

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PERTURBATIONS OF TYPE I VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

JOHN PHILLIPS

PERTURBATIONS OF TYPE I VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

JOHN PHILLIPS

In a recent paper, R. V. Kadison and D. Kastler studied a certain metric on the family of von Neumann algebras defined on a fixed Hilbert space. The distance between two von Neumann algebras was defined to be the Hausdorff distance between their unit balls. They showed that if two von Neumann algebras were sufficiently close, then their central portions of type K ($K = I, I_n, II, II_1, II_\infty, III$) were also close.

In the introduction to their paper, they conjectured that neighbouring von Neumann algebras must actually be unitarily equivalent. It is the purpose of this paper to prove this conjecture in the case that one of the algebras is of type I. The question of "inner" equivalence is left open. (Can the unitary equivalence be implemented by a unitary operator in the von Neumann algebra generated by the two neighbouring algebras?)

2. Notation and definitions. If \mathcal{A} is a set of bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , then we use \mathcal{A}' to denote the set of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} which commute with every element of \mathcal{A} . We use the notation \mathcal{A}_1 to denote the set of all operators in \mathcal{A} whose bound is less than or equal to 1. The algebra of all bounded operators on \mathcal{H} is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. If \mathcal{A} is an algebra of operators on \mathcal{H} with identity, then the identity of \mathcal{A} is denoted by $1_{\mathcal{A}}$. However, the identity operator on \mathcal{H} will be denoted by 1. For each subset \mathcal{F} of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and bounded operator A on \mathcal{H} we let

$$\|A - \mathcal{F}\| = \inf \{\|A - F\| : F \text{ in } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

DEFINITION 2.1. If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are linear subspaces of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\| = \sup \{\|A - B_1\|, \|B - A_1\| : A \text{ in } \mathcal{A}_1, B \text{ in } \mathcal{B}_1\}.$$

If \mathcal{S} is a subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then the closure of \mathcal{S} in the ultraweak topology is denoted by \mathcal{S}^- . Also, $\text{co } \mathcal{S}$ will denote the set of convex linear combinations of elements of \mathcal{S} .

As in [4], we make frequent use of the monotone increasing function $\alpha: [0, 1/8] \rightarrow [0, 5/8]$ defined by $\alpha(a) = a + 1/2 - (1/4 - 2a)^{1/2}$. We also make use of the following estimates for α :

for $n \geq 10$, $(n - 4)^2 - 16 \geq \left[(n - 4) - \frac{16}{n} \right]^2$ so that

$$\alpha\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{n + 2 - [(n - 4)^2 - 16]^{1/2}}{2n} \leq \frac{3}{n} + \frac{8}{n^2} < \frac{4}{n}.$$

DEFINITION 2.2. Let G be a topological group and denote by $BC(G)$ the Banach space of all bounded complex valued continuous functions on G with the supremum norm. A *right invariant mean* on G is a linear functional μ on $BC(G)$ such that

- (i) $\mu(f) \geq 0$ for $f \geq 0$ and f in $BC(G)$,
- (ii) $\mu(f_g) = \mu(f)$ for all f in $BC(G)$ and all g in G where $f_g(h) = f(hg)$ for h in G ,
- (iii) $\mu(1) = 1$.

A *mean* on $BC(G)$ is a linear functional on $BC(G)$ satisfying (i) and (iii) above. A *Dirac mean* on G is a linear functional on $BC(G)$ of the form $m_g(f) = f(g)$ for some fixed g in G and all f in $BC(G)$. If G has a right invariant mean we say that G is *amenable*.

3. The main theorem. The crucial step in proving the main theorem is Lemma 3.2. The proof of Lemma 3.2 is really just an application of the fixed point property for amenable groups. (See Theorem 3.3.5 on p. 55 of [2].) However, since the fixed point property is usually stated for locally compact groups and the group we wish to apply it to is far from being locally compact, we have (essentially) incorporated the proof of the fixed point property into the proof of Lemma 3.2. Lemma 3.5 (whose proof is quite trivial) is inserted solely to be able to state the main theorem in its most general form.

LEMMA 3.1. *Convex combinations of Dirac means are weak-* dense in the set of all means in the Banach space dual of $BC(G)$ where G is any topological group.*

For a proof of this fact see §1.1 of [2].

