Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CONTINUOUS OPERATORS ON PARANORMED SPACES AND MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

I. J. Maddox and Michael A. L. Willey

Vol. 53, No. 1 March 1974

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 53, No. 1, 1974

CONTINUOUS OPERATORS ON PARANORMED SPACES AND MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

IVOR J. MADDOX AND MICHAEL A. L. WILLEY

The concept of a paranormed β-space is defined and some theorems of Banach-Steinhaus type are proved for sequences of continuous linear functionals on such a space. For example, necessary and sufficient conditions are given for a sequence $(A_n(x))$ of continuous linear functionals to be in the space of generalized entire sequences, for each x belonging to a paranormed β-space. The general theorems are then used to characterize matrix transformations between generalized l_p spaces and generalized entire sequences.

1. In § 2 we present theorems which generalize some results in [10]. These theorems are applied in § 3 to characterize some classes of matrix transformations. By N, R and C we denote respectively, the sets of natural numbers, real numbers, and complex numbers. By a sequence (x_k) we mean $(x_1, x_2, \cdots)$, and by $\Sigma_k x_k$ we mean $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$.

X will denote a nontrivial complex linear space of elements x, with zero element θ and with paranorm g, i.e. $g: X \to R$ satisfies $g(\theta) = 0$, $g(x) = g(-x)$ on X, g is subadditive, and, for $\lambda \in C$ and $x \in X$, $\lambda \to \lambda_0$ and $g(x - x_0) \to 0$ imply $g(\lambda x - \lambda_0 x_0) \to 0$, where $\lambda_0 \in C$ and $x_0 \in X$.

Extending the definitions of Sargent in [8], we can define a paranormed β-space as follows. Let (X_n) be a sequence of subsets of X such that $\theta \in X_1$ and such that if $x, y \in X_n$ then $x \pm y \in X_{n+1}$ for $n \in N$; then (X_n) is called an α-sequence in X. If we can write $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$, where (X_n) is an α-sequence in X and each X_n is nowhere dense in X, then X is called an α-space; otherwise X is a β-space. Clearly, every α-space is of the first category, whence we see that any complete paranormed space is a β-space.

If $Y \subset X$ then we denote the closure of Y in X by $\bar{Y}$. We write, for any $a \in X$ and $\delta > 0$, $S(a, \delta) = \{x: x \in X \text{ and } g(x - a) < \delta\}$. A subset G of X is called a fundamental set in X if $l.\,\text{hull}\,(G)$, the set of all finite linear combinations of elements of G, is dense in X. A sequence (b_k) of elements of X is said to be a basis in X if for each $x \in X$ there is a unique complex sequence (λ_k) such that $g(x - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k b_k) \to 0 (n \to \infty)$. Thus any basis in X is also a fundamental set in X.

We denote the set of continuous linear functionals on X by X^*. A linear functional A on X is an element of X^* if and only if

$$\| A \|_M \equiv \sup \left\{ | A(x) | : g(x) \leqq \frac{1}{M} \right\} < \infty \text{ for some } M > 1 .$$

If X is a space of complex sequences $x = (x_k)$, then we denote the generalized Köthe-Toeplitz dual of X by $X^\dagger$, i.e.

$$X^\dagger = \{(\alpha_k): \Sigma_k \alpha_k x_k \text{ converges for every } x \in X\} .$$

We now list some sets of complex sequences due to Maddox [4]. If $p = (p_k)$ is a sequence of strictly positive real numbers, then

$$l_\infty(p) = \{x: \sup_k | x_k |^{p_k} < \infty\} ,$$

$$c_0(p) = \{x: \lim_k | x_k |^{p_k} = 0\} ,$$

$$c(p) = \{x: \lim_k | x_k - l |^{p_k} = 0 \text{ for some } l \in C\} ,$$

$$l(p) = \{x: \Sigma_k | x_k |^{p_k} < \infty\} .$$

We write $e^{(k)} = (0, 0, \cdots, 1, 0, 0, \cdots)$, the 1 occurring in the k^{th} place, for each $k \in N$, and $e = (1, 1, 1, \cdots)$, and we write $l_\infty = l_\infty(e)$, $c_0 = c_0(e)$, $c = c(e)$, and $l_1 = l(e)$.

The case $p = (1/k)$ of $c_0(p)$ is of particular interest, since the function defined by $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k$, $z \in C$, is an entire function if and only if $(\alpha_k) \in c_0(1/k)$. Work on the space of entire functions has been carried out, by V. Ganapathy Iyer in [2] and in other papers, and by other authors, using this correspondence with $c_0(1/k)$. It is shown in [2] that $c_0(1/k)^\dagger = l_\infty(1/k)$.

Now we collect some known results which will be useful in what follows.

LEMMA 1. *$l(p)$ is a linear space if and only if p is bounded.* (See [4], Theorem 1, and [7], Theorem 1.)

