

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE EXTENDED CENTRALIZER OF AN  $S$ -SET**

C. V. HINKLE

## THE EXTENDED CENTRALIZER OF AN $S$ -SET

C. V. HINKLE, JR.

Let  $S$  be a semigroup with zero. The extended centralizer  $Q(M_S)$  of a right  $S$ -set  $M_S$  is defined. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for  $Q(M_S)$  to be a regular semigroup. In particular,  $Q(S_S)$  is shown to be a regular semigroup when  $S$  is regular. We also show that whenever the singular congruence on  $S$  is the identity, then  $Q(S_S)$  is the injective hull of  $S_S$  and is right self injective.

1. Introduction. In [3], R. E. Johnson developed the extended centralizer  $Q(M_R)$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$  and noted that  $Q(M_R)$  is always a (Von Neumann) regular ring. In this paper, we analogously define the extended centralizer  $Q(M_S)$  of a right  $S$ -set  $M_S$ . McMorris [4] gave an example which illustrated the fact that  $Q(S_S)$  is not always a regular semigroup. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $Q(M_S)$  to be regular and show that when  $S$  is regular,  $Q(S_S)$  is also regular.

Johnson showed that the ring  $R$  is embedded in  $Q(R_R)$  when the singular ideal is zero. Analogously we define the singular congruence on an  $S$ -set and show that when the singular congruence is the identity,  $S$  is embedded in  $Q(S_S)$ . In this case we also note that  $Q(S_S)$  is the injective hull of  $S$  considered as a  $S$ -set and that, moreover,  $Q(S_S)$  is self injective.

2. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper each semigroup will contain a zero (0) unless otherwise specified. Let  $S$  be a semigroup. A (centered right)  $S$ -set  $M_S$  is a set  $M$ , with an associative scalar operation on  $M$  by elements of  $S$ , which contains an element (necessarily unique)  $\theta$  such that  $\theta = \theta s = m0$  for all  $m \in M$  and for all  $s \in S$ . The symbol  $\theta$  will be called the zero of  $M$ . Since the distinction between the zero of  $M$  and the zero of  $S$  is clear from the context, we shall denote both by the same symbol 0. Note that if  $R$  is a right ideal of  $S$  then  $R$  becomes an  $S$ -set  $R_S$  under ordinary multiplication. A sub  $S$ -set  $N_S$  of an  $S$ -set  $M_S$  is a subset  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $NS \subseteq N$ . If  $m, n \in M_S$  and if  $E \subseteq S$  we shall say that  $mE$  is *pointwise equal* to  $nE$  when  $ms = ns$  for each  $s \in E$ . This will be denoted as  $mE \doteq nE$ .

Let  $M_S$  and  $N_S$  be  $S$ -sets. A function  $f: M_S \rightarrow N_S$  is an  $S$ -homomorphism if for each  $m \in M$  and  $s \in S$ ,  $f(ms) = f(m)s$ . The collection of all such  $S$ -homomorphisms will be denoted by  $\text{Hom}_S(M, N)$ . If there exists  $f \in \text{Hom}_S(M, N)$  which is 1-1 and onto, we say  $M_S$

is  $S$ -isomorphic to  $N_s$  and write  $M_{sS} \approx N_s$ .

If  $f$  is an  $S$ -homomorphism the domain of  $f$  will be denoted by  $D_f$  and the range of  $f$  by  $R_f$ . The zero map from  $M_s$  will be denoted by  $0_M$  and the identity map on  $M$  by  $1_M$ . If  $f: M_s \rightarrow N_s$  and if  $A_s \subseteq N_s$  then  $f^{-1}(A) = \{m \in M: f(m) \in A\}$ .

An  $S$ -congruence  $\tau$  on  $M_s$  is an equivalence relation on  $M$  such that whenever  $(m, n) \in \tau$ , then  $(ms, ns) \in \tau$  for all  $s \in S$ . The identity  $S$ -congruence on  $M_s$  will be denoted by  $\iota_M$ .