LEMMA 3.2. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras with identity on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $\|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\| < a$ ($\leq 1/10$). Suppose that the unitary groups of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} each contain an amenable subgroup (given the norm topology) whose linear span is ultraweakly dense in the algebra. Then $\|\mathcal{A}'1_{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{B}'1_{\mathcal{B}}\| < 4a + \alpha(a)$. If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} each have the same identity, 1, then we have $\|\mathcal{A}'1 - \mathcal{B}'1\| < 4a$.*

Proof. Let T_0 be in $(\mathcal{A}'1_{\mathcal{A}})_1$ and let G be an amenable subgroup of the unitary group of \mathcal{B} with the property described above. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \text{co} \{U^* T_0 U : U \text{ in } G\}^- .$$

Then \mathcal{S} is an ultraweakly closed convex subset of the unit ball of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and so is ultraweakly compact. Moreover, $\mathcal{S} \subseteq 1_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) 1_{\mathcal{B}}$.

Now, let U in G be fixed and let $\|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\| < b < a$ so that there is an operator V in \mathcal{A}_1 such that $\|U - V\| < b < a$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|U^* T_0 U - T_0\| &\leq \|U^* T_0 U - V^* T_0 U\| + \|V^* T_0 U - V^* T_0 V\| \\ &\quad + \|V^* V T_0 - T_0\| < b + b + \|V^* V - 1_{\mathcal{A}}\| \\ &\leq 2b + \|V^* V - V^* U\| + \|V^* U - U^* U\| \\ &\quad + \|U^* U - 1_{\mathcal{A}}\| < 2b + b + b \\ &\quad + \|1_{\mathcal{B}} - 1_{\mathcal{A}}\| < 4b + \alpha(a) . \end{aligned}$$

Where $\|1_{\mathcal{B}} - 1_{\mathcal{A}}\| < \alpha(a)$ can be seen by the following argument. By Lemma 6 of [4] there is a central projection P in \mathcal{B} such that $\|1_{\mathcal{A}} - P\| < \alpha(a)$. Also, there is an A in \mathcal{A}_1 such that $\|A - 1_{\mathcal{A}}\| < a$. So, we have $\|P - 1_{\mathcal{A}}\| \leq \|P 1_{\mathcal{B}} - 1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{B}}\| + \|1_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{B}} - 1_{\mathcal{A}} A\| + \|A - 1_{\mathcal{A}}\| < \alpha(a) + 2a < 1$. Thus, $P = 1_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\|1_{\mathcal{A}} - 1_{\mathcal{B}}\| < \alpha(a)$. Therefore, by the previous argument \mathcal{S} is contained in the following set

$$\{T \text{ in } 1_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) 1_{\mathcal{B}} : \|T\| \leq 1 \text{ and } \|T - T_0\| \leq 4b + \alpha(a)\} .$$

Now, for any x, y in \mathcal{H} define a continuous bounded function f_{xy} on G via, $f_{xy}(U) = (U^* T_0 U x, y)$ for U in G . Let $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k m_{U_k}$ (where U_k is in G for $k = 1, \dots, n$) be a convex combination of Dirac means. Then, for any x, y in \mathcal{H} we have

$$m(f_{xy}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k m_{U_k}(f_{xy}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k f_{xy}(U_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (U_k^* T_0 U_k x, y) = (T x, y)$$

where

$$T = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k U_k^* T_0 U_k \text{ is in } \mathcal{S} .$$

