

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE HADAMARD PRODUCT OF A AND A^*

CHARLES ROYAL JOHNSON

THE HADAMARD PRODUCT OF A AND A^*

CHARLES R. JOHNSON

Coefficient-wise multiplication was introduced by Hadamard and has been studied for certain square matrices by I. Schur and later authors. For $A \in M_n(C)$, the n by n complex matrices, this paper examines the Hadamard product of A and A^* . Upper estimates are given for the largest characteristic root of this necessarily Hermitian product, and three conditions on A sufficient for the product to be positive definite are presented.

1. Preliminaries. If $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ are elements of $M_n(C)$, the *Hadamard product* [see 4, 5, 6] of A and B is the matrix $A \circ B = (a_{ij}b_{ij}) \in M_n(C)$. Let Σ_n denote the class of Hermitian positive definite elements of $M_n(C)$. I. Schur [7] showed that Σ_n is closed under Hadamard multiplication and this fact was further investigated in [5]. Fiedler [1] provided the result that $A \in \Sigma_n$ implies $A \circ A^{-1} \geq I$.

Whereas the usual product of A and A^* is Hermitian and positive semidefinite, the Hadamard product $A \circ A^* = f(A)$ is necessarily Hermitian but not necessarily positive semidefinite. We first develop several facts, some of which are of interest by themselves, with which to study $f(A)$. Theorem 1, for instance, generalizes Schur's result.

NOTATION 1. We shall adopt the following additional notational conveniences. For $A \in M_n(C)$, $H(A) = (A + A^*)/2$, the *Hermitian part* and $S(A) = (A - A^*)/2$, the *skew-Hermitian part* of A , and let Π_n denote the class of $A \in M_n(C)$ for which $H(A) \in \Sigma_n$. Also let $F(A) = \{x^*Ax \mid x \in C^n, x^*x = 1\}$, the *field of values* and $F_{\text{ang}}(A) = \{x^*Ax \mid 0 \neq x \in C^n\}$, the *angular field of values* of A . Starting with the upper right and proceeding counterclockwise, number the interiors of the *quadrants* of the complex plane Q_1, Q_2, Q_3, Q_4 . If S and S_0 are two sets in the complex plane their *sum* $S + S_0 = \{x + x_0 \mid x \in S, x_0 \in S_0\}$ and their *product* $SS_0 = \{xx_0 \mid x \in S, x_0 \in S_0\}$ and denote the closure of S with respect to the Euclidean norm by \bar{S} . Now it is clear that $A \in \Pi_n$ if and only if $F_{\text{ang}}(A) \subset \text{interior}(\bar{Q}_1 \cup \bar{Q}_4)$. Denote by $\sigma(A)$ the set of all characteristic roots of $A \in M_n(C)$, and for Hermitian A, B let $A > B$ mean $A - B \in \Sigma_n$. $X^{(m)}$ will denote the m th Hadamard power of $X \in M_n(C)$ and $J \in M_n(C)$ will be the *Hadamard identity*, the matrix of all ones. D will always be a diagonal matrix. It is well known that $\sigma(A) \subseteq F(A) \subseteq F_{\text{ang}}(A)$ and the latter is a positive convex cone. Both F and F_{ang} are subadditive as set-valued functions of a matrix argument.

THEOREM 1. *If $H \in \Sigma_n$, $A \in M_n(C)$, then $F_{\text{ang}}(H \circ A) \subseteq F_{\text{ang}}(A)$.*

Proof. Since $H \in \Sigma_n$ we may write $H = B^*B$ where B is nonsingular. The i, j -entry of $H \circ A$ is then $\sum_{k=1}^n \bar{b}_{ki} b_{kj} a_{ij}$ so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(H \circ A)x &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n \bar{b}_{ki} b_{kj} a_{ij} \bar{x}_i x_j \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^* A y_k \quad \text{where } y_k^* = (\bar{b}_{ki} \bar{x}_i, \dots, \bar{b}_{kn} \bar{x}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since $F_{\text{ang}}(A)$ is a positive convex cone and since B is nonsingular, the latter sum is in $F_{\text{ang}}(A)$ when $x \neq 0$. We then conclude $x^*(H \circ A)x \in F_{\text{ang}}(A)$ which completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *If $A, B \in M_n(C)$ and $F_{\text{ang}}(A) \subseteq Q_1$, then*

$$F_{\text{ang}}(A \circ B) \subseteq F_{\text{ang}}(B) + iF_{\text{ang}}(B).$$

Proof. $F_{\text{ang}}(A) \subseteq Q_1$ if and only if $H(A) \in \Sigma_n$ and $1/iS(A) = K \in \Sigma_n$. Now $A \circ B = H(A) \circ B + iK \circ B$ so that

$$F_{\text{ang}}(A \circ B) \subseteq F_{\text{ang}}(H(A) \circ B) + iF_{\text{ang}}(K \circ B)$$

because of the subadditivity of F_{ang} . By Theorem 1 it then follows that $F_{\text{ang}}(A \circ B) \subseteq F_{\text{ang}}(B) + iF_{\text{ang}}(B)$ as the corollary asserts.

