for a uniformly strongly elliptic operator.

THEOREM 1.7. *For $n = 2$ and 3 the resolvent $R_\lambda(T)$ has a $L_2(E)$ Carleman kernel $G(\lambda, z, x)$, called the Green's function for T on the domain E, such that*

$$(\lambda I - T)^{-1}f(x) = -Gf(x) = -\int_E G(\lambda, z, x)f(z)dz , \qquad f \in L_2(E) ;$$

$$(\lambda - a(x, D))G(\lambda, z, x) = 0 , \quad for \quad x \neq z ;$$

and $\psi(\cdot)G(\lambda, z, \cdot) \in \mathscr{D}(T)$ for ψ an infinitely differentiable function which is zero in a neighborhood of z and equal to 1 in a boundary strip.

The above theorem is proved in Maurin [10, p. 244].

LEMMA 1.8. *Let the set A have a compact closure in E, and $f \in C_0^\infty(A)$. Then, $Gf = G_R f$ if R is sufficiently large, where G is the Green's operator for E and G_R is the Green's operator for the cut off domain E_R.*

Proof. From Theorems 1.2 and 1.7 we have, for R sufficiently large,

$$(a(x, D) + \tau^2)G_R f(x) = f(x) , \qquad G_R f \in H_0^1(E_R) ,$$

and

$$(a(x, D) + \tau^2)Gf(x) = f(x) , \quad \lambda = -\tau^2 , \quad Gf \in H_0^1(E) .$$

Now we extend $G_R f$ by zero so that it is in $H_0^1(E)$ and subtract the above equations to get

$$(a(x, D) + \tau^2)[Gf(x) - G_R f(x)] = 0 ,$$

with $Gf - G_R f \in H_0^1(E)$. Thus the function $Gf - G_R f$ is eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $-\tau^2$, however, $-\tau^2$ is not in the spectrum of the self-adjoint operator T therefore $Gf = G_R f$.

We finish this section with the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.9. *The Green's function $G(\lambda, z, x)$ is continuous for $x \neq z$ and satisfies the inequality*

$$G(-\tau^2, z, x) \leqq \Gamma(\tau, z, x) , \quad x \neq z, \text{ for } \tau \text{ sufficiently large} .$$

Proof. Since the Green's function $G(\lambda, z, x)$ satisfies the equation $(\lambda - a(x, D))G(\lambda, z, x) = 0$ if $x \neq z$, the continuity of G follows from a local regularity theorem for elliptic equations (see Agmon [2, Theorem 6.3]).

Now assume that there are two different points ξ, η in E such that

$$G(-\tau^2, \xi, \eta) > \Gamma(\tau, \xi, \eta) .$$

Since both G and Γ are continuous there are two disjoint neighborhoods U and V of ξ and η respectively such that the same inequality holds. Let f be a positive $C_0^\infty(U)$ function. Then, $Gf(x)$ and $\Gamma f(x)$ satisfy the inequality

$$Gf(x) > \Gamma f(x) , \qquad x \in V ,$$

but Lemma 1.8 shows $Gf(x) = G_R f(x)$ if R is sufficiently large, therefore

$$G_R f(x) > \Gamma f(x) , \qquad x \in V, \ R \text{ large} .$$

However, this contradicts Lemma 1.5, and hence our theorem is proved.

2. The Hilbert-Schmidt properties of the Green's function. In this section we make essential use of the β-condition to show that some iterate of the Green's function is a Hilbert-Schmidt kernel.

LEMMA 2.1. *If* $\alpha \geqq 0$, $\gamma < \beta$, $\tau > \tau_0$, *and* N *is sufficiently large*

$$(N > \max \{2n, 2(\alpha + \beta)(\beta - \gamma)^{-1}\}),$$

then

$$(1) \qquad \int_E (|x| + 1)^{-\alpha} (1 + |\tau(x - y)|^N)^{-1} dx < K(|y| + 1)^{-\alpha - \gamma},$$

where $K = K(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau_0, N)$ *but is independent of* y.

