

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## THE RANGE OF A CONTRACTIVE PROJECTION ON AN $L_p$ -SPACE

S. J. BERNAU AND HOWARD E. LACEY

## THE RANGE OF A CONTRACTIVE PROJECTION ON AN $L_p$ -SPACE

S. J. BERNAU AND H. ELTON LACEY

**Suppose  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a measure space,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ . Let  $L_p = L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be the usual space of equivalence classes of  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions  $f$  such that  $|f|^p$  is integrable. A contractive projection on  $L_p$  is a linear operator  $P: L_p \rightarrow L_p$  such that  $P^2 = P$  and  $\|P\| \leq 1$ . In this paper we give a complete description of such contractive projections in terms of conditional expectation operators. We also show that a closed subspace  $M$  of  $L_p$  is the range of a contractive projection if and only if  $M$  is isometrically isomorphic to another  $L_p$ -space. Another sufficient condition shows, in particular, that every closed vector sublattice of an  $L_p$ -space is the range of a positive contractive projection.**

Most of our results are known. The case of finite  $\mu$  was treated, for  $p = 1$ , by Douglas [2] and for  $1 < p < \infty$  by Ando [1] who showed how to reduce this case to that of  $p = 1$ . These authors obtained our necessary and sufficient condition. Grothendieck [4] considered  $p = 1$  and general  $\mu$  and showed that the range of a contractive projection on  $L_1$  is isometrically isomorphic to another  $L_1$ -space. Wulbert [11] showed that a positive contractive projection on  $L_1$  which is also  $L_\infty$  contractive is a conditional expectation, and pointed out that his proofs applied for  $p > 1$ . Tzafriri [10] showed that for general  $\mu$  the range of a contractive projection on  $L_p$  is isometrically isomorphic to another  $L_p$ -space. In [5] we gave an outline, based on Tzafriri's, of another proof of this fact.

We obtain complete generalizations of the Douglas-Ando results to the case of an arbitrary measure  $\mu$ . We have chosen to give our proofs in detail. It seems easier not to reduce the case  $p > 1$  to the case  $p = 1$ . The proofs for  $p > 1$  often use duality arguments which are just not available for  $p = 1$ . By giving such proofs, generalizations to reflexive Banach function spaces may be possible. Some such generalizations have been tried by Rao [8] but his reduction from arbitrary norms to the  $L_1$  case is faulty and his Theorem 2.7 is false in general (see Remark 4.4). Duplissey [3] considers Banach function spaces but requires  $\|Pf\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$  as well as  $P$  contractive. We also avoid reducing to the case of finite measures. This device turns out to be unnecessary, and needlessly complicated.

We have deliberately omitted the cases  $0 < p < 1$ , except in the appendix, and the case  $p = 2$ . A contractive projection on Hilbert

space is an orthogonal projection and every closed subspace is the range of a unique one. For  $0 < p < 1$  the arguments for  $p = 1$  will work or can be modified to work. We no longer have a norm, however, and it seemed best to ignore this case.

We have included a section in which we discuss the proof of the famous theorem that if  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , a Banach space is an  $L_p$ -space, if and only if it is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$  for all  $\lambda > 1$ , if and only if it contains an increasing set of finite dimensional subspaces whose union is dense and each of which is isometrically isomorphic to a finite dimensional  $l_p$ -space of appropriate dimension. This result is a combination of work of Zippin [12] and of Lindenstrauss and Pełczyński [7]. We discussed the real case in [5]. There seems to some value in going over the results again here because both [5] and [7] really consider only the real case. The extensions to the complex case are technically more difficult than is admitted in [7]. Also we have had many questions about some of the details omitted in [5].

In our final appendix we have given two technical results used by Ando [1] and Tzafriri [10]. Our proofs seem a little easier and Ando's result has been generalized to arbitrary measure spaces.

**1. Notation and definitions.** We consider complex  $L_p$ -spaces throughout. Our proofs are valid, with obvious modifications in the real case too. We use, for complex  $z$ , the version of the signum function,  $\operatorname{sgn} z$  defined by

$$\operatorname{sgn} z = \begin{cases} z/|z| & \text{if } z \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

We modify some standard vector lattice terminology to apply in the complex case. A *closed vector sublattice* of  $L_p$  is a closed subspace  $M$  such that if  $f \in M$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} f \in M$ , and if  $f \in M$  and  $f$  is real-valued,  $f^+ = f \vee 0 \in M$ .

If  $f \in L_p$  write  $S(f) = \{x \in X: f(x) \neq 0\}$  and call  $S(f)$  the *support* of  $f$ . This only determines the support of  $f$  to a set of  $\mu$ -measure zero. However, this will either not matter, or we will want all possible determinations for the support of  $f$ . If  $M \subset L_p$ , the *polar* of  $M$ ,  $M^\perp$ , is defined by

$$M^\perp = \{g \in L_p: |g| \wedge |m| = 0 (m \in M)\}.$$

(By  $|g| \wedge |m| = 0$  we mean  $\mu$ -almost everywhere of course.) If  $M = M^{\perp\perp}$  we call  $M$  a *band* (or *polar subspace*). If  $M$  is a band  $L_p = M \oplus M^\perp$ , and the, natural, *band projection*  $J_M$  of  $L_p$  onto  $M$  is given, for positive  $h \in L_p$ , by

$$J_M h = \sup \{g \in M: 0 \leq g \leq h\} .$$

If  $f \in L_p$ , and  $M = f^{\perp\perp}$ , we write  $J_f$  for the band projection on  $f^{\perp\perp}$  and note that, if  $0 \leq h \in L_p$

$$J_f h = \sup \{h \wedge n|f|: n = 1, 2, \dots\} ,$$

(indeed, by dominated convergence,  $h \wedge n|f| \rightarrow J_f h$  in  $L_p$ -norm) while for any  $h \in L_p$ ,  $J_f h = \chi_{S(f)} h$ . The following lemma is easy to prove.

LEMMA 1.1. *If  $M$  is a subspace of  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,  $h \in L_p$ , and  $J$  is the band projection on  $M^{\perp\perp}$ , then there is a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $M$  such that  $Jh = \lim \chi_{S(f_n)} h$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $M$  such that

$$\|\chi_{S(f_n)} h\|_p \longrightarrow \sup \{\|\chi_{S(f)} h\|_p: f \in M\} .$$

We omit the remaining details.

REMARK 1.2. This lemma can be strengthened, in case  $M$  is closed, to say that for each  $h \in L_p$  there exists  $f \in M$  such that  $Jh = J_f h = \chi_{S(f)} h$ . This depends essentially on the fact that the set of supports of functions whose equivalence classes are in  $M$  is closed under countable union. This is proved by Ando [1, Lemma 3] for finite  $\mu$ , and we give a rather easier alternative proof in our appendix.

2. Preliminary results. In this section the cases  $p = 1$ , and  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , are treated separately. Our first lemma is based on an argument of Douglas [2, p. 452].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $P$  be a contractive projection on  $L_1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  and suppose  $f \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ ; then*

- (i)  $PJ_f = J_f P J_f$ ;
- (ii)  $P(h \operatorname{sgn} f) = |P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)| \operatorname{sgn} f$  ( $0 \leq h \in L_1$ );
- (iii)  $\|P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)\| = \|J_f h\|$  ( $0 \leq h \in L_1$ ).

*Proof.* Suppose  $0 \leq h \leq |f|$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| - \|h \operatorname{sgn} f\| &= \|f - h \operatorname{sgn} f\| \\ &\geq \|P(f - h \operatorname{sgn} f)\| \\ &= \|f - P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)\| \\ &\geq \|f\| - \|P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)\| \\ &\geq \|f\| - \|h \operatorname{sgn} f\| . \end{aligned}$$

This gives equality throughout so (iii) is valid for  $0 \leq h \leq |f|$ . In

addition we have  $0 \leq |f - P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)| = |f| - |P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)|$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere, and (ii) also follows for  $0 \leq h \leq |f|$ . We extend immediately to  $h \in L_1$  such that  $0 \leq h \leq n|f|$  for some  $n$ , and since linear combinations of such  $h$  are dense in  $f^{\perp\perp}$  we have (ii) and (iii) for  $0 \leq h \in f^{\perp\perp}$ . If  $h \in L_1$  and  $h \geq 0$ ,  $(J_f h) \operatorname{sgn} f = h \operatorname{sgn} f$  so (ii) and (iii) are proved.

