

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON SYMMETRY OF SOME BANACH ALGEBRAS

HORST LEPTIN

ON SYMMETRY OF SOME BANACH ALGEBRAS

HORST LEPTIN

A Banach *-algebra is called symmetric, if the spectra of elements of the form a^*a contain only nonnegative real numbers. Symmetric Banach *-algebras have a series of important properties, especially with respect to their representation theories. Here it is proved that tensoring with finite dimensional matrix rings preserves symmetry. As an application it is shown that the category of locally compact groups with symmetric L^1 -algebras is closed under finite extensions.

In recent years there was a growing interest in the problem of symmetry of involutive Banach algebras. In particular very substantial progress has been made towards a solution of the problem of characterizations of such locally compact groups G for which the group algebra $L^1(G)$ is symmetric. The most striking results in this direction are due to J. Jenkins, who first proved that the discrete " $ax + b$ "-group has a nonsymmetric algebra [3] and that the same holds for noncompact semisimple Lie groups [4] (independently this was also proved—but not published—by R. Takahashi). On the other hand, Hulanicki proved symmetry for discrete nilpotent groups (Studia Math. 35) and for class finite groups (Pacific J. Math. 18). Moreover, in Studia Math. 48 I obtained the same results for connected nilpotent Lie groups of class 2.

In [1] D. W. Bailey states a theorem (Theorem 2, p. 417) that a semi-direct product extension of a locally compact group G with a finite group F has a symmetric group algebra, if G has. As (implicitly) in [2] and as in the present note this is reduced to the preservation of symmetry under tensoring with matrix algebras over C . His reduction of the $n \times n$ -case to the 2×2 -case is the same as ours, but his proof of the 2×2 -case (Lemma 2, p. 415) contains a definitely false inequality for the spectral radius and thus is wrong.

Let Γ be a locally compact group, H a closed normal subgroup and let $G = \Gamma/H$ be the quotient group. Assume that we have a measurable cross section from G into Γ . Then in [6] and [7] it was shown that $L^1(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic with a generalized L^1 -algebra $L^1(G, L^1(H); T, P)$. Thus our result on groups will be a consequence of a more general one: Let G be a finite group, \mathcal{A} a Banach *-algebra on which G acts and let P be a factor system of G with values in the unitary multipliers of \mathcal{A} (see [6], [7]). Then the

generalized L^1 -algebra $L^1(G, \mathcal{A}; T, P)$ is symmetric, if \mathcal{A} is symmetric. For commutative \mathcal{A} and generalized L^1 -algebras in the sense of [7] this has been proved by Glaser [2], using determinants. Our theorem will be a consequence of

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathcal{A} be an involutive Banach algebra and let $\mathcal{A}^{[n]}$ be the algebra of $n \times n$ -matrices $x = (x_{ij})$ over \mathcal{A} , $x_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. If \mathcal{A} is symmetric, then $\mathcal{A}^{[n]}$ is symmetric.*

Proof. Assume at first that \mathcal{A} contains an identity 1 and that $n = 2$. Write $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}^{[2]}$. We have to prove that for every $a = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}$ the left ideal

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}(1 + a^*a)$$

equals \mathcal{M} . (Here of course 1 is the unitmatrix and $a^* = (a'_{ij})$ with $a'_{ij} = a_{ji}$). More generally consider the left ideal

$$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{M}(b^*b + a^*a)$$

where $b = (b_{ij})$ with $b_{21} = 0$, $b_{11} = b_{22} = 1$. The set \mathcal{J}_{1k} of all $u \in \mathcal{A}$ for which there is an $x = (x_{ij}) \in \mathcal{J}$ with $u = x_{1k}$ plainly is a left ideal in \mathcal{A} . Since left multiplication with (e_{ij}) , $e_{11} = e_{22} = 0$, $e_{12} = e_{21} = 1$, interchanges rows, we have $\mathcal{J}_{1k} = \mathcal{J}_{2k} = \mathcal{J}_k$ for $k = 1, 2$. Now $b^*b + a^*a = (z_{ij}) \in \mathcal{J}$. Hence $z_{11} = 1 + a_{11}^*a_{11} + a_{21}^*a_{21} \in \mathcal{J}_1$ and $z_{22} = 1 + b_{12}^*b_{12} + a_{22}^*a_{22} + a_{12}^*a_{12} \in \mathcal{J}_2$. Clearly no nontrivial positive functional can vanish on z_{ii} and hence on \mathcal{J}_i . This implies $\mathcal{J}_i = \mathcal{A}$ for $i = 1, 2$ (see [8], (4.7.14)). Now let $x = (x_{ij}) \in \mathcal{J}$ with $x_{11} = 1$ and let $y = (y_{ij})$ with $y_{12} = y_{22} = 0$. Then yx is in \mathcal{J} and has (y_{11}, y_{21}) as its first column. Similarly one shows that \mathcal{J} contains elements with given second column.