LEMMA 2. *If p is bounded with $H = \max(\sup p_k, 1)$, then $g(x) = (\Sigma_k | x_k |^{p_k})^{1/H}$ defines a paranorm on $l(p)$, $l(p)$ is complete under g, and $(e^{(k)})$ is a basis in $l(p)$.* (See [5], Theorem 1 and Corollary 1, and [7].)

LEMMA 3. (i) *If $1 < p_k \leqq H$ and $p_k^{-1} + s_k^{-1} = 1$ for each $k \in N$, then*

$$l(p)^\dagger = \{(\alpha_k): \Sigma_k | \alpha_k |^{s_k} \cdot M^{-s_k} < \infty \text{ for some } M > 1\} .$$

(ii) *If $0 < p_k \leqq 1$ for all $k \in N$ then $l(p)^\dagger = l_\infty(p)$.*
(See [6], Theorem 1, and [9], Theorem 7.)

LEMMA 4. *If either $1 < p_k \leqq H$ for all k, or $0 < p_k \leqq 1$ for all*

k, then every $A \in l(p)^*$ may be written as $A(x) \equiv \Sigma_k \alpha_k x_k$ on $l(p)$ for some $(\alpha_k) \in l(p)^\dagger$, and conversely $A(x) \equiv \Sigma_k \alpha_k x_k$ defines an element of $l(p)^*$ for each $(\alpha_k) \in l(p)^\dagger$. (See [6], Theorem 2, and [9], Theorem 7.)

Given sets Y and Z of sequences and a matrix $A = (a_{n,k})$ of complex numbers $(n, k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ we say that $A \in (Y, Z)$ if and only if $\Sigma_k a_{n,k} y_k$ converges for every $y = (y_k) \in Y$ and $n \in N$, and $(\Sigma_k a_{n,k} y_k) \in Z$ for every $y \in Y$.

We shall frequently use the following inequalities. Take $x, y \in C$; if $0 < p \leqq 1$ then

$$|x|^p - |y|^p \leqq |x + y|^p \leqq |x|^p + |y|^p ,$$

and if $p > 1$ and $p^{-1} + s^{-1} = 1$ then

$$|xy| \leqq |x|^p + |y|^s .$$

2. For the remainder of this paper, $q = (q_n)$ will denote a sequence of strictly positive real numbers. If q is bounded with $H = \max(\sup q_n, 1)$ then it follows by Lemma 1 of [4] that $c_0(q) = c_0(H^{-1}q)$; similarly $l_\infty(q) = l_\infty(H^{-1}q)$ and $c(q) = c(H^{-1}q)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a paranormed space and let (A_n) be a sequence of elements of X^*, and suppose q is bounded. Then*

$$(1) \qquad \sup_n (\| A_n \|_M)^{q_n} < \infty \text{ for some } M > 1$$

implies

$$(2) \qquad (A_n(x)) \in l_\infty(q) \text{ for every } x \in X ,$$

and the converse is true if X is a β-space.

Proof. In view of the remarks at the beginning of this section, we may without loss of generality assume that $q_n \leqq 1$ fore all $n \in N$. First let (1) hold, and choose any $x \in X$. By the continuity of scalar multiplication in a paranormed space, there is a $K \geqq 1$ such that $g(K^{-1}x) \leqq 1/M$, where the M is that of (1). Then we have for any n, since $q_n \leqq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n(x)|^{q_n} = |KA_n(K^{-1}x)|^{q_n} &\leqq K^{q_n}(\| A_n \|_M)^{q_n} \\ &\leqq K \sup_n (\| A_n \|_M)^{q_n} , \end{aligned}$$

so that (2) holds.

Now let (2) hold, with X a β-space, and define for any $m \in N$,

$$X_m = \{x : x \in X \text{ and } |A_n(x)|^{q_n} \leqq 2^m \text{ for all } n \in N\} .$$

Then (X_m) is an α-sequence in X, for obviously $\theta \in X_1$, and if for

some $m \geqq 1$, $x, y \in X_m$ then, since $q_n \leqq 1$ for every n,

$$| A_n(x \pm y) |^{q_n} \leqq | A_n(x) |^{q_n} + | A_n(y) |^{q_n} \leqq 2^{m+1}$$

for any $n \in N$. Also $X = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m$, so since X is a β-space there exists a $B \in N$ such that X_B is not nowhere dense. Using the continuity of the A_n, it is not difficult to show that $\bar{X}_m = X_m$ for every m, whence there is a sphere $S(a, \delta) \subset X_B$. Thus if $g(x - a) < \delta$ we have $| A_n(x) |^{q_n} \leqq 2^B$ for all n, so if $g(x) < \delta$ we have

$$| A_n(x) |^{q_n} \leqq | A_n(x + a) |^{q_n} + | A_n(a) |^{q_n} \leqq 2^{B+1} \text{ for all } n.$$

Taking $M > \delta^{-1}$ we obtain (1).

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a paranormed space and let (A_n) be a sequence of elements of X^*.*

(i) *If X has fundamental set G and if q is bounded, then the following propositions*

$$(A_n(b)) \in c_0(q) \text{ for every } b \in G , \tag{3}$$

$$\lim_M \limsup_n (|| A_n ||_M)^{q_n} = 0 , \tag{4}$$

together imply

$$(A_n(x)) \in c_0(q) \text{ for every } x \in X . \tag{5}$$

(ii) *If $q_n \to 0 (n \to \infty)$ then* (4) *implies* (5).

