

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR  $k$ -SET-CONTRACTIONS  
DEFINED IN A CONE**

JUAN A. GATICA AND WILLIAM A. KIRK

## A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR $k$ -SET-CONTRACTIONS DEFINED IN A CONE

JUAN A. GATICA AND W. A. KIRK

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $H$  a solid closed cone in  $X$  with interior  $H^\circ$ . Suppose  $B$  is a bounded open set in  $X$  containing the origin. For  $G = B \cap H^\circ$ , let  $\partial_H \bar{G}$  denote the relative boundary of the closure  $\bar{G}$  of  $G$  in  $H$ . In this paper mappings  $T: \bar{G} \rightarrow H$  are considered where  $T$  is a  $k$ -set-contraction,  $k < 1$ . It is shown for such mappings that if  $(I - tT)(G)$  is open,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and if  $T$  satisfies (i)  $Tx \neq \lambda x$  for all  $x \in \partial_H \bar{G}$  and  $\lambda > 1$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{G}$ . In the special case when  $T$  is a contraction mapping,  $(I - tT)(G)$  is always open and boundedness of  $B$  can be dispensed with.

The Leray-Schauder boundary condition (i) is an assumption which in particular holds for convex  $G$  if  $T: \partial_H \bar{G} \rightarrow \bar{G}$ , or even more generally if  $T$  is 'inward' in the sense of Halpern and Bergman [7] (cf. also, Vidossich [15] (Theorem 5(ii)) for an equivalent condition on  $f = I - T$ ). Conditions similar to (i) have been imposed by several authors recently in proving fixed point theorems in functional analysis, although, as we note in more detail below, it is usually assumed that the origin is an interior point of the domain of  $T$ , with the condition  $Tx \neq \lambda x$ ,  $\lambda > 1$ , required of all  $x$  in the boundary of this domain.

We are concerned here with the " $k$ -set-contractions",  $k < 1$ , a class of mappings which includes not only the usual "contraction mappings" (mappings  $U: D \rightarrow X$  satisfying for some  $\alpha < 1$ ,  $\|Ux - Uy\| \leq \alpha \|x - y\|$ ,  $x, y \in D$ ), but also mappings of the form  $T = U + C$  with  $U$  a contraction mapping and  $C$  compact. This class is defined by Kuratowski [9] as follows: For a bounded subset  $A$  of  $X$  define the measure of noncompactness,  $\gamma(A)$ , of  $A$  by  $\gamma(A) = \text{g. l. b. } \{d > 0: \text{there exists a finite number of sets } S_1, \dots, S_n \text{ such that } A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i \text{ and } \text{diam } S_i \leq d, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . A continuous mapping  $T: D \rightarrow X$ ,  $D \subset X$ , is called a  $k$ -set-contraction if there is a fixed constant  $k \geq 0$  such that  $\gamma(T(A)) \leq k\gamma(A)$  for all bounded  $A \subset D$ . There has been intensive study of these mappings recently including, notably, Nussbaum's development [10] of a theory of topological degree for them.

With  $H$  and  $G$  as above, we prove in this paper that if  $T: \bar{G} \rightarrow H$  is a  $k$ -set-contraction,  $k < 1$ , satisfying (i) on  $\partial_H \bar{G}$ , and if  $(I - tT)(G)$  is open,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{G}$ . This theorem is specifically related to a number of recent results; for example, Nussbaum has proved [10] that if  $D$  is a bounded closed and convex

