

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

FAITHFUL STATES ON A C^* -ALGEBRA

MASAMICHI TAKESAKI

FAITHFUL STATES ON A C^* -ALGEBRA

MASAMICHI TAKESAKI

Let A be a separable C^* -algebra which is σ -weakly dense in a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} . The equivalence of the following two statements is proven: (i) \mathcal{M} is atomic and A contains all minimal projections; (ii) A normal state φ of \mathcal{M} is faithful if the restriction $\varphi|_A$ of φ to A is also.

The results of this paper have grown out of conversations with Haag, Herman, Hugenholtz, Kadison, Kastler, and Pedersen during the Functional Analysis Special Year Program at UCLA in the spring, 1971. Seeing that any state on a C^* -algebra satisfying the Kubo-Martin-Schwinger condition for any one parameter automorphism group of the algebra gives rise to a faithful normal state on the von Neumann algebra generated by the cyclic representation induced by the state, Hugenholtz raised the question as to when this phenomenon occurs in general. Namely, the original question is as to whether given a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , with a σ -weakly dense C^* -subalgebra A , a normal state φ on \mathcal{M} is faithful if the restriction $\varphi|_A$ of φ to A is faithful. Kadison answered immediately in the negative with the following example:

EXAMPLE 1. Let $\{r_n\}$ be an enumeration of all rational numbers in $[0, 1]$. Consider the set

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(r_n - \frac{1}{3^{n+1}}, r_n + \frac{1}{3^{n+1}} \right) \cap [0, 1] \right\}.$$

Then, denoting the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$ by μ , we have

$$\mu(E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{3^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Let A denote the C^* -algebra $C[0, 1]$ of all continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ considered as an operator algebra acting on $L^2[0, 1]$. Then the von Neumann algebra generated by A is given by $L^\infty[0, 1]$. We define a normal state ω of $L^\infty(0, 1)$ by

$$\omega(f) = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f(t) d\mu(t), \quad f \in L^\infty(0, 1).$$

Then, ω is not faithful since $\mu(E) \leq 2/3 < 1$, while $\omega|_A$ is faithful because E is dense in $[0, 1]$.

Therefore, a dense C^* -subalgebra of a von Neumann algebra does not characterize faithful normal states. However, if we consider the

cyclic representation $\{\pi_\omega, \mathfrak{F}_\omega, \xi_\omega\}$ induced by a given faithful state ω on a C^* -algebra A , then the situation is more complicated. That is, if A is abelian, then the von Neumann algebra $\pi_\omega(A)''$, say \mathcal{M}_ω , is maximal abelian, so that the state $\tilde{\omega}$ on \mathcal{M}_ω given by $\tilde{\omega}(x) = (x\xi_\omega | \xi_\omega)$, $x \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$, is faithful. In the above example, the situation is that $\mathfrak{F}_\omega = L^2(E)$ and $\mathcal{M}_\omega = L^\infty(E)$.

Pedersen then constructed the following counterexample.

EXAMPLE 2. Let A denote the C^* -algebra of all 2×2 matrix-valued continuous functions on $[0, 1]$. Let $\{r_n\}$ be an enumeration of the rational numbers in $[0, 1]$ and r_0 be an irrational number in $[0, 1]$. We define a state ω on A by

$$\omega(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} (x_{11}(r_n) + x_{22}(r_n)) + \frac{1}{2} x_{11}(r_0).$$

Then \mathfrak{F}_ω is identified with the direct sum of C^2 and the Hilbert space of all 2×2 matrix-valued functions on $\{r_n\}$ equipped with the inner product:

$$(\xi | \eta) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \xi_{ij}(r_n) \overline{\eta_{ij}(r_n)} + \xi_1(r_0) \overline{\eta_1(r_0)} + \xi_2(r_0) \overline{\eta_2(r_0)}.$$

The von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M}_ω generated by $\pi_\omega(A)$ is identified with the algebra of all bounded 2×2 matrices-valued functions on $\{r_n\} \cup \{r_0\}$, where the action of \mathcal{M}_ω on \mathfrak{F}_ω is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (x\xi)_{i,j}(r_n) &= \sum_{k=1}^2 x_{i,k}(r_n) \xi_{k,j}(r_n); \\ (x\xi)_i(r_0) &= x_{i,1}(r_0) \xi_1(r_0) + x_{i,2}(r_0) \xi_2(r_0) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$ and $\xi \in \mathfrak{F}_\omega$. The cyclic vector ξ_ω is given by

$$(\xi_\omega)_{i,j}(r_n) = \delta_{i,j}, \quad (\xi_\omega)_1(r_0) = 1, \quad (\xi_\omega)_2(r_0) = 0.$$

Let e denote the projection defined by

$$\begin{aligned} e(r_n) &= 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad e_{11}(r_0) = e_{12}(r_0) = e_{21}(r_0) = 0, \\ e_{22}(r_0) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $(e\xi_\omega | \xi_\omega) = 0$. Hence ω does not give rise to a faithful normal state on \mathcal{M}_ω .

