COMPLETELY DECOMPOSABLE GROUPS WHICH ADMIT ONLY NILPOTENT MULTIPLICATIONS
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A triangle of size \( n \) is a collection \( \{A_u\} \) of \( n(n+1)/2 \) (not necessarily distinct) rank one torsion-free abelian groups indexed by all integer sequences of the form \( u = i, i + 1, \ldots, i + j \) with \( 1 \leq i \leq i + j \leq n \), satisfying \( T(A_u) + T(A_s) \leq T(A_us) \) for all consecutive sequences \( u, s \). Here \( T(A_v) \) denotes the type of the rank one torsion-free abelian group \( A_v \).

If \( A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i \) is a direct sum of rank one torsion-free abelian groups \( A_i \), let \( \mathcal{A}(A) = \sup \{ n \mid \exists \text{ a triangle of size } n \text{ of groups chosen, possibly with repetitions, from } \{A_i \mid i \in I\} \} \), \( \mathcal{A}'(A) = \sup \{ n \mid \exists \text{ a triangle of size } n \text{ of groups chosen without repetition from } \{A_i \mid i \in I\} \} \). An abelian group \( (G, +) \) is radical iff whenever \( (R, +, \cdot) \) is a ring with \( (R, +) \cong (G, +) \) there exists a positive integer \( n \) with \( R^n = (0) \).

**Theorem.** Let \( A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i \) be such that \( \{T(A_i) \mid i \in I\} \) is an ordered set and \( \mathcal{A}(A) < \infty \). Then \( A \) is radical.

**Theorem.** Let \( A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i \) be such that \( \mathcal{A}'(A) = \infty \). Then \( A \) is not radical.

**Theorem.** Let \( A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i \), \( B = \bigoplus_{j \in J} B_j \) be such that \( \mathcal{A}(A) < \infty \), \( \mathcal{A}(B) < \infty \). Then if \( \{T(A_i) \mid i \in I\} \cup \{T(B_j) \mid j \in J\} \) is an ordered set \( A \oplus B \) is radical. A bound is given for the index of nilpotency of any multiplication on \( A \oplus B \).

1. Preliminaries. Several authors ([2], [3], [4], [5]) have studied the class of abelian groups \( (A, +) \) which admit only a trivial ring structure; i.e., if \( (R, +, \cdot) \) is a ring with \( (R, +) \cong (A, +) \), then \( R^n = (0) \). These are called nil groups.

In [6] a larger class was introduced—abelian groups which admit only nilpotent multiplications. More precisely:

**Definition 1.1.** An abelian group \( (A, +) \) is a radical group iff whenever \( (R, +, \cdot) \) is a ring with \( (R, +) \cong (A, +) \), we have \( R^n = 0 \) for some positive integer \( n \).

In [6], using the techniques of [1], the class of finite rank torsion free radical groups was studied, and it was shown that this class is closed under finite direct sums.

In this paper we study completely decomposable radical groups. We work toward the goals of characterizing such groups and of obtaining information on finite direct sums of such groups.

Throughout, the word "group" means torsion-free abelian group.

We let \( A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i \), \( B = \bigoplus_{j \in J} B_j \) be arbitrary completely decompo-
sable groups; i.e., $I, J$ are arbitrary index sets, $\text{rank } A_i = \text{rank } B_j = 1$ for all $i \in I, j \in J$.

The standard notions of height and type for torsion-free groups ([2], § 42) are used. If $g \in G$, then $h_\sigma(g)$, $T_\sigma(g)$ denote the height vector and type respectively of $g$ in $G$. The subscript is deleted when no confusion will result. Sums of height vectors and sums of types are defined in the obvious way. If rank $G = 1$, the type of $G$, $T(G)$, is $T(g)$ where $0 \neq g \in G$. A type is called nil iff it is of the form $\langle m_k \rangle$, where $0 < m_k < \infty$ for infinitely many $k$. $\langle m_k \rangle$ denotes the equivalence class of the height vector $\langle m_k \rangle$.

2. Triangles of rank one groups.

**Definition 2.1.** A triangle of size $n$ is a collection of groups of rank 1 indexed by all sequences of the form $(i, i + 1, i + 2, \ldots, i + j)$ where $1 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \leq j \leq n - i$, such that $T[A_{(i, i+1, \ldots, i+j)}] + T[A_{(i+1, i+2, \ldots, i+j+k)}] \leq T[A_{(i+1, i+2, \ldots, i+j+k)}]$ for all $i, j$ as above and $k$ with $i + j + k \leq n$.

It is easiest to consider these triangles in terms of diagrams as in the following.

**Example.** $n = 3$.

A triangle of size 3 looks like

- $A_1$  $A_2$  $A_3$
- $A_{12}$  $A_{23}$
- $A_{123}$

with

- $T(A_1) + T(A_2) \leq T(A_{12})$
- $T(A_2) + T(A_3) \leq T(A_{23})$
- $T(A_1) + T(A_{23}) \leq T(A_{123})$
- $T(A_{12}) + T(A_3) \leq T(A_{123})$.