If  $S$  has an identity  $1$  the  $S$ -set  $M_s$  is said to be *unital* when  $m1 = m$  for each  $m \in M$ . For each semigroup  $S$  we shall define  $S^1$  by  $S^1 = S \cup \{1\}$  where  $1$  is a symbol not in  $S$  and where multiplication on  $S$  is extended to  $S^1$  by defining  $1x = x1 = x$  for each  $x \in S^1$ . With the operation so defined,  $S^1$  is a semigroup. Note that this definition for  $S^1$  differs from the standard one. However, with the definition given here each  $S$ -set  $M_s$  becomes a unital  $S^1$ -set by defining  $m1 = m$  for each  $m \in M$ .

The following definitions and theorem are due to Berthiaume [1]. A sub  $S$ -set  $N_s$  of  $M_s$  is said to be *large (essential)* in  $M_s$  if for each  $f \in \text{Hom}_s(M, K)$  such that  $f|N$  is  $1 - 1$  then  $f$  is  $1 - 1$ . In this case  $M_s$  is called an *essential extension* of  $N_s$ . The following lemma characterizes large sub  $S$ -sets in terms of  $S$ -congruences.

**LEMMA 2.1.**  $N_s$  is large in  $M_s$  iff for every  $S$ -congruence  $\rho$  on  $M_s$  such that  $\rho \neq \iota_M$  we have  $\rho|N \neq \iota_N$ .

An  $S$ -set  $M_s$  is *injective* if for each  $A_s \subseteq B_s$  and for each  $f \in \text{Hom}_s(A, M)$  there exists  $f' \in \text{Hom}_s(B, M)$  such that  $f'|A = f$ . If  $M_s \subseteq N_s$  and if  $N_s$  is injective then  $N_s$  is called an *injective extension* of  $M_s$ . The following theorem due to Berthiaume [1] guarantees the existence of a minimal injective extension which is unique up to  $S$ -isomorphism.

**THEOREM 2.2.** The  $S$ -set  $M_s$  is a maximal essential extension of  $N_s$  iff  $M_s$  is a minimal injective extension of  $N_s$ . Every  $S$ -set  $N_s$  has such an extension which is unique up to  $S$ -isomorphism over  $N_s$ .

The minimal injective extension of  $N_s$  given in the above theorem is called the *injective hull* of  $N_s$ . Note that  $M_s$  is the injective hull of  $N_s$  iff  $N_s$  is essential in  $M_s$  and  $M_s$  is injective.

A semigroup  $S$  will be called *self injective* if  $S_s$  is injective.

The  $S$ -set  $M_s$  is *weakly injective* if for each right ideal  $R$  of  $S$  and for each  $f \in \text{Hom}_s(R, M)$  there exists  $m \in M$  such that  $f(s) = ms$  for each  $s \in R$ . In ring theory it is well-known that the corresponding concepts of "injective" and "weakly injective" are equivalent.

However, for semigroups Berthiaume proved the following lemma and gave a counterexample for the converse.

LEMMA 2.3. *If the  $S$ -set  $M_S$  is injective then  $M_S$  is weakly injective.*

3. The singular congruence on an  $S$ -set. The following definition is a generalization of a corresponding concept in ring theory. A sub  $S$ -set  $N_S$  of  $M_S$  is *intersection large* in  $M_S$  if for each  $0 \neq m \in M$  there exists  $s \in S^1$  such that  $0 \neq ms \in N$ . Note that  $N_S$  is intersection large in  $M_S$  if and only if the intersection of  $N$  with any nonzero sub  $S$ -set of  $M_S$  is always nonzero. Properties of intersection large  $S$ -sets are given by the following lemmas which are immediate from the definition.

LEMMA 3.1. *If  $X_S \subseteq Y_S \subseteq Z_S$  are  $S$ -sets then  $X_S$  is intersection large in  $Z_S$  if and only if  $X_S$  is intersection large in  $Y_S$  and  $Y_S$  is intersection large in  $Z_S$ .*

LEMMA 3.2. *Let  $M_S$  and  $N_S$  be  $S$ -sets and let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_S(M, N)$ . If  $A_S$  is intersection large in  $N_S$  then  $\phi^{-1}(A)$  is intersection large in  $M_S$ .*

Note that if  $N_S$  is intersection large in  $M_S$  then  $m^{-1}N = \{s \in S: ms \in N\}$  is intersection large in  $S_S$  for all  $m \in M$ . In order to show this, define  $\phi_m: S \rightarrow M$  by  $\phi_m(s) = ms$ . Then  $\phi_m \in \text{Hom}_S(S, M)$  and  $\phi_m^{-1}(N) = m^{-1}N$  is intersection large in  $S_S$  by the lemma.