From these examples, we see, in the first place, a remarkable feature of the KMS-condition which guarantees the faithfulness of the extended normal state on the generated von Neumann algebra; secondly, it is in general not easy to get any information on the faithfulness of a state extended from a given C^* -algebra. However,

we have the following nice example in the noncommutative situation.

EXAMPLE 3. Suppose A is the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{H})$ of all compact operators on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} . Then the weak closure \mathcal{M} of A is the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H})$ of all bounded operators on \mathfrak{H} , and a normal state φ of \mathcal{M} is faithful if and only if its restriction $\varphi|_A$ to A is faithful.

Thus, there does exist a C^* -algebra on a Hilbert space on whose weak closure a normal state is faithful if its restriction to the C^* -algebra is faithful. More generally, the following theorem shows the structure of such a C^* -algebra. To avoid a trivial situation, we assume that the C^* -algebra in question is always separable. The reason for this assumption is, for example, that if the C^* -algebra in question happens to be a von Neumann algebra (a von Neumann algebra is a perfectly good C^* -algebra), then there is nothing to argue.

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra acting on a Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} and \mathcal{M} the weak closure of A . Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{M} is an atomic von Neumann algebra and A contains all minimal projections of \mathcal{M} ;
- (ii) A normal state φ on \mathcal{M} is faithful if the restriction $\varphi|_A$ of φ to A is also¹.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose a normal state φ on \mathcal{M} is not faithful. Let e be the support projection of φ . Then $e \neq 1$ by assumption. Hence $1 - e$ majorizes a nonzero minimal projection p in \mathcal{M} . The assumption in (i) says that p is in A . Since $\varphi(p) = 0$, $\varphi|_A$ is not faithful.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Suppose A enjoys the property described in (ii). Since A is separable, there is a normal state φ of \mathcal{M} which is faithful on A . By assumption, φ is then faithful on \mathcal{M} . If p is a nonzero projection in \mathcal{M} , then the normal positive functional ω on \mathcal{M} , given by $\omega(x) = \varphi((1 - p)x(1 - p))$, $x \in \mathcal{M}$, is not faithful on \mathcal{M} . Hence ω is not faithful on A either by assumption, so that there exists a nonzero positive element $h \in A$ with $\omega(h) = 0$; hence $(1 - p)h(1 - p) = 0$. Choosing $\|h\| \leq 1$, we have $0 \leq h \leq p$. Thus we conclude here that any nonzero projection p in \mathcal{M} majorizes a nonzero positive element in A .

Now we denote by \tilde{A} the universal enveloping von Neumann

¹ After the first draft of this article was distributed to specialists, the author was informed of alternate proofs of the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) independently by C. Akemann, G. Elliott, and G. K. Pedersen which were shorter than the original one. The proof presented here is due to Pedersen. The author would like to express his thanks here to Professor C. Akemann, Professor G. Elliott, and Professor G. K. Pedersen.

algebra of A and by z the supremum of all minimal projections in \tilde{A} which is a central projection in \tilde{A} . Since A is separable, there exists a countable set $\{\omega_j\}$ of pure states which is weak* dense in the set of all pure states. Let $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/(2^j)\omega_j$. Let $\tilde{\omega}$ denote a Hahn-Banach extension of ω to \mathcal{M} and decompose $\tilde{\omega}$ in its normal part and singular part: $\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}_n + \tilde{\omega}_s$. Then $\tilde{\omega}_n$ is faithful on \mathcal{M} . Otherwise, $\tilde{\omega}_n(p) = 0$ for some nonzero projection p in \mathcal{M} . Since $\tilde{\omega}_s$ is singular, there exists a nonzero projection $q \leq p$ in \mathcal{M} with $\tilde{\omega}_s(q) = 0$. From the first part of the proof, it follows that there exists a nonzero h in A with $0 \leq h \leq q$. But then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \omega(h) = \tilde{\omega}(h) = \tilde{\omega}_n(h) + \tilde{\omega}_s(h) \\ &\leq \tilde{\omega}_n(q) + \tilde{\omega}_s(q) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $\tilde{\omega}_n$ is faithful. We consider ω as a normal state of \tilde{A} . Let π denote the normal homomorphism of \tilde{A} onto \mathcal{M} induced by the injection of A into \mathcal{M} . Let $\psi = \pi(\tilde{\omega}_n)$. Then ψ is a normal positive functional on \tilde{A} such that

