Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ISOMORPHISM PROBLEMS FOR THE BAIRE CLASSES

FREDERICK KNOWLES DASHIELL, JR.

Vol. 52, No. 1

January 1974

ISOMORPHISM PROBLEMS FOR THE BAIRE CLASSES

F. K. DASHIELL, JR.

For each ordinal $\alpha > 0$, the α th Baire class of bounded measurable functions on the topological space S, denoted $\mathscr{P}_{\alpha}(S)$, has an algebraic and isometric representation as a space $C(\Omega_{\alpha})$ of all continuous functions on a totally disconnected compact space Ω_{α} . This representation is used to study the Baire classes from the point of view of nonseparable Banach spaces of continuous functions. It is shown that if the compact space S contains an uncountable compact metrizable subset, then, for each countable ordinal α , $\mathscr{P}_{\alpha}(S)$ is not isomorphic (i.e., linearly homeomorphic) to any complemented subspace of a Banach space $C(\Omega)$ for σ -Stonian Ω . Since the space $\mathscr{P}_{\omega_1}(S)$ of all bounded Baire functions is a $C(\Omega)$ space for a certain σ -Stonian Ω , $\mathscr{P}_{\alpha}(S)$ (for $\alpha < \omega_1$) is therefore not isomorphic to any complemented subspace of $\mathscr{P}_{\omega_1}(S)$.

The isomorphic invariant used here is a linear topological property of Banach spaces (see Definition 2.1) which, for spaces $C(\Omega)$ with Ω compact, is equivalent to the existence of a complementary subspace for $C(\Omega)$ in $\mathscr{B}_1(\Omega)$, i.e., a closed subspace $Y \subset \mathscr{B}_1(\Omega)$ such that $Y \cap C(\Omega) = \{0\}$ and $Y + C(\Omega) = \mathscr{B}_1(\Omega)$. This property passes from a Thus the method consists of space to its complemented subspaces. representing each Baire class $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ as $C(\Omega_{\alpha})$, forming the first Baire class $\mathscr{B}_{i}(\Omega_{\alpha})$ over Ω_{α} , and then showing that for $\alpha < \omega_{i}, C(\Omega_{\alpha})$ is not complemented in $\mathscr{B}_1(\Omega_{\alpha})$. (In fact, a slightly stronger result is proved in Corollary 2.10.) We obtain as a consequence the result of W. G. Bade [2] that $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}([0, 1])$ is not complemented as a closed subspace of $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha+1}([0,1])$ (Corollary 3.9). On the other hand, it is proved in Theorem 2.11 that a compact space Ω is σ -Stonian (basically disconnected) if and only if $C(\Omega)$ is the range of a norm 1 projection from $\mathscr{B}_1(\Omega)$.

For any set S and field Σ of subsets of S, $B(S, \Sigma)$ denotes the sup norm closed subspace of $l^{\infty}(S)$ generated by the characteristic functions of sets in Σ . If S is compact, then $\mathscr{B}_1(S) = B(S, \Sigma_1)$ for a certain field Σ_1 (see Theorem 1.1), and the existence of a complement for C(S) in $\mathscr{B}_1(S)$ is equivalent to the existence of a projection of $B(S, \Sigma_1)$ onto C(S). A topological condition on S is obtained which prevents the existence of such a projection (see Definition 2.9). This condition is a consequence of an extended version of Amir's theorem [1] which provides, for certain compact spaces S and fields Σ with $C(S) \subset B(S, \Sigma)$, a lower bound for the norm of any projection from $B(S, \Sigma)$ onto C(S) (see Theorem 2.6). This version of Amir's theorem is obtained as an application of Ditor's theorem [4] on lower bounds for norms of "averaging operators" for a continuous surjection between compact spaces.

In §3, attention is focused on the Baire classes over [0, 1], the results being extendable to $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ for more general S by the Borsuk-Dugundji theorem. We abbreviate $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}([0, 1])$ simply by \mathscr{B}_{α} . In order to apply the above-mentioned Amir theorem to $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} = C(\Omega_{\alpha})$, it becomes necessary to investigate the topological structure of the maximal ideal space Ω_{α} . This topological structure is related to the Boolean structure of certain subfamilies of Borel sets in [0, 1]. The relevant information is provided by results in descriptive set theory regarding the status of the Lusin separation principles at each level of the Borel heirarchy in [0, 1] (see Lemma 3.1).

Corollary 3.7 implies that, for countable ordinals α , \mathscr{B}_{α} is not isomorphic to \mathscr{B}_{ω_1} . (It is already not easy to see that $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} \neq \mathscr{B}_{\omega_1}$; this is Lebesgue's classical result [13, Ch. 15].) It follows from [3, Corollary 8, p. 336] that for $\alpha > 1$, there is no continuous linear injection of \mathscr{B}_{α} into \mathscr{B}_1 . Thus there are at least three isomorphic types of Banach space represented among the Baire classes \mathscr{B}_{α} , $1 \leq \alpha \leq \omega_1$. At present it is unknown whether, for countable ordinals $\alpha > \beta \geq 2$, \mathscr{B}_{α} and \mathscr{B}_{β} can be isomorphic (although it is shown in Theorem 3.11 that \mathscr{B}_{α} and \mathscr{B}_{β} can not be *isometric* Banach spaces).

The subject matter and methods of this paper grew out of the paper [2] of W. G. Bade. I would like to express my gratitude to Professor Bade for his assistance during the course of this investigation.

1. Baire classes, Baire sets, and $B(S, \Sigma)$. In this section S is an arbitrary topological space.

The bounded real-valued Baire functions on S are classified as follows: The functions of class 0 are the bounded continuous functions, and if the functions of class α are defined (which class is denoted by $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$), then $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha+1}(S)$ consists of all bounded functions which are pointwise limits of convergent sequences in $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$. For a limit ordinal λ , $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}(S)$ is defined (following Hausdorff [9, pp. 292-293]) to consist of all functions which are pointwise limits both of increasing sequences and of decreasing sequences in $\bigcup_{\alpha<\lambda} \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$. (This definition of $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda}(S)$ for limit ordinals λ produces exact agreement between the classes of functions and classes of sets as given below in Theorem 1.1.) $\mathscr{B}_{\lambda+1}(S)$ then coincides with the family of all bounded pointwise limits of convergent sequences in $\bigcup_{\alpha<\lambda} \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$. For $\alpha \geq \omega_1$, these classes coincide with the class of all bounded Baire functions on S, i.e., the smallest class of functions containing the bounded continuous functions and closed under pointwise convergence of bounded sequences, and clearly, $\mathscr{B}_{\omega_1}(S) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$. Thus only ordinals $\alpha \leq \omega_1$ are of interest.