Proof. Set $B(y, \delta)$ equal to the ball of radius δ about y in R^n, $B(y) = B(y, \delta) \cap E$, and $B'(y) = E - B(y)$. Further set δ equal to $(|y| + 1)$ raised to the power $2(\alpha + \beta)/N$.

Consider first the integral in (1) taken over the set $B'(y)$. To this integral we apply the inequality $(a + b)^2 \leqq 2a^2 + 2b^2$ to get

$$(2) \qquad \int_{B'(y)} (|x| + 1)^{-\alpha} (1 + |\tau(x - y)|^N)^{-1} dx$$

$$\leqq 2(1 + |\tau\delta|^{N/2})^{-1} \int_{R^n} (1 + |\tau(x - y)|^{N/2})^{-1} dx.$$

The integral on the right hand side of (2) is independent of y by translation, and is convergent in R^n if $N > 2n$. Thus the left hand side of (2) is bounded by a constant times $(1 + |\tau\delta|^{N/2})^{-1}$, which is in turn bounded by a constant times $(|y| + 1)^{-\alpha - \beta}$, after we substitute the value of δ which depends on y.

Next, we consider the remaining part, over $B(y)$, of the integral in (1). Then

$$(3) \qquad \int_{B(y)} (|x| + 1)^{-\alpha} (1 + |\tau(x - y)|^N)^{-1} dx$$

$$\leqq \int_{B(y)} (|x| + 1)^{-\alpha} dx \leqq (\max \{1, |y| - \delta\})^{-\alpha} \, \text{meas} \, B(y).$$

From the β-condition on E,

$$\text{meas} \, (E \cap \{x: a \leqq |x| < a + 1\}) \leqq C(1 + a)^{-\beta},$$

we have

$$\text{meas} \, B(y) \leqq \text{const} \, (|y| + 1)^{-\gamma} [(|y| + 1)^{-(\beta - \gamma)} (|y| + 1)^{2(\alpha + \beta)/N}],$$

which is less than a constant times $(|y| + 1)^{-\gamma}$ if $N > 2(\alpha + \beta)(\beta - \gamma)^{-1}$.

If we now insert this estimate for meas $B(y)$ into the left hand side of (3), then (3) is bounded by a constant times $(|y| + 1)^{-\alpha-\gamma}$. The combined estimates for (2) and (3) complete the proof of inequality (1).

LEMMA 2.2.   *If $\gamma < \beta$, $\tau > \tau_0$, and N sufficiently is large, then there is an ε_0 and a K such that*

$$(4) \qquad \int_E |x - y|^{-\varepsilon}(1 + |\tau(x - y)|^N)^{-1} dy \leqq K(|y| + 1)^{-\gamma}$$

where $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\beta, \gamma)$, and $K = K(\beta, \gamma, \tau_0, N)$.

Proof. Set $B(y) = B(y, 1) \cap E$, where $B(y, 1)$ is the ball center at y and radius equal to 1.

The integral on the left hand side of (4), integrated only over the set $B(y)$, is bounded by

$$(5) \qquad \int_{B(y)} |x - y|^{-\varepsilon} dy \leqq (\text{meas } B(y))^{1-2^{-m}} \left\{ \int_{B(y)} |x - y|^{-2^m \varepsilon} dy \right\}^{2^{-m}},$$

if we apply the Schwarz inequality m times to the left side of (5). From the β-condition on E, we have

$$\text{meas } B(y) \leqq \text{const } (|y| + 1)^{-\beta}.$$

Now pick m so large that $\beta(1 - 2^{-m}) > \gamma$, then pick ε_0 so small that $2^m \varepsilon_0 < m$. The choice of ε_0 makes the integral on the right hand side of (5) convergent for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, and leads to the estimate of a constant times $(|y| + 1)^{-\gamma}$ for (5).

To estimate the integral in (4) over $B'(y) = E - B(y)$, we use Lemma 2.1 with $\alpha = 0$ to get the estimate of a constant times $(|y| + 1)^{-\gamma}$. This completes the proof of inequality (4).