Now consider again \mathcal{L} and let $x \in \mathcal{L}$ with $x_{11} = 1$. Multiplying x from the left by $f = (f_{ij})$, $f_{11} = 1$, $f_{ij} = 0$ otherwise, we may assume that $x_{21} = x_{22} = 0$. Define $b = (b_{ij})$ by $b_{11} = b_{22} = 1$, $b_{12} = -x_{12}$ and $b_{21} = 0$, $c = ab$. We have $\mathcal{M}(b^*b + c^*c) = \mathcal{M}b^*(1 + a^*a)b = \mathcal{L}b$. It follows that $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{M}(b^*b + c^*c)$ contains $xb = f$. From this one derives easily that every matrix with second column equal to zero is contained in \mathcal{J} . But we know already that arbitrary second columns occur in elements from \mathcal{J} . This implies that \mathcal{J} contains all matrices, i.e., $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{M}$. Since b is regular, also $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{M}$.

2. Now let n be arbitrary. By induction it follows that all $\mathcal{A}^{[2^l]}$ are symmetric. For $n \leq 2^l$, $\mathcal{A}^{[n]}$ can be considered as subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{[2^l]}$, thus [8], (4.7.7) $\mathcal{A}^{[n]}$ is symmetric.

3. If \mathcal{A} has no unit, the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = (1) \oplus \mathcal{A}$ is symmetric

[8], (4.7.9) and contains \mathcal{A} . It follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{[n]}$ is symmetric and contains $\mathcal{A}^{[n]}$, which in turn is symmetric. Thus Theorem 1 is proved.

Now let G be a locally compact group which acts on the involutive Banach algebra \mathcal{A} . This means that there exists a mapping T of G into the group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ of isometric *-automorphisms of \mathcal{A} , such that $T_x T_y T_{xy}^{-1}$ is contained in the adjoint algebra \mathcal{A}^b of \mathcal{A} (= algebra of "double centralizers") [7]. If P is a unitary 2-cocycle one can form the generalized L^1 -algebra $L^1(G, \mathcal{A}; T, P)$, see [6].

THEOREM 2. *If G is finite and \mathcal{A} is symmetric, then $L^1(G, \mathcal{A}; T, P)$ is symmetric.*

Proof. Let n be the order of G . The matrix algebra $\mathcal{A}^{[n]}$ acts naturally on the n -fold direct sum $n\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{A}$. On the other hand, identifying $\mathcal{L} = L^1(G, \mathcal{A}; T, P)$ and $n\mathcal{A}$ as Banach spaces convolution also defines an action of \mathcal{L} on $n\mathcal{A}$. The formula for the convolution product in \mathcal{L} shows, that for $f \in \mathcal{L}$ the convolution operator on $n\mathcal{A}$ corresponds to the matrix

$$M(f) = (P_{yx^{-1},x} T_{x^{-1}} f(yx^{-1}))_{x,y}.$$

Thus $f \rightarrow M(f)$ is an isomorphism of \mathcal{L} into $\mathcal{A}^{[n]}$. Also $M(f^*) = M(f)^*$ holds. It suffices to prove this only for functions of the form $f(x) = \delta_{x,z} a$ with fixed $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $z \in G$. For such a function the claim is equivalent with the identity

$$(P_{z,y} T_{y^{-1}} a)^* = P_{z^{-1},zy} T_{(zy)^{-1}} P_{z,z^{-1}}^0 T_z a^*$$

which can be proved by using the definitions and relations of [6], esp. (1.2), (1.3) and the definition of $T_{x,y}$ on p. 595.

It follows that \mathcal{L} can be considered as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}^{[n]}$. Hence by Theorem 1 and [8], (4.7.7) symmetry of \mathcal{A} implies that of \mathcal{L} .