The Baire sets in S are classified as follows: The sets of multiplicative class 0, denoted $Z_0(S)$, are the "zero sets" of S, i.e., the sets $f^{-1}(0)$ for continuous $f: S \rightarrow R$; the sets of additive class 0, denoted $CZ_0(S)$, are the complements of zero sets. Inductively, the sets of multiplicative class α , denoted $Z_{\alpha}(S)$, are the countable intersections from $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} CZ_{\beta}(S)$, and the sets of additive class α , denoted $CZ_{\alpha}(S)$, are their complements. The sets in $A_{\alpha}(S) = Z_{\alpha}(S) \cap CZ_{\alpha}(S)$ are called the sets of ambiguous class α . For $\alpha \ge \omega_1$, these classes coincide with the σ -field of Baire sets in S, i.e., the smallest σ -field for which each continuous function $f: S \rightarrow R$ is measurable. Again, only ordinals $\alpha \leq \omega_i$ are of interest. (This definition of the Baire sets, although different from another common definition, is justified in the present context by Theorem 1.1.) A simple induction shows that $Z_{\alpha}(S) \cup$ $CZ_{lpha}(S) \subset A_{lpha+1}(S)$ and that $A_{lpha}(S)$ is a field of sets. Also, $Z_{\omega_1}(S) =$ $CZ_{\omega_1}(S) = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} A_{\alpha}(S)$. In a metric space S, $Z_0(S)$ is just the family of closed sets, $Z_i(S)$ is the family of G_{δ} sets, $A_i(S)$ is the family of sets both F_{σ} and G_{δ} , etc.

The fundamental connection between the above classifications of Baire functions and Baire sets is given in the following theorem, which is due to Lebesque, Hausdorff, and others. This theorem was essentially known in the 20's, although there does not seem to be any one place in the literature which summarizes the relationship between sets and functions in this way. The following formulation is particularly useful in the study of Baire classes as Banach spaces of continuous functions (see Theorem 1.4).

We recall that if Σ is a field of subsets of S (not necessarily a σ -field), then $B(S, \Sigma)$ denotes the closed subspace of $l^{\infty}(S)$ generated by $\{k_E: E \in \Sigma\}$, where k_E is the characteristic function of the set E. The scalar field is assumed real.

THEOREM 1.1. For $\alpha \geq 1$, $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S) = B(S, A_{\alpha}(S))$, i.e., the α th Baire class is the closed linear span of characteristic functions of ambiguous class α sets.

Proof. $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ consists of all bounded functions $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{x \in S: f(x) \ge t\} \in Z_{\alpha}(S)$ and $\{x \in S: f(x) > t\} \in CZ_{\alpha}(S)$ (see Hausdorff [9, § 43.1]). Thus for each $E \in A_{\alpha}(S)$, $k_E \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$, and since $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ is complete in the sup norm (Hausdorff [9, p. 269]), we have $B(S, A_{\alpha}(S)) \subset \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$. Conversely, each $f \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ is uniformly approximated by a sequence of step functions in $B(S, A_{\alpha}(S))$ (Hahn [7, Theorem 34.4.31], Hausdorff [9, p. 280], Kuratowski [10, p. 388]). This finishes the proof.

REMARK 1.2. It follows easily from the proof of Theorem 1.1 that (a) $Z_{\alpha}(S)$ is precisely the family of zero sets $f^{-1}(0)$ for $f \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$, and (b) $\mathscr{B}_{\omega_1}(S)$ is precisely the family of bounded functions $f: S \to \mathbf{R}$ which are measurable with respect to the σ -field $Z_{\omega_1}(S)$ of Baire sets in S.

COROLLARY 1.3. If S is a normal space and Σ is the field of all subsets of S which are both F_{σ} and G_{s} in S, then $\mathscr{B}_{1}(S) = B(S, \Sigma)$.

Proof. Obviously, $A_1(S) \subset \Sigma$, so it suffices to show that $B(S, \Sigma) \subset \mathscr{B}_1(S)$. It is enough only to show $k_E \in \mathscr{B}_1(S)$ if $E \in \Sigma$. If $E = \bigcup F_n = \bigcap G_n$ with $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots$ closed and $G_1 \supset G_2 \supset \cdots$ open, choose by Urysohn's lemma continuous f_n such that $f_n(F_n) = 1$, $f_n(G_n^c) = 0$. Then $\lim f_n = k_E \in \mathscr{B}_1(S)$. This proves the corollary.

If Σ is a field of subsets of S, denote by S_{Σ} the space of all multiplicative linear functionals on $B(S, \Sigma)$, endowed with the w^* topology. Then S_{Σ} is a compact Hausdorff space, and if for $f \in B(S, \Sigma)$ we define $\hat{f} \in C(S_{\Sigma})$ by $\hat{f}(x) = x(f)$ $(x \in S_{\Sigma})$, then the map $f \to \hat{f}$ defines an algebraic isometry of $B(S, \Sigma)$ onto $C(S_{\Sigma})$. For each $s \in S$, let $\tau(s) \in S_{\Sigma}$ be the multiplicative linear functional on $B(S, \Sigma)$ obtained by evaluation at s. The space S_{Σ} is totally disconnected, and the map $E \to \overline{\tau(E)}$ $(E \in \Sigma)$ defines a Boolean algebra isomorphism of Σ onto the field of clopen (closed and open) sets in S. Thus S_{Σ} is a Stone representation space for Σ . (See Dunford-Schwartz [5, pp. 311-312] for details regarding this discussion.) We therefore infer from Theorem 1.1 the following representation of $\mathscr{M}_{\alpha}(S)$ (for S = [0, 1], see Bade [2, p. 3]):

THEOREM 1.4. $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ is algebraically isometric to a space $C(\Omega_{\alpha})$, where Ω_{α} is a compact totally disconnected Hausdorff space. Furthermore, there exists a function $\tau_{\alpha} \colon S \to \Omega_{\alpha}$ such that

(a) $\hat{f}(\tau_{\alpha}(s)) = f(s)$ for all $s \in S$ (where \hat{f} is the correspondent in $C(\Omega_{\alpha})$ to $f \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$), and

(b) $E \to \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(E)}, E \in A_{\alpha}(S)$, defines a Boolean isomorphism of $A_{\alpha}(S)$ onto the field of clopen sets in Ω_{α} .

