

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AXIOMS FOR THE ČECH COHOMOLOGY OF PARACOMPACTA

PHILIP BACON

AXIOMS FOR THE ČECH COHOMOLOGY OF PARACOMPACTA

PHILIP BACON

The Čech cohomology of paracompact Hausdorff spaces is characterized (up to isomorphism) by supplementing the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms for cohomology.

1. The theorem. Let C be an admissible category for homology theory, as defined by Eilenberg-Steenrod [2, p. 5]. We say H is a *cohomology theory* on C if H satisfies the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms [2, p. 14] and (1.1) and (1.2) below.

(1.1) Additivity axiom. If a space X is the union of a collection \mathcal{U} of pairwise disjoint open sets and for each U in \mathcal{U} the inclusion map $j_U: U \subset X$ is in C , then $\{j_U^*: H^q(X) \rightarrow H^q(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a representation of $H^q(X)$ as a direct product.

(1.2) Nonnegativity axiom. $H^q(X, A) = 0$ if $(X, A) \in C$ and $q < 0$.

We say a cohomology theory H is *point reductive* if (1.3) holds. The Čech and Alexander-Spanier cohomology theories are examples of point reductive cohomology theories.

(1.3) If $X \in C$, if S is a singleton (one-point) subset of X , if $h \in H^q(X)$ and if $h|_S = 0$, then there is a neighborhood N of S such that the inclusion map $N \subset X$ is in C and $h|_N = 0$.

A *homomorphism* $t: H \rightarrow J$ of cohomology theories H and J on C is a natural transformation from H to J that commutes with coboundary homomorphisms. A pair (X, A) is a *paracompact pair* if X is a paracompact Hausdorff space and A is a closed subset of X . The category P of paracompact pairs and all maps among them is an admissible category for homology theory. We shall prove—

THEOREM 1. *Suppose H and L are cohomology theories on P , S is a singleton, $k^0(S): H^0(S) \rightarrow L^0(S)$ is a homomorphism and H is point reductive. There is a unique extension of $k^0(S)$ to a homomorphism $k: H \rightarrow L$ of cohomology theories. If L is point reductive and $k^0(S)$ is an isomorphism, then k is an isomorphism.*

For related theorems see [1], [2, p. 287, Theorem 12.1], and [6].

2. *The proof.* As an immediate consequence of a theorem due to Lawson [3] we have the following.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose J and H are point reductive cohomology theories on \mathbf{P} and $m: J \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism of cohomology theories such that $m^0(S): J^0(S) \rightarrow H^0(S)$ is an isomorphism for some singleton S . Then m is an isomorphism of cohomology theories.*

A *polyhedron* is the union of the simplexes of a geometric simplicial complex with the metric topology [2, p. 75]. A polyhedron and its underlying simplicial complex will be denoted by the same symbol. If L is a subcomplex of a simplicial complex K , (K, L) is a *polyhedral pair*. The category \mathbf{K} of polyhedral pairs and all maps among them is an admissible category for homology theory. It is a subcategory of \mathbf{P} .

If H is a cohomology theory on \mathbf{K} , the Čech method may be applied to H to define a cohomology theory J on \mathbf{P} . J is called the *Čech extension* of H . We briefly recall the method (see [4]). Let (X, A) be a paracompact pair and let $\mathcal{A}(X)$ be the collection of all locally finite open covers of X . If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(X)$, let (X_α, A_α) be the polyhedral pair determined by the nerve of α . If $\beta \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ and β refines α , there is a simplicial map $r_{\alpha\beta}: (X_\beta, A_\beta) \rightarrow (X_\alpha, A_\alpha)$ that maps each vertex V of X_β to a vertex U of X_α such that $V \subset U$. If in addition $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ and γ refines β , $r_{\alpha\beta} r_{\beta\gamma}$ and $r_{\alpha\gamma}$ are homotopic, which implies that $r_{\beta\gamma}^* r_{\alpha\beta}^* = r_{\alpha\gamma}^*$. Hence there is a direct system of groups $\{H^q(X_\alpha, A_\alpha) | \alpha \in \mathcal{A}(X)\}$ and homomorphisms $\{r_{\alpha\beta}^* | \beta \text{ refines } \alpha\}$, whose direct limit we shall denote by $J^q(X, A)$. The coboundary homomorphism $\delta: J^q(A) \rightarrow J^{q+1}(X, A)$ for a paracompact pair (X, A) and the homomorphism $J^q(f): J^q(Y, B) \rightarrow J^q(X, A)$ induced by a map $f: (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$ in \mathbf{P} are suitable limit homomorphisms.

LEMMA 2.2. *If H is a cohomology theory on \mathbf{K} , then the Čech extension of H is a point reductive cohomology theory on \mathbf{P} .*

The proof is left to the reader.

Suppose H is a cohomology theory on \mathbf{P} , L is the restriction of H to \mathbf{K} and J is the Čech extension of L . We shall construct a homomorphism $m: J \rightarrow H$ called the *canonical homomorphism*.

Let (X, A) be a paracompact pair and let $\mathcal{A}(X)$ be the collection of locally finite open covers of X . If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(X)$, there is a map $r_\alpha: (X, A) \rightarrow (X_\alpha, A_\alpha)$ defined by a partition of unity subordinate to α [5, p. 833, Proposition 2]. Any two choices of r_α are homotopic. If $\beta \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ and β refines α , then $r_{\alpha\beta} r_\beta$ and r_α are homotopic, which implies that $r_\beta^* r_{\alpha\beta}^* = r_\alpha^*$. Hence the homomorphisms $\{H^q(r_\alpha) | \alpha \in \mathcal{A}(X)\}$ induce

a homomorphism $m^q(X, A): J^q(X, A) \rightarrow H^q(X, A)$. It follows from the way m is defined that m is a homomorphism from J to H .