Let μ be a right invariant mean on G and let $\{m_\delta\}$ be a net of means each of which is a convex combination of Dirac means and such that $\{m_\delta\}$ converges to μ in the weak-* topology on the dual of $BC(G)$. For each δ , let T_δ be in \mathcal{S} such that $m_\delta(f_{xy}) = (T_\delta x, y)$ for all x and y in \mathcal{H} . Since \mathcal{S} is ultraweakly compact we can choose a subnet $\{T_{\delta'}\}$ which converges ultraweakly to an operator T in \mathcal{S} . Then, for all x and y in \mathcal{H} and each U in G we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(U^*TUx, y) &= (TUx, Uy) = \lim_{\delta'} (T_{\delta'}Ux, Uy) \\
&= \lim_{\delta' m_{\delta'}} (f_{UxUy}) = \mu(f_{UxUy}) = \mu((f_{xy})_U) = \mu(f_{xy}) \\
&= \lim_{\delta' m_{\delta'}} (f_{xy}) = \lim_{\delta'} (T_{\delta'}x, y) = (Tx, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the linear span of G is ultraweakly dense in \mathcal{B} , we have that T is in \mathcal{B}' and since T is in \mathcal{S} we have that T is in $\mathcal{B}'1_{\mathcal{S}}$, $\|T\| \leq 1$ and $\|T - T_0\| \leq 4b + \alpha(a)$. Similarly, if we start with an S_0 in $(\mathcal{B}'1_{\mathcal{S}})_1$ we can find an S in $(\mathcal{A}'1_{\mathcal{A}})_1$ such that $\|S - S_0\| \leq 4b + \alpha(a)$. Therefore, $\|\mathcal{A}'1_{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{B}'1_{\mathcal{S}}\| \leq 4b + \alpha(a) < 4a + \alpha(a)$. Clearly, if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} have the same identity, 1, the above proof shows that $\|\mathcal{A}'1 - \mathcal{B}'1\| < 4a$.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be von Neumann algebras on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $\|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\| < a (\leq 1/12)$. Then the centre of \mathcal{A} is *-isomorphic to the centre of \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. Let P be a projection in the centre of \mathcal{A} . Then by Lemma 6 of [4], there is a central projection Q in \mathcal{B} such that $\|P - Q\| < \alpha(a) < 1/3$. If there were another central projection E in \mathcal{B} such that $\|P - E\| < \alpha(a)$ then $\|E - Q\| < 2\alpha(a) < 2/3$ so that $E = Q$. Let φ be the map from the central projections of \mathcal{A} to the central projections of \mathcal{B} defined by $\|\varphi(P) - P\| < \alpha(a)$ for all central projections P in \mathcal{A} . By the symmetry of the situation it is easily seen that φ is one-to-one and onto the central projections of \mathcal{B} .

Now, let P and Q be central projections in \mathcal{A} . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi(PQ) - \varphi(P)\varphi(Q)\| &\leq \|\varphi(PQ) - PQ\| + \|PQ - \varphi(P)Q\| \\
+ \|\varphi(P)Q - \varphi(P)\varphi(Q)\| &< 3\alpha(a) < 1, \text{ and so } \varphi(PQ) = \varphi(P)\varphi(Q).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $P \leq Q$ if and only if $PQ = P$; $\varphi(P) \leq \varphi(Q)$ if and only if $P \leq Q$. Hence, φ is a lattice isomorphism from the central projections of \mathcal{A} onto the central projections of \mathcal{B} . Therefore, φ extends to a *-isomorphism (also denoted by φ) of the algebra generated by the central projections in \mathcal{A} to the algebra generated by the central projections in \mathcal{B} . By inspection, φ is an isometry and so extends to a *-isomorphism of the centre of \mathcal{A} onto the centre of \mathcal{B} .

The following lemma is due to F. Riesz (see [1], p. 148). A proof is provided here for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let X be a normed linear space and let Y be a closed proper subspace of X . For any t , $0 < t < 1$ there is an x_t in X , $\|x_t\| = 1$ such that*

$$\inf \{ \|x_t - y\| : y \text{ in } Y \} \geq t .$$

Proof. Let x_1 be in X but not in Y . Then, $\inf \{ \|x_1 - y\| : y \text{ in } Y \} = d > 0$. Choose y_0 in Y such that

$$\|x_1 - y_0\| \leq \frac{d}{t} . \text{ Let } x_t = \frac{x_1 - y_0}{\|x_1 - y_0\|} .$$

Let y be any vector in Y , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t - y\| &= \left\| \frac{x_1 - y_0}{\|x_1 - y_0\|} - y \right\| \\ &= \frac{1}{\|x_1 - y_0\|} \|x_1 - (y_0 + \|x_1 - y_0\|y)\| \geq \frac{1}{\|x_1 - y_0\|} d \geq t . \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.5. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $\|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\| < 1/2$. If \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra, then \mathcal{B} is a von Neumann algebra.*