2. Baire complemented C(S) spaces.

DEFINITION 2.1. For the Banach space X, denote by X_1 the first Baire space for X, i.e., the set of all limits in X^{**} of w^* convergent sequences in X (regarded as a subspace of X^{**}). The space X is called *Baire complemented* if there is a continuous linear projection from X_1 onto X.

REMARKS 2.2.

(1) X_1 is a closed subspace of X^{**} (McWilliams [12]).

(2) X is Baire complemented if and only if X has a complementary closed subspace in X_1 .

(3) If X and Y are isomorphic Banach spaces and X is Baire complemented, then Y is Baire complemented.

(4) If X is Baire complemented and Y is a complemented subspace of X, then Y is Baire complemented. (For if $P: X_1 \to X$ and $Q: X \to Y$ are projections and $J: Y \to X$ is the inclusion map, then $QPJ^{**} \mid Y_1$ is a projection of Y_1 onto Y.)

(5) If X = C(S) for the compact Hausdorff space S, then X_1 is isometrically identified with $\mathscr{B}_1(S)$ by the map $u: \mathscr{B}_1(S) \to X_1$ defined by $u(f)(\mu) = \int_S f d\mu$ for $f \in \mathscr{B}_1(S)$ and $\mu \in X^* = C(S)^* = M(S)$. (By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, a bounded sequence $f_n \in C(S)$ is pointwise convergent on S to an $f \in \mathscr{B}_1(S)$ if and only if f_n converges w^* in $C(S)^{**}$ to $u(f) \in X_1 = C(S)_1$.) Therefore, C(S) is Baire complemented if and only if C(S) is complemented in $\mathscr{B}_1(S)$.

(6) If $T: X \to Y$ is an isomorphism of X onto Y, then $T^{**} | X_1$ is an isomorphism of X_1 onto Y_1 . Thus, by Remark (5), if S and S' are compact Hausdorff spaces such that C(S) and C(S') are isomorphic, then $\mathscr{B}_1(S)$ and $\mathscr{B}_1(S')$ are also isomorphic. By a simple induction, $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ and $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S')$ are isomorphic for all α .

The next objective is to establish a topological condition on S which prevents C(S) from being Baire complemented. First, some terminology is needed.

Suppose S and T are compact Hausdorff spaces and $\varphi: S \to T$ is a continuous map of S onto T. An averaging operator for φ is a continuous linear map $P: C(S) \to C(T)$ such that $P(f \circ \varphi) = f, f \in C(T)$. If $\{t_{\alpha}\}$ is a net in T and $t_{\alpha} \to t$, let

$$\limsup arphi^{-1}(t_lpha) = egin{cases} s \in S: ext{ for each } lpha_0 ext{ and neighborhood } U \ of \ s, \ arphi^{-1}(t_lpha) \cap U
eq \phi ext{ for some } lpha \geqq lpha_0 \end{cases}$$

The set $\limsup \varphi^{-1}(t_{\alpha})$ is a nonempty compact subset of $\varphi^{-1}(t)$. For the integer n > 1, define

$$M^{\scriptscriptstyle(1)}_{arphi}(n) = egin{cases} t \in T \colon arphi^{-1}(t) ext{ contains } n ext{ disjoint sets of} \ ext{the form } \lim\sup arphi^{-1}(t_lpha) ext{ for nets } t_lpha o t \end{bmatrix}$$

For integers $k, n_1, \dots, n_k > 1$ define, inductively on k,

$$M^{(k)}_{arphi}(n_1,\ \cdots,\ n_k) = egin{cases} t\in T\colon arphi^{-1}(t) ext{ contains } n_k ext{ disjoint sets of} \ ext{the form } \limsup arphi^{-1}t(_lpha) ext{ for nets } t_lpha o t \ ext{such that } t_lpha \in M^{(k-1)}_arphi(n_1,\ \cdots,\ n_{k-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

THEOREM 2.3. (S. Z. Ditor [4, p. 204]). If $M_{\varphi}^{(k)}(n_1, \dots, n_k) \neq \phi$ and P is an averaging operator for φ , then $||P|| \geq 1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{k} (1 - 1/n_i)$.

Throughout the remainder of this section, S is a compact Hausdorff space and Σ is a field of subsets of S such that $C(S) \subset B(S, \Sigma)$. These fields are characterized in the next lemma. A *neighborhood base* in S is a family \mathscr{F} of subsets of S (not necessarily open) such that if U is open in S and $s \in U$, then for some $E \in \mathscr{F}$, $s \in int(E)$ and $E \subset U$.

LEMMA 2.4. These are equivalent:

(1) $C(S) \subset B(S, \Sigma);$

(2) Σ contains a neighborhood base;

(3) Any two disjoint closed subsets of S are contained in disjoint members of Σ .

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (1): For $f \in C(S)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n$ so that $f(S) \subset [a_0, a_n]$ and $a_i - a_{i-1} < \varepsilon$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. By (3), pick A_0 , \cdots , $A_n \in \Sigma$ with $A_0 = \phi$, $A_n = S$, and for $1 \leq i < n$, $\{t: f(t) \leq a_i\} \subset A_i$ and $\{t: f(t) \geq a_{i+1}\} \cap A_i = \phi$. If $g = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i k_{A_i - A_{i-1}}$, then $g \in B(S, \Sigma)$ and $||g - f|| < \varepsilon$, proving (1). (1) \Rightarrow (3): For F_0 , F_1 closed and disjoint pick $f \in C(S)$ with $f(F_0) = 0$, $f(F_1) = 1$. Choose by (1) a step function $g \in B(S, \Sigma)$ with ||g - f|| < 1/2. If $A = \{t: g(t) < 1/2\}$, then $A \in \Sigma$, $F_0 \subset A$, and $F_1 \subset A^c \in \Sigma$, proving (3). (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) by normality and compactness. This proves the lemma.

If $E \subset S$, then $E^- = \overline{E}$ = the closure of E.

DEFINITION 2.5. For the integer n > 1, let $\Gamma_{\Sigma}^{(1)}(n)$ be the set of all x in S such that there exist n disjoint sets $G_1, \dots, G_n \in \Sigma$ with

 $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} [int(G_i)]^-$.

For the integers $k, n_1, \dots, n_k > 1$, define, inductively on k, $\Gamma_{\Sigma}^{(k)}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ be the set of all $x \in S$ such that there exist n_k disjoint sets $G_1, \dots, G_{n_k} \in \Sigma$ with

$$x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n_k} [\operatorname{int} (G_i) \cap \Gamma_{\Sigma}^{(k-1)}(n_1, \cdots, n_{k-1})]^{-1}$$

THEOREM 2.6. If P is a projection of $B(S, \Sigma)$ onto C(S) and $\Gamma_{\Sigma}^{(k)}(n_1, \dots, n_k) \neq \phi$, then $||P|| \ge 1 + 2\sum_{i=1}^k (1 - 1/n_i)$.