Let $G^{(i)}(\lambda, z, x)$ be the iterates of the Green's function on E, which was constructed in Theorem 1.7, these iterates are defined by

$$G^{(i)}(\lambda, z, x) = G(G^{(i-1)}(\lambda, z, x)); G^{(1)}(\lambda, z, x) = G(\lambda, z, x).$$

LEMMA 2.3.   *If $\gamma < \beta$, $\tau > \tau_0$, and N is sufficiently large, then the iterate $G^{(2)}(\lambda, y, x)$ satisfies*

$$(6) \qquad |G^{(2)}(-\tau^2, y, x)| \leqq M(1 + |\tau(x - y)|^N)^{-1} \quad for \quad \dim E = 3;$$

$$(7) \quad |G^{(2)}(-\tau^2, y, x)| \leqq M(1 + |\tau(x - y)|^N)^{-1}(|x| + 1)^{-\gamma/2}(|y| + 1)^{-\gamma/2}$$

for $\dim E = 2$, where M is independent of x and y.

Proof. From Lemma 1.9 and the triangle inequality, we have

$$(8) \quad |G^{(2)}(-\tau^2, y, x)| \leqq M(1 + |\tau(x - y)|^{N/2})^{-1}$$

$$\times \int_E |\tau(x - z)|^{2-n-\varepsilon}|\tau(z - y)|^{2-n-\varepsilon}(1 + |\tau(x - z)|^{N/2})^{-1}$$

$$\times (1 + |\tau(z - x)|^{N/2})^{-1}dz .$$

If we apply the Schwarz inequality, then the integral in (8) can be estimated by

$$(9) \qquad \left\{\int_E |\tau(x - z)|^{4-2n-2\varepsilon}(1 + |\tau(x - z)|^N)^{-1}dz\right\}^{1/2}$$

times exactly the same integral with x replaced by y. If in expression (9), $N > n$, $2n + 2\varepsilon - 4 < n$ (i.e., $n = 2$ or $n = 3$), and E is replaced by R^n, then the expression is seen to be bounded by a constant, which is independent of x. This completes the proof of inequality (6). The estimate for expression (9) can be improved in the case $n = 2$. Lemma 2.2 implies, if ε is sufficiently small, that (9) is bounded by const $(|x| + 1)^{-\gamma/2}$. Combining all estimates, we have inequality (7) when dim $E = 2$.

THEOREM 2.4. *If* $\gamma < \beta$, $\tau > \tau_0$, *and* N *sufficiently large, then*

$$\iint_E G^{(2k)}(-\tau^2, x, y)^2 dx dy \leqq M \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-4k\gamma}, \text{ dim } E = 2 ,$$

and

$$\iint_E G^{(2k)}(-\tau^2, x, y)^2 dx dy \leqq M \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{-2k\gamma}, \text{ dim } E = 3 ,$$

where k *is a positive integer, and* M *is a constant.*

Proof. We will only consider the case dim $E = 3$—the other case is similar.

We can show using induction, the triangle inequality, Lemma 2.3, and Lemma 2.1 that

$$G^{(2k)}(-\tau^2, x, y) \leqq \text{const } (|x| + 1)^{-(k-1)\gamma}\{(1 + |\tau(x - y)|^N)^{2^{1-k}}\}^{-1}$$

for k a positive integer ($k = 1$ is Lemma 2.3).

If we square both sides of the above inequality, integrate over E, and apply Lemma 2.1, then

$$\int_E G^{(2k)}(-\tau^2, x, y)^2 dx \leqq \text{const } (|y| + 1)^{-(2k-1)\gamma} .$$

Integrating again over E, we have

$$\int_E \int_E G^{(2k)}(-\tau^2, x, y)^2 dx dy \leqq \text{const } \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} (|y| + 1)^{-(2k-1)\gamma} dy$$

where $E_i = \{x \in E \colon i \leqq |x| < i + 1\}$. This expression is in turn

$$\leqq \text{const} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i + 1)^{-(2k-1)\gamma} \text{ meas } (E_i) \leqq M \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (i + 1)^{-2k\gamma},$$

since meas $(E_i) \leqq \text{const} \, (i + 1)^{-\beta}$ by the β-condition. This completes the theorem.

