

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THEOREMS OF KOROVKIN TYPE FOR L_p -SPACES

S. J. BERNAU

THEOREMS OF KOROVKIN TYPE FOR L_p -SPACES

S. J. BERNAU

Suppose (X, Σ, μ) is a measure space, $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, and that (T_n) is a net of linear contractions on (real or complex) $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. Let $M = \{x \in L_p: T_n x \rightarrow x\}$ (M is the convergence set for (T_n)). It is obvious that M is a closed subspace of L_p ; indeed this would be true for an arbitrary normed space. In this paper we shall show that M is the range of a contractive projection on L_p and hence is itself isometrically isomorphic to an L_p -space. If $S \subset L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ we can define the shadow, $\mathcal{S}(S)$ of S to be the set of all x in L_p such that $T_n x \rightarrow x$ for every net of linear contractions (T_n) such that $T_n y \rightarrow y$ for all $y \in S$. We shall also give a complete description of $\mathcal{S}(S)$ (for $p \neq 1, 2, \infty$).

Our results are new for finite p not equal to 1 or 2. In the case $p = 2$ the assertions about M are trivial and $\mathcal{S}(S)$ is the closed subspace spanned by S . The case $p = 1$ was first considered by Wulbert [9] for Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. He showed that if $S = \{1, x, x^2\}$ then $\mathcal{S}(S) = L_1[0, 1]$. (Actually he considered sequences of contractions and required only $T_n 1 \rightarrow 1$ and $T_n f$ weakly convergent to f for $f = x$ and $f = x^2$.) Wulbert's results were inspired by and generalized the classical theorem of Korovkin [7] which contains the result that if $S = \{1, x, x^2\}$ then the shadow of S in $C[0, 1]$ is $C[0, 1]$. In [8] Lorentz considered separable L_1 spaces on finite measure spaces. He showed that for sequences of contractions such that $T_n 1 \rightarrow 1$ the convergence set is a closed sublattice of L_1 . A corollary of this, which he noted, is that for $L_1[0, 1]$, $\mathcal{S}(S) = L_1$ if $S = \{1, x\}$. This last result and some further discussion of $L_1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$, with $\mu(X) = 1$ is also contained in [1].

The methods we use are suggested by the methods used in [3] in discussing contractive projections. I am very grateful to Professors Lorentz and Berens for discussions of this material and for supplying me with preprints of [1], [2], [8]. My first introduction to this circle of ideas was a colloquium lecture by Professor Lorentz in which some of the results from [2] and [8] were presented.

2. The convergence set. We shall fix notation as in the first paragraph of the introduction. It does not seem to matter whether our measure space is taken over a σ -ring, σ -algebra or δ -ring. For definiteness we shall assume that Σ is a σ -ring and measurability is as defined by Halmos [5]. We shall let q be the conjugate index to p , defined by $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Since $p \neq 1, 2, \infty$, the same is true

for q and $L_q(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ is the topological dual of $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ with the usual identifications. We shall consider the complex case; i.e., L_p (and L_q) are (equivalence classes of) complex valued functions. The real case is a little easier, but the methods are the same. If T is a bounded linear operator on L_p , the conjugate operator T^* is defined on L_q by the identity

$$\int x \cdot (T^*y) d\mu = \int (Tx) \cdot y d\mu \quad (x \in L_p, y \in L_q).$$

DEFINITION. The *conjugate convergence set* M^* for the net of contractions (T_n) is defined by $M^* = \{y \in L_q: T_n^*y \rightarrow y\}$.