For (i) take  $g \in L_1$  and put  $h = (\operatorname{Re}(g \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}))^+ \operatorname{sgn} f$ , by (ii)  $Ph \in f^{\perp\perp}$  so  $Ph = J_f Ph$ . We conclude easily that

$$P(J_f g) = P((g \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}) \operatorname{sgn} f) = J_f P J_f g$$

and (i) is proved.

Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$ ; then identify the dual of  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  with  $L_q(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  in the usual way ( $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ). Let  $P$  be a contractive projection on  $L_p$ . The conjugate operator  $P^*$  is defined uniquely on  $L_q$  by the equation

$$\int P f \cdot g d\mu = \int f \cdot P^* g d\mu \quad (f \in L_p, g \in L_q).$$

Clearly  $P^*$  is a contractive projection on  $L_q$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** [1, Lemma 1]. *Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $P$  be a contractive projection on  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{R}(P)$  if and only if  $|f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} \in \mathcal{R}(P^*)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ ; by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_p^p &= \int |f|^p d\mu = \int P f \cdot |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} d\mu \\ &= \int f \cdot P^*(|f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}) d\mu \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \|P^*(|f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f})\|_q \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \| |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} \|_q \\ &= \|f\|_p \|f\|_p^{p/q} \\ &= \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

The conditions for equality in Hölder's inequality lead to

$$P^*(|f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}) = |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}$$

as required. This proves necessity. Sufficiency follows dually.

We next generalize an argument in Ando's Theorem 1 [1].

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ ; and let  $P$  be a contractive projection on  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ ; if  $f \in \mathcal{R}(P)$  then,*

- (i)  $|f| \operatorname{sgn} g \in \mathcal{R}(P)$  ( $g \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ ),
- (ii)  $P J_f = J_f P$ ,

$$(iii) \quad P(h \operatorname{sgn} f) = |P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)| \operatorname{sgn} f \quad (0 \leq h \in L_p).$$

*Proof.* (i) Suppose first that  $p > 2$ , let  $\lambda \in R$ ,  $0 < |\lambda| < 1$ , and let  $g \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ . By Lemma 2.2,

$$g_\lambda = \lambda^{-1}(|f + \lambda g|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \overline{(f + \lambda g)} - |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}) \in \mathcal{R}(P^*).$$

Since  $p > 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_\lambda &= \lambda^{-1}[|f + \lambda g|^{p-2} - |f|^{p-2}]\overline{(f + \lambda g)} + |f|^{p-2} \cdot \lambda \bar{g} \\ &= \lambda^{-1}[|f + \lambda g|^{p-2} - |f|^{p-2}]\overline{(f + \lambda g)} + |f|^{p-2} \bar{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall, that for real  $\lambda$  and complex  $w, z$ ,  $d/d\lambda |w + \lambda z|_\lambda = \operatorname{Re}[z \operatorname{sgn} \overline{(w + \lambda z)}]$ , provided  $w + \lambda z \neq 0$ . It follows that as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$g_\lambda \longrightarrow (p-2)|f|^{p-3} \operatorname{Re}(g \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}) \cdot \bar{f} + |f|^{p-2} \bar{g}$$

at all points of  $X$  where  $f \neq 0$ .

If  $2|\lambda g| < |f|$  we have  $|f|/2 < |f + \theta \lambda g| < 2|f|$  if  $0 < \theta < 1$ ; and, by the mean value theorem there exists  $\theta$ ,  $0 < \theta < 1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_\lambda| &\leq (p-2)|f + \theta \lambda g|^{p-3} |\operatorname{Re}(g \operatorname{sgn} \overline{(f + \theta \lambda g)})| |f + \lambda g| + |f|^{p-2} |g| \\ &\leq (p-2)2^{p-3} |f|^{p-3} |g| 2|f| + |f|^{p-2} |g| \\ &\leq ((p-2)2^p + 1)|f|^{p-2} |g| \in L_q. \end{aligned}$$

If  $2|\lambda g| \geq |f|$ ,  $|f + \lambda g| \leq 3|\lambda g|$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |g_\lambda| &\leq \lambda^{-1}[(3|\lambda g|)^{p-1} + (2|\lambda g|)^{p-1}] \\ &= (3^{p-1} + 2^{p-1})|g|^{p-1} |\lambda|^{p-2} \\ &\leq (3^{p-1} + 2^{p-1})|g|^{p-1} \in L_q. \end{aligned}$$

The penultimate line above shows that  $g_\lambda \rightarrow 0$  ( $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ ) if  $f = 0$ .

This shows that  $g_\lambda$  converges to

$$g_0 = (p-2)|f|^{p-2} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} \operatorname{Re}(g \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}) + |f|^{p-2} \bar{g},$$

pointwise almost everywhere on  $X$  and that the convergence is dominated by an element of  $L_q$ . Hence  $\|g_\lambda - g_0\|_q \rightarrow 0$  and  $g_0 \in \mathcal{R}(P^*)$  because  $\mathcal{R}(P^*)$  is closed.

By the same argument, applied to  $-ig$ , we have, using  $\operatorname{Re} - iz = \operatorname{Im} z$ ,

$$k_0 = (p-2)|f|^{p-2} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} \operatorname{Im}(g \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}) + i|f|^{p-2} \bar{g} \in \mathcal{R}(P^*).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 - ik_0 &= (p-2)|f|^{p-2} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} \cdot \overline{(g \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f})} + 2|f|^{p-2} \bar{g} \\ &= (p-2)|f|^{p-2} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} \cdot \bar{g} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} f + 2|f|^{p-2} \bar{g} \\ &= p|f|^{p-2} \cdot \bar{g} \in \mathcal{R}(P^*). \end{aligned}$$

(Note that this last is valid in the real case too.)

Using Lemma 2.2 again, we conclude that  $||f|^{p-2}\bar{g}|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} |\bar{f}|^{p-2}\bar{g} = |f|^{1-(q-1)}|g|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} g \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ . Set

$$k_n = |f|^{1-(q-1)^n}|g|^{(q-1)^n} \operatorname{sgn} g \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

We have just shown that  $k_1 \in \mathcal{R}(P)$  and the same method, applied inductively, gives  $k_n \in \mathcal{R}(P)$  for all  $n$ . Since  $0 < q-1 < 1$ ,

$$|k_n| \leq \max\{|f|, |g|\} \leq |f| + |g| \in L_p,$$

so  $(k_n)$  is dominated in  $L_p$ . Since  $k_n \rightarrow |f| \operatorname{sgn} g$   $\mu$ -almost everywhere on  $X$ , we have  $\|k_n - |f| \operatorname{sgn} g\|_p \rightarrow 0$  and since  $\mathcal{R}(P)$  is closed  $|f| \operatorname{sgn} g \in \mathcal{R}(P)$  which proves (i) for  $p > 2$ .

Suppose  $1 < p < 2$ ; as we have already stated  $P^*$  is a contractive projection on  $L_q$ , and  $q > 2$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $f_1 = |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}$  and  $g_1 = |g|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{g}$  are in  $\mathcal{R}(P^*)$ . By our proof above  $|f_1| \operatorname{sgn} g_1 = |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{g} \in \mathcal{R}(P^*)$ , and, by Lemma 2.2 again,  $|f| \operatorname{sgn} g \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ .

This completes the proof of (i).

For (ii) we have by (i), that  $|f| \operatorname{sgn} Pk \in \mathcal{R}(P)$  ( $k \in L_p$ ). By (i) again,

$$J_f Pk = |Pk| \operatorname{sgn} (|f| \operatorname{sgn} Pk) \in \mathcal{R}(P).$$

Thus  $J_f P = P J_f P$ . Further, since  $P^*$  is a contractive projection on  $L_q$ , and  $|f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} \in \mathcal{R}(P^*)$  we have  $J_g P^* = P^* J_g P^*$  with

$$g = |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}.$$

In addition  $J_g = J_f^*$ , since  $J_g$  and  $J_f$  are each multiplication by the same characteristic function. We conclude

$$J_f P = P J_f P = (P^* J_f^* P^*)^* = (P^* J_g P^*)^* = (J_g P^*)^* = P J_f,$$

which is (ii).

(iii) The proof is like the proof of Lemma 2.1(ii). Suppose  $0 \leq h \leq |f|$ . By (i),  $|f| \operatorname{sgn} P(h \operatorname{sgn} f) \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ , so by Lemma 2.2,

$$|f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \overline{P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)} \in \mathcal{R}(P^*).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)| |f|^{p-1} d\mu &= \int P(h \operatorname{sgn} f) \cdot |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \overline{P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)} d\mu \\ &= \int h \operatorname{sgn} f \cdot |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \overline{P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)} d\mu \\ &\leq \int h |f|^{p-1} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Also  $0 \leq |f - h \operatorname{sgn} f| = |f| - h \leq |f|$ .