The class of all intersection large sub  $S$ -sets of the  $S$ -set  $M_S$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{P}(M_S)$ . This class is closed under finite intersections since  $A \cap B = 1_A^{-1}(B)$  where  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(M_S)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(S_S)$  and for each  $S$ -set define

$$\psi = \psi(M_S) = \{(m_1, m_2) \in M \times M: m_1 D = m_2 D \text{ for some } D \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

It is easily seen from the properties noted above that  $\psi$  is an  $S$ -congruence on  $M_S$  which is a two-sided congruence if  $M = S$ . The  $S$ -congruence  $\psi$  is called the *singular congruence* or  *$\mathcal{P}$ -torsion congruence* on  $M_S$ . When  $\psi = \iota_M$  we say that  $M_S$  is  *$\mathcal{P}$ -torsion free*.

Feller and Gantos [2] showed that every large sub  $S$ -set of an  $S$ -set  $M_S$  is intersection large in  $M_S$ . The converse is not generally true. For, consider the semilattice  $S = \{0, e, 1\}$  which has  $0 < e < 1$  under the natural partial ordering. The right ideal  $eS$  is clearly intersection large in  $S$ . Define  $f: S \rightarrow S$  by  $f(x) = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } x \in \{e, 1\} \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$ . Then  $f \in \text{Hom}_S(S, S)$  and  $f|eS$  is 1-1. However,  $f$  is not 1-1. Therefore,  $eS$  is not large in  $S_S$ .

The following proposition gives a sufficient condition for the converse to be true.

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Let  $M_S$  be a right  $S$ -set such that  $M_S$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -torsion free. Then  $\mathcal{P}(M_S)$  is the set of large sub  $S$ -sets of  $M_S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A_S \in \mathcal{P}(M_S)$  and let  $f \in \text{Hom}_S(M, B)$  such that  $f|A$  is  $1-1$  where  $B_S$  is an  $S$ -set. Suppose  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Let  $D = x_1^{-1}A \cap x_2^{-1}A = \{s \in S: x_1s \in A \text{ and } x_2s \in A\}$ . Then  $D \in \mathcal{P}(S)$  and since  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ , we have  $f(x_1s) = f(x_2s)$  for all  $s \in D$ . However,  $x_1s, x_2s \in A$  for all  $s \in D$  and  $f|A$  is  $1-1$ . Thus  $x_1D = x_2D$  and it follows that  $x_1 = x_2$  since  $M_S$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -torsion free.

It was noted in §2 that an injective  $S$ -set  $M_S$  is always weakly injective but that a weakly injective  $S$ -set is not necessarily injective. In the following proposition we show that the two concepts are equivalent whenever  $M_S$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -torsion free.

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Let  $M_S$  be a weakly injective  $S$ -set such that  $M_S$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -torsion free. Then  $M_S$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Let  $A_S \subseteq B_S$  and let  $f \in \text{Hom}_S(A, M)$ . Let  $M^*$  be the injective hull of  $M_S$ . Then  $M_S$  is large in  $M_S^*$  and hence is intersection large in  $M_S^*$ . Also, by Lemma 2.1 we see that  $M_S^*$  is  $\mathcal{P}_I$ -torsion free since  $\psi(M_S^*)|M_S = \psi(M_S) = \iota_M$ . Thus, since  $M_S^*$  is injective, there exists  $f' \in \text{Hom}_S(B, M^*)$  such that  $f'|A = f$ . We claim that  $f' \in \text{Hom}_S(B, M)$ . Let  $b \in B$  and let  $f'(b) = n$ . By the note following Lemma 3.2 we have  $D = n^{-1}M \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ . Define  $\phi: n^{-1}M \rightarrow M$  by  $\phi(s) = ns$ . Thus we have  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_S(n^{-1}M, M)$  and since  $M_S$  is weakly injective there exists  $m \in M$  such that  $\phi(s) = ms$  for each  $s \in n^{-1}M$ . Therefore,  $mD = nD$  and since  $\psi_I(M_S^*) = \iota_{M^*}$  it follows that  $n = m \in M$ .