(iii) *Let X be a β-space; then* (5) *implies* (4) *even if q is unbounded.*

Proof. (i) Again, we may without loss of generality assume that $q_n \leqq 1$ for every $n \in N$. Let X have fundamental set G, and suppose (3) and (4) hold. Choose any $x \in X$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. There exist $M > 1$ and n_0 such that $(|| A_n ||_M)^{q_n} < \varepsilon/2$ for all $n \geqq n_0$, by (4). Since $l \cdot \text{hull } (G)$ is dense in X there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_m \in C$ and $b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_m \in G$ such that $g(x - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k b_k) < 1/M$, and we write $L = \max(|\lambda_1|, \cdots, |\lambda_m|, 1)$. Then by (3) there is an $n_1 \geqq n_0$ such that $| A_n(b_k) |^{q_n} < \varepsilon/(2Lm)$, $k = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, if $n \geqq n_1$, whence if $n \geqq n_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} | A_n(x) |^{q_n} &= \left| A_n\Big(x - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k b_k\Big) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k A_n(b_k) \right|^{q_n} \\ &\leqq \left| A_n\Big(x - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k b_k\Big) \right|^{q_n} + L \sum_{k=1}^{m} | A_n(b_k) |^{q_n} \\ &\leqq (|| A_n ||_M)^{q_n} + mL \cdot \varepsilon/(2Lm) < \varepsilon ; \end{aligned}$$

thus (5) holds.

(ii) Suppose (4) holds and $q \in c_0$, and choose any $x \in X$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$. There is an $M > 1$ and an n_0 such that $(|| A_n ||_M)^{q_n} < \varepsilon/2$ if $n \geqq n_0$, and since scalar multiplication is continuous on X there is a $K \geqq 1$ such that $g(K^{-1}x) \leqq 1/M$. Then we can choose $n_1 \geqq n_0$ such that $K^{q_n} \leqq 2$ if $n \geqq n_1$ whence if $n \geqq n_1$

$$| A_n(x) |^{q_n} = K^{q_n} | A_n(K^{-1}x) |^{q_n} < \varepsilon ,$$

so that (5) is true.

(iii) Let X be a β-space and suppose (5) is true. We define sequences (B_n), (C_n) of elements of X^* and sequences $r = (r_n)$, $s = (s_n)$ of strictly positive real numbers as follows. If $q_n \geqq 1$ then define $B_n = A_n$, $C_n = 0$, $r_n = q_n$, and $s_n = 1$; if $q_n < 1$ write $B_n = 0$, $C_n = A_n$, $r_n = 1$, and $s_n = q_n$. Then $(B_n(x)) \in c_0(r)$ and $(C_n(x)) \in c_0(s)$ on X; $\sup_n s_n \leqq 1$, and $r_n \geqq 1$ for all $n \in N$. Also, $(|| A_n ||_M)^{q_n} = (|| B_n ||_M)^{r_n} + (|| C_n ||_M)^{s_n}$ for all large enough M, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, whence

$$\lim_M \limsup_n (|| A_n ||_M)^{q_n} \leqq \lim_M \limsup_n (|| B_n ||_M)^{r_n} + \lim_M \limsup_n (|| C_n ||_M)^{s_n} .$$

Choose any $\varepsilon > 0$, and define for each $m \in N$

$$X_m = \{x : x \in X \text{ and } | 2^{-m} C_n(x) |^{s_n} \leqq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ for all } n \geqq m\} .$$

Clearly $\theta \in X_1$, and if for some $m \in N$ we have $x, y \in X_m$ then for $n \geqq m + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} | 2^{-(m+1)} C_n(x \pm y) |^{s_n} &\leqq (| 2^{-(m+1)} C_n(x) | + | 2^{-(m+1)} C_n(y) |)^{s_n} \\ &\leqq (2 \max (| 2^{-(m+1)} C_n(x) |, | 2^{-(m+1)} C_n(y) |))^{s_n} \\ &= \max (| 2^{-m} C_n(x) |^{s_n}, | 2^{-m} C_n(y) |^{s_n}) \leqq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} ; \end{aligned}$$

thus (X_m) is an α-sequence in X. Also $X = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m$ and $X_m = \bar{X}_m$ for all $m \in N$ whence, since X is a β-space, some X_B contains a sphere $S(a, \delta)$. Then if $g(x) < \delta$ we deduce that $| 2^{-B} C_n(x) |^{s_n} \leqq \varepsilon$ for $n \geqq B$. Write $\rho = 2^{-B}\delta$ and choose $M > \rho^{-1}$; then by the subadditivity of g we have $g(2^B x) < \delta$ if $g(x) < \rho$. Hence if $g(x) \leqq 1/M$ we have

$$| C_n(x) |^{s_n} = | 2^{-B} C_n(2^B x) |^{s_n} \leqq \varepsilon \text{ if } n \geqq B ,$$

and since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary we obtain $\lim_M \limsup_n (|| C_n ||_M)^{s_n} = 0$.