Now let $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$. Clearly, if $T(A_i)$ is non-nil for some $i$, then $A$ is not radical ([2]). Since we are interested in completely decomposable radical groups, we assume in what follows that $T(A_i)$ is nil for all $i$.

It is well known [3] that such an $A$ is a nil group iff, for all $i, j, k \in I$, $T(A_i) + T(A_j) \leq T(A_k)$ — in other words no triangle of size 2 can be formed using $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$. The following theorems generalize this result by relating multiplications on $A$ which yield nonzero products of length $n$ to triangles of size $n$.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a collection of rank one groups such that $\{T(A_i) \mid i \in I\}$ is an ordered set. Let $*$ be a multiplication associative on $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ with $x_1 * x_2 * \ldots * x_n \neq 0$ for some
\[ \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subseteq A. \] Then a triangle of size \( n \) can be formed by choosing (possibly with repetitions) groups from \( \{A_i \mid i \in I\} \).

**Proof.** Let \( x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot x_n \neq 0 \) and choose, for each \( i \), some \( 0 \neq a_i \in A_i \). Write each \( x_i \) as a finite rational combination \( x_i = \sum a_{ij} \alpha_j \).

It follows immediately that \( a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot a_n \neq 0 \) for some (not necessarily distinct) indices \( \{i, \ldots, i_n\} \subseteq I \). Reindex, setting \( A_1 = A_i \), \( A_2 = A_{i_2} \), \ldots, \( A_n = A_{i_n} \). The groups \( A_1, \ldots, A_n \) form the top row of the triangle.

For \( 1 \leq i < i + j \leq n \) write \( a_i \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{i+j} = \sum \alpha_i a_s \), and let \( A_i \cdot a_{i+j} \) be any \( a_s \) such that \( T(A_s) = T_A(a_i \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{i+j}) \). Such \( A_s \) always exists since \( \{T(A_i) \mid i \in I\} \) is an ordered set. It is easy to check that the types of the rank one groups thus chosen satisfy the additive requirements of Definition 2.1.

The requirement that \( \{T(A_i) \mid i \in I\} \) be an ordered set cannot be deleted in the above theorem. One can construct a completely decomposable group \( A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} A_i \) such that no triangle of size \( 4 \) can be formed by choosing groups from \( \{A_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 11\} \) but such that \( A^4 \neq (0) \) under an appropriate multiplication. (The numbers 11 and 4 are the minimum rank and index for such an example.)

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \( \{A_i \mid i \in I\} \) be a set of rank one groups such that a triangle of size \( n \) can be formed by choosing \( n(n+1)/2 \) distinct groups from the set. Then there is a multiplication on \( A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} A_i \) with a nonzero product of length \( n \).

**Proof.** Reindex the groups chosen from \( \{A_i \mid i \in I\} \) as in Definition 2.1. For each index, \( u \), choose \( 0 \neq a_u \in A_u \) such that for consecutive indices \( r = i \cdot \ldots \cdot i + j, s = i + j + 1 \cdot \ldots \cdot i + j + k, i + j + k \leq n, h(a_r) + h(a_s) \leq h(a_{i, \ldots, i+j+k}) = h(a_{r+s}) \). Such a choice is possible because of the type relationships in the triangle. Now define \( a_r \cdot a_s = a_{r+s} \) for all consecutive indices \( r, s \). If \( r \) and \( s \) are not of the above form, define \( a_r \cdot a_s = 0 \). If \( A_i \) is not a group in the triangle, let \( A_i A_i = AA_i = (0) \).

These products define a unique associative ring structure on \( A \). Moreover, in this ring \( a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \cdots \cdot a_n \neq 0 \).

Note that the above method (or simple variations of it) cannot be used to obtain multiplication on \( \bigoplus A_i \) from triangles formed by groups arbitrarily chosen from \( \{A_i \mid i \in I\} \). If the same group is allowed in repeated positions, it seems impossible to overcome the consistency problems arising in the definitions of the products \( a_r \cdot a_s \). However, we can obtain a nonassociative multiplication in this case.

The results of this section can be used to obtain information on completely decomposable radical groups and on direct sums of such
groups. First we prove theorem on the existence of subtriangles of a given triangle.


**Definition 3.1.** Let \( \{A_u\} \) be a triangle of size \( n \), indexed as in Definition 2.1. For \( 1 \leq m \leq n \), a subtriangle of size \( m \) is an array of \( m(m + 1)/2 \) (not necessarily distinct) groups chosen from \( \{A_u\} \) such that the resulting array, after reindexing, satisfies the requirements of Definition 2.1.

**Example.** The array \( A_{134} \ A_{24} \ A_5 \) is a subtriangle of any \( \triangle \) of size \( n \geq 6 \).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( A = \{A_i\} \), \( B = \{B_i\} \) and let \( n \) be any positive integer. If \( m \) is any integer such that \( m \geq f(n) = \max\{3n - 1, n(n + 1)/2\} \), then any triangle of size \( m \) of groups from \( A \cup B \) contains a subtriangle of size \( n \) of groups from \( A \) alone or from \( B \) alone.