If S is a singleton, $m^0(S): J^0(S) \rightarrow H^0(S)$ is an isomorphism because $\{r_\alpha | \alpha \in \Lambda(S)\}$ consists of just one map, a homeomorphism. Hence by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we have—

LEMMA 2.3. *If H is a point reductive cohomology theory on \mathbf{P} and J is the Čech extension of the restriction of H to \mathbf{K} , then the canonical homomorphism $m: J \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism.*

Lemma 2.3 implies Lemma 2.4, which in turn implies Lemma 2.5.

LEMMA 2.4. *If H is a point reductive cohomology theory on \mathbf{P} and $(X, A) \in \mathbf{P}$, then $(H^q(X, A), \{H^q(r_\alpha) | \alpha \in \Lambda(X)\})$ is a direct limit of the direct system $(\{H^q(X_\alpha, A_\alpha)\}, \{H^q(r_{\alpha\beta})\})$.*

LEMMA 2.5. *Suppose H and L are cohomology theories on \mathbf{P} and $t: H|_{\mathbf{K}} \rightarrow L|_{\mathbf{K}}$ is a homomorphism of cohomology theories. If H is point reductive, there is a unique extension of t to a homomorphism $k: H \rightarrow L$.*

Lemma 2.6 was essentially proved by Milnor in [6], although the uniqueness part of it was not stated there.

LEMMA 2.6. *Suppose H and J are cohomology theories on \mathbf{K} . If S is a singleton and $t^0(S): H^0(S) \rightarrow J^0(S)$ is a homomorphism, there is a unique extension of $t^0(S)$ to a homomorphism $t: H \rightarrow J$ of cohomology theories on \mathbf{K} .*

Theorem 1 follows from Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6.

REFERENCES

1. C. H. Dowker, *Homology groups of relations*, Ann. of Math., **56** (1952), 84-95.
2. S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1952.
3. J. P. Lawson, *Comparison of taut cohomologies*, Aequationes Math., **2** (1973), 201-209.
4. C. N. Lee and F. A. Raymond, *Čech extensions of contravariant functors*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **133** (1968), 415-434.
5. E. Michael, *A note on paracompact spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **4** (1953), 831-838.
6. J. Milnor, *On axiomatic homology theory*, Pacific J. Math., **12** (1962), 337-341.

Received November 13, 1972 and in revised form October 24, 1973.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

David R. Adams, <i>On the exceptional sets for spaces of potentials</i>	1
Philip Bacon, <i>Axioms for the Čech cohomology of paracompacta</i>	7
Selwyn Ross Caradus, <i>Perturbation theory for generalized Fredholm operators</i>	11
Kuang-Ho Chen, <i>Phragmén-Lindelöf type theorems for a system of nonhomogeneous equations</i>	17
Frederick Knowles Dashiell, Jr., <i>Isomorphism problems for the Baire classes</i>	29
M. G. Deshpande and V. K. Deshpande, <i>Rings whose proper homomorphic images are right subdirectly irreducible</i>	45
Mary Rodriguez Embry, <i>Self adjoint strictly cyclic operator algebras</i>	53
Paul Erdős, <i>On the distribution of numbers of the form $\sigma(n)/n$ and on some related questions</i>	59
Richard Joseph Fleming and James E. Jamison, <i>Hermitian and adjoint abelian operators on certain Banach spaces</i>	67
Stanley P. Gudder and L. Haskins, <i>The center of a poset</i>	85
Richard Howard Herman, <i>Automorphism groups of operator algebras</i>	91
Worthen N. Hunsacker and Somashekhar Amrith Nainpally, <i>Local compactness of families of continuous point-compact relations</i>	101
Donald Gordon James, <i>On the normal subgroups of integral orthogonal groups</i>	107
Eugene Carlyle Johnsen and Thomas Frederick Storer, <i>Combinatorial structures in loops. II. Commutative inverse property cyclic neofields of prime-power order</i>	115
Ka-Sing Lau, <i>Extreme operators on Choquet simplexes</i>	129
Philip A. Leonard and Kenneth S. Williams, <i>The septic character of 2, 3, 5 and 7</i>	143
Dennis McGavran and Jingyal Pak, <i>On the Nielsen number of a fiber map</i>	149
Stuart Edward Mills, <i>Normed Köthe spaces as intermediate spaces of L_1 and L_∞</i>	157
Philip Olin, <i>Free products and elementary equivalence</i>	175
Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., <i>Locally quasi-unmixed Noetherian rings and ideals of the principal class</i>	185
Seiya Sasao, <i>Homotopy types of spherical fibre spaces over spheres</i>	207
Helga Schirmer, <i>Fixed point sets of polyhedra</i>	221
Kevin James Sharpe, <i>Compatible topologies and continuous irreducible representations</i>	227
Frank Siwiec, <i>On defining a space by a weak base</i>	233
James McLean Sloss, <i>Global reflection for a class of simple closed curves</i>	247
M. V. Subba Rao, <i>On two congruences for primality</i>	261
Raymond D. Terry, <i>Oscillatory properties of a delay differential equation of even order</i>	269
Joseph Dinneen Ward, <i>Chebyshev centers in spaces of continuous functions</i>	283
Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., <i>The uniqueness of elongations of Abelian groups</i>	289
V. M. Warfield, <i>Existence and adjoint theorems for linear stochastic differential equations</i>	305