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Necessary and sufficient conditions for 2, 3, 5, and 7 to be seventh powers \((\text{mod } p)\) \((p \text{ a prime } \equiv 1 \text{ (mod 7)})\) are determined.

1. Introduction. Let \(p\) be a prime \(\equiv 1 \text{ (mod 3)}\). Gauss [5] proved that there are integers \(x\) and \(y\) such that
\[
4p = x^3 + 27y^3, x \equiv 1 \text{ (mod 3)}.
\]
Indeed there are just two solutions \((x, \pm y)\) of (1.1). Jacobi [6] (see also [2], [9], [16]) gave necessary and sufficient conditions for all primes \(q \leq 37\) to be cubes \((\text{mod } p)\) in terms of congruence conditions involving a solution of (1.1), which are independent of the particular solution chosen. For example he showed that 3 is a cube \((\text{mod } p)\) if and only if \(y \equiv 0 \text{ (mod 3)}\). For \(p\) a prime \(\equiv 1 \text{ (mod 5)}\), Dickson [3] proved that the pair of diophantine equations
\[
\begin{align*}
16p &= x^5 + 50u^5 + 50v^5 + 125w^5, \\
xw &= v^5 - 4uv - u^5, x \equiv 1 \text{ (mod 5)},
\end{align*}
\]
has exactly four solutions. If one of these is \((x, u, v, w)\) the other three are \((x, -u, -v, w), (x, v, -u, -w)\) and \((x, -v, u, -w)\). Lehmer [7], [8], [10], [11], Muskat [14], [15], and Pepin [17] have given necessary and sufficient conditions for 2, 3, 5, and 7 to be fifth powers \((\text{mod } p)\) in terms of congruence conditions on the solutions of (1.2) which do not depend upon the particular solution chosen. For example Lehmer [8] proved that 3 is a fifth power \((\text{mod } p)\) if and only if \(u \equiv v \equiv 0 \text{ (mod 3)}\).

In this note, making use of results of Dickson [4], Muskat [14], [15] and Pepin [17], and the authors [12], [13] we obtain the analogous conditions for 2, 3, 5, and 7 to be seventh powers \((\text{mod } p)\) for a prime \(p \equiv 1 \text{ (mod 7)}\). The appropriate system to consider is the triple of diophantine equations
\[
\begin{align*}
72p &= 2x_1^7 + 42(x_2^4 + x_3^4 + x_4^4) + 343(x_5^3 + 3x_6^3), \\
12x_1^7 - 12x_1^7 + 147x_2^7 - 441x_3^7 + 56x_5x_6 + 24x_2x_3 - 24x_4x_4 &+ 48x_6x_6 + 96x_7x_7 = 0, \\
12x_2^7 - 12x_2^7 + 49x_3^7 - 147x_4^7 + 28x_5x_6 + 28x_5x_6 + 48x_5x_5 &+ 24x_6x_6 + 24x_7x_7 + 490x_7x_7 = 0, x_1 \equiv 1 \text{ (mod 7)},
\end{align*}
\]
considered by the authors in [12] (see also [20]). It was shown there that (1.3) has six nontrivial solutions in addition to the two trivial
solutions \((-6t, \pm 2u, \pm 2u, \mp 2u, 0, 0)\), where \(t\) and \(u\) are given by
\[ p = t^2 + 7u^2, \quad t \equiv 1 \pmod{7}. \]

If \((x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)\) is one of the six nontrivial solutions of (1.3) the other five nontrivial solutions are
\[
\begin{align*}
(x_1, & -x_3, x_4, x_5 - \frac{1}{2}(x_3 + 3x_6), \frac{1}{2}(x_5 - x_6)), \\
(x_1, & -x_4, x_2, -x_3 - \frac{1}{2}(x_3 - 3x_6), -\frac{1}{2}(x_5 + x_6)), \\
(x_1, & -x_2, -x_3, -x_4, x_5, x_6), \\
(x_1, & x_3, -x_4, -x_2, -\frac{1}{2}(x_3 + 3x_6), \frac{1}{2}(x_5 - x_6)), \\
(x_1, & x_4, -x_2, x_3, -\frac{1}{2}(x_5 - 3x_6), -\frac{1}{2}(x_5 + x_6)).
\end{align*}
\]

We prove

\textbf{Theorem.}  (a) 2 is a seventh power \((\mod p)\) if and only if \(x_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\).

(b) 3 is a seventh power \((\mod p)\) if and only if \(x_3 \equiv x_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\).

(c) 5 is a seventh power \((\mod p)\) if and only if either
\[ x_2 \equiv x_5 \equiv -x_4 \pmod{5} \quad \text{and} \quad x_3 \equiv x_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \]
or
\[ x_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 + x_3 - x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}. \]

(d) 7 is a seventh power \((\mod p)\) if and only if \(x_2 - 19x_3 - 18x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{49}\).

In view of (1.5) it is clear that none of the conditions given in the theorem depends upon the particular nontrivial solution of (1.3) chosen. Moreover, in connection with (d) we remark that any solution of (1.3) satisfies \(x_2 + 2x_3 + 3x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}\) (see [12]) so that \(x_2 - 19x_3 - 18x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}\).

We remark that since this paper was written a paper has appeared by Helen Popova Alderson [1] giving necessary and sufficient conditions for 2 and 3 to be seventh powers \((\mod p)\). Her conditions are not as simple as (a) and (b) above.