**4. The extended centralizer of an  $S$ -set.** The construction of the extended centralizer  $Q$  of an  $S$ -set  $M_S$  is similar to that given by Johnson [3] for rings over modules and is outlined as follows:

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(M_S)$  be the class of intersection large sub  $S$ -sets of the  $S$ -set  $M_S$ . Let  $F = \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{P}} \text{Hom}_S(D, M)$  and define multiplication on  $S$  by  $fg = h$  where  $h: D_g \cap g^{-1}(D_f) \rightarrow M$  by  $h(x) = f(g(x))$ . Then under this multiplication  $F$  is a semigroup. Define a binary relation  $\omega$  on the semigroup  $F$  by  $(f, g) \in \omega$  if there exists  $D \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $f|D = g|D$ . Then  $\omega$  is a two-sided congruence on  $F$ . The semigroup  $Q = Q(M_S) = F/\omega$  is called the *extended centralizer* of  $M_S$ . The elements of  $Q$  will be denoted by  $\bar{f}$  where  $f \in F$ .

In ring theory the extended centralizer is always (von Neumann) regular. An example given by McMorris in [4] shows that this is not

the case for semigroups. We can however give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $Q$  to be regular in terms of splitting  $S$ -homomorphisms, which were studied by Feller and Gantos in [2]. Recall that an  $S$ -homomorphism  $f$  which maps an  $S$ -set  $M_s$  onto an  $S$ -set  $N_s$  is said to *split* if there exists  $g \in \text{Hom}_s(N, M)$  such that  $fg = 1_N$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *The semigroup  $Q(M_s)$  is regular if and only if each equivalence class  $\bar{f}$  of  $Q(M_s) = F/\omega$  contains an element which splits.*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $Q(M_s) = Q$  is regular and let  $\bar{f} \in Q$ . Then there exists  $\bar{g} \in Q$  such that  $\bar{f}\bar{g}\bar{f} = \bar{f}$ . Hence if  $E = \{x \in D_{fgf}: fgf(x) = f(x)\}$  then  $E \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $f' = f|_E$  and  $g' = g|_{R_{f'}}$ . Let  $y \in D_{g'}$  and let  $x' = g(y)$ . Since  $y$  is also an element of  $R_{f'}$ , there exists  $x \in E$  such that  $f(x) = y$  and we see that  $y = f(x) = fgf(x) = fg(y) = f(x')$ . Furthermore,  $f(x') = f(x) = fgf(x) = fgf(x')$  and it follows that  $x' \in E$ . Therefore,  $y = fg(y) = f(x') = f'(x') = f'g'(y)$  and we see that  $f'$  splits.

Conversely, for  $\bar{f} \in Q$  there exists  $f' \in \bar{f}$  such that  $f'$  splits. Hence, there exists  $g': R_{f'} \rightarrow D_{f'}$  such that  $f'g' = \iota_{R_{f'}}$ . By Zorn's lemma there is a maximal sub  $S$ -set  $N_s$  of  $M_s$  such that  $D_{g'} \cap N = 0$ . It easily follows that  $D = D_{g'} \cup N \in \mathcal{S}$  in this case. The  $S$ -homomorphism  $g'$  can be extended to an  $S$ -homomorphism  $g \in \text{Hom}_s(D, M)$  by defining  $g(x) = 0$  if  $x \in N$  and  $g(x) = g'(x)$  if  $x \in D_{g'}$ . Hence we have  $g \in F$ . Let  $x \in D_{f'}$ . Then  $f'g'f'(x) = f'g'f'(x) = 1_{R_{f'}}f'(x) = f'(x)$ . Therefore,  $\bar{f}\bar{g}\bar{f} = \bar{f}'\bar{g}\bar{f}' = \bar{f}' = \bar{f}$  and it follows that  $Q$  is regular.

In the case where  $M = S$  we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *If  $S$  is a regular semigroup then  $Q(S)$  is regular.*