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_p^p &= \int |P(|f| \operatorname{sgn} f)| |f|^{p-1} d\mu \\
&= \int |P(h \operatorname{sgn} f) + P(|f| - h) \operatorname{sgn} f| |f|^{p-1} d\mu \\
&\leq \int |P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)| |f|^{p-1} d\mu + \int |P(|f| - h) \operatorname{sgn} f| |f|^{p-1} d\mu \\
&\leq \int h |f|^{p-1} d\mu + \int (|f| - h) |f|^{p-1} d\mu \\
&= \|f\|_p^p.
\end{aligned}$$

We have equality at each stage and hence, ( $\mu$ -almost everywhere),

$$|f| = |P(|f| \operatorname{sgn} f)| = |P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)| + |f - P(h \operatorname{sgn} f)|.$$

This proves (iii) for  $0 \leq h \leq |f|$ . The extension to  $0 \leq h \in L_p$  is the same as in the proof of Lemma 2.1(ii) and (iii) so we are done.

**3. Contractive projections and conditional expectations.** In this section we describe the contractive projections on  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty, p \neq 2$ ) in terms of conditional expectation.

We first need the necessary  $\sigma$ -subring.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty, p \neq 2$ , and let  $P$  be a contractive projection on  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Define  $\Sigma_0$  to be the set of supports of all functions whose equivalence classes are in  $\mathcal{R}(P)$ ; then*

- (i)  $PJ_g f = J_g f \quad (f, g \in \mathcal{R}(P))$ ;
- (ii)  $\Sigma_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -subring of  $\Sigma$ .

*Proof.* (i) By Lemma 2.3(ii), (i) is valid if  $p \neq 1$ . We give a proof that uses only the identity  $J_g PJ_g = PJ_g$  valid for  $1 \leq p < \infty, p \neq 2$  (Lemma 2.1(i) or 2.3(ii) weakened). Since  $f - J_g f \in g^\perp$  and  $J_g f - PJ_g f \in g^{\perp\perp}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P(f - J_g f)\|^p &= \|f - PJ_g f\|^p \\
&= \|f - J_g f\|^p + \|J_g f - PJ_g f\|^p \\
&\geq \|P(f - J_g f)\|^p + \|J_g f - PJ_g f\|^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $PJ_g f = J_g f$  which is (i).

(ii) By (i),  $S(f) \sim S(g) = S(f - J_g f) = S(P(f - J_g f)) \in \Sigma_0$ . Thus  $\Sigma_0$  is closed under differences. If  $(f_n)$  is a sequence of nonzero elements in  $\mathcal{R}(P)$  such that  $S(f_n) \cap S(f_m) = \emptyset (m \neq n)$  then

$$f = \sum 2^{-n} \|f_n\|^{-1} f_n \in \mathcal{R}(P)$$

and  $S(f) = \bigcup S(f_n)$ . This proves (ii).



**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $P$  be a contractive projection on  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty, p \neq 2$ ). If  $h \in \mathcal{R}(P)^{\perp\perp}$  there exists  $f \in \mathcal{R}(P)$  such that  $h \in f^{\perp\perp}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.1 there is a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $\mathcal{R}(P)$  such that  $h = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{S(f_n)} h$ . Choose  $f \in \mathcal{R}(P)$  such that  $S(f) = \bigcup S(f_n)$ , then  $h \in f^{\perp\perp}$ .

Observe now that if  $f \in L_p$  the measure  $|f|^p \mu$  restricted to any  $\sigma$ -subring,  $\Sigma_0$ , of  $\Sigma$ , is finite. By the Radon-Nikodym theorem we may define the *conditional expectation operator*,  $\mathcal{E}_f = \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)$ , for the measure  $|f|^p \mu$  relative to  $\Sigma_0$ .  $\mathcal{E}_f$  is uniquely determined by the equation

$$\int_A h |f|^p d\mu = \int_A (\mathcal{E}_f h) |f|^p d\mu \quad (A \in \Sigma_0)$$

for  $h \in L_1(X, \Sigma, |f|^p d\mu)$ , and the condition that  $\mathcal{E}_f h$  is  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty, p \neq 2$ ; let  $P$  be a contractive projection on  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  and let  $\Sigma_0$  be the  $\sigma$ -subring of  $\Sigma$ , consisting of supports of functions in  $\mathcal{R}(P)$ . If  $M_f = f^{-1} J_f \mathcal{R}(P) = \{f^{-1} J_f g : g \in \mathcal{R}(P)\}$  then  $M_f = L_p(S(f), \Sigma_0|S(f), |f|^p \mu)$  where  $\Sigma_0|S(f) = \{A \in \Sigma_0 : A \subset S(f)\}$  and we make the obvious identification of functions on  $S(f)$  and functions on  $X$  which vanish off  $S(f)$ . In addition the map  $h \rightarrow f^{-1} h$  is an isometric isomorphism between  $J_f \mathcal{R}(P)$  and  $L_p(S(f), \Sigma_0|S(f), |f|^p \mu)$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $|f|^p \mu$  is finite on  $S(f)$ , and that the isometry claim is obviously true. If  $A \in \Sigma_0|S(f)$  then  $A = S(g)$  for some  $g \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 (if  $p = 1$ ) or 2.3 (if  $p > 1$ ) we have  $J_g f = P J_g f$  so that  $\chi_A = f^{-1} J_g f \in M_f$ . Let  $h$  be a simple function with respect to  $\Sigma_0|S(f)$ . Then  $h \in M_f$  and  $h f \in \mathcal{R}(P)$ . In addition

$$\int_{S(f)} |h|^p \cdot |f|^p d\mu = \int_X |h f|^p d\mu.$$

We conclude that

$$M_f \supset L_p(S(f), \Sigma_0|S(f), |f|^p \mu).$$

Conversely, let  $h \in M_f$ , then  $h \in L_p(S(f), \Sigma|S(f), |f|^p \mu)$  and it is enough to show that  $h$  is  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable. Let  $g = (\operatorname{Re} h)^+$ , then  $g f \in L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ . By Lemma 2.1(ii) or 2.3(iii)

$$P(gf) = P(|gf| \operatorname{sgn} f) = |P(|gf| \operatorname{sgn} f)| \operatorname{sgn} f$$

so  $f^{-1} P(gf) = |f|^{-1} |P(|gf| \operatorname{sgn} f)| \in M_f$ . It follows that

$$\operatorname{Re} h = f^{-1}P((\operatorname{Re} h)^+ f) - f^{-1}P((\operatorname{Re} h)^- f) \in M_f .$$

Since each of these functions is nonnegative it is sufficient to consider  $0 \leq h \in M_f$ . Suppose  $\alpha > 0$  and put  $k = h \vee \alpha \chi_{S(f)}$ . Arguing as above, we have  $f^{-1}P(kf) \geq h$  and  $f^{-1}P(kf) \geq \alpha \chi_{S(f)}$  so that  $f^{-1}P(kf) \geq k \geq 0$ . Since  $P$  is contractive we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|kf\|^p &\geq \|P(kf)\|^p = \|P(kf) - kf + kf\|^p \\ &\geq \|P(kf) - kf\|^p + \|kf\|^p . \end{aligned}$$

This gives  $P(kf) = kf$ , so that  $k \in M_f$ . This shows, incidently, that  $M_f$  is a lattice. For our purpose, however, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{t \in S(f): h(t) > \alpha\} &= \{t \in S(f): (k - \alpha \chi_{S(f)})(t) \neq 0\} \\ &= S(kf - \alpha f) \in \Sigma_0 . \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $M_f$  consists of  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable functions and we are done.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$  and that  $P$  is a contractive projection on  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{B}(P)$  and  $h \in f^{\perp\perp}$  then*

$$Ph = f \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(hf^{-1}) .$$

*Proof.* Since  $f^{-1}Ph \in M_f$  we know  $f^{-1}Ph$  is  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable. Thus we have only to show

$$\int_A f^{-1}Ph |f|^p d\mu = \int_A hf^{-1} \cdot |f|^p d\mu \quad (A \in \Sigma_0) .$$

Choose  $g \in \mathcal{B}(P)$  such that  $A = S(g)$ . By Lemma 3.1(i),  $u = J_g f \in \mathcal{B}(P)$ .