Proof. Use the notation $(\tau: S \to S_{\Sigma}; f \to \hat{f})$ preceding Theorem 1.4. Define the continuous map φ from S_{Σ} onto S by $f(\varphi(x)) = \hat{f}(x)$ for $x \in S_{\Sigma}$ and $f \in C(S)$. If $G \subset S$ is open and $x \in \varphi^{-1}(G)$, then there exists a net $x_{\alpha} = \tau(s_{\alpha}) \to x$ (since $\overline{\tau(S)} = S_{\Sigma}$), and $\varphi(\tau(s_{\alpha})) = s_{\alpha} \to \varphi(x) \in G$, so that s_{α} is eventually in G. Thus $\tau(s_{\alpha})$ is eventually in $\tau(G)$, so $x \in \overline{\tau(G)}$. Thus

(*)
$$\varphi^{-1}(G) \subset \overline{\tau(G)}$$
 for open $G \subset S$.

Suppose now that $P: B(S, \Sigma) \to C(S)$ is a projection. If $Q: C(S_{\Sigma}) \to C(S)$ is defined by $Q(\hat{f}) = P(f), f \in B(S, \Sigma)$, then Q is an averaging operator for φ and ||Q|| = ||P||. The theorem is proved by showing, inductively on k, that $\Gamma_{-}^{(k)}(n_1, \dots, n_k) \subset M_{\varphi}^{(k)}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ and applying Ditor's theorem.

For k = 1, pick $s \in \Gamma_{-1}^{(1)}(n)$ and disjoint sets $G_1, \dots, G_n \in \Sigma$ such that $s \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n [\operatorname{int} (G_i)]^-$. In each set $\operatorname{int} (G_i)$ there is a net $s_\alpha \to s$, and by (*), $\varphi^{-1}(s_\alpha) \subset \varphi^{-1}(\operatorname{int} (G_i)) \subset \overline{\tau(G_i)}$. Thus $\limsup \varphi^{-1}(s_\alpha) \subset \varphi^{-1}(s) \cap \overline{\tau(G_i)}$. But since $E \to \overline{\tau(E)}$ is a Boolean isomorphism, the sets $\overline{\tau(G_1)}, \dots, \overline{\tau(G_n)}$ are disjoint. Thus $\varphi^{-1}(s)$ contains n disjoint sets of the form $\limsup \varphi^{-1}(s_\alpha)$ for nets $s_\alpha \to s$, i.e., $s \in M_{\varphi}^{(1)}(n)$.

Now suppose k > 1 and $\Gamma_{\perp}^{(k-1)}(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}) \subset M_{\varphi}^{(k-1)}(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1})$. Pick $s \in \Gamma_{\perp}^{(k)}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$ and disjoint sets $G_1, \dots, G_{n_k} \in \Sigma$ such that $s \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n_k} [\operatorname{int} (G_i) \cap \Gamma_{\perp}^{(k-1)}(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1})]^-$. In each set $\operatorname{int} (G_i)$ there is a net $s_{\alpha} \to s$ with $s_{\alpha} \in \Gamma_{\perp}^{(k-1)}(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}) \subset M_{\varphi}^{(k-1)}(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1})$. As before, $\limsup \varphi^{-1}(s_{\alpha}) \subset \varphi^{-1}(s) \cap \overline{\tau(G_i)}$, and the $\overline{\tau(G_i)}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n_k)$ are disjoint. Thus $\varphi^{-1}(s)$ contains n_k disjoint lim sup sets of nets of fibers $\varphi^{-1}(s_{\alpha})$ for $s_{\alpha} \in M^{(k-1)}(n_1, \dots, n_{k-1})$. This means $s \in M^{(k)}(n_1, \dots, n_k)$. This proves the theorem.

REMARK 2.7. A different proof of Theorem 2.6 was given by Amir [1] under the assumption that Σ contains an open base for Sand is stable under the closure operation. However, Theorem 2.6 is applied below in Corollary 2.10 to fields Σ which are not necessarily stable under closure. The preceding proof supplies details for the method outlined by Ditor [4, p. 205].

COROLLARY 2.8. If there exists a nonempty subset $Q \subset S$ such that for every $s \in Q$, there exist disjoint sets $G_1, G_2 \in \Sigma$ with

 $s \in [\operatorname{int} \left(G_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}
ight) \, \cap \, Q]^- \, \cap \, [\operatorname{int} \left(G_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}
ight) \, \cap \, Q]^-$,

then C(S) is not complemented in $B(S, \Sigma)$.

Proof. By induction on $k, Q \subset \Gamma_{\Sigma}^{(k)}(2, \dots, 2)$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ By Theorem 2.6, a projection must then have norm $\geq 1 + k$ for all k, an impossibility.

Recall that a compact Hausdorff space is called an *F*-space (Gillman-Jerison [6]) if disjoint open F_{σ} sets always have disjoint closures. This motivates the following terminology.

DEFINITION 2.9. A compact Hausdorff space S is called *strongly* non-F if there exists a nonempty subset $Q \subset S$ such that for each $s \in Q$, there exist disjoint open F_{σ} sets G_1 and G_2 with

$$s\in \overline{G_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\cap Q}\cap \overline{G_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\cap Q}$$
 .

COROLLARY 2.10. Suppose Σ is the field generated by the open F_{σ} subsets of the compact space S. If S is strongly non-F, then C(S) is not complemented in $B(S, \Sigma)$. In particular, C(S) is not Baire complemented.

Proof. The first statement follows from Corollary 2.8 and Definition 2.9. By Corollary 1.3, $B(S, \Sigma) \subset \mathscr{B}_1(S)$, so C(S) is not Baire complemented by Remark 2.2(5).

REMARK. An easy application of Phillips' lemma shows that if S has a nontrivial convergent sequence, then C(S) is not Baire complemented (due to Wells [18]).

There is a partial converse to the preceding corollary. Recall that a compact Hausdorff is called σ -Stonian, or basically disconnected, if the closure of each open F_{σ} set is open. Thus each σ -Stonian space is an F-space. The next theorem gives an analogue for σ -Stonian spaces of the well-known fact that a compact space S is Stonian (extremally disconnected) if and only if C(S) is the range of a norm 1 projection from $C(S)^{**}$ (see, e.g., Semadeni [17, §§ 25.5 and 27.1.2]).