COROLLARY 2. *If $A, B \in M_n(C)$ and $F_{\text{ang}}(A) \subseteq Q_1$ and $F_{\text{ang}}(B^*) \subseteq Q_1$, then $A \circ B \in \Pi_n$.*

Proof. Since $F_{\text{ang}}(B^*) \subseteq Q_1$, $F_{\text{ang}}(B) \subseteq Q_4$ and since $F_{\text{ang}}(A) \subseteq Q_1$, we have by Corollary 1 that $F_{\text{ang}}(A \circ B) \subseteq F_{\text{ang}}(B) + iF_{\text{ang}}(B) \subseteq Q_4 + iQ_4 = Q_4 + Q_1 \subseteq \text{interior}(\bar{Q}_1 \cup \bar{Q}_4)$. That $F_{\text{ang}}(A \circ B) \subseteq \text{interior}(\bar{Q}_1 \cup \bar{Q}_4)$ means $A \circ B \in \Pi_n$ and completes the proof.

REMARK. $A \circ B \in \Pi_n$ if and only if $H(A) \circ H(B) + S(A) \circ S(B) > 0$ and thus $f(A) \in \Sigma_n$ if and only if $H(A)^{(2)} > S(A)^{(2)}$.

Proof. An easy computation shows that $H(A \circ B) = H(A) \circ H(B) + S(A) \circ S(B)$ so that the first part of the remark follows. The second portion then follows by taking $B = A^*$ and thus $S(B) = -S(A)$.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose $A, D \in M_n(C)$ and D is a nonsingular diagonal matrix. Then $f(A) \in \Sigma_n$ if and only if $f(DA) \in \Sigma_n$.*

Proof. Since Σ_n is closed under congruence, the statement of the theorem follows from the observation that $f(DA) = DA \circ A^* D^* = D(A \circ A^*) D^* = Df(A) D^*$.

2. The largest eigenvalue of $A \circ A^*$. Since $f(A)$ is Hermitian, $\sigma(f(A))$ is real. Employing a result of [4] we next estimate the largest member of $\sigma(f(A))$ which is necessarily nonnegative.

NOTATION 2. Denote the numerical radius of $A \in M_n(C)$ by $r(A) = \max_{t \in F(A)} |t|$. If $\sigma(A)$ is real, let $\lambda_M(A) = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} \lambda$ and $\lambda_m(A) = \min_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} \lambda$. In case A is Hermitian, $r(A) = \max \{ \lambda_M(A), |\lambda_m(A)| \}$.

LEMMA 1. [4]. If $A, N \in M_n(C)$ and N is normal, then

$$r(N \circ A) \leq r(N)r(A).$$

THEOREM 3. For $A \in M_n(C)$, we have

$$r(A \circ A^*) \leq r(H(A))^2 + r(S(A))^2.$$

Proof. Since $f(A) = H(A)^{(2)} - S(A)^{(2)}$, it follows that $r(f(A)) = r(H(A)^{(2)} - S(A)^{(2)}) \leq r(H(A)^{(2)}) + r(-S(A)^{(2)}) \leq r(H(A))^2 + r(S(A))^2$. The latter inequality is from the lemma and completes the proof.

COROLLARY 3. For $A \in M_n(C)$,

$$\lambda_M(A \circ A^*) \leq \lambda_M(H(A)^2) - \lambda_m(S(A)^2).$$

Proof. Since $\lambda_M(f(A)) \leq r(f(A))$, $r(H(A))^2 = \lambda_M(H(A)^2)$, and

$$r(S(A))^2 = -\lambda_m(S(A)^2),$$

this follows directly from Theorem 3.

EXAMPLE. The estimates of Theorem 3 and Corollary 3 are sharp. Equality may be attained even for nonHermitian matrices. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$; then $F(A)$ is the unit closed circular disk and thus $r(A) = r(H(A)) = r(S(A)) = 1$. Also $f(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ so that $r(f(A)) = \lambda_M(f(A)) = 2 = r(H(A))^2 + r(S(A))^2 = \lambda_M(H(A)^2) - \lambda_m(S(A)^2)$.

Although we will not do so here, estimates for $\lambda_m(A \circ A^*)$ may straightforwardly be obtained from the results of the next section.

