

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

STRONGLY BOUNDED OPERATORS

PAUL WELDON LEWIS

STRONGLY BOUNDED OPERATORS

PAUL W. LEWIS

Recently Dobrakov, Batt and Berg, and Brooks and Lewis have studied the class of strongly bounded operators on continuous function spaces in detail. In many cases, these operators coincide with the weakly compact operators and therefore form a norm-closed two-sided ideal; and it is known in general that the strongly bounded operators form a norm-closed left ideal. In this note an example is presented which shows that the strongly bounded operators do not form a right ideal.

Let each of E and F denote a B -space, let H denote a locally compact Hausdorff space, and let $\mathbf{B} \equiv C_0(H, E)$ be the B -space (under sup norm) of all continuous E -valued functions defined on H which vanish at infinity. It is known that if an operator (=continuous linear transformation) L maps \mathbf{B} into F and $\Sigma = \Sigma(H)$ is the Borel σ -algebra of subsets of H , then there is a unique weakly regular finitely additive representing measure $m: \Sigma \rightarrow B(E, F^{**})$ so that $L(f) = \int f dm$, $f \in \mathbf{B}$, e.g., see Batt and Berg [1] and Brooks and Lewis [3] for further details.

The representing measure $m: \Sigma \rightarrow B(E, F^{**})$ is said to be *strongly bounded* (s -bounded) provided that if (A_i) is a disjoint sequence, then $\tilde{m}(A_i) \rightarrow 0$, where \tilde{m} denotes the semivariation of m ; an operator will be called s -bounded if its representing measure is s -bounded. Equivalent formulations are given in the following lemma, which we state for reference purposes. For the details of the proof, one may consult Brooks and Lewis [3].

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that m is a representing measure, $m \leftrightarrow L$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) m is s -bounded;
- (b) $\tilde{m}(A_i) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $A_i \searrow \emptyset$;
- (c) $\{\|m_z\|; z \in F_1^*\}$ is conditionally weakly compact in $ca(\Sigma, E^*)$;
- (d) $\Sigma m(A_i)x_i$ converges (in F) for each disjoint sequence (A_i) and $(x_i) \subset E_1$ (=closed unit ball of E);
- (e) If $(A_i) \subset \Sigma$ and $A_i \searrow \emptyset$, then there is a nested sequence U_n of open sets so that $A_n \subset U_n$ and $L(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for each sequence (f_n) so that $\text{support } (f_n) \subset U_n$, $\|f_n\| \leq 1$.

The class of s -bounded operators which we denote by S has been studied in [1], [2], [3], and [5], with the sharpest results being

presented in § 4 of [3]. In particular, if E is reflexive, then $L \in S$ if and only if L is weakly compact. Dobrakov proved in [5] that if $F = B$, then S is a norm-closed left ideal. Part (e) of Lemma 1 also provides a simple proof of this fact. However, the question of whether S is a two-sided ideal is apparently open; the following example answers this question in the negative and thereby settles a problem raised in [3] and [5]. Consequently, while S coincides with the weakly compact operators in many cases, in general it differs not only in terms of mapping properties but also in terms of algebraic structure.

EXAMPLE. For each positive integer n , let E^{2n+1} denote Euclidean $2n + 1$ dimensional space equipped with the l^1 -norm, and let E be the substitution or product space $P_{l^\infty}(E^{2n+1})$ [4, p. 31]. Let e_{i,j_i} be that point in E with 1 in the j_i -component of the i th coordinate and 0 otherwise. For n a positive integer, set $A_n = (1/(n + 1), 1/n)$ and partition A_n into $2n + 1$ disjoint subintervals of equal length. Denote these sets by a_{n,i_n} , $1 \leq i_n \leq 2n + 1$. Equip $\Gamma = \{a_{i,j_i}: i \geq 1, 1 \leq j_i \leq 2i + 1\}$ with the discrete topology, and let H denote the one-point compactification of this set, with the point at infinity denoted by $a_{0,0}$. Let ν denote Lebesgue measure, where we put $\nu(a_{0,0}) = 0$; and if $A \in \Sigma(H)$, then define $\lambda(A) = \Sigma \nu(a_{i,j})$, $a_{i,j} \subset A$. Notice that if $x \in E$ and we put $x(t) = x$ for each $t \in H$, then E is isometrically isomorphic to a subspace of $C(H, E)$. Now define $L: C(H, E) \rightarrow E \subset C(H, E)$ by setting $L(f) = \int f d\lambda$; then L is an operator, $L(x) = x$ for each $x \in E$, and L is s -bounded since λ is countably additive with finite total variation. However, L is not weakly compact since E is not reflexive and thus $E_1 = L(C(H, E)_1)$ is not weakly compact.