*Proof.* By the previous theorem it is sufficient to show that each equivalence class  $\bar{f}$  of  $Q(S_s)$  contains an element which splits. Let  $\bar{f} \in Q$  and let  $\mathcal{S} = \{(D_\alpha, g_\alpha): D_\alpha \text{ is a right ideal of } S \text{ in } D_f \text{ such that } f_\alpha = f|_{D_\alpha} \text{ splits on } D_\alpha \text{ and } g_\alpha: R_\alpha = f_\alpha(D_\alpha) \rightarrow D_\alpha \text{ such that } f_\alpha g_\alpha = 1_{R_\alpha}\}$ . The set  $\mathcal{S}$  is nonempty since  $(\{0\}, 0) \in \mathcal{S}$  where the zero in the second coordinate is the zero map. Define a partial order  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{S}$  by  $(D_\alpha, g_\alpha) \leq (D_\beta, g_\beta)$  iff  $D_\alpha \subseteq D_\beta$  and  $g_\beta|_{R_\alpha} = g_\alpha$ . By an application of Zorn's lemma,  $\mathcal{S}$  contains a maximal element  $(D_M, g_M)$ . To complete the proof it is sufficient to show that  $D_M \in \mathcal{S}(S_s)$ . Suppose this is not true. Then  $D_M$  is not intersection large in  $D_f$ . Hence there exists  $e \in D_f$  such that  $eS^1 \neq 0$  and  $eS^1 \cap D_M = 0$ . Since  $S$  is regular, we may assume that  $e^2 = e$ . Let  $x = f(e)$  then  $xe = f(e)e = f(e^2) = f(e) = x$ . We now consider two cases.

*Case 1.* Suppose  $xeS \cap R_M \neq 0$ . Then there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $0 \neq xes \in R_M$ . Consider  $esS \subseteq eS$ . Let  $D' = D_M \cup esS$  and let  $f' = f|_{D'}$ . Then  $f'(D') = R_M$ . If  $y \in R_M$  then  $f'g_M(y) = f_Mg_M(y) = y$ . Hence  $(D', g_M) \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $(D_M, g_M) < (D', g_M)$  which contradicts the maximality of  $(D_M, g_M)$ .

*Case 2.* Suppose  $xeS \cap R_M = 0$ . Let  $x'$  be an inverse of  $x$  and define  $g': R' = R_M \cup xeS \rightarrow D' = D_M \cup eS$  by  $g'(y) = \begin{cases} g_M(y) & \text{if } y \in R_M \\ ex'y & \text{if } y \in xeS \end{cases}$ . Note that  $g' \in \text{Hom}(R', D')$ . Now let  $f' = f|_{D'}$  and let  $y \in R'$ . If  $y \in R_M$  then  $f'g'(y) = f_Mg_M(y) = 1_{R_M}(y) = y$ . On the other hand, if  $y \in xeS$ , say  $y = xes$ , then  $f'g'(y) = f'g'(xes) = f'(ex'xes) = xx'xes = xes = y$ . Hence it follows that  $f'g' = 1_{R'}$ . Thus,  $(D', g') \in \mathcal{S}$  and clearly  $(D_M, g_M) < (D', g')$  which again contradicts the maximality of  $(D_M, g_M)$ .

Therefore,  $D_M$  must be intersection large in  $S$  and the theorem follows.

An  $S$ -set  $M_S$  is *intersection uniform* if every nonzero sub  $S$ -set of  $M$  is intersection large.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *The semigroup  $Q = Q(M_S)$  is a right cancellative semigroup with zero if and only if  $M_S$  is intersection uniform.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $Q$  is a right cancellative semigroup with zero and let  $N_S$  be a nonzero sub  $S$ -set of  $M_S$ . Using Zorn's lemma to find a maximal sub  $S$ -set  $N'$  of  $M$  such that  $N \cap N' = 0$ , define a function  $f$  on  $N \cup N'$  by  $f(x) = x$  if  $x \in N$  and  $f(x) = 0$  if  $x \in N'$ . Then  $f^2 = f$  and  $f \in F$ . If  $\bar{f} = \bar{0}$  then there exists  $D \in \mathcal{S}(M_S)$  such that  $D \subseteq D_f$  and  $f(D) = 0$  which implies that  $D \subseteq N'$ . Hence  $N' \in \mathcal{S}(M_S)$ . But this is impossible since  $N \cap N' = 0$ . Thus we have  $\bar{f} \neq \bar{0}$ . Since  $\bar{1}_M \bar{f} = \bar{f} = \bar{f} \bar{f}$  and since each nonzero element of  $Q$  is right cancellable, it follows that  $\bar{1}_M = \bar{f}$ . Therefore, there exists  $D \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $D \subseteq N$  and it follows that  $N \in \mathcal{S}(M_S)$ . The proof of the converse is immediate.