3. Conditions sufficient for $A \circ A^* \in \Sigma_n$. We next study three rather different sufficient conditions (Theorems 4, 5, and 6) for the Hermitian matrix $f(A)$ to be positive definite.

NOTATION 3. If $X \in M_n(C)$ denote the union of the Gersgorin circles [3] obtained from the rows of X by $G_r(X)$ and the union of the Gersgorin circles obtained from the columns of X by $G_c(X)$.

Let $G(X) = G_r(X) \cap G_c(X)$. Then $\sigma(X) \subseteq G(X)$, [3], and $0 \notin G_r(X)$ is the assumption of *row diagonal dominance* while $0 \notin G_c(X)$ is *column diagonal dominance*. We shall call a matrix $T = (t_{ij}) \in M_n(C)$ *combinatorially triangular* if for all pairs $i \neq j$ either of t_{ij} or t_{ji} is 0.

THEOREM 4. *If $A \in M_n(C)$ and there is a diagonal matrix $D \in M_n(C)$ such that $F(DA) \subseteq Q_1$, then $f(A) \in \Sigma_n$.*

Proof. If there is such a D , then it must be nonsingular and by Theorem 2 it suffices to prove the statement of this theorem for $D = I$. By letting $B = A^*$, the hypothesis of Corollary 2 is satisfied in our case and we may conclude $f(A) = A \circ A^* \in \Pi_n$. But since $f(A)$ is Hermitian it is then in Σ_n which completes the proof.

REMARK. It is an easy observation that $f(e^{i\theta}A) = f(A)$. By Theorem 3 this means that if $F_{\text{ang}}(A) \subseteq Q$, where Q is any rotation of Q_1 , then $f(A) \in \Sigma_n$.

LEMMA 2. *If $0 \notin G_r(A) \cup G_c(A)$, then $0 \notin G(f(A))$.*

Proof. Since $f(A)$ is Hermitian, $G(f(A)) = G_r(f(A)) = G_c(f(A))$. Since $0 \notin G_r(A) \cup G_c(A)$, $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$ and $|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ji}|$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus

$$a_{ii}\overline{a_{ii}} = |a_{ii}|^2 > \left(\sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|\right) \left(\sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ji}|\right) \geq \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}| |a_{ji}| = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}\overline{a_{ji}}|$$

which means that $0 \notin G(f(A))$.

LEMMA 3. *If $0 \notin G_r(A)$, there is a positive diagonal matrix D such that $0 \notin G_r(DA) \cup G_c(DA)$.*

Proof. Since D diagonal and invertible and $0 \notin G_r(A)$ imply $0 \notin G_r(DA)$, it suffices to show that under the assumption a D may be found such that $0 \notin G_c(DA)$. This may be done by an M -matrix argument [2]. Without loss of generality we may assume A is real with positive diagonal entries and nonpositive off-diagonal entries. Our assumption, $0 \notin G_r(A)$, then implies that A and thus A^* are M -matrices. By [2, Theorem 4.3] this implies the existence of a positive diagonal D such that $0 \notin G_r(A^*D) = G_c(DA)$. For this D , then, $0 \notin G_r(DA) \cup G_c(DA)$ as desired.

THEOREM 5. *If $A \in M_n(C)$ and there is a diagonal matrix $D \in M_n(C)$ such that $0 \notin G(DA)$, then $f(A) \in \Sigma_n$.*

Proof. Again by Theorem 2 it suffices to prove the weaker statement that $0 \notin G(A)$ implies $f(A) \in \Sigma_n$, and since $f(A) = f(A^*)$ we may assume without loss of generality that $0 \notin G_r(A)$. Then by Lemma 3, there is a positive diagonal matrix D such that $0 \notin G_r(DA) \cup G_c(DA)$. According to Lemma 2 this implies $0 \notin G(f(DA))$. Since $f(DA)$ is Hermitian with nonnegative diagonal entries, $0 \notin G(f(DA))$ implies $G(f(DA)) \subseteq \text{interior}(\bar{Q}_1 \cup \bar{Q}_2)$ and that all eigenvalues of $f(DA)$ are positive. This means that $f(DA) \in \Sigma_n$ and by Theorem 2 that $f(A) \in \Sigma_n$ which completes the proof.

THEOREM 6. *If $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(C)$ is combinatorially triangular and $a_{ii} \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $f(A) \in \Sigma_n$.*

Proof. Under the hypothesis $a_{ij}\overline{a_{ji}}$ is 0 if $i \neq j$ and positive if $i = j$. This means $f(A)$ is a positive diagonal matrix and, therefore, a member of Σ_n .