THEOREM 2.11. For a compact Hausdorff space S, these are equivalent:

(1) S is σ -Stonian;

(2) C(S) is Baire complemented by a projection of norm 1 from $\mathscr{B}_1(S)$;

(3) C(S) is the range of a norm 1 projection from $B(S, \Sigma)$, where Σ is the field generated by the open F_{σ} -sets in S;

(4) C(S) is the range of a multiplicative norm 1 projection from $\mathscr{B}_{\omega_1}(S)$, the space of all bounded Baire functions on S;

(5) C(S) is a σ -complete lattice.

Proof. The proof goes $(1) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (1)$. $(1) \Rightarrow (4)$: Let S be σ -Stonian. Denote by \mathscr{B} the σ -field of Baire sets and by \mathscr{C} the field of clopen sets. For each $E \in \mathscr{B}$, there exists a unique $\rho(E) \in \mathscr{C}$ such that $E \varDelta \rho(E)$ is meager, and the map $\rho: \mathscr{B} \to \mathscr{C}$ is a Boolean retraction (Halmos [8, p. 102]). For $s \in S$, let δ_s be the unit point mass measure at s. Define $\mu_s(E) = \delta_s(\rho(E)), E \in \mathscr{B}$, and $P: \mathscr{B}_{\omega_1}(S) \to C(S)$ by

$$(Pf)(s)=\int\!fd\mu_s$$
 , $f\in\mathscr{B}_{w_1}(S),\,s\in S$.

Observing that $\mathscr{B}_{\omega_1}(S) = B(S, \mathscr{B})$ (Theorem 1.1) and $C(S) = B(S, \mathscr{C})$, it is easy to check that P is the desired projection.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$: Obvious since $C(S) \subset B(S, \Sigma) \subset \mathscr{B}_1(S)$ (Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 1.3).

(3) \Rightarrow (5): Let $P: B(S, \Sigma) \rightarrow C(S)$ be a projection of norm 1, and let $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \cdots$ be an increasing bounded sequence in C(S) with $f(s) = \lim f_n(s)$. Then $f \in B(S, \Sigma)$ (Hausdorff [9, pp. 279-280 and Theorem 5, p. 270]) and since P is order-preserving (Pelczynski [14, Proposition 1.2]), we obtain $f_n = Pf_n \leq Pf$. If $g \in C(S)$ and $f_n \leq g$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, then $f \leq g$ and $Pf \leq Pg = g$. Therefore $Pf = \bigvee f_n$, and C(S) is a σ -complete lattice.

 $(5) \Leftrightarrow (1)$ is well-known (Semadeni [17, Proposition 24.7.4]). This concludes the proof.

REMARK. Because of (4), the projections in (2) and (3) can be taken multiplicative.

3. Baire classes over [0, 1]. In this section the previous results are applied to the Baire classes $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}([0, 1])$, denoted simply by \mathscr{B}_{α} . The arguments which follow hold equally well if [0, 1] is replaced by any uncountable complete separable metric space. Regarding the representation $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} = C(\Omega_{\alpha})$, the objective is to show that, for $0 < \alpha < \omega_1, \Omega_{\alpha}$ is strongly non-*F* (Theorem 3.3). The crucial information is provided by the following result of Lusin in descriptive set theory.

LEMMA 3.1 (Lusin). Denote by \mathscr{I} the space of irrational numbers. For each countable ordinal α , there exist in the space $\mathscr{I} \times \mathscr{I}$ two disjoint sets of additive class α which are not contained in disjoint sets of ambiguous class α .

Proof. Lusin [11, p. 204] or Hahn [7, p. 274].

LEMMA 3.2. For every countable ordinal α , there exist in the Cantor set K two disjoint subsets A and B of additive class α in K such that, if $A' \subset A$ and $B' \subset B$ are any subsets with A - A' and B - B' countable, then A' and B' are not contained in disjoint sets of ambiguous class α .

Proof. We treat the cases $\alpha = 1$ and $\alpha > 1$ separately. For $\alpha = 1$, first notice that every open set $V \subset K$ contains a perfect

nowhere dense subset (see Kuratowski [10, p. 447]). Let V_1, V_2, \cdots denote a base of open sets for K. Choose perfect nowhere dense sets $A_1 \subset V_1$ and $B_1 \subset V_1 - A_1$, and, inductively on n, let A_n and B_n be disjoint perfect nowhere dense subsets of $V_n - \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} (A_k \cup B_k)$, $n = 2, 3, \cdots$. The sets $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ are disjoint F_σ sets in K (i.e., additive class 1), and if $A' \subset A$ and $B' \subset B$ with A - A'and B - B' countable then A' and B' are still dense in K. Thus A' and B' are not contained in disjoint sets of ambiguous class 1 because, by the Baire category theorem, two dense G_δ sets must intersect.

For $\alpha > 1$, first let $D \subset K$ be a denumerable dense set, so that K - D is homeomorphic to \mathscr{I} (Kuratowski [10, p. 441]) and therefore to $\mathscr{I} \times \mathscr{I}$. By the Lusin lemma, there exist in K - D two disjoint sets A, B of additive class α (relative to K - D) which are not contained in disjoint ambiguous class α sets. Since K - D is a G_s and $\alpha \ge 2$, A and B are additive class α relative to K (see Hahn [7, Proposition 33.4.73]) and are not contained in disjoint ambiguous class α sets in K. Since every countable set is ambiguous class 2, no sets $A' \subset A$ and $B' \subset B$, such that A - A' and B - B' are countable, can be contained in disjoint ambiguous class α sets. This proves the lemma.

THEOREM 3.3. For each countable ordinal $\alpha > 0$, the maximal ideal space Ω_{α} of the α th Baire class \mathscr{B}_{α} is strongly non-F.

Proof. A set $Q \subset \Omega_{\alpha}$ is exhibited which satisfies Definition 2.9. Let I = [0, 1]. Fix the countable ordinal $\alpha \ge 1$, and define $\tau_{\alpha}: I \longrightarrow \Omega_{\alpha}$ as in Theorem 1.4. Now define

 $Q = \{x \in \Omega_{lpha}: ext{ there exist two disjoint open } F_{\sigma} ext{ sets in } \Omega_{lpha}, G_1 \ ext{ and } G_2, ext{ such that if } W ext{ is a neighborhood of } x, ext{ then the sets } au_{lpha}^{-1}(G_1 \cap W) ext{ and } au_{lpha}^{-1}(G_2 \cap W) ext{ are uncountable} \}.$

It is first shown that if $K \subset I$ is any homeomorphic image of the Cantor set, then $\overline{\tau_{\alpha}(K)}$ contains a point of Q—showing in particular that $Q \neq \phi$. For such K, choose two disjoint additive class α sets A, B as guaranteed by Lemma 3.2. Let \mathscr{F} denote the family of compact subsets of Ω_{α} defined by

$$\mathscr{F} = \{\overline{\tau_{\alpha}(M)}: \text{ either } M \subset A \text{ and } A - M \text{ is countable}$$

or $M \subset B$ and $B - M$ is countable}.