Suppose  $p = 1$  and  $0 \leq k \in L_1$ . By Lemma 2.1(ii) and (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A k \operatorname{sgn} f \cdot f^{-1} |f| d\mu &= \int_{A \cap S(f)} k d\mu = \|J_u k\| = \|P(k \operatorname{sgn} u)\| \\ &= \| |P(J_g k \operatorname{sgn} f)| \operatorname{sgn} f \| \\ &= \int_A f^{-1}P(J_g k \operatorname{sgn} f) \cdot |f| d\mu . \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $v = f - u = f - J_g f \in \mathcal{B}(P)$ , we have, by Lemma 2.1(i),

$$P(k \operatorname{sgn} f) = J_u P(J_u k \operatorname{sgn} f) + J_v P(J_v k \operatorname{sgn} f) .$$

Hence

$$\int_A f^{-1}P(J_g k \operatorname{sgn} f) \cdot |f| d\mu = \int_A f^{-1}P(k \operatorname{sgn} f) \cdot |f| d\mu .$$

We conclude that

$$\int_A h f^{-1} \cdot |f| d\mu = \int_A f^{-1} P h \cdot |f| d\mu$$

for all  $h \in f^{\perp\perp}$  and all  $A \in \Sigma_0$  so we are finished for  $p = 1$ .

If  $p > 1$  we have  $PJ_g = J_g P$  by Lemma 2.3(ii) and  $|f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} \in \mathcal{R}(P^*)$  by Lemma 2.2. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A h f^{-1} \cdot |f|^p d\mu &= \int_X J_g h \cdot |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} d\mu \\ &= \int_X J_g h \cdot P^*(|f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f}) d\mu \\ &= \int_X P J_g h \cdot |f|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} d\mu \\ &= \int_X J_g P h \cdot f^{-1} |f|^p d\mu \\ &= \int_A f^{-1} P h \cdot |f|^p d\mu \quad (A \in \Sigma_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$P h = f^{-1} \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(h f^{-1}) \quad (h \in f^{\perp\perp})$$

as claimed.

Our theorem has useful consequences.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , let  $P$  be a contractive projection on  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  and let  $J$  be the band projection on  $\mathcal{R}(P)^{\perp\perp}$ ; then  $PJ$  is the unique contractive projection on  $L_p$  which satisfies  $\mathcal{R}(PJ) = \mathcal{R}(P)$  and  $PJ\mathcal{R}(P)^\perp = \{0\}$ . If  $p \neq 1$ ,  $P = PJ$  so  $P$  is uniquely determined by its range. If  $p = 1$ , and  $A$  is a linear contraction on  $L_1$  which satisfies  $PA = A$  and  $AJ = 0$ , then  $PJ + A$  is a contractive projection on  $L_1$  with the same range as  $P$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be a contractive projection on  $L_p$  such that  $\mathcal{R}(Q) = \mathcal{R}(P)$  and  $Q\mathcal{R}(P)^\perp = \{0\}$ . Then  $Q = QJ$  and if  $h \in L_p$  there exists, by Corollary 3.2,  $f \in \mathcal{R}(P) = \mathcal{R}(Q)$  such that  $Jh = J_f h$ . By Theorem 3.4,  $Qh = QJh = f^{-1} \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(Jh \cdot f^{-1}) = PJh$ . Thus  $Q = PJ$ . (It is clear that  $PJ$  satisfies the stated conditions.)

If  $p \neq 1$  take  $h, f$  as above and put  $u = Ph - PJh = Ph - PJ_f h = Ph - J_f Ph$ , by Lemma 2.3(ii). Since band projections commute and  $u \in \mathcal{R}(P) \cap f^\perp$ ,  $J_u h = J_u Jh = J_u J_f h = 0$ . By Lemma 2.3(ii) again,

$$u = J_u u = J_u Ph - J_u PJ_f h = PJ_u h - J_u J_f Ph = 0 - 0 = 0.$$

Hence  $P = PJ$  as required.

If  $p = 1$ ,  $PA = A$ , and  $AJ = 0$ , we have  $AP = AJP = 0$  and  $A^2 =$

$APA = 0$ . Also  $(PJ + A)^2 = PJPJ + PJA + APJ + A^2 = PPJ + PJPA + 0 + 0 = PJ + A$ . Thus  $PJ + A$  is a projection. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}(PJ + A) &= \mathcal{R}(PJ + PA) \subset \mathcal{R}(P) = \mathcal{R}(PJP + AP) \\ &= \mathcal{R}((PJ + A)P) \subset \mathcal{R}(PJ + A) .\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that if  $A$  is contractive,  $PJ + A$  is contractive. If  $h \in L_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(PJ + A)h\|_1 &= \|PJh + A(h - Jh)\|_1 \\ &\leq \|PJh\|_1 + \|A(h - Jh)\|_1 \\ &\leq \|Jh\|_1 + \|h - Jh\|_1 \\ &= \|Jh + h - Jh\|_1 \\ &= \|h\|_1 .\end{aligned}$$

**4. Contractive projections and isometric isomorphisms.** In this section we prove the equivalence of various conditions on a subspace of  $L_p$  so that it is the range of a contractive projection.

Let  $\mathcal{S}(X, \Sigma)$  denote the set of  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions  $h$  such that  $S(h)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. By a *multiplication operator* on  $\mathcal{S}(X, \Sigma)$  we mean a map  $h \mapsto kh$  defined for functions  $h$  in some subset of  $\mathcal{S}(X, \Sigma)$  and some fixed  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $k$ . If  $k$  satisfies  $|k| = 1$  on  $S(k)$  we will call  $k$  a *unitary multiplication*.

A multiplication operator on  $\mathcal{S}(X, \Sigma)$  preserves equality almost everywhere and hence induces a multiplication operator on each  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  into  $\mathcal{S}(X, \Sigma)$  modulo null functions ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). Further,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  will induce the same such multiplication operator on  $L_p$  if  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  agree locally almost everywhere.

Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a set of  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions such that if  $k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $k_1 \neq k_2$ ,  $\mu(S(k_1) \cap S(k_2)) = 0$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{S}(X, \Sigma)$  then, because  $S(f)$  has  $\sigma$ -finite measure,  $S(f)$  meets at most countably many  $S(k)$ , with  $k \in \mathcal{K}$ , in a set of positive measure. Enumerate these as  $(k_n)$ , then there is a unique set  $N \in \Sigma$  such that,  $N \subset S(f)$  and each  $t \in S(f) \sim N$  lies in at most one set  $S(k_n)$ . (In fact  $N = \bigcup_{1 \leq n < m < \infty} (S(k_n) \cap S(k_m))$ .) On  $S(f) \sim N$  the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(t)k_n(t)$  has at most one nonzero term. Thus  $\mathcal{K}$  determines a map  $U_{\mathcal{K}}: \mathcal{S}(X, \Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X, \Sigma)$  by taking, for  $f$  as above,  $U_{\mathcal{K}}f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(t)k_n(t)$  for  $t \in S(f) \sim N$  and  $U_{\mathcal{K}}f(t) = 0$  elsewhere. We call  $U_{\mathcal{K}}$  the *direct sum* of the (disjoint) multiplication operators induced by the elements of  $\mathcal{K}$ . If  $U_{\mathcal{K}}$  maps  $L_p$  to  $L_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) it is not hard to check that the net of finite sums of the multiplication operators in  $\mathcal{K}$  is strongly convergent to  $U_{\mathcal{K}}$ .

We can now state our theorem. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) generalizes [1, Theorem 4] and extends [10, Theorem 6].