2. \textit{Proof of (a).} Let \(g\) be a primitive root \((\mod p)\), where \(p\) is an odd prime. Let \(e > 1\) be an odd divisor of \(p - 1\) and set \(p -
1 = \phi f. The cyclotomic number \((h, k)_e\) is defined to be the number of solutions \(s, t\) of the trinomial congruence
\[ g^{s+k} + 1 \equiv g^{t+k} \pmod{p}, \quad 0 \leq s, t \leq f - 1. \]

It is well-known [8], [18] that 2 is an eth power \((mod\ p)\) if and only if \((0, 0) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\). From [4], [13] we have
\[ 4(0, 0) \equiv p - 20 - 12t + 3x, \]
so that 2 is a seventh power \((mod\ p)\) if and only if \(x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\).

Alternatively this result can be proved using a result of Pepin [17] (see also [14]) or by using the representation of \(x_i\) in terms of a Jacobsthal sum (see [7] and [12]).

3. **Proof of (b).** The Dickson-Hurwitz sum \(B_t(i, j)\) is defined by
\[ B_t(i, j) = \sum_{h=0}^{t-1} (h, i - jh). \]

In [13] it was shown that
\[
\begin{align*}
84B_t(0, 1) &= 12x_1 + 12p - 24, \\
84B_t(1, 1) &= -2x_1 + 42x_2 + 49x_3 + 147x_5 + 12p - 24, \\
84B_t(2, 1) &= -2x_1 + 42x_2 + 49x_3 - 147x_5 + 12p - 24, \\
84B_t(3, 1) &= -2x_1 + 42x_4 - 98x_5 + 12p - 24, \\
84B_t(4, 1) &= -2x_1 - 42x_4 - 98x_5 + 12p - 24, \\
84B_t(5, 1) &= -2x_1 - 42x_4 + 49x_5 - 147x_6 + 12p - 24, \\
84B_t(6, 1) &= -2x_1 - 42x_4 + 49x_5 + 147x_6 + 12p - 24,
\end{align*}
\]

for some nontrivial solution \((x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)\) of (1.3). Muskat [14], Pepin [17] have shown that 3 is a seventh power \((mod\ p)\) if and only if
\[ B_3(1, 1) \equiv B_3(2, 1) \equiv B_3(4, 1) \pmod{3}, \]
\[ B_3(3, 1) \equiv B_3(5, 1) \equiv B_3(6, 1) \pmod{3}. \]

This condition using (3.1) is easily shown to be equivalent to \(x_3 \equiv x_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\). In verifying this it is necessary to observe that if \(x_3 \equiv x_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\) then \(x_1 \equiv x_3 \equiv x_5 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\), \(x_2 \equiv x_3 \equiv -x_4 \pmod{3}\) follow from (1.3).

4. **Proof of (c).** Muskat [14] has shown that 5 is a seventh power \((mod\ p)\) if and only if either
\[ B_5(1, 1) \equiv B_5(2, 1) \equiv B_5(4, 1) \pmod{5} \]
\[ B_5(3, 1) \equiv B_5(5, 1) \equiv B_5(6, 1) \pmod{5} \]
or
which by (3.1) is equivalent to
\[ x_2 \equiv x_3 = -x_4 \pmod{5} \quad \text{and} \quad x_5 \equiv x_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \]
or
\[ x_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 + x_3 - x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}. \]

5. Proof of (d). Muskat [15] has shown that 7 is a seventh power \((\mod p)\) if and only if
\[
B_s(1, 1) - B_s(6, 1) - 19(B_s(2, 1) - B_s(5, 1)) - 18(B_s(3, 1) - B_s(4, 1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{49},
\]
which by (3.1) is easily seen to be equivalent to
\[ x_2 - 19x_3 - 18x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{49}. \]

6. Application of theorem to primes \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, p < 1000\). One of us (K.S.W.) has prepared a table of solutions [19] of (1.3) for all primes \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, p < 1000\). For these primes the table shows that

(a) \(x_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\) only for \(p = 631, 673, 953\),
(b) \(x_2 = x_3 = 0 \pmod{3}\) only for \(p = 757, 883\),
(c) (i) \(x_2 \equiv x_3 \equiv -x_4 \pmod{5}\) and \(x_5 \equiv x_6 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}\) not satisfied,
(ii) \(x_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}\) and \(x_2 + x_3 - x_4 \equiv 0 \) only for \(p = 71, 827, 883\),
(d) \(x_2 - 19x_3 - 18x_4 \equiv 0 \pmod{49}\) only for \(p = 43, 281\),
so that by the theorem, for primes \(p \equiv 1 \pmod{7}, p < 1000\),

\[
2 \text{ is a seventh power } (\mod p) \text{ only for } p = 631, 673, 953, \\
3 \text{ is a seventh power } (\mod p) \text{ only for } p = 757, 883, \\
5 \text{ is a seventh power } (\mod p) \text{ only for } p = 71, 827, 883, \\
7 \text{ is a seventh power } (\mod p) \text{ only for } p = 43, 281. \\
\]

Indeed we can show directly that
\[
2 \equiv 196^7 \pmod{631}, 2 \equiv 128^7 \pmod{673}, 2 \equiv 120^7 \pmod{953}, \\
3 \equiv 81^7 \pmod{757}, 3 \equiv 207^7 \pmod{883}, \\
5 \equiv 58^7 \pmod{71}, 5 \equiv 561^7 \pmod{827}, 5 \equiv 432^7 \pmod{883}, \\
7 \equiv 28^7 \pmod{43}, 7 \equiv 264^7 \pmod{281}. \\
\]
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