Proof. By Lemma 5 of [4] we have $\|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}^-\| < 1/2$. Therefore $\|\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}^-\| < 1$. But if $\mathcal{B} \neq \mathcal{B}^-$ then by Lemma 3.4 $\|\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}^-\| \geq 1$. Hence, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}^-$.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} such that $\|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\| < a (\leq 1/25618)$. If \mathcal{A} is a type I von Neumann algebra then \mathcal{B} is unitarily equivalent to \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Let $P_n(Q_n)$ be the largest projection in the centre of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B})$ such that $P_n\mathcal{A}(Q_n\mathcal{B})$ is of type I_n or 0 and let $P'_m(Q'_m)$ be the largest projection in the centre of $\mathcal{A}'1_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{B}'1_{\mathcal{B}})$ such that $P'_m\mathcal{A}'1_{\mathcal{A}}(Q'_m\mathcal{B}'1_{\mathcal{B}})$ is of type I_m or 0.

Since \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are both von Neumann algebras by Lemma 3.5 and are both type I by Lemma 10 of [4] we have by the proof of Corollary 6.5 of [3] that the unitary groups of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} each have amenable subgroups of the type described in Lemma 3.2. Hence, by Lemma 3.2 $\|\mathcal{A}'1_{\mathcal{A}} - \mathcal{B}'1_{\mathcal{B}}\| < 4a + \alpha(a) < 1/3660$. Thus, by Lemma 15 of [4], not only do we have $\|P_n - Q_n\| < \alpha(a)$ but also $\|P'_m - Q'_m\| < \alpha(4a + \alpha(a))$. So, we have $\|P'_mP_n - Q'_mQ_n\| < \alpha(a) + \alpha(4a + \alpha(a))$. If we let $P_{mn} = P'_mP_n$ and $Q_{mn} = Q'_mQ_n$ then we have $\|P_{mn}\mathcal{A} - Q_{mn}\mathcal{B}\| < a + \alpha(a) + \alpha(4a + \alpha(a)) < 1/12$. By Lemma 3.3, the centres of these two algebras are $*$ isomorphic. Therefore, by Theorem 8, p. 90 of [6] \mathcal{A} is unitarily equivalent to \mathcal{B} .

THEOREM 3.7. *Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space and let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras on \mathcal{H} each containing the identity of \mathcal{H}*

such that $\|\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}\| < a$ ($\leq 1/32$). If \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra which is a type I factor, then \mathcal{B} is unitarily equivalent to \mathcal{A} .

Proof. If \mathcal{A} is a factor of type I_n , then so is \mathcal{B} by Theorem B of [4]. If \mathcal{A}' is a factor of type I_m , then $\|\mathcal{A}' - \mathcal{B}'\| < 4a \leq 1/8$ so again by Theorem B of [4], \mathcal{B}' is a factor of type I_m . Hence, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are unitarily equivalent.

REMARKS 3.8. By Theorem 3.6, if \mathcal{A} is maximal abelian on its essential subspace, then \mathcal{B} is maximal abelian on its essential subspace and so the *-isomorphism between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} defined in Lemma 3.3 is actually spatial. Using the fact that $\mathcal{A}'1_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}'1_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}$ it is not too hard to show that any unitary which implements this particular isomorphism can be extended to a unitary on \mathcal{H} which is in the von Neumann algebra generated by \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . Thus, it seems highly likely that neighbouring type I von Neumann algebras are actually "inner" equivalent.

As noted on p. 421 of [5], hyperfinite factors satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2 and so Lemma 3.2 may be of some help in analysing the hyperfinite case.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I would like to thank Professors R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose for their advice and encouragement while I was working on this paper. In particular, I would like to thank Professor Ringrose for pointing out that all type I von Neumann algebras satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3.2 and not just abelian von Neumann algebras as I had originally thought.