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$  and let  $M$  be a subspace of  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ . The following conditions on  $M$  are equivalent.*

- (i)  *$M$  is the range of a contractive projection on  $L_p$ .*
- (ii) *There is a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \lambda)$  such that  $M$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \lambda)$ .*
- (iii) *There is a direct sum of unitary multiplication operators  $U: L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $U$  is an isometry and  $UM$  is a closed vector sublattice of  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ .*

*Furthermore, in (ii) we can always choose  $\Omega = X$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  a  $\sigma$ -subring of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\lambda$  absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , and the isometry a direct sum of multiplication operators.*

*If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite the direct sums of multiplication operators can be taken to be ordinary multiplications.*

*Proof.* Assume (i). By Zorn's lemma there is a maximal subset  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $M$  consisting of functions  $f \in M$ , such that  $\mu(S(f_1) \cap S(f_2)) = 0$  if  $f_1 \neq f_2$ . If  $g \in M$ ,  $S(g)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and there is countable subset  $\{f_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  such that if  $f \in \mathcal{H} \sim \{f_n\}$ ,  $\mu(S(f) \cap S(g)) = 0$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\Sigma_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring so, there exists  $h \in M$  such that  $S(h) = S(g) \sim \bigcup S(f_n)$  and by maximality of  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $h = 0$ . Define a measure  $\lambda$  on  $\Sigma_0$  by  $\lambda A = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \int_A |f|^p d\mu$ . This definition is meaningful since  $A$  has  $\sigma$ -finite  $\mu$ -measure and at most countably many of the integrals are nonzero. For  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  define  $f^{-1}$  by

$$f^{-1}(t) = \begin{cases} 1/f(t) & t \in S(f) \\ 0 & t \notin S(f) \end{cases},$$

and let  $V$  be the direct sum of the multiplications  $f^{-1}(f \in \mathcal{H})$ . By Lemma 3.3  $J_f h \rightarrow f^{-1}h$  ( $h \in M$ ) is an isometric isomorphism of  $J_f M$  with  $L_p(S(f), \Sigma_0|S(f), |f|^p \mu)$ . It is routine to check that  $V$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $M$  with  $L_p(X, \Sigma_0, \lambda)$ . ( $M$  is the direct sum of its subspaces  $J_f M$  ( $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ) and similarly for the  $L_p$ -spaces.)

It  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite  $\mathcal{H}$  will be countable, say  $\mathcal{H} = \{f_n\}$  and we can find  $f \in M$  such that  $S(f) = \bigcup S(f_n)$ . Then  $\Sigma_0$  consists entirely of subsets of  $S(f)$  and sets of measure zero so that  $M_f = L_p(X, \Sigma_0, |f|^p \mu)$ ,  $J_f M = M$ , and  $V$  can be multiplication by  $f^{-1}$ .

Assume (ii) and let  $T: L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \lambda) \rightarrow L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a linear isometry with range  $M$ . Suppose  $a, b \in L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \lambda)$  and  $|a| \wedge |b| = 0$ , we claim that  $|Ta| \wedge |Tb| = 0$ . This is essentially proved by Lamperti [6]. Since  $|a| \wedge |b| = 0$ ,  $\|a + b\|^p + \|a - b\|^p = 2\|a\|^p + 2\|b\|^p$ . Since  $T$  is an isometry,  $\|Ta + Tb\|^p + \|Ta - Tb\|^p = 2\|Ta\|^p + 2\|Tb\|^p$ . Since  $p \neq 2$ , the equality condition for Clarkson's inequality [6, Corollary 2.1] shows that  $|Ta| \wedge |Tb| = 0$ .

Take a maximal subset of  $\mathcal{E}$  consisting of sets of nonzero finite

$\lambda$ -measure which intersect pairwise in sets of  $\lambda$ -measure zero and let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the corresponding set of characteristic functions. Let  $a \in \mathcal{K}$  and suppose  $B \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $B \subset S(a)$ . Write  $b = \chi_B$ , then  $T(a - b)$ ,  $Tb$  are disjoint in  $M$  so we have  $Tb = |Tb| \operatorname{sgn} Ta$ . This extends to non-negative simple functions  $b$  in  $a^{\perp\perp}$  and then to all nonnegative  $b \in a^{\perp\perp}$ . Define  $U: L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  to be the direct sum of the unitary multiplications  $\operatorname{sgn} \overline{Ta}(a \in \mathcal{K})$ . It is easy to see that  $U$  is an isometry of  $M$  such that  $UT$  is positive and hence  $UM = UT L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \lambda)$  is a closed vector sublattice of  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  (compare the proof in Lemma 3.3 where we showed that functions in  $M_f$  were  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable).

Assume (iii) and let  $\Sigma_0$  be the set of supports of functions (whose equivalence classes are) in  $M$ . Then  $\Sigma_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -subring of  $\Sigma$ . (If  $(f_n)$  is a sequence in  $M$ ,  $S(f_n) = S(Uf_n) = S(|Uf_n|)$  so

$$\bigcup S(f_n) = S(U^{-1}\Sigma 2^{-n} \|f_n\|^{-1} |Uf_n|).$$

If  $f, g \in M$ ,  $J_g = J_{Ug}$ ;  $J_g |Uf| = \lim |Uf| \wedge n |Ug| \in UM$  and  $S(f) \sim S(g) = S(U^{-1}(|Uf| - J_g |Uf|))$ . Let  $f, g \in UM$  and suppose  $f$  is real,  $g \geq 0$  and  $f \in g^{\perp\perp}$ , then  $\{t \in X: (f/g)(t) > \alpha\} = S((f - \alpha g)^+) \in \Sigma_0$ . Thus  $f/g$  is  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable. This extends to all  $f \in UM \cap g^{\perp\perp}$  and hence  $J_g f/g$  is  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable if  $f, g \in UM$  and  $g \geq 0$ . This now extends to all  $f, g \in UM$  and, since  $U^{-1}J_g f / U^{-1}g = J_g f/g$ , we have  $f/g$ ,  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable for  $f, g \in M$  and  $f \in g^{\perp\perp}$ . It follows that  $M$  is the set of all elements in  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  which can be written in the form  $hf$  with  $h$ ,  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable and  $f \in M$ . (If  $h = \chi_{S(g)}$  with  $g \in M$ ,  $hf = J_g f = U^{-1}J_{Ug} Uf \in U^{-1}(UM) = M$ .)

Let  $J$  be the band projection on  $M^{\perp\perp}$ , let  $h \in L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ , choose  $f \in M$  such that  $Jh = J_f h$ , (such an  $f$  exists by the arguments used in Corollary 3.2) and define

$$Ph = f \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(hf^{-1}).$$

Then  $Ph \in M$  and this definition is independent of the choice of  $f$  in  $M$  such that  $h \in f^{\perp\perp}$ . To see this suppose  $g \in M$  and  $h \in g^{\perp\perp}$ . Then  $h$  is zero outside  $S(f) \cap S(g) \in \Sigma_0$  and so is  $\mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(hf^{-1})$ ,  $\mu$ -almost everywhere. Let  $B = S(f) \cap S(g)$ , then  $f_1 = \chi_B f \in M$  and

$$\int_A hf^{-1} |f|^p d\mu = \int_{A \cap B} hf^{-1} |f|^p d\mu = \int_A hf_1^{-1} |f_1|^p d\mu \quad (A \in \Sigma_0),$$

so that  $f \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(hf^{-1}) = f_1 \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f_1|^p)(hf_1^{-1})$ . Thus we may assume  $S(f) = S(g)$ . Now

$$g^{-1} f \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(hf^{-1}) \in L_1(X, \Sigma_0, |g|^p \mu),$$

so we have, for  $A \in \Sigma_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_A g^{-1} f \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(hf^{-1}) |g|^p d\mu \\ &= \int_A g^{-1} f |f^{-1} g|^p \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(hf^{-1}) |f|^p d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Because  $g^{-1}f$  and  $f^{-1}g$  are  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable and the integrals are finite, the second integral is

$$\int_A g^{-1} f |f^{-1} g|^p hf^{-1} |f|^p d\mu = \int_A hg^{-1} |g|^p d\mu.$$

Thus

$$f \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(hf^{-1}) = g \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |g|^p)(hg^{-1})$$

and our definition of  $Ph$  is unambiguous. If  $h_1, h_2 \in L_p$  we can take  $f \in M$  such that  $Jh_1 = J_f h_1$  and  $Jh_2 = J_f h_2$ . Thus  $P$  is linear. Since  $f^{-1}Ph = \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(hf^{-1})$  we see  $P^2 = P$ . Finally, if  $p > 1$ , write  $u = \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(hf^{-1})$ , we have

$$\|Ph\|_p^p = \int |u|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{u} \cdot \mathcal{E}(\Sigma_0, |f|^p)(hf^{-1}) |f|^p d\mu.$$

Since  $u$  is  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable, this is

$$\begin{aligned} \int |u|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{u} \cdot hf^{-1} |f|^p d\mu &= \int |Ph|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} \bar{f} \bar{u} \cdot h d\mu \\ &\leq \| |Ph|^{p-1} \|_q \|h\|_p \\ &= \|Ph\|_p^{p/q} \|h\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

(We used Hölder's inequality and  $q$  for the conjugate index to  $p$ .) We conclude that  $\|Ph\|_p \leq \|h\|_p$ .