subset of  $X$  with nonempty interior and if  $T: D \rightarrow X$  is a  $k$ -set-contraction,  $k < 1$ , satisfying for some  $x_0 \in D$ ,  $Tx - x_0 \neq \lambda(x - x_0)$  for all  $x \in \partial D$  and  $\lambda > 1$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $D$ . (See also Nussbaum [11, 12].) This result reduces to a theorem of Browder [3] under the stronger assumption that  $T$  is semicontractive, and to one of Darbo [4] if  $T: D \rightarrow D$ . The boundary condition used by Nussbaum is similar to (i) (if  $x_0$  is the origin), but it requires  $x_0$  to be an interior point of the domain  $D$  of  $T$ . Another related fact, due to Petryshyn [13], is that if  $G$  is a bounded open subset of  $X$  with  $0 \in G$  and if  $T: \bar{G} \rightarrow X$  is a 'condensing mapping' (i.e.,  $\gamma(T(A)) < \gamma(A)$  for all  $A \subset D$  such that  $\gamma(A) > 0$ ), then the assumption  $Tx \neq \lambda x$  for all  $x \in \partial G$  and  $\lambda > 1$  implies that the fixed point set of  $T$  in  $\bar{G}$  is nonempty and compact. Thus this result holds for a more general class of mappings and convexity of the domain is no longer required.

In attempting to weaken the assumption that the origin be an interior point of the domain of the mapping, Gatica and Kirk [6] have proved existence of fixed points for contraction mappings  $T: \bar{G} \rightarrow H$  where  $H$  is any closed and convex set in  $X$ , with  $G \subset X$  open relative to  $H$  and  $0 \in G$ . The boundary condition assumed for this result is: (i)'  $Tx \neq \lambda x$ ,  $\lambda > 1$ , for all nonzero  $x \in \partial_{\mathcal{H}} G$ , where  $\partial_{\mathcal{H}} G$  denotes the relative boundary of  $G$  in the closed subspace  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $X$  spanned by  $H$ . Subsequently, Gatica [7] has extended this result to the case where  $T$  is a  $k$ -set-contraction,  $k < 1$ , under the additional assumptions that  $G$  be bounded and  $I - tT$  one-to-one,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . These results differ from the theorem of this paper in that by assuming  $H$  is a cone we are now able to replace the assumption that the boundary condition (i)' hold on the relative boundary of  $G$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  with the much weaker assumption that it hold only on  $\partial_H \bar{G}$ . This new result does not appear to follow directly from our preceding results and arguments.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $H$  be a solid closed cone with interior  $H^0$  in the Banach space  $X$ , let  $B$  be a bounded open subset of  $X$  containing the origin, and let  $G = B \cap H^0$ . Suppose  $T: \bar{G} \rightarrow H$  is a  $k$ -set-contraction,  $k < 1$ , with the property that  $(I - tT)(G)$  is open,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If  $T$  satisfies:*

(i)  $Tx \neq \lambda x$  for all  $x \in \partial_H \bar{G}$  and  $\lambda > 1$ ,  
then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{G}$ .

The assumption that  $(I - tT)(G)$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , is open always holds if  $I - tT$  is one-to-one (see Nussbaum [12], Theorem 2), and in particular it always holds in the important case when  $T$  is a contraction mapping. Also in this case, the assumption that  $B$  is bounded may

be dispensed with by the reasoning of Gatica-Kirk in the proof of Theorem 2.2 of [6]. Thus we have the following:

COROLLARY 1. *With  $H$  as in the theorem, let  $B \subset X$  be an open set containing the origin, and let  $G = B \cap H^0$ . If  $T: \bar{G} \rightarrow H$  is a contraction mapping satisfying*

- (i)  $Tx \neq \lambda x$  for all  $x \in \partial_H \bar{G}$  and  $\lambda > 1$ ,

*then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{G}$ .*

If  $T: \bar{G} \rightarrow H$  is a nonexpansive mapping (i.e., if  $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$ ,  $x, y \in \bar{G}$ ) then  $tT$  is a contraction mapping for  $t \in [0, 1)$ . Also, if (i) holds for  $T$  on  $\partial_H \bar{G}$  then (i) also holds for  $tT$ ,  $t < 1$ , so the above implies:

COROLLARY 2. *With  $H$  as in the theorem, let  $B \subset X$  be an open set containing the origin and let  $G = B \cap H^0$ . If  $T: \bar{G} \rightarrow H$  is a nonexpansive mapping satisfying:*

- (i)  $Tx \neq \lambda x$  for all  $x \in \partial_H \bar{G}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ .

*(ii)  $\{x_n\} \subset \bar{G}$  such that  $x_n - Tx_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  implies existence of  $x' \in \bar{G}$  such that  $x' - Tx' = 0$ .*

*Then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{G}$ .*

We should mention that condition (ii) above was first used by Petryshyn [14], where he notes that it always holds if  $T$  is a generalized contraction in the sense of [2, 8]. Also (ii) always holds if  $X$  is uniformly convex and  $B$  is bounded and convex, because Browder has shown [3] that in such situations  $I - T$  is demiclosed for nonexpansive  $T$ . Thus we have:

COROLLARY 3. *Suppose  $X$  is a uniformly convex Banach space,  $H \subset X$  is a solid closed cone, and  $B$  a bounded open convex subset of  $X$  with  $0 \in B$ . Let  $G = B \cap H^0$ . If  $T: \bar{G} \rightarrow H$  is a nonexpansive mapping satisfying (i) on  $\partial_H \bar{G}$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{G}$ .*

In what follows we use  $\partial A$  to denote the boundary of a subset  $A$  of  $X$ . We also use  $\gamma$  to denote the measure of noncompactness, and in particular, if  $\{y_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $X$ ,  $\gamma(\{y_n\}) = \gamma(\{y_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\})$ .

The following lemma, which is contained implicitly in [5], is used repeatedly and we include its proof for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA. *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $D$  a bounded subset of  $X$ , and  $T: D \rightarrow Y$  a  $k$ -set-contraction,  $k < 1$ . Suppose  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a sequence of numbers converging to  $\alpha \in [-1, 1]$ , and suppose for  $\{y_n\} \subset D$ ,  $y_n + \alpha_n Ty_n = z_n$  where  $\{z_n\}$  converges. Then  $\gamma(\{y_n\}) = 0$  and thus  $\{y_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence.*

*Proof.* Since  $D$  is bounded it follows that  $M = \sup \{\|Tx\| : x \in D\} < \infty$ , and clearly we may suppose  $M > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that if  $m, n \geq N$ ,  $|\alpha_n - \alpha_m| < \varepsilon/M$ ,  $|\alpha_m| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ , and  $\|z_m - z_n\| < \varepsilon$ . If  $\gamma(\{y_n\}) = d$ , then clearly  $\gamma(\{y_n\}_{n=N}^\infty) = d$  and  $\gamma(\{Ty_n\}_{n=N}^\infty) \leq kd$ . There exists a finite cover  $\{S_1, \dots, S_r\}$  of  $\{Ty_n\}_{n=N}^\infty$  such that if  $Ty_k, Ty_s \in S_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then  $\|Ty_k - Ty_s\| \leq kd + \varepsilon$ . Now let

$$F_i = T^{-1}(S_i) \cap \{y_n : n = N, N+1, \dots\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r,$$

and observe that  $\{y_n\}_{n=N}^\infty \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^r F_i$ . Also if  $y_n, y_m \in F_i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_m - y_n\| &= \|\alpha_n Ty_n - \alpha_m Ty_n + \alpha_m Ty_n - \alpha_m Ty_m + z_m - z_n\| \\ &\leq |\alpha_n - \alpha_m| \|Ty_n\| + |\alpha_m| \|Ty_n - Ty_m\| + \|z_m - z_n\| \\ &\leq |\alpha_n - \alpha_m| M + |\alpha_m| (kd + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon \\ &< 2\varepsilon + (1 + \varepsilon)(kd + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary this implies  $\gamma(\{y_n\}) \leq kd$  which in turn yields  $d \leq kd$ . Since  $k < 1$  we conclude  $d = 0$ . But this implies that the closure of the set  $\{y_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is compact.