THEOREM 3. *Let G be a locally compact group and let H be a closed subgroup of finite index. Then $L^1(G)$ is symmetric if and only if $L^1(H)$ is symmetric.*

Proof. Since H is open in G , $L^1(H)$ is a subalgebra of $L^1(G)$ and consequently is symmetric if $L^1(G)$ is.

Now let $L^1(H)$ be symmetric. The intersection H_0 of the finite number of conjugate subgroups xHx^{-1} of H in G is closed, normal and also of finite index in G . Moreover, $L^1(H_0) = \mathcal{A}$ as we have seen is symmetric. But $L^1(G) \cong L^1(G/H_0, \mathcal{A}; T, P)$ ([6], Satz 5). Therefore, Theorem 3 follows now from Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. D. W. Bailey, *On symmetry on certain group algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **24** (1968), 413-419.
2. W. Glaser, *Symmetrie von verallgemeinerten L^1 -Algebren*, Arch. Math., **20** (1969), 656-660.
3. J. Jenkins, *An amenable group with a nonsymmetric group algebra*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **75** (1969), 45-47.
4. ———, *Nonsymmetric group algebras*, to appear in Studia Math.
5. ———, Manuscript, April 1973.
6. M. Leinert, *Beiträge zur Theorie der verallgemeinerten L^1 -Algebren*, Arch. Math., **21** (1970), 594-600.
7. H. Leptin, *Verallgemeinerte L^1 -Algebren und projektive Darstellungen lokal kompakter Gruppen*, Inventiones Math., **3** (1967), 257-281, *ibid.*, **4** (1967), 68-86.
8. C. E. Rickart, *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 53, No. 1

March, 1974

Martin Bartelt, <i>Strongly unique best approximates to a function on a set, and a finite subset thereof</i>	1
S. J. Bernau, <i>Theorems of Korovkin type for L_p-spaces</i>	11
S. J. Bernau and Howard E. Lacey, <i>The range of a contractive projection on an L_p-space</i>	21
Marilyn Breen, <i>Decomposition theorems for 3-convex subsets of the plane</i>	43
Ronald Elroy Bruck, Jr., <i>A common fixed point theorem for a commuting family of nonexpansive mappings</i>	59
Aiden A. Bruen and J. C. Fisher, <i>Blocking sets and complete k-arcs</i>	73
R. Creighton Buck, <i>Approximation properties of vector valued functions</i>	85
Mary Rodriguez Embry and Marvin Rosenblum, <i>Spectra, tensor products, and linear operator equations</i>	95
Edward William Formanek, <i>Maximal quotient rings of group rings</i>	109
Barry J. Gardner, <i>Some aspects of T-nilpotence</i>	117
Juan A. Gatica and William A. Kirk, <i>A fixed point theorem for k-set-contractions defined in a cone</i>	131
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Localization and splitting in hereditary noetherian prime rings</i>	137
James Victor Herod, <i>Generators for evolution systems with quasi continuous trajectories</i>	153
C. V. Hinkle, <i>The extended centralizer of an S-set</i>	163
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>Lifting Brauer characters of p-solvable groups</i>	171
Bruce R. Johnson, <i>Generalized Lerch zeta function</i>	189
Erwin Kleinfeld, <i>A generalization of $(-1, 1)$ rings</i>	195
Horst Leptin, <i>On symmetry of some Banach algebras</i>	203
Paul Weldon Lewis, <i>Strongly bounded operators</i>	207
Arthur Larry Lieberman, <i>Spectral distribution of the sum of self-adjoint operators</i>	211
I. J. Maddox and Michael A. L. Willey, <i>Continuous operators on paranormed spaces and matrix transformations</i>	217
James Dolan Reid, <i>On rings on groups</i>	229
Richard Miles Schori and James Edward West, <i>Hyperspaces of graphs are Hilbert cubes</i>	239
William H. Specht, <i>A factorization theorem for p-constrained groups</i>	253
Robert L Thele, <i>Iterative techniques for approximation of fixed points of certain nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces</i>	259
Tim Eden Traynor, <i>An elementary proof of the lifting theorem</i>	267
Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler and William Jennings Wickless, <i>Completely decomposable groups which admit only nilpotent multiplications</i>	273
Raymond O'Neil Wells, Jr, <i>Comparison of de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology for proper surjective mappings</i>	281
David Lee Wright, <i>The non-minimality of induced central representations</i>	301
Bertram Yood, <i>Commutativity properties in Banach $*$-algebras</i>	307