Since  $Ph = h(h \in M)$  we have shown that  $M$  is the range of the contractive projection  $P$ .

REMARK 4.2. The results (iii) implies (i) (with the same proof) and (i) is equivalent to (ii) are valid if  $p = 2$ ; in fact (i) and (ii) are equivalent for any Hilbert space. If we assume the projection  $P$ , is positive as well as contractive the proof in Lemma 3.3 that  $M_f$  is a lattice shows  $\mathcal{R}(P)$  is a sublattice of  $L_2$  and Theorem 4.1 is valid for  $L_2$  with the projection and the isometry both required to be positive and in (iii)  $M$  required to be a closed vector sublattice. We use this remark in our next result.

COROLLARY 4.3. *If  $M$  is a closed vector sublattice of  $L_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) then  $M$  is the range of a positive contractive projection.*

*Proof.* Clearly  $M$  satisfies condition (iii) with  $U = I$ . In the definition of  $Ph$  we may always choose a positive  $f \in M$  such that  $h \in f^{\perp\perp}$ . Positivity of  $P$  follows from positivity of conditional expectation.

REMARK 4.4. In the introduction we referred to Rao's paper [8] and claimed that its treatment of contractive projections contained errors. In particular, his Theorem II. 2.7 asserts that if  $M$  is the range of a contractive projection  $P$  on a Banach function space  $L^p(\Sigma)$  there is, under suitable conditions, a unitary multiplication  $U$  such that  $UPU^{-1}$  is a positive contractive projection.

The conditions are all satisfied if  $M$  is the subspace of  $l^3(3) = C^3$  spanned by  $(1, 1, 1)$  and  $(1, 2, -3)$ . Rao's theorem now claims the existence of a unitary multiplication, say by  $u = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ , such that  $uM$  is a vector sublattice of  $C^3$ . This is impossible, as we show. First,  $uM$  contains the elements  $(0, \lambda_2, -4\lambda_3)$ ,  $(\lambda_1, 0, 5\lambda_3)$ , and  $(4\lambda_1, 5\lambda_2, 0)$ . If  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_2 \bar{\lambda}_3 = 0$  we have  $\lambda_2 \lambda_3^{-1} = \lambda_2 \bar{\lambda}_3 = \pm i$  and  $uM$  contains  $\operatorname{Im} (0, \lambda_2 \bar{\lambda}_3, -4) = (0, \pm 1, 0)$ ; so that  $(0, 1, 0) \in uM$ , and  $uM = C^3$ . If all  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i \bar{\lambda}_j \neq 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ), then  $uM$  contains  $\operatorname{Re} (0, 1, -4\lambda_3 \bar{\lambda}_2)$  and  $\operatorname{Re} (1, 0, 5\lambda_3 \bar{\lambda}_1)$ ; hence, taking a multiple of their infimum,  $(0, 0, 1) \in uM$  and again  $uM = C^3$ .

Exactly the same counterexample vitiates the proof of Rao's Theorem II. 2.8 see p. 177 lines -15 to -11.

The error in both cases seems to be the reduction of the general case of  $L^p(\Sigma)$  to the  $L_1$  situation. Vital to this reduction, but invalid, is the assertion that if  $L^p(\Sigma) \subset L^1(\Sigma, G)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{1,G} \leq \rho(\cdot)$  then a contraction on  $L^p(\Sigma)$  for the  $\rho$ -norm can be extended to the closure of  $L^p(\Sigma)$  in  $L^1(\Sigma, G)$  with the 1,  $G$ -norm and that the extension is contractive for the 1,  $G$ -norm.

5. The theorem of Lindenstrauss, Pelczynski, and Zippin. We begin by recalling some definitions.

If  $E, F$  are isomorphic Banach spaces,  $d(E, F) = \inf \{\|L\| \|L^{-1}\| : L \text{ is a linear isomorphism between } E \text{ and } F\}$ .

A Banach space  $E$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$  space (for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $\lambda \geq 1$ ) if for each finite dimensional subspace  $F$  of  $E$  there is a finite dimensional subspace  $G$  of  $E$  such that  $F \subset G$  and  $d(G, l_p(\dim G)) \leq \lambda$ .

We shall say that a Banach space  $E$  is a  $Z_p$ -space (for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) if there exists a set  $\mathcal{X}$  of finite dimensional subspaces of  $E$  such that:

- (i)  $\mathcal{X}$  is upwards directed by set inclusion;
- (ii)  $\operatorname{cl} \cup \mathcal{X} = E$ ;
- (iii) each  $F \in \mathcal{X}$  is linearly isometric to  $l_p(\dim F)$ .

Our definitions apply, of course, over the real or complex number



fields.

We now state the theorem of Lindenstrauss-Pelczynski-Zippin, [5], [7], [12].

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then the following are equivalent.*

(1) *There is a measure  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $E$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ .*

(2)  *$E$  is a  $Z_p$  space.*

(3)  *$E$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ -space for all  $\lambda > 1$ .*

As outlined in the introduction we discuss some details of the proof for the complex case.

Observe first that (3) is a trivial consequence of (1). Simply identify  $E$  with  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  and take for  $\mathcal{X}$  the subspaces spanned by finite sets of ( $p$ th power)-integrable characteristic functions.

*The proof that (3) implies (2).* This result is certainly part of the folklore. It can be obtained quite efficiently as follows.

**LEMMA 5.2.** *Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be  $n$  linearly independent elements of a normed space  $E$  then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that if  $y_i \in E$ , and  $\|x_i - y_i\| < \varepsilon$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) then  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is a linearly independent subset of  $E$ .*

*Proof.* (This is standard but our proof may be novel.) Let  $K$  denote the scalar field and  $S$  the unit sphere in  $K^n$ ,  $S = \{\lambda \in K^n: \|\lambda\| = 1\}$ . The map  $g: S \times E^n \rightarrow E$  defined by  $g((\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \lambda_1 y_1 + \dots + \lambda_n y_n$  is continuous. By linear independence, the compact set  $S \times (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  does not meet the closed set  $g^{-1}(0)$ . Hence there are open neighborhoods  $U_i$  of  $x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that  $(S \times U_1 \times \dots \times U_n) \cap g^{-1}(0) = \emptyset$ . If  $y_i \in U_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) it follows that  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is linearly independent.

**LEMMA 5.3.** *Let  $E$  be a  $Z_p$ -space, then  $E$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ -space for every  $\lambda > 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a finite dimensional subspace of  $E$ . Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a basis for  $F$ , such that  $\|x_i\| = 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let  $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in E^*$  be such that  $x_i^*(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , and let  $M = \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i^*\|$ . Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $M\varepsilon < 1$  and  $\|x_i - y_i\| < \varepsilon$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  implies that  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is linearly independent. By the  $Z_p$ -hypothesis there is a finite dimensional subspace  $H$  of  $E$  and points  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  in  $H$ , such that  $H$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $l_p(\dim H)$ , and  $\|x_i - y_i\| < \varepsilon$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Then  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is a linearly independent subset of

H. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}(x_i^*),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j| &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left| x_j^* \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \right) \right| \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left| x_j^* \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (x_i - y_i) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j^*\| \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \varepsilon \right) \\ &= M\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M\varepsilon < 1$  we conclude that  $\alpha_i = 0$  for each  $i$ . Thus we can extend  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  to a basis, say  $y_1, \dots, y_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_p$ , of  $H$  with the property that  $\{x_{n+1}, \dots, x_p\} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{N}(x_i^*)$ .

Let  $G$  be the subspace of  $E$  spanned by  $x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_p$ . Then  $F \subset G$ . If  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i + \sum_{i=n+1}^p \alpha_i x_i \in H$  define  $Ty = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i + \sum_{i=n+1}^p \alpha_i x_i \in G$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y - Ty\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (y_i - x_i) \right\| \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i| \\ &= \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j^*(Ty)| \\ &\leq M\varepsilon \|Ty\|. \end{aligned}$$

This gives  $(1 - M\varepsilon)\|Ty\| \leq \|y\| \leq (1 + M\varepsilon)\|Ty\|$  ( $y \in H$ ); so that  $T$  is an isomorphism between  $F$  and  $H$  such that  $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \leq (1 + M\varepsilon)/(1 - M\varepsilon)$ . If  $\lambda > 1$  we can choose  $\varepsilon$  such that  $(1 + M\varepsilon)/(1 - M\varepsilon) < \lambda$ . Thus  $E$  is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ -space for all  $\lambda > 1$ .