Now $(B_n(x)) \in c_0(r)$ on X implies $(B_n(x)) \in c_0$ on X. For suppose if possible that for some sequence $(n(i))$ of integers and some $x \in X$ $\inf | B_{n(i)}(x) | = \alpha > 0$; then $| B_{n(i)}(\alpha^{-1}x) |^{r_{n(i)}} \geqq 1$ for all i, contrary to hypothesis. By the argument used above we deduce that

$$\lim_M \limsup_n || B_n ||_M = 0 ,$$

whence since $r_n \geqq 1$ for all n, $\lim_M \limsup_n (\| B_n \|_M)^{r_n} = 0$. By our earlier remarks, (4) now follows.

THEOREM 3. *Let X be a paranormed space and let (A_n) be a sequence of element of X^* and suppose q is bounded.*

(i) *If X has fundamental set G, and if there is an $l \in X^*$ such that $(A_n(b) - l(b)) \in c_0(q)$ for all $b \in G$ and*

$$\lim_M \limsup_n (\| A_n - l \|_M)^{q_n} = 0 , \tag{6}$$

then

$$(A_n(x)) \in c(q) \text{ on } X . \tag{7}$$

(ii) *If $q_n \to 0 (n \to \infty)$ and if there is an $l \in X^*$ such that (6) holds, then (7) is true.*

(iii) *If X is a β-space and if (7) is true, then there is an $l \in X^*$ such that (6) holds.*

Proof. (i) If the hypotheses hold, then $A_n - l \in X^*$ for every $n \in N$ whence by part (i) of Theorem 2 $((A_n - l)(x)) \in c_0(q)$ on X; thus (7) is true.

(ii) Follows similarly from Theorem 2(ii).

(iii) Suppose (7) holds; then for some l we have $|A_n(x) - l(x)|^{q_n} \to 0 (n \to \infty)$ on X. We deduce that $l(x) = \lim_n A_n(x)$ on X and $\sup_n |A_n(x)| < \infty$ on X. Then by Theorem 1 we have $\sup_n \| A_n \|_M < \infty$ for some $M > 1$, whence $\| l \|_M < \infty$. Clearly l must be linear, so that $l \in X^*$. Thus $A_n - l \in X^*$ for each $n \in N$, and by hypothesis $((A_n - l)(x)) \in c_0(q)$ on X, so by Theorem 2(iii), (6) must be true.

3. We now apply the theorems above in characterizing the classes $(l(p), l_\infty(q))$, $(l(p), c_0(q))$, and $(l(p), c(q))$ in the case when both p and q are bounded. Throughout, $A = (a_{n,k})$ will denote an infinite matrix of complex numbers. As a preliminary, we state Theorem 1 of [3]:

THEOREM 4. (i) *Let $1 < p_k \leqq H < \infty$ and $p_k^{-1} + s_k^{-1} = 1$ for every k. Then $A \in (l(p), l_\infty)$ if and only if there exists an integer $B > 1$ such that $\sup_n \Sigma_k |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k} < \infty$.*

(ii) *Let $0 < p_k \leqq 1$ for every k. Then $A \in (l(p), l_\infty)$ if and only if $\sup_{n,k} |a_{n,k}|^{p_k} < \infty$.*

In the proofs of the following results, as in earlier ones, we may without loss of generality assume that $q_n \leqq 1$ for all $n \in N$, and we shall do so when convenient.

We first consider the case when $0 < p_k \leqq 1$ for all $k \in N$.

THEOREM 5. *Suppose* $0 < p_k \leqq 1$ *for all* $k \in N$, *and* $q = (q_n)$ *is bounded. Then,*

(i) $A \in (l(p), l_\infty(q))$ *if and only if*

$$\sup_n (\sup_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k})^{q_n} < \infty \text{ for some } M > 1 . \tag{8}$$

(ii) $A \in (l(p), c_0(q))$ *if and only if*

$$|a_{n,k}|^{q_n} \to 0 (n \to \infty) \text{ for every } k \in N \tag{9}$$

and

$$\lim_M \limsup_n (\sup_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k})^{q_n} = 0 . \tag{10}$$

(iii) $A \in (l(p), c(q))$ *if and only if* $\sup_n \sup_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k} < \infty$ *for some* $M > 1$ *and there exist* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots$ *such that*

$$|a_{n,k} - \alpha_k|^{q_n} \to 0 (n \to \infty) \text{ for each } k \in N \tag{11}$$

and

$$\lim_M \limsup_n (\sup_k |a_{n,k} - \alpha_k| M^{-1/p_k})^{q_n} = 0 . \tag{12}$$