*Proof of the theorem.* We may assume without loss of generality that  $G$  is the interior of its closure. For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , let  $G_t = (I - tT)(G)$ . By assumption,  $G_t$  is open and since  $tT$  is also a  $tk$ -set-contraction,  $tk < 1$ ,  $I - tT$  is proper (Nussbaum [11], Corollary 2). Thus  $(I - tT)(\bar{G})$  is closed and hence  $\partial G_t \subset (I - tT)(\partial G)$ .

Fix  $x \in G$  and let  $\alpha = \alpha(x) = \sup \{t \in [0, 1] : x \in G_t\}$ . We first show that either  $\alpha = 1$ , or there exists  $w \in \partial_H \bar{G}$  such that  $w - \alpha Tw = x$ . (Note that  $\alpha$  is well-defined since  $x \in G_0 = G$ .)

Let  $\mu_n \rightarrow \alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  where  $x \in G_{\mu_n}$ . Then for each  $n$  there exists  $y_n \in G$  such that  $y_n - \mu_n Ty_n = x$ . By the lemma there exists  $y \in \bar{G}$  and a convergent subsequence  $\{y_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $y_{n_i} \rightarrow y$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\mu_{n_i} T \rightarrow \alpha T$  uniformly on  $\bar{G}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  it follows that  $y - \alpha Ty = x$ , i.e.,  $x \in \bar{G}_\alpha$ .

Now suppose  $\alpha < 1$  and let  $t_n \downarrow \alpha$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  where  $t_n \in (\alpha, 1)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $x \notin G_{t_n}$  and  $y - t_n Ty \in \bar{G}_{t_n}$  the segment joining  $y - t_n Ty$  and  $x$  must contain a point  $z_n \in \partial G_{t_n}$ . But  $\partial G_{t_n} \subset (I - t_n T)(\partial G)$ , so for each  $n$  there exists  $w_n \in \partial G$  such that

$$(*) \quad w_n - t_n Tw_n = z_n.$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $y - t_n Ty \rightarrow x$  so it follows that  $z_n \rightarrow x$ . Thus by the lemma some subsequence  $\{w_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of  $\{w_n\}$  converges to a point  $w \in \partial G$ , and  $(*)$  yields:  $w - \alpha Tw = x$ .

Now  $x \in H^0$  and  $\alpha Tw \in H$ . Since  $H$  is a cone and  $w = x + \alpha Tw$  we have  $w \in H^0$ . This, with the fact that  $w \in \partial G$ , implies  $w \in \partial_H \bar{G}$ .

(Notice that since, by assumption,  $G$  is the interior of its closure,  $\partial_H G = \partial_H \bar{G}$ .) Therefore, we have established the following:

*If  $x \in G$  and if  $\alpha = \sup \{t \in [0, 1] : x \in G_t\}$ , then either (a)  $\alpha = 1$ , or (b) there exists  $w \in \partial_H \bar{G}$  such that  $w - \alpha Tw = x$ . Moreover, if (a) holds for  $x \in G$ , there exists  $y \in \bar{G}$  such that  $y = x + Ty$ .*

Now to complete the proof, let  $\{h_i\}$  be a sequence of points of  $G$  such that  $h_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $i$ , let  $\alpha_i = \alpha(h_i)$ . From the above for each  $i$  either (a)  $\alpha_i = 1$ , or there exists  $w_i \in \partial_H \bar{G}$  such that  $w_i - \alpha_i Tw_i = h_i$ . But if (a) holds for infinitely many  $i$ , then we may suppose (by passing to a subsequence) that for each  $i$  there exists  $y_i \in \bar{G}$  satisfying  $y_i = h_i + Ty_i$ . Since  $h_i \rightarrow 0$  it follows from the lemma that there exists a subsequence  $\{y_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $\{y_i\}$  which converges to a point  $y_0 \in \bar{G}$ . Since

$$y_{i_j} = h_{i_j} + Ty_{i_j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we must have  $y_0 = Ty_0$  and  $T$  has a fixed point in  $\bar{G}$ .