LEMMA 2.1. (Compare [3, Lemma 2.2].) *Let $x \in L_p$, then $x \in M$ if and only if $|x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x} \in M^*$.*

Proof. Suppose $x \in M$ and write $u = |x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x}$. Then $\|u\|_q = \|x\|_p^{p/q}$ and (T_n^*u) is a bounded net in L_q . Let w be a weak-* limit point of this net. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int x \cdot (w - T_n^*u) d\mu &= \int x \cdot w d\mu - \int (T_n x) \cdot u d\mu \longrightarrow \int x \cdot w d\mu - \int x \cdot u d\mu \\ &= \int x \cdot (w - u) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Taking a subnet such that $T_n^*u \rightarrow w$ (weak - *), we conclude that

$$\int x \cdot w d\mu = \int x \cdot u d\mu = \int |x|^p = \|x\|_p^p.$$

Since the T_n^* are contractions, $\|T_n^*u\|_q \leq \|u\|_q = \|x\|_p^{p/q}$ and hence $\|w\|_q \leq \|x\|_p^{p/q}$. Hölders' inequality now gives

$$\|x\|_p^p = \int x \cdot w d\mu \leq \|x\|_p \|w\|_q \leq \|x\|_p \|x\|_p^{p/q} = \|x\|_p^p.$$

This gives equality throughout so [6, § 13.5] we have

$$w = |x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x} = u.$$

Thus u is the unique weak-* limit point of the net (T_n^*u) .

Since every subnet of (T_n^*u) has a convergent subnet (by weak-* compactness of the unit ball in L_q), we see that T_n^*u is weak-* convergent to u . Hence

$$\|u\|_q \leq \liminf \|T_n^*u\|_q \leq \limsup \|T_n^*u\|_q \leq \|u\|_q,$$

because the T_n^* are contractions; and we also have $\|u\| = \lim \|T_n^*u\|$. Because L_q is uniformly convex [4; 6, § 15.17] it follows that $T_n^*u \rightarrow u$

in the norm of L_q , which gives $|x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x} \in M^*$ as required.

The same argument applied to L_q shows that if

$$u = |x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x} \in M^*, \quad x = |u|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{u} \in M^{**} = M,$$

so we are done.

We now apply differentiation arguments like those in [3, Lemma 2.3]. Recall that if z, w are complex, λ is real and $h(\lambda) = |z + \lambda w|$ then, if $z + \lambda w \neq 0$, h is differentiable at λ with

$$h'(\lambda) = \operatorname{Re} [w \operatorname{sgn} \overline{(z + \lambda w)}].$$

LEMMA 2.2. (Compare [3, Lemma 2.3(i)].) *If $x, y \in M$, then $|x| \operatorname{sgn} y \in M$.*

Proof. Assume first that $p > 2$ and define, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and $0 < \lambda < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} z_\lambda &= \lambda^{-1} [|x + \lambda y|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \overline{(x + \lambda y)} - |x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x}] \\ &= \lambda^{-1} [(|x + \lambda y|^{p-2} - |x|^{p-2}) \overline{(x + \lambda y)}] + |x|^{p-2} \bar{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, make a fixed choice of functions from the equivalence classes determined by x, y and observe that, except for the null-set where x or y is infinite, our differentiation result quoted above shows that as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$,

$$z_\lambda \rightarrow (p-2) |x|^{p-3} \cdot \operatorname{Re} [y \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x}] \cdot \bar{x} + |x|^{p-2} \bar{y}$$

at all points where $x \neq 0$. Also, since $p > 2$, $z_\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ($\lambda \rightarrow 0$) at points where $x = 0$. Let z_1 denote this (almost everywhere) pointwise limit of z_λ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

At points where $2|\lambda y| < |x|$, the mean value theorem gives a θ , $0 < \theta < 1$ such that

$$z_\lambda = (p-2) |x + \theta \lambda y|^{p-3} \operatorname{Re} [y \operatorname{sgn} \overline{(x + \theta \lambda y)}] \overline{(x + \theta \lambda y)} + |x|^{p-2} \bar{y}.$$

Since $|x|/2 < |x + \theta \lambda y| < 2|x|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z_\lambda| &\leq (p-2) 2^{p-3} |x|^{p-3} |y| \cdot 2|x| + |x|^{p-2} |y| \\ &\leq (2^p(p-2) + 1) |x|^{p-2} |y| \in L_q. \end{aligned}$$