REFERENCES

1. M. Fiedler, *Über eine Ungleichung für positive definite Matrizen*, Math. Nachr., **23** (1961), 197-199.
2. M. Fiedler and V. Ptak, *On matrices with nonpositive off-diagonal elements and positive principal minors*, Czech. Math. J., **12** (1962), 382-400.
3. S. Gersgorin, *Über die Abgrenzung der Eigenwerte einer Matrix*, Izv. Akad. Nauk, S. S. S. R., **7** (1931), 749-754.
4. C. R. Johnson, *Hadamard products of matrices*, Lin. and Multilin. Alg. **1** (1974), 295-307.
5. M. Marcus and N. Kahn, *A note on the Hadamard product*, Canad. Math. Bull., **2** (1959), 81-83.
6. M. Marcus and R. C. Thompson, *The field of values of the Hadamard product*, Arch. Math., **14** (1963), 283-288.
7. I. Schur, *Bemerkungen zur Theorie der beschränkten Bilinearformen mit unendlich vielen Veränderlichen*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **140** (1911), 1-28.

Received January 26, 1973. This work was done while the author was a National Academy of Sciences-National Research Council Postdoctoral Research Associate at the National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C., 20234.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
WASHINGTON, D. C., 20234.

Present address: Institute for Fluid Dynamics
and Applied Mathematics
University of Maryland
College Park, Md. 20742

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI*
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific of Journal Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

* C. R. DePrima California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, will replace J. Dugundji until August 1974.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Robert F. V. Anderson, <i>Laplace transform methods in multivariate spectral theory</i>	339
William George Bade, <i>Two properties of the Sorgenfrey plane</i>	349
John Robert Baxter and Rafael Van Severen Chacon, <i>Functionals on continuous functions</i>	355
Phillip Wayne Bean, <i>Helly and Radon-type theorems in interval convexity spaces</i>	363
James Robert Boone, <i>On k-quotient mappings</i>	369
Ronald P. Brown, <i>Extended prime spots and quadratic forms</i>	379
William Hugh Cornish, <i>Crawley's completion of a conditionally upper continuous lattice</i>	397
Robert S. Cunningham, <i>On finite left localizations</i>	407
Robert Jay Daverman, <i>Approximating polyhedra in codimension one spheres embedded in S^n by tame polyhedra</i>	417
Burton I. Fein, <i>Minimal splitting fields for group representations</i>	427
Peter Fletcher and Robert Allen McCoy, <i>Conditions under which a connected representable space is locally connected</i>	433
Jonathan Samuel Golan, <i>Topologies on the torsion-theoretic spectrum of a noncommutative ring</i>	439
Manfred Gordon and Edward Martin Wilkinson, <i>Determinants of Petrie matrices</i>	451
Alfred Peter Hallstrom, <i>A counterexample to a conjecture on an integral condition for determining peak points (counterexample concerning peak points)</i>	455
E. R. Heal and Michael Windham, <i>Finitely generated F-algebras with applications to Stein manifolds</i>	459
Denton Elwood Hewgill, <i>On the eigenvalues of a second order elliptic operator in an unbounded domain</i>	467
Charles Royal Johnson, <i>The Hadamard product of A and A^*</i>	477
Darrell Conley Kent and Gary Douglas Richardson, <i>Regular completions of Cauchy spaces</i>	483
Alan Greenwell Law and Ann L. McKerracher, <i>Sharpened polynomial approximation</i>	491
Bruce Stephen Lund, <i>Subalgebras of finite codimension in the algebra of analytic functions on a Riemann surface</i>	495
Robert Wilmer Miller, <i>TTF classes and quasi-generators</i>	499
Roberta Mura and Akbar H. Rhemtulla, <i>Solvable groups in which every maximal partial order is isolated</i>	509
Isaac Namioka, <i>Separate continuity and joint continuity</i>	515
Edgar Andrews Rutter, <i>A characterization of QF - 3 rings</i>	533
Alan Saleski, <i>Entropy of self-homeomorphisms of statistical pseudo-metric spaces</i>	537
Ryōtarō Satō, <i>An Abel-maximal ergodic theorem for semi-groups</i>	543
H. A. Seid, <i>Cyclic multiplication operators on L_p-spaces</i>	549
H. B. Skerry, <i>On matrix maps of entire sequences</i>	563
John Brendan Sullivan, <i>A proof of the finite generation of invariants of a normal subgroup</i>	571
John Griggs Thompson, <i>Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable, VI</i>	573
Ronson Joseph Warne, <i>Generalized ω - \mathcal{L}-unipotent bisimple semigroups</i>	631
Toshihiko Yamada, <i>On a splitting field of representations of a finite group</i>	649