On the other hand, if (a) does not hold for infinitely many  $i$ , there exists an integer  $N$  such that for  $w_i \in \partial_H \bar{G}$ ,

$$(**) \quad w_i - \alpha_i Tw_i = h_i, \quad i = N, N + 1, \dots$$

Moreover, by passing to a subsequence we may suppose  $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha \leq 1$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then since  $h_i \rightarrow 0$ , the lemma again applies and there exists a subsequence  $\{w_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $\{w_i\}$  which converges to a point  $w \in \partial_H \bar{G}$ . This, with (\*\*), yields  $w = \alpha Tw$ . Since  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and  $w \in \partial_H \bar{G}$ , (i) implies either  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\alpha = 1$ . But  $\alpha = 0$  is not possible because this implies  $0 \in \partial_H \bar{G}$ , a contradiction in view of the fact  $G = B \cap H^0$  with  $B$  open, and  $0 \in B$ . And if  $\alpha = 1$  then  $w = \alpha Tw = Tw$ , completing the proof.

As a final comment we note that in relation to Corollary 1, if the stronger assumption  $T: \partial_H \bar{G} \rightarrow \bar{G}$  is made then  $H$  need not be a solid cone, and in fact may be taken to be any closed and convex set with  $G = B \cap H \neq \emptyset$ . This fact is a consequence of results of [1].

We wish to thank the referee for suggestions which improved our exposition.

*Added in proof.* Recent generalizations of the theorem of this paper based on degree theory results of Nussbaum and Petryshyn and not requiring  $(I - tT)(G)$  to be open for  $0 < t \leq 1$  will appear in [W. A. Kirk, "A remark on condensing mappings," J. Math. Anal. Appl.] and in [W. V. Petryshyn and P. M. Fitzpatrick, "Fixed point

theorems and the fixed point index for multivalued mapping defined in cones”]. The latter paper extends the theorem to multi-valued mappings in Frechet spaces.

## REFERENCES

1. N. A. Assad and W. A. Kirk, *Fixed point theorems for set-valued mapping of contractive type*, Pacific J. Math., **43** (1972), 553-562.
2. L. P. Belluce and W. A. Kirk, *Fixed point theorems for certain classes of non-expansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **20** (1969), 141-146.
3. F. E. Browder, *Semicontractive and semiaccretive nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74** (1968), 660-665.
4. G. Darbo, *Punti uniti in trasformazioni a condiminio non compatto*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **24** (1955), 84-92.
5. J. A. Gatica, *Fixed point theorems for  $k$ -set-contractions and pseudo-contractive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **46** (1974), 555-564.
6. J. A. Gatica and W. A. Kirk, *Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings with applications to nonexpansive and pseudo-contractive mappings*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **4** (1974), 69-79.
7. B. Halpern and G. M. Bergman, *A fixed point theorem for inward and outward maps*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **130** (1968), 353-358.
8. W. A. Kirk, *Mappings of generalized contractive type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **32** (1970), 567-572.
9. K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. 1, New York, 1966.
10. R. D. Nussbaum, *The fixed point index and fixed point theorems for  $k$ -set-contractions*, Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. of Chicago, 1969.
11. ———, *The fixed point index for local condensing maps*, Ann. Math. Pure Appl., **89** (1971), 217-258.
12. ———, *Degree theory for local condensing maps*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **37** (1972), 741-766.
13. W. V. Petryshyn, *Structure of the fixed points sets of  $k$ -set-contractions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **40** (1971), 312-328.
14. ———, *Fixed point theorems for various classes of 1-set-contractive and 1-ball-contractive mappings in Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **182** (1973), 323-352.
15. G. Vidossich, *Nonexistence of periodic solutions of differential equations and applications to zeros of nonlinear operators*, (to appear).
16. J. R. L. Webb, *A fixed point theorem and applications to functional equations in Banach spaces*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., (4) **4** (1971), 775-778.