We show that \mathscr{F} has the finite intersection property. If $M_1, \dots, M_n \subset A$ and $M_{n+1}, \dots, M_p \subset B$ with $\overline{\tau_{\alpha}(M_i)} \in \mathscr{F}(1 \leq i \leq p)$, let $A' = \bigcap_{i=1}^n M_i \subset A$ and $B' = \bigcap_{i=n+1}^p M_i \subset B$. Then A - A' and B - B' are

countable, so that A' and B' are not contained in disjoint ambiguous class α sets. But this implies that $\overline{\tau_{\alpha}(A')} \cap \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(B')} \neq \phi$ since by Theorem 1.4, Ω_{α} is totally disconnected and if $\overline{\tau_{\alpha}(A')} \cap \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(B')} = \phi$, then for some clopen set C, $\overline{\tau_{\alpha}(A')} \subset C$, $C \cap \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(B')} = \phi$. But since $E \to \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(E)}$ is a Boolean isomorphism of $A_{\alpha}(I)$ onto the field of clopen sets in Ω_{α} , we have $C = \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(E)}$ for some $E \in A_{\alpha}(I)$. Then $A' \subset E$ and $B' \subset E^c$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\phi \neq \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(A')} \cap \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(B')} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{p} \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(M_i)}$, and \mathscr{F} has the finite intersection property.

Now choose $x \in \bigcap \{C: C \in \mathscr{F}\}$. Clearly, $x \in \tau_{\alpha}(K)$. We show $x \in Q$. Since A and B are additive class α sets, there exist sets $A_1, A_2, \dots, B_1, B_2, \dots \in A_{\alpha}(I)$ such that $A = \bigcup A_n$ and $B = \bigcup B_n$. Define the disjoint open F_{σ} sets G_1 and G_2 in Ω_{α} by

$$G_1 = \bigcup \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(A_n)}, \qquad G_2 = \bigcup \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(B_n)}.$$

If W is a neighborhood of x, let $E_1 = \tau_{\alpha}^{-1}(G_1 \cap W)$. If E_1 were only countable, then $\overline{\tau_{\alpha}(A - E_1)} \in \mathscr{F}$, so $x \in \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(A - E_1)}$. Thus $\tau_{\alpha}(t) \in W$ for some $t \in A - E_1$, which is a contradiction. This shows $\tau_{\alpha}^{-1}(G_1 \cap W)$ is uncountable, and likewise $\tau_{\alpha}^{-1}(G_2 \cap W)$ is uncountable. This concludes the proof that for every subset $K \subset I$ homeomorphic to the Cantor set, $\overline{\tau_{\alpha}(K)}$ contains a point of Q.

Now choose $x \in Q$, and suppose G_1 and G_2 are disjoint open F_{σ} sets satisfying the definition of Q. Let W be a clopen set containing x, and fix attention on $G_1 \cap W$. Write $G_1 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$, where each F_n is clopen. We have $\tau_{\alpha}^{-1}(G_1 \cap W) = \bigcup \tau_{\alpha}^{-1}(F_n \cap W)$, which is uncountable, so there must exist n_0 such that $\tau_{\alpha}^{-1}(F_{n_0} \cap W)$ is uncountable. But $F_{n_0} \cap W$ is clopen hence $F_{n_0} \cap W = \overline{\tau_{\alpha}(E)}$ for some $E \in A_{\alpha}(I)$. Then $E = \tau_{\alpha}^{-1}(F_{n_0} \cap W)$, and the Baire set E, being uncountable, must contain a copy K of the Cantor set (Kuratowski [10, p. 447]), and $\overline{\tau_{\alpha}(K)} \subset$ $F_{n_0} \cap W$. By what was shown above, $\overline{\tau_{\alpha}(K)}$ contains a point of Q, so that $G_1 \cap W$ contains a point of Q. Since W was arbitrarily chosen, $x \in \overline{G_1 \cap Q}$. Similarly, $x \in \overline{G_2 \cap Q}$. Therefore, Q satisfies the condition of Definition 2.9, and Ω_{α} is strongly non-F. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

REMARK 3.4. The preceding proof is more delicate than necessary for $\alpha \ge 2$. In case $\alpha \ge 2$, one could simply take $Q = \bigcup (\overline{G}_1 \cap \overline{G}_2)$, where the union runs over all pairs (G_1, G_2) of disjoint open F_σ sets in Ω_{α} . The "uncountability condition" is automatically satisfied. However, for $\alpha = 1$, the proof given seems to be required, and the case $\alpha \ge 2$ goes along for a free ride. However, we use the nonseparation result of Lusin (Lemma 3.1), which becomes decidedly nontrivial in the case $\alpha \ge 2$.

COROLLARY 3.5. For $\alpha < \omega_1$, \mathscr{B}_{α} is not Baire complemented.

F. K. DASHIELL, JR.

Proof. Corollary 2.10 and Theorem 3.3.

THEOREM 3.6. Suppose S is a compact Hausdorff space which contains an uncountable compact metrizable subset. Then for each countable ordinal $\alpha > 0$, $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ is not Baire complemented.

Proof. Let $K \subset S$ be an uncountable compact metric space. By Milutin's theorem (Pelczynski [14, Theorem 8.5]), C(K) and C([0, 1])are isomorphic, so by Remarks 2.2(6), $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(K)$ and \mathscr{B}_{α} are isomorphic for all α . But \mathscr{B}_{α} is not Baire complemented (Corollary 3.5), so $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(K)$ is not Baire complemented. (This conclusion can also be proved in the same way as Corollary 3.5, thus avoiding Milutin.)

By the Borsuk-Dugundji theorem (see Pelczynski [14, Theorem 6.6]), there exists an "extension operator" $E: C(K) \to C(S)$ such that E is an isometry and E(f) | K = f. Regarding $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(K)$ and $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ as subspaces of $C(K)^{**}$ and $C(S)^{**}$ under the canonical embedding, we obtain by an easy induction argument that for each $h \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(K)$, $E^{**}(h) \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ and $E^{**}(h) | K = h$. That is, E^{**} extends E to an "extension operator" from $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(K)$ into $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$. For $f \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$, we have that $f | K \subset \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(K)$, and by defining $P(f) = E^{**}(f | K)$ we obtain a projection P of $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ can not be Baire complemented since $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(K)$ is not Baire complemented (see Remarks 2.2(3) and 2.2(4)). This proves the theorem.