At points where $|x| \leq 2|\lambda y|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |z_\lambda| &\leq \lambda^{-1} (3|\lambda y|^{p-1} + |2\lambda y|^{p-1}) \\ &= (3^{p-1} + 2^{p-1}) |y|^{p-1} |\lambda|^{p-2} \\ &\leq (3^{p-1} + 2^{p-1}) |y|^{p-1} \in L_q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the pointwise convergence of z_λ to

$$z_1 = (p-2) |x|^{p-3} \bar{x} \operatorname{Re}(y \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x}) + |x|^{p-2} \bar{y}$$

is dominated by an element of L_q . The dominated convergence theorem then shows that $\|z_\lambda - z_1\| \rightarrow 0$. Now, by Lemma 2.1, $z_\lambda \in M^*$ and M^* is closed. Hence $z_1 \in M^*$.

Apply this result to x and $-iy$ to see that

$$z_2 = (p-2) |x|^{p-3} \bar{x} \operatorname{Re}(-iy \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x}) + i |x|^{p-2} \bar{y} \in M^*.$$

Thus $z_1 - iz_2 \in M^*$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 - iz_2 &= (p-2) |x|^{p-3} \bar{x} [\operatorname{Re} y \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x} - i \operatorname{Im} y \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x}] \\ &\quad + 2 |x|^{p-2} \bar{y} = p |x|^{p-2} \bar{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Use Lemma 2.1 again to conclude that

$$||x|^{p-2} \bar{y}|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(|x|^{p-2} \bar{y}) = |x|^{1-(q-1)} |y|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} y \in M.$$

Let $k_n = |x|^{1-(q-1)^n} |y|^{(q-1)^n} \operatorname{sgn} y$. Observe that for each n , $k_{n+1} = |x|^{1-(q-1)} |k_n|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} k_n$. The argument we have just provided shows inductively that $k_n \in M$ for all n . Since $0 < q-1 < 1$, $k_n \rightarrow |x| \operatorname{sgn} y$, μ -almost everywhere, and clearly

$$|k_n| \leq \max(|x|, |y|) \in L_p.$$

By dominated convergence again, $\|k_n - |x| \operatorname{sgn} y\|_p \rightarrow 0$ and hence, $|x| \operatorname{sgn} y \in M$ as required.

If $1 < p < 2$, then by Lemma 2.1, $x_1 = |x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{x}$ and $y_1 = |y|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{y}$ are in M^* . Since $q > 2$, our proof above shows that $|x_1| \operatorname{sgn} y_1 = |x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{y} \in M^*$. Apply Lemma 2.1 again to get $|x| \operatorname{sgn} y = ||x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{y}|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn}(|x|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{y}) \in M$.

For our next result we need some terminology from [3]. A subspace N of $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a *vector sublattice* if for each $x \in N$, $(\operatorname{Re} x)^+ \in N$; this means that N is closed under taking real (or imaginary) parts and that the set of real functions in N is a real subspace and a sublattice of L_p . For a nonempty subset K of L_p , the *polar* $K^\perp = \{x \in L_p : |x| \wedge |y| = 0 (y \in K)\}$. A *band* in L_p is a subset K such that $K = K^{\perp\perp}$ (a band is necessarily a solid vector sublattice). If K is a band in L_p there is a natural direct sum decomposition $L_p = K \oplus K^\perp$ and the associated projections are positive and contractive. We write J_K for the band projection on K . If $K = y^{\perp\perp}$ (the only case we need) we write J_y for the band projection and note that J_y is multiplication by the characteristic function of the set on which y is nonzero.

LEMMA 2.3. *If $y \in M$ and J_y is the associated band projection, then $J_y M \subset M$.*

Proof. Let $x \in M$, then $J_y x = ||x| \operatorname{sgn} y| \operatorname{sgn} x$ and this element is in M by two applications of Lemma 2.2.