Received June 18, 1973 and in revised form September 12, 1973. The second author's research was supported by National Science Foundation Grant GP 18045.

UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCION

AND

UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

*Current address of Juan A. Gatica:* Universidad Tecnica del Estado,  
Santiago, Chile

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER



# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 53, No. 1

March, 1974

Martin Bartelt, <i>Strongly unique best approximates to a function on a set, and a finite subset thereof</i> . . . . .	1
S. J. Bernau, <i>Theorems of Korovkin type for <math>L_p</math>-spaces</i> . . . . .	11
S. J. Bernau and Howard E. Lacey, <i>The range of a contractive projection on an <math>L_p</math>-space</i> . . . . .	21
Marilyn Breen, <i>Decomposition theorems for 3-convex subsets of the plane</i> . . . . .	43
Ronald Elroy Bruck, Jr., <i>A common fixed point theorem for a commuting family of nonexpansive mappings</i> . . . . .	59
Aiden A. Bruen and J. C. Fisher, <i>Blocking sets and complete <math>k</math>-arcs</i> . . . . .	73
R. Creighton Buck, <i>Approximation properties of vector valued functions</i> . . . . .	85
Mary Rodriguez Embry and Marvin Rosenblum, <i>Spectra, tensor products, and linear operator equations</i> . . . . .	95
Edward William Formanek, <i>Maximal quotient rings of group rings</i> . . . . .	109
Barry J. Gardner, <i>Some aspects of <math>T</math>-nilpotence</i> . . . . .	117
Juan A. Gatica and William A. Kirk, <i>A fixed point theorem for <math>k</math>-set-contractions defined in a cone</i> . . . . .	131
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Localization and splitting in hereditary noetherian prime rings</i> . . . . .	137
James Victor Herod, <i>Generators for evolution systems with quasi continuous trajectories</i> . . . . .	153
C. V. Hinkle, <i>The extended centralizer of an <math>S</math>-set</i> . . . . .	163
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>Lifting Brauer characters of <math>p</math>-solvable groups</i> . . . . .	171
Bruce R. Johnson, <i>Generalized Lerch zeta function</i> . . . . .	189
Erwin Kleinfeld, <i>A generalization of <math>(-1, 1)</math> rings</i> . . . . .	195
Horst Leptin, <i>On symmetry of some Banach algebras</i> . . . . .	203
Paul Weldon Lewis, <i>Strongly bounded operators</i> . . . . .	207
Arthur Larry Lieberman, <i>Spectral distribution of the sum of self-adjoint operators</i> . . . . .	211
I. J. Maddox and Michael A. L. Willey, <i>Continuous operators on paranormed spaces and matrix transformations</i> . . . . .	217
James Dolan Reid, <i>On rings on groups</i> . . . . .	229
Richard Miles Schori and James Edward West, <i>Hyperspaces of graphs are Hilbert cubes</i> . . . . .	239
William H. Specht, <i>A factorization theorem for <math>p</math>-constrained groups</i> . . . . .	253
Robert L Thele, <i>Iterative techniques for approximation of fixed points of certain nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces</i> . . . . .	259
Tim Eden Traynor, <i>An elementary proof of the lifting theorem</i> . . . . .	267
Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler and William Jennings Wickless, <i>Completely decomposable groups which admit only nilpotent multiplications</i> . . . . .	273
Raymond O'Neil Wells, Jr, <i>Comparison of de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology for proper surjective mappings</i> . . . . .	281
David Lee Wright, <i>The non-minimality of induced central representations</i> . . . . .	301
Bertram Yood, <i>Commutativity properties in Banach <math>*</math>-algebras</i> . . . . .	307