$$\psi|_A = \tilde{\omega}_n|_A,$$

so that we have $\psi \leq \omega$. Hence the support e of ψ in \tilde{A} is majorized by the support of ω in \tilde{A} ; hence $e \leq z$ because ω is the convex sum of pure states. Hence $\tilde{A}e$ is atomic. For any $x \in \tilde{A}$, $\pi(x) = 0$ if and only if $0 = \tilde{\omega}_n(\pi(x)^*\pi(x)) = \tilde{\omega}_n(\pi(x^*x))$; if and only if $\psi(x^*x) = 0$; if and only if $x e = 0$. Therefore, we have $\pi^{-1}(0) = \tilde{A}(1 - e)$. Hence π is an isomorphism of $\tilde{A}e$ onto \mathcal{M} , so that \mathcal{M} is atomic. The minimal projections in \mathcal{M} belong to A by the first part of the proof.

Therefore, we conclude that the possibility of characterizing the faithfulness of a normal state on a von Neumann algebra by means of the faithfulness of the restricted state of a given dense C^* -subalgebra is very limited, actually it is possible only for a von Neumann algebra of type I and a very nice C^* -subalgebra.

PROPOSITION 2. *For a separable C^* -algebra A the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) A is post-liminal;
- (ii) If the left kernel of a factor state φ on A is a two sided ideal, then the corresponding cyclic vector ξ_φ is separating for $\pi_\varphi(A)''$, where $\{\pi_\varphi, \mathfrak{H}_\varphi, \xi_\varphi\}$ is the cyclic representation of A induced by φ .

Proof. (ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let ω be a pure state on A , and $\{\pi_\omega, \mathfrak{H}_\omega, \xi_\omega\}$ be the irreducible cyclic representation of A corresponding to ω . Then \mathfrak{H}_ω is separable and $\pi_\omega(A)'' = \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_\omega)$, the von Neumann algebra

of all bounded operators on \mathfrak{H}_ω . For any normal state $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_\omega)$, $\varphi = {}^t\pi_\omega(\tilde{\varphi})$ gives rise to a cyclic representation $\{\pi_\varphi, \mathfrak{H}_\varphi, \xi_\varphi\}$ which is quasi-equivalent to π_ω . Hence φ is a factor state on A . Let ρ denote the normal isomorphism of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_\omega)$ onto $\pi_\varphi(A)''$ such that $\pi_\varphi = \rho \cdot \pi_\omega$. Suppose the restriction of $\tilde{\varphi}$ to $\pi_\omega(A)$ is faithful. Then the left kernel \mathfrak{m}_φ of φ is the same as the kernel $\pi_\omega^{-1}(0)$ of π_ω , so that \mathfrak{m}_φ is a two sided ideal. Hence by assumption, the cyclic vector ξ_φ is separating for $\pi_\varphi(A)''$. But we have, for any $x \in A$,

$$\tilde{\varphi} \cdot \pi_\omega(x) = \varphi(x) = (\pi_\varphi(x)\xi_\varphi | \xi_\varphi) = (\rho \cdot \pi_\omega(x)\xi_\varphi | \xi_\varphi).$$

Hence we get

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = (\rho(x)\xi_\varphi | \xi_\varphi), \quad x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_\omega).$$

Therefore, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is faithful on $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_\omega)$. Thus the C*-subalgebra $\pi_\omega(A)$ has the property in Theorem 1. Hence $\pi_\omega(A)$ contains the C*-algebra $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}(\mathfrak{H}_\omega)$ of all compact operators on \mathfrak{H}_ω , which means that A is post-liminal.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Suppose A is post-liminal. Then every factor representation is quasi-equivalent to an irreducible representation, and the image of A under each irreducible representation contains the C*-algebra of all compact operators on the representation space. Therefore, if a normal state on the weak closure $\pi(A)''$ of the image of A under any factor representation π is faithful on $\pi(A)$, then it is faithful on $\pi(A)''$. Thus, statement (ii) follows.

Returning to the original question how to guarantee the faithfulness of the extended normal states on the weak closure, we introduce the following:

DEFINITION. A state ω on a C*-algebra A is said to satisfy the *quasi Kubo-Martin-Schwinger condition* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(x_n x_n^*) = 0$ for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ in A with the property that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(x_n^* x_n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \omega((x_n - x_m)(x_n - x_m)^*) = 0$.

Making use of Tomita's theory of left Hilbert algebras, one can prove the following, though the presentation of the full proof is beyond the scope of this paper, see [5] and [6].