5. The injective hull of a  $\mathcal{S}$ -torsion free semigroup. Throughout this section we shall consider the semigroup  $S$  as an  $S$ -set over itself. For  $s \in S$  define  $\phi_s: S \rightarrow S$  by  $\phi_s(t) = st$ . Then  $\phi_s \in F$  and it easily follows that the map  $\phi: S \rightarrow Q$  by  $\phi(s) = \bar{\phi}_s$  is a representation of  $S$  in  $Q = Q(S_S)$ . Note also that we can regard  $Q$  as a centered right  $S$ -set by defining  $\bar{f}s = \overline{f\phi_s}$  for each  $\bar{f} \in Q$  and for each  $s \in S$ . The following lemmas are easy consequences of the above remarks.

**LEMMA 5.1.**  $\psi(S_S) = \phi^{-1} \circ \phi$ .

LEMMA 5.2. For each  $f \in F$  and for each  $s \in D_f$ ,  $f\phi_s = \phi_{f(s)}$ .

When  $\psi(S_s) = \iota_s$  we shall assume that  $S$  is embedded in  $Q = Q_{\mathcal{P}}(S)$  under the identification  $s \langle - \rangle \bar{\phi}_s$ . From Lemma 5.2 we see that  $\bar{f}s = f(s)$  for each  $\bar{f} \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(S)$  and for each  $s \in D_f$  under the identification described above. Thus we see that  $S_s$  is intersection large in  $Q_s$ . In addition, the next lemma shows that  $Q_s$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -torsion free.

LEMMA 5.3. If  $S$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -torsion free then  $Q_s$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -torsion free.

*Proof.* Let  $(\bar{f}_1, \bar{f}_2) \in \psi(Q_s)$ . Then there exists  $E \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\bar{f}_1 E = \bar{f}_2 E$ . Let  $E' = E \cap D_{f_1} \cap D_{f_2} \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then for each  $s \in E'$ , we have  $f_1(s) = \bar{f}_1 s = \bar{f}_2 s = f_2(s)$  and it follows that  $\bar{f}_1 = \bar{f}_2$ .

The following lemma is immediate from Lemma 2.1 and the remarks preceding the above lemma.

LEMMA 5.4. If  $S$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -torsion free then  $S_s$  is large in  $Q_s$ .

We now can show that  $Q_s$  is the injective hull of  $S$  and furthermore  $Q$  is injective as a  $Q$ -set.

THEOREM 5.5. If  $S$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -torsion free then  $Q_s = Q(S_s)$  is the injective hull of  $S_s$ .

*Proof.* Since  $S_s$  is large in  $Q_s$  by Lemma 5.3, we need only show that  $Q_s$  is injective. By Lemma 5.3 and Proposition 3.4 it suffices to verify that  $Q_s$  is weakly injective. Let  $R$  be a right ideal of  $S$  and let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_S(R, Q)$ . Since  $S_s$  is intersection large in  $Q_s$ ,  $R' = \phi^{-1}(S) \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $f = \phi|R' \in F$ . We claim that  $\phi(r) = \bar{f}r$  for each  $r \in R$ . For each  $s \in r^{-1}R' = \{s: rs \in R'\}$  we have  $\phi(r)s = \phi(rs) = f(rs) = (\bar{f}r)s$ . Thus, since  $r^{-1}R' \in \mathcal{P}$ , it follows that  $(\phi(r), \bar{f}r) \in \psi(Q_s)$  which is the identity  $S$ -congruence on  $Q_s$ . Therefore,  $\phi(r) = \bar{f}r$  for each  $r \in R$  and the result follows.

THEOREM 5.6. If  $S$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -torsion free then  $Q = Q(S_s)$  is self injective.

*Proof.* Let  $A_Q \subseteq B_Q$  be  $Q$ -sets and let  $\phi' \in \text{Hom}_Q(A, B)$ . Then  $\phi' \in \text{Hom}_S(A, Q)$ . Since  $Q_s$  is the injective hull of  $S_s$ , there exists  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_S(B, Q)$  such that  $\phi|A = \phi'$ . We claim that  $\phi$  is a  $Q$ -homomorphism. Let  $b \in B$  and  $\bar{f} \in Q$ . Then for each  $s \in D_f$  we have  $\phi(b\bar{f})s = \phi(b\bar{f}s) = \phi(bf(s)) = \phi(b)f(s) = \phi(b)\bar{f}s$ . Thus  $(\phi(b\bar{f}), \phi(b)\bar{f}) \in \psi(Q_s)$  which is the identity congruence on  $Q$ . Therefore, it follows that  $\phi(b\bar{f}) = \phi(b)\bar{f}$ .