LEMMA 2.4. *If $x, y \in M$, then $(\operatorname{Re}(x \operatorname{sgn} \bar{y}))^+ \operatorname{sgn} y \in M$.*

Proof. Suppose $\lambda \in R, \lambda \neq 0$, then by Lemma 2.2, $v_\lambda = \lambda^{-1}(|y + \lambda x| - |y|) \operatorname{sgn} y \in M$. Since $v_\lambda = 0$ at points where $y = 0$ we see that as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, v_λ converges pointwise (μ -almost everywhere) to $(\operatorname{Re}(x \operatorname{sgn} \bar{y})) \operatorname{sgn} y$. Since

$$|v_\lambda| \leq |\lambda|^{-1} ||y + \lambda x| - |y|| \leq |\lambda|^{-1} |y + \lambda x - y| = |x|,$$

dominated convergence shows that $\|v_\lambda - (\operatorname{Re}(x \operatorname{sgn} \bar{y})) \operatorname{sgn} y\|_p \rightarrow 0$; so that $(\operatorname{Re}(x \operatorname{sgn} \bar{y})) \operatorname{sgn} y \in M$. Another application of Lemma 2.2 gives $|\operatorname{Re}(x \operatorname{sgn} \bar{y})| \operatorname{sgn} y \in M$ and our results follows.

THEOREM 2.5. *The convergence set M is the range of a contractive projection on L_p .*

Proof. For $y \in M$, Lemma 2.4 shows that the map $U_y: L_p \rightarrow L_p$, defined by $U_y x = x \operatorname{sgn} \bar{y}$, is norm decreasing, linear, and maps M onto a closed vector sublattice of L_p . Such a map was called a *unitary multiplication operator* in [3]. Choose, by Zorn's lemma, a maximal subset Y of M such that $|y_1| \wedge |y_2| = 0$ if $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ and $y_1 \neq y_2$ (a maximal pairwise disjoint subset of M). If $f \in L_p$ the set $\{t \in X: f(t) \neq 0\}$ is σ -finite so the set $\{y \in Y: U_y f \neq 0\}$ is countable. Thus we can define the direct sum U of the unitary multiplications $U_y (y \in Y)$ by $Uf = \sum_{y \in Y} U_y f$, and the defining sum has at most countably many nonzero terms and is convergent in L_p norm. Clearly UM is a closed vector sublattice of L_p . We show that U is isometric on M .

Suppose $x \in M, x \neq 0$. Let y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots be an enumeration of the countable set of $y \in Y$ such that $|y| \wedge |x| \neq 0$. Let $y_0 = \sum 2^{-n} ||y_n|^{-1} y_n$. Then $y_0 \in M$ and, by Lemma 2.3, $J_{y_0} x \in M$. Hence $x - J_{y_0} x \in M$ and $|x - J_{y_0} x| \wedge |y| = 0 (y \in Y)$. By maximality of Y $x = J_{y_0} x$. Hence

$$x = \sum_{y \in Y} J_y x \text{ and } \|x\|^p = \sum_{y \in Y} \|J_y x\|^p = \sum_{y \in Y} \|U_y x\|^p = \|Ux\|^p.$$

It now follows by Theorem 4.1 of [3] that M is the range of a contractive projection on L_p and, which is an equivalent condition, that M is isometrically isomorphic to some $L_p(X_0, \Sigma_0, \mu_0)$.

3. The shadow of subset S . In a certain sense characterization of shadows is trivial. Call a subspace M of L_p an *exchange subspace* if $|x| \operatorname{sgn} y \in M$ for any $x, y \in M$. Clearly an intersection of (closed) exchange subspaces is again a (closed) exchange subspace. Hence for a subset S of L_p we can determine the closed exchange subspace of L_p generated by S as the intersection of all closed exchange subspaces of L_p which contains S .