COROLLARY 3.7. Suppose S is a compact Hausdorff space which contains an uncountable compact metrizable subset. Then for each countable ordinal $\alpha > 0$, $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ is not isomorphic to any complemented subspace of a space $C(\Omega)$ for σ -Stonian Ω . In particular, $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ is not isomorphic to any complemented subspace of $\mathscr{B}_{\omega}(S)$.

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 2.11 and Remarks 2.2(3) and 2.2(4). For the second statement, represent $\mathscr{M}_{\omega_1}(S)$ by Theorem 1.4 as $C(\Omega)$, where Ω is a totally disconnected compact space whose Boolean algebra of clopen sets is σ -complete (being isomorphic to the σ -field of all Baire sets in S). It is wellknown that such a space Ω must be σ -Stonian (see Halmos [8, p. 99]).

REMARK. A Banach space X is called a Grothendieck space if every continuous linear map $T: X \rightarrow c_0$ is weakly compact. It is known (see Seever [16, p. 272]) that if Ω is σ -Stonian (or even an F-space), then every quotient of $C(\Omega)$ is a Grothendieck space. A proof that $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(\alpha < \omega_1)$ is not a Grothendieck space, together with the proof of Theorem 3.6, would provide a stronger result than Corollary 3.7. However, it is at present unknown whether \mathscr{B}_{α} is a Grothendieck space. In fact, it is even unknown whether the maximal ideal space Ω_{α} contains any nontrivial convergent sequence (the existence of such a sequence would prevent $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} = C(\Omega_{\alpha})$ from being a Grothendieck space).

PROPOSITION 3.8. Suppose S is a topological space and $\alpha > 0$ is an ordinal such that $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ is not Baire complemented. Then $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ is not complemented in $\mathscr{B}_{\beta}(S)$ for any $\beta > \alpha$.

Proof. Use the notation $(\tau_{\alpha}: S \to \Omega_{\alpha}; f \mapsto \hat{f}; \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S) \approx C(\Omega_{\alpha}))$ of Corollary 1.4. Define $T: \mathscr{B}_{1}(\Omega_{\alpha}) \to \mathscr{B}_{\alpha+1}(S)$ by $Th = h \circ \tau_{\alpha}, h \in \mathscr{B}_{1}(\Omega_{\alpha})$. (To check that $Th \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha+1}(S)$, pick $h_{n} \in C(\Omega_{\alpha})$ such that $\lim h_{n} = h \in \mathscr{B}_{1}(\Omega_{\alpha})$, and choose $f_{n} \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ so that $\hat{f}_{n} = h_{n}$. Then $h_{n} \circ \tau_{\alpha} = \hat{f}_{n} \circ \tau_{\alpha} = f_{n}$, $Th(s) = \lim h_{n}(\tau_{\alpha}(s)) = \lim f_{n}(s)$, and $Th \in \mathscr{B}_{\alpha+1}(S)$.) Suppose there were a projection P from $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha+1}(S)$ onto $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$. Define $R: \mathscr{B}_{1}(\Omega_{\alpha}) \to C(\Omega_{\alpha})$ by $R(h) = (PT(h))^{\hat{}}, h \in \mathscr{B}_{1}(\Omega_{\alpha})$. Then R is obviously a projection onto $C(\Omega_{\alpha})$, contradicting the assumption. Thus no such P can exist. Obviously, therefore, there can be no projection from $\mathscr{B}_{\beta}(S)$ onto $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ for any $\beta > \alpha$. This proves the proposition.

We obtain as a corollary the following result of W. G. Bade [2]:

COROLLARY 3.9. For the countable ordinals $\alpha < \beta$, $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}([0, 1])$ is not complemented in $\mathscr{B}_{\beta}([0, 1])$.

Proof. Corollary 3.5 and Proposition 3.8.

REMARK 3.10. By Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.8, the above corollary remains true if [0, 1] is replaced by any compact Hausdorff space which contains an uncountable compact metrizable subset.

Corollary 3.7 implies that \mathscr{B}_{α} is not isomorphic to \mathscr{B}_{ω_1} for $\alpha < \omega_1$. It follows from [3, Corollary 8, p. 336] that \mathscr{B}_1 is not isomorphic to \mathscr{B}_{α} for $\alpha > 1$. Although it is unknown whether \mathscr{B}_{α} and \mathscr{B}_{β} are isomorphic for $1 < \alpha < \beta < \omega_1$, it is shown in the next theorem that they are not isometric.

THEOREM 3.11. If $0 < \alpha < \beta \leq \omega_1$, then \mathscr{B}_{α} and \mathscr{B}_{β} are not isometric.

Proof. By Theorem 1.4, $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} = C(\Omega_{\alpha})$ and $\mathscr{B}_{\beta} = C(\Omega_{\beta})$, where Ω_{α} (resp. Ω_{β}) is a totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space whose field of clopen sets is Boolean isomorphic to the field A_{α} of ambiguous class α sets (resp. to the field A_{β} of ambiguous class β sets). If

 \mathscr{B}_{α} and \mathscr{B}_{β} are isometric, then Ω_{α} and Ω_{β} are homeomorphic by the Banach-Stone theorem, and so A_{α} and A_{β} are isomorphic Boolean algebras. Let $\rho: A_{\alpha} \to A_{\beta}$ be a Boolean isomorphism. Since the algebras A_{α} and A_{β} are purely atomic, ρ must be induced by a point map, i.e., there must exist a permutation π of [0, 1] such that $\rho(E) = \pi(E), E \in A_{\alpha}$. If $G \subset [0, 1]$ is open, then $G \in A_{\beta}$ so $\pi^{-1}(G) \in A_{\alpha}$ and $\pi^{-1}(G)$ is a Borel set. Thus π is Borel measurable, so there must exist a first category F_{σ} set F so that $\pi \mid F^{\sigma}$ is continuous (Kuratowski [10, p. 400]). Since F^{σ} is an uncountable G_{δ}, F^{σ} contains a copy K of the Cantor set. Thus $\pi \mid K$ is continuous and one-toone, therefore a homeomorphism. Thus $\pi(E) \in A_{\alpha}$ if $E \in A_{\alpha}(K)$ since a homeomorphism preserves class. But this is a contradiction, because $\pi(K)$, being homeomorphic to K, contains subsets in $A_{\beta} - A_{\alpha}$ (Hausdorff [9, p. 207]), each of which is assumed to be of the form $\pi(E)$ for $E \in A_{\alpha}(K)$. This proves the theorem.