COROLLARY 2.5.   *The iterate* $G^{(2k)}(-\tau^2, x, y)$ *is a Hilbert-Schmidt kernel, in* $L_2(E)$ *for* $\tau > \tau_0$, *provided* $4k > \beta^{-1}$ *in case* $\dim E = 2$, *and* $2k > \beta^{-1}$ *in case* $\dim E = 3$, *where* β *is given by the* β-*condition.*

Proof.   Pick $\gamma < \beta$ but sufficiently close, and apply Theorem 2.4.

3.   The eigenvalues of the problem.

THEOREM 3.1.   *Let* λ_j *be the set of eigenvalues, given in Theorem 1.6, for* T *on the set* E. *Then the series*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{-4k}$$

converges for $\dim E = 2$ *if* $4k > \beta^{-1}$, *and for* $\dim E = 3$ *if* $2k > \beta^{-1}$.

Proof.   Let u_j be the orthonormal set of eigenfunctions, given by Theorem 1.6, corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_j. If $\lambda < 0$ is not in the spectrum of T, then it follows from $Tu_j = \lambda_j u_j$ that $Gu_j = (\lambda_j + \tau^2)^{-1} u_j$ where $\lambda = -\tau^2$. Thus for the $2k$ iterate of G we have $G^{(2k)} u_j = (\lambda_j + \tau^2)^{-2k} u_j$. However, from Corollary 2.5 we have that the kernel $G^{(2k)}(-\tau^2, x, y)$ of $G^{(2k)}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt for $\dim E = 2$ if $4k > \beta^{-1}$, and for $\dim E = 3$ if $2k > \beta^{-1}$. It follows from Agmon [2, Theorem 12.18] that the Hilbert-Schmidt double norm

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_j + \tau^2)^{-4k} < \infty \, .$$

But since all the eigenvalues of T are positive, we have our desired result.

COROLLARY 3.2.   *If* k *satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1, then the function* $N(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda_j \leqq \lambda} 1$ *satisfies*

$$\lambda^{-4k} N(\lambda) \leqq \text{constant} \, .$$

Proof.   Since the sequence $\{\lambda_j^{-4k}\}$ is nonincreasing and $\sum \lambda_j^{-4k} < \infty$, by Theorem 3.1, we have $\lambda_j^{-4k} = O(j^{-1})$. Hence $\lambda_j \geqq Mj^{1/4k}$ for some $M > 0$, and therefore

$$N(\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda_j \leq \lambda} 1 \leq \sum_{j \leq (M^{-1}\lambda)^{4k}} 1 = (M^{-1}\lambda)^{4k} \; ;$$

this shows that $\lambda^{-4k}N(\lambda) \leq$ const, as asserted.

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Adams, *The Rellich-Kondrachov theorem for unbounded domains*, Archive Rat. Mech. Anal., **29** (1968), 390-394.

2. S. Agmon, *Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey, 1965.

3. F. H. Brownell, *Spectrum of the static potential Schrödinger equations over E_m*, Ann. of Math., **54** (1954), 554-594.

4. C. Clark, *An embedding theorem for function spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **19** (1966), 243-251.

5. ———, *An asymptotic formula for the eigenvalues of the Laplacian operator in an unbounded domain*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1966), 709-712.

6. C. Clark and D. E. Hewgill, *One can hear whether a drum has finite area*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **18** (1967), 236-237.

7. L. Gårding, *On the asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of elliptic partial differential operators*, Math. Scand., **1** (1953), 237-255.

8. D. Hewgill, *On the eigenvalues of the Laplacian in an unbounded domain*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal., **27** (1967), 153-164.

9. M. Krzyżański, *Partial Differential Equations on Second Order*, Vol. I, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1971.

10. K. Maurin, *Methods of Hilbert Spaces*, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1967.

11. G. V. Rozenbljum, *On the distribution of eigenvalues of the first boundary value problem in unbounded domains*, Soviet Math. Dokl., **12** (1971), 1539-1542.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 51, No. 2   December, 1974