THEOREM 3.1. *If $S \subset L_p$ then the shadow, $\mathcal{S}(S)$, of S is the closed exchange subspace of L_p generated by S .*

Proof. Lemma 2.2 shows that $\mathcal{S}(S)$ is a closed exchange subspace of L_p which contains S . If M denotes the closed exchange subspace of L_p generated by S a careful check of the proofs of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 show that these are valid for any closed exchange subspace of L_p . Hence M is the range of a contractive projection, say P , on L_p . Define a sequence (T_n) of linear contractions of L_p by $T_n = P(n = 1, 2, \dots)$. Then M is the convergence set for (T_n) and hence, $\mathcal{S}(S) \subset M$. This proves our theorem.

As a corollary of the proof of Theorem 3.1 we digress to state the following result.

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose $1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$, a subspace M of $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ is the range of a contractive projection if and only if M is a closed exchange subspace of $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$.*

Proof. If M is a closed exchange subspace of L_p then just as in Theorem 3.1, Theorem 2.5 is valid for M . (This is equally true for $p = 1$ and $p = 2$ as can easily be checked.) By [3, Theorem 4.1] it follows that M is the range of a contractive projection on L_p . The converse result is the statement of [3, Lemma 2.3(i)] if $p \neq 1$ and an easy consequence of [3, Lemma 3.3] if $p = 1$.

Returning to shadows we note that Theorem 3.1 is difficult to apply in practice. The following alternative seems a little more useful.

Let \mathcal{B} be the smallest sub σ -ring of Σ such that the functions $J_y x/y$ are \mathcal{B} -measurable for all $x, y \in S$. (To be precise here, we consider all choices of functions x, y in equivalence classes in S . The ratios are zero, by definition, wherever the denominators are zero.) Choose, by Zorn's lemma, a subset \mathcal{N} of $\mathcal{B} \times S$ which is maximal with respect to the properties: (i) if $(A, y) \in \mathcal{N}$, then $A \subset \{t \in X: y(t) \neq 0\}$; (ii) if $(A, y) \in \mathcal{N}$, $\mu A > 0$; (iii) if $(A_1, y_1), (A_2, y_2)$ are distinct elements of \mathcal{N} , then $\mu(A_1 \cap A_2) = 0$. Define a measure λ on \mathcal{B} by

$$\lambda(B) = \sum_{(A,y) \in \mathcal{K}} \int_{A \cap B} |y|^p d\mu. \quad (B \in \mathcal{B}).$$

Also define a map $V: L_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ by $Vf = \sum_{(A,y) \in \mathcal{K}} f \cdot y \chi_A$ ($f \in L_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$). We note that the sets in \mathcal{B} all have σ -finite μ -measure; that the sum defining Vf has, therefore, only countably many nonzero terms and is convergent in the norm of $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. Furthermore, V is an isometry of $L_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$.

THEOREM 3.3. *The shadow $\mathcal{S}(S) = VL_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$.*

Proof. Let U be a direct sum of unitary multiplications such that U is an isometry of $\mathcal{S}(S)$ and $U\mathcal{S}(S)$ is a closed vector sublattice of $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. For $f \in L_p$ write $T(f) = \{t \in X: f(t) \neq 0\}$, (we allow the ambiguity of sets of measure zero here); and let $\mathcal{B}_S = \{T(f): f \in \mathcal{S}(S)\}$. I claim that \mathcal{B}_S is a sub σ -ring of Σ .

For this, observe that $T(f) = T(Uf)$ so we may assume that $\mathcal{S}(S)$ is a closed vector sublattice of L_p . Now $T(f) = T(|f|) = T(|\operatorname{Re} f|) \cup T(|\operatorname{Im} f|)$ and $|f| \in \mathcal{S}(S)$. Hence

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T(f_n) = T\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \|f_n\|^{-1} |f_n|\right) \in \mathcal{B}_S$$

so \mathcal{B}_S is closed under countable union. If $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(S)$, $J_f |g| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |g| \wedge n |f| \in \mathcal{S}(S)$ so $T(g) \sim T(f) = T(|g| - J_f |g|) \in \mathcal{B}_S$. This proves our claim about \mathcal{B}_S .