Proof. Write, for each $x \in l(p)$ and each $n \in N$

$$A_n(x) \equiv \Sigma_k a_{n,k} x_k . \tag{13}$$

(i) Let $A \in (l(p), l_\infty(q))$; then for each n, $(a_{n,1}, a_{n,2}, \cdots) \in l(p)^\dagger = l_\infty(p)$, by Lemma 3(ii). Also, by Lemma 4, $A_n \in l(p)^*$ for each $n \in N$. We show that for each n, $\|A_n\|_M = \sup_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k}$ for all M such that $\|A_n\|_M$ is defined. Choose any $n \in N$. First, if M is such that, for some sequence $(k(i))$ of integers, $|a_{n,k(i)}| M^{-1/p_{k(i)}} \geqq i$ for each $i \in N$, then by defining $x^{(k(i))} = (M^{-1/p_{k(i)}} \operatorname{sgn} a_{n,k(i)}) e^{(k(i))}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots$, we see that $\|A_n\|_M$ is undefined. Since $(a_{n,1}, a_{n,2}, \cdots) \in l_\infty(p)$ there is an $M_n \geqq 1$ such that $|a_{n,k}|^{p_k} \leqq M_n$ for all k. Choose $M \geqq M_n$. We have if $g(x) = \Sigma_k |x_k|^{p_k} \leqq 1/M$, since $M^{1/p_k} |x_k| \leqq 1$ for all k and since $\sup_k p_k \leqq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n(x)| &\leqq \Sigma_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k} \cdot M^{1/p_k} |x_k| \\ &\leqq \Sigma_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k} \cdot M |x_k|^{p_k} \\ &\leqq M g(x) \sup_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k} , \end{aligned}$$

whence $\|A_n\|_M \leqq \sup_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k}$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose an m such that $|a_{n,m}| M^{-1/p_k} > \sup_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k} - \varepsilon$. Defining $x = (M^{-1/p_k} \operatorname{sgn} a_{n,m}) e^{(m)}$ we have $g(x) \leqq 1/M$ and $A_n(x) > \sup_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k} - \varepsilon$, whence $\|A_n\|_M = \sup_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k}$ as required. By Lemma 2, $l(p)$ is complete, so it is a β-space; thus by Theorem 1 we must have (8).

Conversely let (8) hold. Then as above it follows that for each n, $A_n \in l(p)^*$ with $\|A_n\|_M = \sup_k |a_{n,k}| M^{-1/p_k}$ for all M such that

$\| A_n \|_M$ is defined. Then using Theorem 1 we obtain $(A_n(x)) \in l_\infty(q)$ on $l(p)$, i.e. $A \in (l(p), l_\infty(q))$.

We remark that (8) reduces to $\sup_{n,k} | a_{n,k} |^{p_k} < \infty$ if $0 < \inf q_n \leqq \sup q_n < \infty$, corresponding to the condition given for $A \in (l(p), l_\infty)$ in Theorem 4(ii).

(ii) If $A \in (l(p), c_0(q)) \subset (l(p), l_\infty(q))$ then as above we have $A_n \in X^*$ and $\| A_n \|_M = \sup_k | a_{n,k} | M^{-1/p_k}$ whenever $\| A_n \|_M$ is defined, for each $n \in N$. Then, by Theorem 2(iii), (10) must hold. Also taking $x = e^{(k)} \in l(p)$ $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$ we obtain (9). Conversely if (9) and (10) hold we can show that $A_n \in l(p)^*$ with $\| A_n \|_M = \sup_k | a_{n,k} | M^{-1/p_k}$ whenever $\| A_n \|_M$ is defined, for each $n \in N$; also $(e^{(k)})$ is a basis in $l(p)$ by Lemma 2. Then by Theorem 2(i) we can deduce that $A \in (l(p), c_0(q))$.

(iii) Let $A \in (l(p), c(q))$; then as in (i) and (ii) above we have for each n that $A_n \in X^*$. By Theorem 3(iii) there is an $l \in X^*$ such that $\lim_M \limsup_n (\| A_n - l \|_M)^{q_n} = 0$, and by Lemmas 3(ii) and 4 we can write $l(x) = \Sigma_k \alpha_k x_k$ on $l(p)$ for some $(\alpha_k) \in l_\infty(p)$. We deduce that $\| A_n - l \|_M = \sup_k | a_{n,k} - \alpha_k | M^{-1/p_k}$ for large enough M, $n = 1, 2 \cdots$, whence (12) is true, and (11) must hold since $(A_n - l)(e^{(k)}) = a_{n,k} - \alpha_k$ for each n and k. Also $c(q) \subset l_\infty$ whence $(l(p), (c(q)) \subset (l(p), l_\infty)$; thus by (i) we must have $\sup_k | a_{n,k} | M^{-1/p_k} < \infty$ for some $M > 1$.