*The proof that (2) implies (1).* Here the plan is first to embed  $E$ , isometrically, in an  $L_p$ -space, and then to use the theory of contractive projections of  $L_p$ -spaces.

This is carried out in detail for the real separable case in [7] and for the real nonseparable case in [5]. The generalizations to cover the complex case are mostly obvious. For  $1 < p < \infty$  our Theorem 4.1 is used. For  $p = 1$ , it follows as in the real case that  $E^*$  is a  $\mathcal{P}_1$  space whence by the complex version of Grothendieck's theorem [9]  $E$  is an  $L_1(\mu)$  space.

There is an aspect of the construction which needs a little elaboration. At one stage of the proof we have a complex vector space, say  $V$ , consisting of complex valued functions on a set  $U$ .  $V$  is a vector sublattice of the space of all complex functions on  $U$ . There

is a seminorm  $\pi$  on  $V$  such that  $\pi(f) \leq \pi(g)$  whenever  $|f| \leq |g|$ , and  $\pi(f + g)^p = \pi(f)^p + \pi(g)^p$  whenever  $|f| \wedge |g| = 0$ . We then need to embed the quotient  $V/N$ , where  $N = \{f \in V: \pi(f) = 0\}$ , isometrically in a concrete, complex,  $L_p$ -space. For this, let  $V_R$  and  $N_R$  denote the spaces of real-valued functions in  $V$  and  $N$  respectively. The quotient  $V_R/N_R$  with the norm induced by  $\pi$  is then linearly and lattice isomorphic, and isometric, to a vector sublattice of real  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  just as in [7]. Let  $h_1$  denote the composition of the quotient map  $U_R \rightarrow V_R/N_R$  and the isometric isomorphism into real  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Then  $h_1$  is a linear and lattice homomorphism and  $\|h_1 f\| = \pi(f)$  ( $f \in V_R$ ). We construct the required embedding of  $V/N$  into complex  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  by defining

$$h(f + N) = h_1(\operatorname{Re} f) + ih_1(\operatorname{Im} f) .$$

Then  $h$  is clearly well defined. To verify that  $h$  is an isometry we need the next lemma.

LEMMA 5.4. *The map  $h$  constructed above satisfies  $h|f| = |hf|$ , ( $f \in V$ ).*

*Proof.* For any real  $\theta$   $|f| \geq \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta} f)$  so

$$h|f| = h_1|f| \geq h_1(\operatorname{Re} e^{i\theta} f) = \operatorname{Re} h(e^{i\theta} f) = \operatorname{Re} e^{i\theta} h f .$$

Hence  $h|f| \geq |hf|$ . For the converse, let  $\omega$  be a complex  $n$ th root of unity and observe that for any complex  $z$

$$\max \{\operatorname{Re} \omega^r z: r = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \geq \cos(\pi/n)|z| .$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(\pi/n)h|f| &\leq h(\sup \{(\operatorname{Re} \omega^r f): r = 1, \dots, n\}) \\ &= \sup \{\operatorname{Re} \omega^r h f: r = 1, \dots, n\} \\ &\leq |hf| . \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $h|f| = |hf|$  as required.

This completes our discussion of the proof of Theorem 5.1. We add a comment. It seems that a more elementary proof that a space which is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$ -space for all  $\lambda > 1$ , is an  $L^p(\mu)$  space, should be possible. Certainly the result should not depend on the entire theory of contractive projections for such spaces. Indeed if  $p = 2$  the  $\mathcal{L}_{2,\lambda}$  condition already implies the parallelogram law and this makes the space a Hilbert space. For  $p \neq 2$  we can see that the Clarkson inequalities are valid and these with enough finite dimensional  $l_p$ -subspaces might give a more elementary proof.

**6. Appendix.** We prove two technical results used in [1], [10]. The first is also an extension of that in [1].

**LEMMA 6.1.** [1]. *Suppose  $0 < p < \infty$  and let  $M$  be a closed subspace of  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ . If  $(f_n)$  is a sequence in  $M$ , then there exists  $f \in M$  such that  $S(f) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S(f_n)$ . In particular if  $\mu$  is finite or  $M$  is separable there exists  $f \in M$  such that  $J_f = J_{M^\perp}$ ; that is,  $f$  is a function in  $M$  of maximum support.*

*Proof.* If  $f, g \in L_p$  and  $\alpha$  is a scalar, the zero sets  $\{t \in X: (f + \alpha g)(t) = 0\}$  have disjoint intersection with  $S(f) \cup S(g)$  for differing values of  $\alpha$ . Since  $S(f) \cup S(g)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite,  $\mu(S(f) \cup S(g) \sim S(f + \alpha g)) = 0$  except, perhaps for countably many values of  $\alpha$ .

Assume, as we may, that  $\int |f_n|^p = 1$  for all  $n$ . We define, inductively, two sequences  $(\alpha_n), (\varepsilon_n)$  of positive real numbers such that, if we write  $g_n = \alpha_1 f_1 + \dots + \alpha_n f_n$ ,  $A_n = \{t \in X: |g_n(t)| \leq \varepsilon_n\}$ , and  $B_n = \{t \in X: |\alpha_{n+1} f_{n+1}(t)| \geq \varepsilon_n/2\}$ , then

- (i)  $\alpha_{n+1} < 2^{-n/p}$  and  $\varepsilon_{n+1} < \varepsilon_n/2$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu(S(g_n) \cup S(f_{n+1}) \sim S(g_{n+1})) = 0$ ;
- (iii)  $\int_{A_n \cup B_n} |f_i|^p d\mu < 2^{-n} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n).$

Start with  $\alpha_1 = 1$ . Suppose  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}$  have been chosen. Note that  $\mu(S(f_i) \sim S(g_n)) = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) so if  $C_\varepsilon = \{t \in X: |g_n(t)| \leq \varepsilon\}$ ,  $\int_{C_\varepsilon} |f_i|^p d\mu \rightarrow 0$  ( $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ ) for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Also if

$$D_\eta = \{t \in X: |f_{n+1}(t)| \geq \eta\}, \quad \int_{D_\eta} |f_i|^p d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad (\eta \rightarrow \infty) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Thus we choose  $\varepsilon_n$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon_{n-1}/2$ , and  $\int_{A_n} |f_i|^p d\mu < 2^{-n-1}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ); then choose  $\eta$  such that  $\int_{D_\eta} |f_i|^p d\mu < 2^{-n-1}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), and  $\alpha_{n+1}$  such that  $0 < \alpha_{n+1} < 2^{-n/p}$ , (ii) is satisfied, and  $\alpha_{n+1}\eta < \varepsilon_n/2$ . Since  $B_n \subset D_\eta$  we also have (iii) satisfied.

By (i)  $(g_n)$  converges in  $L_p$  to an element  $f \in M$ , and  $S(f) \subset \bigcup S(f_n)$ . Let  $E = \limsup (A_n \cup B_n) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} (A_k \cup B_k)$ . Fix  $i$  and let  $N > i$ , then, by (iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |f_i|^p d\mu &\leq \int_{\bigcup_{N \leq n} (A_n \cup B_n)} |f_i|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{N \leq n} \int_{A_n \cup B_n} |f_i|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \sum_{N \leq n} 2^{-n} \\ &= 2^{1-N} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (N \longrightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu(E \cap S(f_i)) = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $\mu(E \cap \bigcup S(f_n)) = 0$ . We complete our proof by showing that  $X \sim E \subset S(f)$ . If  $t \in X \sim E$  choose the smallest integer  $n$  such that  $t \notin \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} (A_k \cup B_k)$ , then  $|g_n(t)| > \varepsilon_n$  and  $|\alpha_k f_k(t)| < \varepsilon_{k-1}/2 < \varepsilon_n/2^{k-n}$  ( $k \geq n+1$ ). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |g_k(t)| &\geq |g_n(t)| - |\alpha_{n+1} f_{n+1}(t)| - \cdots - |\alpha_k f_k(t)| \\ &> |g_n(t)| - \varepsilon_n(2^{-1} + \cdots + 2^{-(k-n)}) \\ &> |g_n(t)| - \varepsilon_n \end{aligned} \quad (k > n).$$

Thus  $|f(t)| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |g_k(t)| \geq |g_n(t)| - \varepsilon_n > 0$ , and we are done.