If $x, y \in S$, $\{t \in X: \operatorname{Re} J_y x/y > \alpha > 0\} = T((\operatorname{Re} (J_y x - \alpha y))^+) \in \mathcal{B}_S$. Hence, every $J_y x/y$ ($x, y \in S$) is \mathcal{B}_S -measurable and $\mathcal{B}_S \supset \mathcal{B}$.

Suppose $B \in \mathcal{B}$ then $B = T(f_B)$ for some $f_B \in \mathcal{S}(S)$. If $\lambda(B) < \infty$, then $V\chi_B = \sum_{(A,y) \in \mathcal{K}} y \cdot \chi_{B \cap A}$. Since B is σ -finite we can enumerate the countable set of pairs (A, y) in \mathcal{K} such that $\mu(B \cap A) \neq 0$ as (A_n, y_n) and choose $f_n \in \mathcal{S}(S)$ such that $T(f_n) = A_n \cap B$. Then $V\chi_B = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_{f_n} y_n$. Since the A_n are disjoint and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|J_{f_n} y_n\|_{L_p(\mu)}^p &= \sum \int_{A_n \cap B} |y_n|^p d\mu \\ &= \sum \lambda(A_n \cap B) \\ &= \lambda B \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

the series for $V\chi_B$ converges in $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$. Since each $y_n \in S$ and each $f_n \in \mathcal{S}(S)$, each $J_{f_n} y_n \in \mathcal{S}(S)$ and $V\chi_B \in \mathcal{S}(S)$. Extending linearly to simple functions in $L_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ and then taking closure we conclude that $VL_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \subset \mathcal{S}(S)$.

If $x \in S$ and $(A, y) \in \mathcal{K}$ then $\chi_A x/y$ is \mathcal{B} -measurable and

$$\int |\chi_A x/y|^p d\lambda = \int_A |x|^p d\mu < \infty.$$

Hence $z = \sum_{(A,y) \in \mathcal{K}} \chi_A x/y \in L_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$ and $x = Vz \in VL_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$. This shows that $VL_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \lambda) \supset S$.

If $f, g \in L_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$, then $|f| \operatorname{sgn} g \in L_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$ and $|Vf| \operatorname{sgn} Vg = V(|f| \operatorname{sgn} g)$. Thus $VL_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$ is a closed exchange subspace of $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ which contains S . By Theorem 3.1 it follows that $\mathcal{S}(S) = VL_p(X, \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$ as required.

For applications of this result we recall that a subset S of a Banach space E is a *Korovkin set* for contractions if $\mathcal{S}(S) = E$.

In our closing results, μ is Lebesgue measure and Σ the σ -ring of Lebesgue measurable subsets of R , or R^n as appropriate. Also, remember that $1 < p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$.

THEOREM 3.4. *If $-1/p < \alpha < \beta$ then $\{t^\alpha, t^\beta\}$ is a Korovkin set for $L_p([0, 1], \Sigma, \mu)$.*

Proof. In the construction preceding Theorem 3.3, we see that \mathcal{B} is the σ -ring of Borel subsets of $[0, 1]$. Take $\mathcal{K} = \{([0, 1], t^\beta)\}$ and observe that V is an isometry of $L_p([0, 1], \Sigma, \mu)$ and $L_p([0, 1], \mathcal{B}, \lambda)$.

Observe that we could also use $\{\cos t, \sin t\}$ on $[0, \pi/2]$ or on $[0, \pi]$ or, of course, many other doubleton sets on many other finite intervals.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $X = [0, 1]^n \subset R^n$ and let S contain the constant function 1 and the n coordinate projections, then S is a Korovkin set for $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$.*

One last result for a case when μ is not finite will now suffice.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $S = \{e^{-t}, e^{-t^2}\}$, then S is a Korovkin set for $L_p([0, \infty], \Sigma, \mu)$.*