## REFERENCES

1. Berthiaume, *The injective envelope of  $S$ -sets*, Canad. Math. Bull., **10** (1967), 261-273.
2. E. H. Feller and R. L. Gantos, *Indecomposable and injective  $S$ -systems with zero*, Math. Nach., **41** (1969), 37-48.
3. R. E. Johnson, *The extended centralizer of a ring over a module*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **2** (1951), 891-895.
4. F. R. McMorris, *The singular congruence and the maximal quotient semigroup*, Canad. Math. Bull., to appear.

Received July 19, 1973. This paper represents a portion of the author's doctoral dissertation written under the supervision of Professor D. R. LaTorre. The author wishes to thank Professor LaTorre and Professor John K. Luedeman for their assistance and encouragement. Research for this work was supported by a National Science Foundation Traineeship and by a Faculty Fellowship from the Virginia Military Institute.

CLEMSON UNIVERSITY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 53, No. 1

March, 1974

Martin Bartelt, <i>Strongly unique best approximates to a function on a set, and a finite subset thereof</i> .....	1
S. J. Bernau, <i>Theorems of Korovkin type for <math>L_p</math>-spaces</i> .....	11
S. J. Bernau and Howard E. Lacey, <i>The range of a contractive projection on an <math>L_p</math>-space</i> .....	21
Marilyn Breen, <i>Decomposition theorems for 3-convex subsets of the plane</i> .....	43
Ronald Elroy Bruck, Jr., <i>A common fixed point theorem for a commuting family of nonexpansive mappings</i> .....	59
Aiden A. Bruen and J. C. Fisher, <i>Blocking sets and complete <math>k</math>-arcs</i> .....	73
R. Creighton Buck, <i>Approximation properties of vector valued functions</i> .....	85
Mary Rodriguez Embry and Marvin Rosenblum, <i>Spectra, tensor products, and linear operator equations</i> .....	95
Edward William Formanek, <i>Maximal quotient rings of group rings</i> .....	109
Barry J. Gardner, <i>Some aspects of <math>T</math>-nilpotence</i> .....	117
Juan A. Gatica and William A. Kirk, <i>A fixed point theorem for <math>k</math>-set-contractions defined in a cone</i> .....	131
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Localization and splitting in hereditary noetherian prime rings</i> .....	137
James Victor Herod, <i>Generators for evolution systems with quasi continuous trajectories</i> .....	153
C. V. Hinkle, <i>The extended centralizer of an <math>S</math>-set</i> .....	163
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>Lifting Brauer characters of <math>p</math>-solvable groups</i> .....	171
Bruce R. Johnson, <i>Generalized Lerch zeta function</i> .....	189
Erwin Kleinfeld, <i>A generalization of <math>(-1, 1)</math> rings</i> .....	195
Horst Leptin, <i>On symmetry of some Banach algebras</i> .....	203
Paul Weldon Lewis, <i>Strongly bounded operators</i> .....	207
Arthur Larry Lieberman, <i>Spectral distribution of the sum of self-adjoint operators</i> .....	211
I. J. Maddox and Michael A. L. Willey, <i>Continuous operators on paranormed spaces and matrix transformations</i> .....	217
James Dolan Reid, <i>On rings on groups</i> .....	229
Richard Miles Schori and James Edward West, <i>Hyperspaces of graphs are Hilbert cubes</i> .....	239
William H. Specht, <i>A factorization theorem for <math>p</math>-constrained groups</i> .....	253
Robert L Thele, <i>Iterative techniques for approximation of fixed points of certain nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces</i> .....	259
Tim Eden Traynor, <i>An elementary proof of the lifting theorem</i> .....	267
Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler and William Jennings Wickless, <i>Completely decomposable groups which admit only nilpotent multiplications</i> .....	273
Raymond O'Neil Wells, Jr, <i>Comparison of de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology for proper surjective mappings</i> .....	281
David Lee Wright, <i>The non-minimality of induced central representations</i> .....	301
Bertram Yood, <i>Commutativity properties in Banach <math>*</math>-algebras</i> .....	307