Finally, if $\sup_k | a_{n,k} | M^{-1/k_k} < \infty$ for some $M > 1$ then $A_n \in l(p)^*$ for all n. If in addition (11) and (12) hold then for any k we have, if n and M are large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} | \alpha_k | M^{-1/p_k} &\leqq | a_k - a_{n,k} | M^{-1/p_k} + | a_{n,k} | M^{-1/p_k} \\ &\leqq 1 + \sup_n (\sup_k | a_{n,k} | M^{-1/p_k}) = B \text{ say} ; \end{aligned}$$

hence $| \alpha_k |^{p_k} \leqq B^{p_k} \cdot M \leqq BM$ for all k, i.e. $(\alpha_k) \in l_\infty(p) = l(p)^\dagger$. By Lemma 4, $l(x) \equiv \Sigma_k \alpha_k x_k$ defines an element of $l(p)^*$, and the result now follows if we employ the methods used above together with Theorem 3(i).

THEOREM 6. *Suppose* $0 < p_k \leqq 1$ *for all* $k \in N$ *and* $q_n \to 0 (n \to \infty)$. *Then* $A \in l(p), c_0(q))$ *if and only if* (12) *is true.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2, parts (ii) and (iii), on using the methods of Theorem 5.

COROLLARY. (i) $A \in (l_1, c_0(1/n))$ *if and only if* $| a_{n,k} |^{1/n} \to 0$ *uniformly in* k *as* $n \to \infty$.

(ii) $A \in (l_1, l_\infty(1/n))$ *if and only if* $\sup_{n,k} | a_{n,k} |^{1/n} < \infty$.

Proof. These characterizations were given in Theorems 1 and 2 of [1], and follow readily on taking $p = e$ and $q = (1/n)$ in Theorems 5(i) and 6.

Now we consider the case when $1 < p_k \leqq H < \infty$ for all k.

THEOREM 7. *Let* $1 < p_k \leqq H$ *and* $p_k^{-1} + s_k^{-1} = 1$ *for each* $k \in N$, *and let* q *be bounded. Then* $A \in (l(p), l_\infty(q))$ *if and only if*

$$(14) \qquad T(B) \equiv \sup_n \Sigma_k \, |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k/q_n} < \infty \text{ for some } B > 1 .$$

Proof. Define A_n by (13) on $l(p)$, for each $n \in N$. For the sufficiency, let (14) hold. Then if $x \in l(p)$ we have for each n, assuming $q_n \leqq 1$ for all n,

$$\begin{aligned} |A_n(x)|^{q_n} &\leqq (\Sigma_k \, |a_{n,k} x_k|)^{q_n} = (\Sigma_k \, |a_{n,k}| \, B^{-1/q_n} \cdot B^{1/q_n} |x_k|)^{q_n} \\ &\leqq (\Sigma_k \, |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k/q_n} + \Sigma_k \, B^{p_k/q_n} |x_k|^{p_k})^{q_n} \\ &\leqq (T(B))^{q_n} + B^H (g^H(x))^{q_n} \\ &\leqq T(B) + 1 + B^H (g^H(x) + 1) \end{aligned}$$

which implies $A \in (l(p), l_\infty(q))$.

Now let $A \in (l(p), l_\infty(q))$; then $(a_{n,1}, a_{n,2}, \cdots) \in l(p)^\dagger$ for each n and so, by Lemmas 3(i) and 4, $A_n \in l(p)$ for all n. By Theorem 1 there exist $M > 1$ and $G \geqq 1$ such that $|A_n(x)|^{q_n} \leqq G$ for all n and all $x \in l(p)$ with $g(x) \leqq 1/M$. Then $|\Sigma_k \, G^{-1/q_n} \cdot a_{n,k} x_k| \leqq 1$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, if $g(x) \leqq 1/M$. Write $\Gamma = (G^{-1/q_n} a_{n,k})$, and choose any $x \in l(p)$. By the continuity of scalar multiplication on $l(p)$ there is a $K \geqq 1$ such that $g(K^{-1}x) \leqq 1/M$, whence $|\Sigma_k \, G^{-1/q_n} \cdot a_{n,k} x_k| \leqq K$ for all n. Thus we see that $\Gamma \in (l(p), l_\infty)$ and so by Theorem 4(i) there is a $D > 1$ such that $\sup_n \Sigma_k \, |G^{-1/q_n} \cdot a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot D^{-s_k} < \infty$. Writing $B = GD$ and using the fact that $D^{q_n} \leqq D$ for all n, we obtain (14).

Looking at Theorem 4, one might except the necessary and sufficient condition for $A \in (l(p), l_\infty(q))$ to be

$$(15) \qquad \sup_n (\Sigma_k \, |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot M^{-s_k})^{q_n} < \infty \text{ for some } M > 1 .$$

Using the method above we can show that (15) implies $A \in (l(p), l_\infty(q))$. In fact it can be shown that (15) implies (14) directly. For let (15) hold; then for some $B > 1$, $(\Sigma_k \, |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k})^{q_n} \leqq H$ for all n, and we may suppose that $H > 1$. If $q_n \leqq Q$ for all n then

$$(16) \qquad (\Sigma_k \, |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k} \cdot H^{-1/q_n})^{q_n/Q} \leqq 1 \text{ for all } n .$$

Put $M = HB^Q$; then $M^{s_k} = H^{s_k} \cdot B^{Qs_k} \geqq H \cdot B^{q_n s_k}$, whence $M^{s_k/q_n} \geqq H^{1/q_n} \cdot B^{s_k}$ for all k and n. Thus by (16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_k \, |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot M^{-s_k/q_n} &\leqq \Sigma_k \, |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k} \cdot H^{-1/q_n} \\ &\leqq (\Sigma_k \, |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k} \cdot H^{-1/q_n})^{q_n/Q} \leqq 1 \text{ for all } n , \end{aligned}$$

whence $T(M) \leqq 1$, i.e. (14) holds.