REFERENCES

1. H. Berens and G. G. Lorentz, *Sequences of Contractions of L^1 -spaces*, J. Functional Analysis, to appear.
2. ———, *Theorem of Korovkin type for positive linear operators on Banach lattices*, paper presented at the International Symposium on Approximation Theory, University of Texas, Austin, January 22-26, 1973.
3. S. J. Bernau and H. Elton Lacey, *The range of a contractive projection on an L_p -space*, Pacific J. Math., (to appear).
4. James A. Clarkson, *Uniformly convex spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **40** (1936), 396-414.
5. P. R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1959.
6. Edwin Hewitt and Karl Stromberg, *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Springer-Verlag,

New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1969.

7. P. P. Korovkin, *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*, Hindustan Publishing Corp., Delhi, 1960.

8. G. G. Lorentz, *Korovkin sets (sets of convergence)*, Regional Conference at the University of California, Riverside, June 15-19, 1972,

9. D. E. Wulbert, *Convergence of operators and Korovkin's theorem*, J. Approximation Theory, **1** (1968), 381-390.

Received June 21, 1973. Preparation of this paper was partially supported by NSF grant GP-27916.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 53, No. 1

March, 1974

Martin Bartelt, <i>Strongly unique best approximates to a function on a set, and a finite subset thereof</i>	1
S. J. Bernau, <i>Theorems of Korovkin type for L_p-spaces</i>	11
S. J. Bernau and Howard E. Lacey, <i>The range of a contractive projection on an L_p-space</i>	21
Marilyn Breen, <i>Decomposition theorems for 3-convex subsets of the plane</i>	43
Ronald Elroy Bruck, Jr., <i>A common fixed point theorem for a commuting family of nonexpansive mappings</i>	59
Aiden A. Bruen and J. C. Fisher, <i>Blocking sets and complete k-arcs</i>	73
R. Creighton Buck, <i>Approximation properties of vector valued functions</i>	85
Mary Rodriguez Embry and Marvin Rosenblum, <i>Spectra, tensor products, and linear operator equations</i>	95
Edward William Formanek, <i>Maximal quotient rings of group rings</i>	109
Barry J. Gardner, <i>Some aspects of T-nilpotence</i>	117
Juan A. Gatica and William A. Kirk, <i>A fixed point theorem for k-set-contractions defined in a cone</i>	131
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Localization and splitting in hereditary noetherian prime rings</i>	137
James Victor Herod, <i>Generators for evolution systems with quasi continuous trajectories</i>	153
C. V. Hinkle, <i>The extended centralizer of an S-set</i>	163
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>Lifting Brauer characters of p-solvable groups</i>	171
Bruce R. Johnson, <i>Generalized Lerch zeta function</i>	189
Erwin Kleinfeld, <i>A generalization of $(-1, 1)$ rings</i>	195
Horst Leptin, <i>On symmetry of some Banach algebras</i>	203
Paul Weldon Lewis, <i>Strongly bounded operators</i>	207
Arthur Larry Lieberman, <i>Spectral distribution of the sum of self-adjoint operators</i>	211
I. J. Maddox and Michael A. L. Willey, <i>Continuous operators on paranormed spaces and matrix transformations</i>	217
James Dolan Reid, <i>On rings on groups</i>	229
Richard Miles Schori and James Edward West, <i>Hyperspaces of graphs are Hilbert cubes</i>	239
William H. Specht, <i>A factorization theorem for p-constrained groups</i>	253
Robert L Thele, <i>Iterative techniques for approximation of fixed points of certain nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces</i>	259
Tim Eden Traynor, <i>An elementary proof of the lifting theorem</i>	267
Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler and William Jennings Wickless, <i>Completely decomposable groups which admit only nilpotent multiplications</i>	273
Raymond O'Neil Wells, Jr, <i>Comparison of de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology for proper surjective mappings</i>	281
David Lee Wright, <i>The non-minimality of induced central representations</i>	301
Bertram Yood, <i>Commutativity properties in Banach $*$-algebras</i>	307