**LEMMA 6.2. [10].** *Let  $M$  be a separable subspace of  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) and  $T$  a bounded linear operator on  $L_p$ . Then there is a  $\sigma$ -finite set  $X_0 \in \Sigma$  and a  $\sigma$ -subring  $\Sigma_0$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $\Sigma_0$  consists of subsets of  $X_0$  and  $L_p(X_0, \Sigma_0, \mu)$  is separable,  $T$ -invariant and contains  $M$ .*

*Proof.* The subspace  $M + TM$  is separable,  $T$ -invariant and generates a separable vector sublattice  $M_1$  of  $L_p$ . Inductively construct separable vector sublattices  $M_n$  such that  $M_n + TM_n \subset M_{n+1}$ . Then  $\text{cl } \bigcup M_n$  is a separable  $T$ -invariant closed vector sublattice of  $L_p$ . Writing  $K_1 = \text{cl } \bigcup M_n$  we have  $K_1$  closed under all band projections  $J_x$  with  $x \in K_1$ . Let  $\Sigma_1 = \{S(x): x \in K_1\}$  then  $\Sigma_1$  is a  $\sigma$ -subring of  $\Sigma$  and if  $x, y \in K_1$  with  $x \in y^{\perp\perp}$  then  $x/y$  is  $\Sigma_1$ -measurable. If  $(f_n)$  is dense in  $K_1$ ,  $f = \Sigma 2^{-n} \|f_n\|^{-1} |f_n| \in K_1$  and  $\mu(S(x) \sim S(f)) = 0$  ( $x \in K_1$ ). Consider  $L_p(S(f), \Sigma_1, \mu)$ . It is easy to see that this is the closure of the vector sublattice spanned by  $K_1$  and the functions  $\chi_{f^{-1}(\alpha, \infty]}$  with  $\alpha$  positive rational. Thus, writing  $X_1 = S(f)$  we have

$$K_1 \subset L_p(X_1, \Sigma_1, \mu)$$

with  $L_p(X_1, \Sigma_1, \mu)$  separable. Continue inductively, we obtain a sequence  $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \cdots \subset X_n \subset \cdots$  of  $\sigma$ -finite subsets of  $X$  and a sequence  $\Sigma_1 \subset \Sigma_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Sigma_n \subset \cdots$  of  $\sigma$ -subrings of  $\Sigma$ , such that each  $\Sigma_n$  consists of subsets of  $X_n$ ,  $L_p(X_n, \Sigma_n, \mu) + TL_p(X_n, \Sigma_n, \mu) \subset L_p(X_{n+1}, \Sigma_{n+1}, \mu)$  and each  $L_p(X_n, \Sigma_n, \mu)$  is separable.

Let  $K_0 = \text{cl } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_p(X_n, \Sigma_n, \mu)$ . Then  $K_0$  is a separable  $T$ -invariant closed vector sublattice of  $L_p(X, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Define  $\Sigma_0 = \{S(f): f \in K_0\}$  and find, as for  $K_1$ ,  $f \in K_0$  such that  $\mu(S(x) \sim S(f)) = 0$  ( $x \in K_0$ ). It is routine to show that  $K_0 = L_p(S(f), \Sigma_0, \mu)$ . This proves our lemma with  $X_0 = S(f)$ .

*Added in Proof* (October 1974). In a manuscript, "A local characterization of complex Banach lattices with order continuous norm," submitted to *Studia Math.*, the authors have given a necessary and sufficient condition for a complex Banach space to admit a lattice

structure so that it is a complex Banach lattice with order continuous norm. The condition is automatically satisfied if the Banach space is an  $\mathcal{L}_{p,\lambda}$  space for every  $\lambda > 1$ . This does provide an elementary proof that such spaces are  $L_p$ -spaces.

## REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, *Contractive projections in  $L_p$ -spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **17** (1966), 391-405.
2. R. G. Douglas, *Contractive projections on an  $L_1$ -space*, Pacific J. Math., **15** (1965), 443-462.
3. C. V. Duplissey, *Contractive projections in abstract Banach function spaces*, Ph. D. Dissertation, University of Texas at Austin, 1971.
4. A. Grothendieck, *Une caractérisation vectorielle métrique des espaces  $L^1$* , Canad. J. Math., **7** (1955), 552-561.
5. H. Elton Lacey and S. J. Bernau, *Characterizations and classifications of some classical Banach spaces*, Advances in Math., **12** (1974), 367-401.
6. J. Lamperti, *On the isometries of certain spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **8** (1958), 459-466.
7. J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pelczynski, *Absolutely summing operators in  $\mathcal{L}_p$ -spaces and their applications*, Studia Math., **29** (1968), 275-326.
8. M. M. Rao, *Linear operations, tensor products, and contractive projections in function spaces*, Studia Math., **38** (1970), 131-186.
9. S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -Algebras and  $W^*$ -Algebras*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Bd 60, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
10. L. Tzafriri, *Remarks on contractive projections in  $L_p$ -spaces*, Israel J. Math., **7** (1969), 9-15.
11. Daniel E. Wulbert, *A note on the characterization of conditional expectation operators*, Pacific J. Math., **34** (1970), 285-288.
12. M. Zippin, *On Bases in Banach Spaces*, Ph. D. thesis, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, 1968 (Hebrew).

Received May 22, 1973. The first author's research was supported in part by NSF Grant GP 27916. The second author's research was supported by a joint grant from the National Academy of Sciences and the Polish Academy of Sciences.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS-AUSTIN



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER



# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 53, No. 1

March, 1974

Martin Bartelt, <i>Strongly unique best approximates to a function on a set, and a finite subset thereof</i> .....	1
S. J. Bernau, <i>Theorems of Korovkin type for <math>L_p</math>-spaces</i> .....	11
S. J. Bernau and Howard E. Lacey, <i>The range of a contractive projection on an <math>L_p</math>-space</i> .....	21
Marilyn Breen, <i>Decomposition theorems for 3-convex subsets of the plane</i> .....	43
Ronald Elroy Bruck, Jr., <i>A common fixed point theorem for a commuting family of nonexpansive mappings</i> .....	59
Aiden A. Bruen and J. C. Fisher, <i>Blocking sets and complete <math>k</math>-arcs</i> .....	73
R. Creighton Buck, <i>Approximation properties of vector valued functions</i> .....	85
Mary Rodriguez Embry and Marvin Rosenblum, <i>Spectra, tensor products, and linear operator equations</i> .....	95
Edward William Formanek, <i>Maximal quotient rings of group rings</i> .....	109
Barry J. Gardner, <i>Some aspects of <math>T</math>-nilpotence</i> .....	117
Juan A. Gatica and William A. Kirk, <i>A fixed point theorem for <math>k</math>-set-contractions defined in a cone</i> .....	131
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Localization and splitting in hereditary noetherian prime rings</i> .....	137
James Victor Herod, <i>Generators for evolution systems with quasi continuous trajectories</i> .....	153
C. V. Hinkle, <i>The extended centralizer of an <math>S</math>-set</i> .....	163
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>Lifting Brauer characters of <math>p</math>-solvable groups</i> .....	171
Bruce R. Johnson, <i>Generalized Lerch zeta function</i> .....	189
Erwin Kleinfeld, <i>A generalization of <math>(-1, 1)</math> rings</i> .....	195
Horst Leptin, <i>On symmetry of some Banach algebras</i> .....	203
Paul Weldon Lewis, <i>Strongly bounded operators</i> .....	207
Arthur Larry Lieberman, <i>Spectral distribution of the sum of self-adjoint operators</i> .....	211
I. J. Maddox and Michael A. L. Willey, <i>Continuous operators on paranormed spaces and matrix transformations</i> .....	217
James Dolan Reid, <i>On rings on groups</i> .....	229
Richard Miles Schori and James Edward West, <i>Hyperspaces of graphs are Hilbert cubes</i> .....	239
William H. Specht, <i>A factorization theorem for <math>p</math>-constrained groups</i> .....	253
Robert L Thele, <i>Iterative techniques for approximation of fixed points of certain nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces</i> .....	259
Tim Eden Traynor, <i>An elementary proof of the lifting theorem</i> .....	267
Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler and William Jennings Wickless, <i>Completely decomposable groups which admit only nilpotent multiplications</i> .....	273
Raymond O'Neil Wells, Jr, <i>Comparison of de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology for proper surjective mappings</i> .....	281
David Lee Wright, <i>The non-minimality of induced central representations</i> .....	301
Bertram Yood, <i>Commutativity properties in Banach <math>*</math>-algebras</i> .....	307