Clearly, (14) implies (15) if $\inf_n q_n > 0$ or if $\inf_k p_k > 1$. However, (15) is not necessary for $A \in (l(p), l_\infty(q))$ if $\inf_n q_n = 0$ and $\inf_k p_k = 1$. For choose bounded p and q, with $p_k > 1$ for all k, and suppose there exist sequence $(n(i), (k(j))$ of integers such that $q_{n(i)} \leqq 1/i$, $i = 1, 2\cdots$, and $p_{k(j)} \leqq 1 + 1/j$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots$; then $s_{k(j)} \geqq j + 1$ for each j. Define $a_{n(i),k(j)} = i$, $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots$, and $a_{n,k} = 0$ for all other n and k. Then $A = (a_{n,k}) \in (l(p), l_\infty(q))$ since for all $i \in N$.

$$\Sigma_j \mid a_{n(i),k(j)} \mid^{s_{k(j)}} \cdot 2^{-s_{k(j)}/q_{n(i)}} \leqq \Sigma_j (i/2^i)^{j+1} \leqq 1 ,$$

but for any $M > 1$ we have if $i \geqq M$,

$$(\Sigma_j \mid a_{n(i),k(j)} \mid^{s_{k(j)}} \cdot M^{-s_{k(j)}})^{q_{n(i)}} \geqq (\Sigma_j \mid i/M \mid^{j+1})^{q_{n(i)}} ,$$

which diverges.

THEOREM 8. *Let q be bounded, and let $1 < p_k \leqq H$ and $p_k^{-1} + s_k^{-1} = 1$ for all $k \in N$. Then $A \in (l(p), c_0(q))$ if and only if* (9) *holds and, for every $D \geqq 1$,*

$$\lim_B \limsup_n (\Sigma_k \mid a_{n,k} \mid^{s_k} \cdot D^{s_k/q_n} \cdot B^{-s_k})^{q_n} = 0 . \tag{17}$$

Proof. Again, define A_n on $l(p)$ by (13). First we prove the necessity: let $A \in (l(p), c_0(q))$. Obviously we must have (9), and as in Theorem 7 we see that $A_n \in l(p)^*$ for all n. If $A \in (l(p), c_0(q))$ then $(D^{1/q_n} \cdot a_{n,k}) \in (l(p), c_0(q))$ for all $D > 1$, so it is enough to show that (17) holds for $D = 1$. Since $c_0(q) \subset l_\infty$ and using Theorem 4(i) there is a $B > 1$ such that $T_n \equiv \Sigma_k \mid a_{n,k} \mid^{s_k} \cdot B^{-Hs_k} \leqq 1$ for every $n \in N$. Choose any n, and define $x_k^{(n)} = B^{-Hs_k} \mid a_{n,k} \mid^{s_k - 1} \operatorname{sgn} a_{n,k}$ for each k; then

$$g^H(x^{(n)}) = \Sigma_k B^{-Hs_k - Hp_k} \mid a_{n,k} \mid^{s_k} \leqq B^{-H} T_n \leqq B^{-H}$$

and $A_n(x^{(n)}) = T_n$, whence $\| A_n \|_B \geqq T_n$ for each n. By Theorem 2(iii) we must have $\lim_B \limsup_n (\| A_n \|_B)^{q_n} = 0$, whence (17) holds with $D = 1$.

For the sufficiency, let (9) be true and let (17) hold for all $D \geqq 1$. It follows that $A_n \in l(p)^*$ for all $n \in N$. Since $(e^{(k)})$ is a basis in $l(p)$ and using Theorem 2(i) it is enough to show that $\lim_B \limsup_n (\| A_n \|_B)^{q_n} = 0$. Choose ε, $0 < \varepsilon \leqq 1$, and $D > 2/\varepsilon$. There exist $B > 1$ and m such that $(\Sigma_k \mid a_{n,k} \mid^{s_k} \cdot D^{s_k/q_n} \cdot B^{-s_k})^{q_n} < \varepsilon/2$ if $n \geqq m$. Then if $g(x) \leqq 1/B$ and if $n \geqq m$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mid A_n(x) \mid^{q_n} &\leqq (\Sigma_k \mid a_{n,k} \mid D^{1/q_n} \cdot B^{-1} \cdot BD^{-1/q_n} \mid x_k \mid)^{q_n} \\ &\leqq (\Sigma_k \{ \mid a_{n,k} \mid^{s_k} D^{\cdot s_k/q_n} \cdot B^{-s_k} + D^{-p_k/q_n} \cdot B^{p_k} \mid x_k \mid^{p_k} \})^{q_n} \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + (D^{-1/q_n} \cdot B^H g^H(x))^{q_n} < \varepsilon , \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof.