REMARK. In connection with the above, it follows from a result of Rosenthal [15, p. 242, Remark 3] that the *dual spaces* $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}^{*}(1 \leq \alpha \leq \omega_{1})$ are all linearly isometric to each other and to $(l^{\infty})^{*}$.

THEOREM 3.12. If S and T are uncountable complete separable metric spaces, then $\mathscr{B}_{\omega_1}(S)$ and $\mathscr{B}_{\omega_1}(T)$ are isometric by an algebraic isometry which maps $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S)$ onto $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(T)$ for all infinite ordinals α .

Proof. There exists a "Borel isomorphism" φ between S and T which is class 1 in both directions (Kuratowski [10, p. 451]), i.e., a bijection $\varphi: S \to T$ so that φ and φ^{-1} are both class 1. Since φ and φ^{-1} change the class of a set by at most 1, φ and φ^{-1} in fact preserve class at the first limit ordinal, and therefore at every higher level. That is, $E \in A_{\alpha}(S)$ if and only if $\varphi(E) \in A_{\alpha}(T)$, $\alpha \geq \omega_0$. Thus $A_{\alpha}(S)$ and $A_{\alpha}(T)$ are isomorphic Boolean algebras, so that $B(S, A_{\alpha}(S)) \approx B(T, A_{\alpha}(T))$, i.e., $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(S) \approx \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}(T)$ for $\alpha \geq \omega_0$ by Theorem 1.1. This concludes the proof.

References

1. D. Amir, Projections onto continuous function spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 15 (1964), 396-402.

2. W. G. Bade, Complementation problems for the Baire classes, Pacific J. Math., 45 (1973), 1-11.

3. F. K. Dashiell and J. Lindenstrauss, Some examples concerning strictly convex norms on C(K) spaces, Israel J. Math., 16 (1973), 329-342.

4. S. Z. Ditor, Averaging operators in C(S) and lower semicontinuous sections of continuous maps, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 175 (1973), 195-208.

5. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I*, Interscience, New York, 1966.

6. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1960.

7. Hans Hahn, Reelle funktionen, Leipzig, 1932 (repr. Chelsea, New York, 1948).

8. P. R. Halmos, *Lectures on Boolean Algebras*, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies No. 1, D. Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1963.

9. Felix Hausdorff, Set Theory, 2d ed., Chelsea, New York, 1962.

10. K. Kuratowski, Topology, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1966.

11. N. Lusin, Lecons sur les ensembles analytiques, Paris, 1930 (repr. Chelsea, New York, 1972).

12. R. D. McWilliams, A note on weak sequential convergence, Pacific J. Math., 12 (1962), 333-335.

13. I. P. Natanson, *Theory of functions of a real variable, Vol. II*, Ungar, New York, 1960.

14. A. Pelczynski, Linear extensions, linear averagings, and their applications to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions, Dissertationes Math. Rozprawy Mat., **58** (1968).

15. H. P. Rosenthal, On injective Banach spaces and the spaces $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ for finite measures μ , Acta Math., **124** (1970), 205-248.

16. G. L. Seever, Measures on F-spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 133 (1968), 267-280.

17. Z. Semadeni, Banach spaces of continuous functions, Vol. I, Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 55, PWN, Warszawa, 1971.

18. B. B. Wells, Jr., Uncomplemented spaces of continuous functions and weak compactness of measures, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1967.

Received August 15, 1973. This is a part of the author's Ph.D. thesis prepared at the University of California, Berkeley, under the direction of William G. Bade, and supported in part by NSF Grant GP-29012.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

K. Yoshida E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON **OSAKA UNIVERSITY**

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by Intarnational Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 52, No. 1 January, 1974

David R. Adams, On the exceptional sets for spaces of potentials	1
Philip Bacon, Axioms for the Čech cohomology of paracompacta	7
Selwyn Ross Caradus, Perturbation theory for generalized Fredholm operators	11
Kuang-Ho Chen, Phragmén-Lindelöf type theorems for a system of	
nonhomogeneous equations	17
Frederick Knowles Dashiell, Jr., Isomorphism problems for the Baire classesM. G. Deshpande and V. K. Deshpande, Rings whose proper homomorphic images are right subdirectly irreducible	29 45
	43 53
Mary Rodriguez Embry, Self adjoint strictly cyclic operator algebras Paul Erdős, On the distribution of numbers of the form $\sigma(n)/n$ and on some related questions	55 59
Richard Joseph Fleming and James E. Jamison, <i>Hermitian and adjoint abelian</i> operators on certain Banach spaces	67
Stanley P. Gudder and L. Haskins, <i>The center of a poset</i>	85
Richard Howard Herman, Automorphism groups of operator algebras	91
Worthen N. Hunsacker and Somashekhar Amrith Naimpally, Local compactness of	101
families of continuous point-compact relations Donald Gordon James, On the normal subgroups of integral orthogonal groups	101
Eugene Carlyle Johnsen and Thomas Frederick Storer, <i>Combinatorial structures in</i>	107
loops. II. Commutative inverse property cyclic neofields of prime-power	115
order	115
Ka-Sing Lau, Extreme operators on Choquet simplexes	129
Philip A. Leonard and Kenneth S. Williams, <i>The septic character of 2, 3, 5 and 7</i>	143
Dennis McGavran and Jingyal Pak, On the Nielsen number of a fiber map \ldots Stuart Edward Mills, Normed Köthe spaces as intermediate spaces of L_1 and	149 157
L_{∞}	175
Philip Olin, <i>Free products and elementary equivalence</i>	
principal class	185
Seiya Sasao, Homotopy types of spherical fibre spaces over spheres	207
Helga Schirmer, <i>Fixed point sets of polyhedra</i>	221
representations	
Frank Siwiec, On defining a space by a weak base	233
James McLean Sloss, <i>Global reflection for a class of simple closed curves</i>	247
M. V. Subba Rao, On two congruences for primality	261
Raymond D. Terry, Oscillatory properties of a delay differential equation of even order	269
Joseph Dinneen Ward, <i>Chebyshev centers in spaces of continuous functions</i>	283
Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., <i>The uniqueness of elongations of Abelian</i> groups	289
V. M. Warfield, Existence and adjoint theorems for linear stochastic differential equations	305