If $S_E(\Sigma(\Gamma))$ denotes the E -valued simple functions and $U_E(\Sigma(\Gamma))$ denotes their uniform closure, then $C_0(\Gamma, E) \subset \overline{S_E(\Sigma(\Gamma))} = U_E(\Sigma(\Gamma))$. Therefore, to define an operator U on $C_0(\Gamma, E)$, it suffices to define U on $S_E(\Sigma(\Gamma))$. If ξ_A denotes the characteristic function of $A \in \Sigma(\Gamma)$ and $x = (x_{i,j_i}) \in E$, then we define $U(\xi_A x)$ by the following equation:

$$P_{i,j_i}(U(\xi_A x)) \begin{cases} x_{i,j_i} & \text{if } a_{i,j_i} \in A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where P_{i,j_i} is the projection on the j_i -component of the i th coordinate. Now extend U by linearity to all of $S_E(\Sigma(\Gamma))$; it is not difficult to see that U is well-defined, linear, and $\|U\| \leq 1$. Hence we may consider U to be defined on all of $C_0(\Gamma, E)$.

Then if $f \in C(H, E)$, let $f_0(\cdot) = f(\cdot) - f(a_{0,0}) \in C_0(\Gamma, E)$, and define $V(f)$ to be $U(f_0)$. It follows that V is linear and continuous. Further, if μ is the representing measure for V , then $L \circ \mu$ is the representing measure for $L \circ V$, and $\sum_{i=1}^\infty L[\mu(\{a_{i,1_i}\})e_{i,1_i}] = \sum L[U(\xi_{\{a_{i,1_i}\}})e_{i,1_i}] =$

$\sum L(e_{i,1_i}) = \sum e_{i,1_i}$, and this series clearly does not converge in E . Thus $L \circ V$ is not s -bounded. In fact, the representing measure for $L \circ V$ is not even countably additive. For if $\varphi \in E_1$ so that $P_{i,1_i}(\varphi) = 1$ for each i , then $\sum L[\mu(\{a_{i,1_i}\})\varphi] = \sum L(e_{i,1_i})$, a divergent series.

REFERENCES

1. Jurgen Batt and E. Jeffrey Berg, *Linear bounded transformations on the space of continuous functions*, J. Functional Analysis, **4** (1969), 215-239.
2. James K. Brooks and Paul W. Lewis, *Operators on function spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **78** (1972), 697-701.
3. ———, *Linear operators and vector measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **192** (1974), 139-162.
4. M. M. Day, *Normed Linear Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1962.
5. I. Dobrakov, *On representation of linear operators on $C_0(T, X)$* , Czech. Math. J., **21** (96) (1971), 13-30.

Received May 15, 1973.

NORTH TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Martin Bartelt, <i>Strongly unique best approximates to a function on a set, and a finite subset thereof</i>	1
S. J. Bernau, <i>Theorems of Korovkin type for L_p-spaces</i>	11
S. J. Bernau and Howard E. Lacey, <i>The range of a contractive projection on an L_p-space</i>	21
Marilyn Breen, <i>Decomposition theorems for 3-convex subsets of the plane</i>	43
Ronald Elroy Bruck, Jr., <i>A common fixed point theorem for a commuting family of nonexpansive mappings</i>	59
Aiden A. Bruen and J. C. Fisher, <i>Blocking sets and complete k-arcs</i>	73
R. Creighton Buck, <i>Approximation properties of vector valued functions</i>	85
Mary Rodriguez Embry and Marvin Rosenblum, <i>Spectra, tensor products, and linear operator equations</i>	95
Edward William Formanek, <i>Maximal quotient rings of group rings</i>	109
Barry J. Gardner, <i>Some aspects of T-nilpotence</i>	117
Juan A. Gatica and William A. Kirk, <i>A fixed point theorem for k-set-contractions defined in a cone</i>	131
Kenneth R. Goodearl, <i>Localization and splitting in hereditary noetherian prime rings</i>	137
James Victor Herod, <i>Generators for evolution systems with quasi continuous trajectories</i>	153
C. V. Hinkle, <i>The extended centralizer of an S-set</i>	163
I. Martin (Irving) Isaacs, <i>Lifting Brauer characters of p-solvable groups</i>	171
Bruce R. Johnson, <i>Generalized Lerch zeta function</i>	189
Erwin Kleinfeld, <i>A generalization of $(-1, 1)$ rings</i>	195
Horst Leptin, <i>On symmetry of some Banach algebras</i>	203
Paul Weldon Lewis, <i>Strongly bounded operators</i>	207
Arthur Larry Lieberman, <i>Spectral distribution of the sum of self-adjoint operators</i>	211
I. J. Maddox and Michael A. L. Willey, <i>Continuous operators on paranormed spaces and matrix transformations</i>	217
James Dolan Reid, <i>On rings on groups</i>	229
Richard Miles Schori and James Edward West, <i>Hyperspaces of graphs are Hilbert cubes</i>	239
William H. Specht, <i>A factorization theorem for p-constrained groups</i>	253
Robert L. Thele, <i>Iterative techniques for approximation of fixed points of certain nonlinear mappings in Banach spaces</i>	259
Tim Eden Traynor, <i>An elementary proof of the lifting theorem</i>	267
Charles Irvin Vinsonhaler and William Jennings Wickless, <i>Completely decomposable groups which admit only nilpotent multiplications</i>	273
Raymond O'Neil Wells, Jr., <i>Comparison of de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology for proper surjective mappings</i>	281
David Lee Wright, <i>The non-minimality of induced central representations</i>	301
Bertram Yood, <i>Commutativity properties in Banach $*$-algebras</i>	307