THEOREM 3. *If a state φ on a C*-algebra A satisfies the quasi KMS-condition, then*

- (i) *the canonically induced normal state $\tilde{\varphi}$ on the von Neumann algebra $\pi_\varphi(A)''$ generated by $\pi_\varphi(A)$ is faithful;*
- (ii) *there exists a one parameter automorphism group σ_t of $\pi_\varphi(A)''$ for which $\tilde{\varphi}$ satisfies the KMS-condition.*

REFERENCES

1. C. Akemann, *The dual space of an operator algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **126** (1967), 286-302.
2. J. Dixmier, *Les C*-algèbres et Leurs Représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
3. M. Takesaki, *On the conjugate space of an operator algebra*, Tôhoku Math. J., **10** (1958), 194-203.
4. ———, *Singularity of positive functionals*, Proc. Japan Acad., **35** (1959), 365-366.
5. M. Takesaki, *Tomita's Theory of Modular Hilbert Algebras*, Lecture Notes Series No. 128, Springer, 1970.
6. ———, *States and automorphism groups of operator algebras*, Proceedings of the Symposium on Mathematical Aspects of Statistical Mechanics, (1972).

Received October 16, 1972. The preparation of this paper was supported in part by NSF Grant No. GP 33696X.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM

Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific of Journal Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 52, No. 2

February, 1974

Harm Bart, <i>Spectral properties of locally holomorphic vector-valued functions</i>	321
J. Adrian (John) Bondy and Robert Louis Hemminger, <i>Reconstructing infinite graphs</i>	331
Bryan Edmund Cain and Richard J. Tondra, <i>Biholomorphic approximation of planar domains</i>	341
Richard Carey and Joel David Pincus, <i>Eigenvalues of seminormal operators, examples</i>	347
Tyrone Duncan, <i>Absolute continuity for abstract Wiener spaces</i>	359
Joe Wayne Fisher and Louis Halle Rowen, <i>An embedding of semiprime P.I.-rings</i>	369
Andrew S. Geue, <i>Precompact and collectively semi-precompact sets of semi-precompact continuous linear operators</i>	377
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>Locally homeomorphic λ connected plane continua</i>	403
Darald Joe Hartfiel, <i>A study of convex sets of stochastic matrices induced by probability vectors</i>	405
Yasunori Ishibashi, <i>Some remarks on high order derivations</i>	419
Donald Gordon James, <i>Orthogonal groups of dyadic unimodular quadratic forms. II</i>	425
Geoffrey Thomas Jones, <i>Projective pseudo-complemented semilattices</i>	443
Darrell Conley Kent, Kelly Denis McKennon, G. Richardson and M. Schroder, <i>Continuous convergence in $C(X)$</i>	457
J. J. Koliha, <i>Some convergence theorems in Banach algebras</i>	467
Tsang Hai Kuo, <i>Projections in the spaces of bounded linear operations</i>	475
George Berry Leeman, Jr., <i>A local estimate for typically real functions</i>	481
Andrew Guy Markoe, <i>A characterization of normal analytic spaces by the homological codimension of the structure sheaf</i>	485
Kunio Murasugi, <i>On the divisibility of knot groups</i>	491
John Phillips, <i>Perturbations of type I von Neumann algebras</i>	505
Billy E. Rhoades, <i>Commutants of some quasi-Hausdorff matrices</i>	513
David W. Roeder, <i>Category theory applied to Pontryagin duality</i>	519
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht, <i>The nonminimality of the differential closure</i>	529
Peter Michael Rosenthal, <i>On an inversion theorem for the general Mehler-Fock transform pair</i>	539
Alan Saleski, <i>Stopping times for Bernoulli automorphisms</i>	547
John Herman Scheuneman, <i>Fundamental groups of compact complete locally affine complex surfaces. II</i>	553
Vashishtha Narayan Singh, <i>Reproducing kernels and operators with a cyclic vector. I</i>	567
Peggy Strait, <i>On the maximum and minimum of partial sums of random variables</i>	585
J. L. Brenner, <i>Maximal ideals in the near ring of polynomials modulo 2</i>	595
Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>Remark on the preceding paper: "Ideals in near rings of polynomials over a field"</i>	601
Masamichi Takesaki, <i>Faithful states on a C^*-algebra</i>	605
R. Michael Tanner, <i>Some content maximizing properties of the regular simplex</i>	611
Andrew Bao-hwa Wang, <i>An analogue of the Paley-Wiener theorem for certain function spaces on $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$</i>	617
James Juei-Chin Yeh, <i>Inversion of conditional expectations</i>	631