One may show that if (9) is true and if (17) holds for $D = 1$, and if either $\inf_n q_n > 0$ or $\inf_k p_k > 1$, then $A \in (l(p), c_0(q))$, but that these conditions are not sufficient for $A \in (l(p), c_0(q))$ if $\inf_n q_n = 0$ and $\inf_k p_k = 1$.

THEOREM 9. *Let q be bounded, and let $1 < p_k \leqq H$ and $p_k^{-1} + s_k^{-1} = 1$ for all $k \in N$. Then $A \in (l(p), c(q))$ if and only if $\sup_n \Sigma_k \times |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} B^{-s_k} < \infty$ for some $B > 1$ and there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots$ such that (11) holds and $\lim_B \limsup_n (\Sigma_k |a_{n,k} - \alpha_k|^{s_k} \cdot D^{s_k/q_n} \cdot B^{-s_k})^{q_n} = 0$ for all $D \geqq 1$.*

Proof. As usual, define A_n on $l(p)$ by (13) for each $n \in N$. First let $A \in (l(p)), c(q) \subset (l(p), l_\infty)$; then $\sup_n \Sigma_k |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k} < \infty$ for some $B > 1$. Also by Theorem 3 there is an $l \in l(p)^*$ such that $|A_n(e^{(k)}) - l(e^{(k)})|^{q_n} \to 0$ $(n \to \infty)$ for each k and such that $\lim_B \limsup_n (\| A_n - l \|_B)^{q_n} = 0$. By Lemma 4 we can write $l(x) = \Sigma_k \alpha_k x_k$ on $l(p)$ for some sequence $(\alpha_k) \in l(p)^\dagger$, and the necessity now follows using the method of Theorem 8.

For the sufficiency, we show that the conditions of this theorem imply $\Sigma_k |\alpha_k|^{s_k} \cdot M^{-s_k} < \infty$ for some $M > 1$; then $l(x) \equiv \Sigma_k \alpha_k x_k$ defines an element of $l(p)^*$. We have for suitably large B and n

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_k |\alpha_k|^{s_k} (2B)^{-s_k} &= \Sigma_k |\alpha_k - a_{n,k} + a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot (2B)^{-s_k} \\
&\leqq \Sigma_k \max (|a_{n,k} - \alpha_k|, |a_{n,k}|)^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k} \\
&\leqq \Sigma_k |a_{n,k} - \alpha_k|^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k} + \Sigma_k |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k} \\
&\leqq 1 + \sup_n \Sigma_k |a_{n,k}|^{s_k} \cdot B^{-s_k} < \infty .
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Theorem 8, $(a_{n,k} - \alpha_k) \in (l(p), c_0(q))$ whence $|A_n(x) - l(x)|^{q_n} \to 0$ $(n \to \infty)$ on $l(p)$, and the proof is complete.

We note that $(l(p), c)$ was characterized, for bounded p, in the corollary to Theorem 1 of [3].

The conditions for $A \in (l(p), l_\infty(q)$, $(l(p), c_0(q))$ or $(l(p), c(q))$ in the general case $0 < p_k \leqq \sup p_k < \infty$ and q bounded may be obtained by combining the separate cases $0 < p_k \leqq 1$ and $1 < p_k \leqq H$ above.

REFERENCES

1. K. Chandrasekhara, Rao, *Matrix transformations of some sequence spaces—II*, Glasgow Math. J., **11** (1970), 162-166.

2. V. Ganapathy Iyer, *On the space of integral functions—I*, J. Indian Math. Soc., (2) **12** (1948), 13-30.

3. C. G. Lascarides and I. J. Maddox, *Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **68** (1970), 99-104.

4. I. J. Maddox, *Spaces of strongly summable sequences*, Quarterly J. Math. Oxford,

(2) **18** (1967), 345-355.
5. I. J. Maddox, *Paranormed sequence spaces generated by infinite matrices*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **64** (1968) 335-340.
6. ———, *Continuous and Köthe-Toeplitz duals of certain sequence spaces*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **65** (1969) 431-435.
7. ———, *Some properties of paranormed sequence spaces*, J. London Math., Soc., (2) **1** (1969), 316-322.
8. W. L. C. Sargent, *On some theorems of Hahn, Banach and Steinhaus*, J. London Math. Soc., **28** (1953), 438-451.
9. S. Simons, *The sequence spaces $l(p_\nu)$ and $m(p_\nu)$*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) **15** 1965), 422-436.
10. M. A. L. Willey, *On sequences of bounded linear functionals with applications to matrix transformations*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **7** (1973), 19-30.

Received August 7, 1973. This paper was written while M. A. L. Willey held a Science Council Research Studentship, and the support of the Council is very greatefully acknowledged.

THE QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY OF BELFAST

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Printed in Japan by Intarnational Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 53, No. 1 March, 1974