Added in proof. In a paper entitled *Perturbations of Type I von Neumann algebras* to appear in J. London Math. Soc., E. Christensen proves a much sharper version of Theorem 3.6 which answers the question of "inner" equivalence affirmatively. The methods are quite different from those of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. A. L. Brown, A. Page, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., 1970.
2. F. P. Greenleaf, *Invariant Means on Topological Groups*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., 1969.
3. B. E. Johnson, R. V. Kadison, J. R. Ringrose, *Cohomology of operator algebras, III. Reduction to normal cohomology*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **100** (1972), 73-96.
4. R. V. Kadison, D. Kastler, *Perturbations of von Neumann algebras I. Stability of type*, Amer. J. Math., **XCIV** No. 1, (1972), 38-54.
5. J. R. Ringrose, *Lectures on Operator Algebras*, **247** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
6. ———, *Lecture Notes on von Neumann Algebras*, mimeographed notes, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, 1966-67.

Received June 28, 1973 and in revised form March 13, 1974. This research was supported by a National Research Council of Canada postdoctoral fellowship.

THE UNIVERSITY, NEWCASTLE, UPON TYNE, ENGLAND

Present address: Department of Mathematics
Queen's University,
Kingston, Ontario, Canada

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific of Journal Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Harm Bart, <i>Spectral properties of locally holomorphic vector-valued functions</i>	321
J. Adrian (John) Bondy and Robert Louis Hemminger, <i>Reconstructing infinite graphs</i>	331
Bryan Edmund Cain and Richard J. Tondra, <i>Biholomorphic approximation of planar domains</i>	341
Richard Carey and Joel David Pincus, <i>Eigenvalues of seminormal operators, examples</i>	347
Tyrone Duncan, <i>Absolute continuity for abstract Wiener spaces</i>	359
Joe Wayne Fisher and Louis Halle Rowen, <i>An embedding of semiprime P.I.-rings</i>	369
Andrew S. Geue, <i>Precompact and collectively semi-precompact sets of semi-precompact continuous linear operators</i>	377
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>Locally homeomorphic λ connected plane continua</i>	403
Darald Joe Hartfiel, <i>A study of convex sets of stochastic matrices induced by probability vectors</i>	405
Yasunori Ishibashi, <i>Some remarks on high order derivations</i>	419
Donald Gordon James, <i>Orthogonal groups of dyadic unimodular quadratic forms. II</i>	425
Geoffrey Thomas Jones, <i>Projective pseudo-complemented semilattices</i>	443
Darrell Conley Kent, Kelly Denis McKennon, G. Richardson and M. Schroder, <i>Continuous convergence in $C(X)$</i>	457
J. J. Koliha, <i>Some convergence theorems in Banach algebras</i>	467
Tsang Hai Kuo, <i>Projections in the spaces of bounded linear operations</i>	475
George Berry Leeman, Jr., <i>A local estimate for typically real functions</i>	481
Andrew Guy Markoe, <i>A characterization of normal analytic spaces by the homological codimension of the structure sheaf</i>	485
Kunio Murasugi, <i>On the divisibility of knot groups</i>	491
John Phillips, <i>Perturbations of type I von Neumann algebras</i>	505
Billy E. Rhoades, <i>Commutants of some quasi-Hausdorff matrices</i>	513
David W. Roeder, <i>Category theory applied to Pontryagin duality</i>	519
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht, <i>The nonminimality of the differential closure</i>	529
Peter Michael Rosenthal, <i>On an inversion theorem for the general Mehler-Fock transform pair</i>	539
Alan Saleski, <i>Stopping times for Bernoulli automorphisms</i>	547
John Herman Scheuneman, <i>Fundamental groups of compact complete locally affine complex surfaces. II</i>	553
Vashishtha Narayan Singh, <i>Reproducing kernels and operators with a cyclic vector. I</i>	567
Peggy Strait, <i>On the maximum and minimum of partial sums of random variables</i>	585
J. L. Brenner, <i>Maximal ideals in the near ring of polynomials modulo 2</i>	595
Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Remark on the preceding paper: "Ideals in near rings of polynomials over a field"</i>	601
Masamichi Takesaki, <i>Faithful states on a C^*-algebra</i>	605
R. Michael Tanner, <i>Some content maximizing properties of the regular simplex</i>	611
Andrew Bao-hwa Wang, <i>An analogue of the Paley-Wiener theorem for certain function spaces on $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$</i>	617
James Juei-Chin Yeh, <i>Inversion of conditional expectations</i>	631