**Proof.** Use induction on \( n \). The result is easy for \( n = 1 \). Now consider a triangle of size \( m \) of groups from \( A \cup B \), when \( m \geq f(n) \), \( (n \) an integer not less than 2). As in Diagram 1, divide the first \( m^{m-1} \) rows into \( m \) disjoint subtriangles of size \( m^{m-1} \). Since \( m^{m-1} \geq \)

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
m=m^{m-1} & m-1 & m-2 & m-3 & m \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
(\ast)_2 \text{ SEQUENCE} \\
(\ast)_3 \text{ SEQUENCE} \\
(\ast)_4 \text{ SEQUENCE} \\
\end{array}
\]

**Diagram 1**
(m - 1)^{m-1} and m - 1 \geq f(n - 1) = \max \{3(n - 1) - 1, (n - 1)n/2\}, by
the induction hypothesis, each of these disjoint triangle of size \( m^{m-1} \)
contains a subtriangle of size \( n - 1 \) of groups from \( A \) alone or from
\( B \) alone.

**Case I.** Both triangles of size \( n - 1 \) of groups from \( A \) and
triangles of size \( n - 1 \) of groups from \( B \) appear as subtriangles of
the triangles of size \( m^{m-1} \). In this case, there occurs a subtriangle
of size \( n - 1 \) of groups from one collection, say \( A \), such that either
to the right or to the left of this subtriangle there are \( n \) disjoint
triangles of size \( m^{m-1} \), each of which contains subtriangles of size
\( n - 1 \) of groups from \( B \). This is due to the fact that in any arrange-
ment of \( 3n - 1 \) objects which are of two types, one of the types must
have \( n \) objects of the other type either to the right or to the left.

Without loss of generality, assume that the left-most triangle (1)
contains a subtriangle of size \( n - 1 \) of groups from \( A \), and that there
are \( n \) triangles to the right which contain subtriangles of groups
from \( B \). The technique is to expand one of these subtriangles to a
triangle of size \( n \).

Let \( G_{t_k,t_k+1,\ldots,t_k+j_k} \) be the rightmost group in the \( k^{th} \) row of the
subtriangle of groups from \( A \) contained in triangle \( 1, 1 \leq k \leq n - 1 \)
(see Diagram 1). If triangle \( r_k, 1 \leq k \leq n \), contains the \( k \)th subtriangle
to the right of triangle 1 of groups from \( B \), consider, for all \( 2 \leq \)
\( k \leq n \), the sequence of groups

\[
(*)_k \quad G_{t_k,t_k+1,\ldots,t_k+j_k} G_{(t_k,m-1+1),t_k+1,\ldots,(r_k-1)m-1+2),\ldots,G_{t_k,t_k+1,\ldots,r_km-1}}
\]

(Each of these sequences is represented by a diagonal in Diagram 1.)

**Claim:** Either \((*)_k \) contains a group from \( A \) or the subtriangle of
groups from \( B \) in triangle \( r_k \) can be expanded to a triangle of size \( n \)
of groups from \( B \). Suppose \((*)_k \) contains no group from \( A \). Let \( B^1 \)
be any group from \( B \) in triangle \( r_{k-1} \). Now let \( B^l, 2 \leq l \leq n \), be the group
in the sequence \((*)_k \) whose index ends in the same number as the index
of the left-most group in the \( l-1 \) row of the subtriangle of groups
from \( B \) in triangle \( r_l \). By adjoining each \( B^l \) as the left-most group
in the \( l \)th row of the triangle, the subtriangle of groups from \( B \) in
triangle \( r_k \) is expanded to a triangle of size \( n \) of groups from \( B \). In
this case we are done, so assume now that each sequence \((*)_k \) \( 2 \leq \)
k \( \leq n \), contains a group from \( A \)-call it \( A^k \). Let \( A^1 \) be any group
from \( A \) in triangle \( r_l \) (if none exists, we're done). Now by adjoining
\( A^k \) as the right-most group in the \( k \)th row of the \( A \)-subtriangle
of triangle 1 we obtain an \( A \)-subtriangle of size \( n \).

**Case II.** There exist only \( A \)-subtriangles of size \( n - 1 \) in the
triangles \( 1, 2, \ldots, m \). If this is the case, then any subtriangle of
size $m^{m-1}$ contained in the first $m^{m-1}$ rows most contain only $A$-subtriangles of size $n - 1$. If there were a $B$-subtriangle of size $n - 1$, the techniques of Case I could be applied to construct an $A$- or $B$-subtriangle of size $n$.

Now consider any diagonal sequence of the form $(\vdash): G_{i_1, \ldots, i+j}$, $G_{i_2, \ldots, i+j+1}, \ldots, G_{i_n, \ldots, i+j+m^{m-1}-1}$ where $2 \leq i \leq m^m - m^{m-1} - n - 1$, $n \leq j \leq m^m - m^{m-1} - i$. These $(\vdash)$ sequences are illustrated in Diagram 2. If all of the groups in $(\vdash)$ are in $A$ then consider the $A$-subtriangle of size $n - 1$ contained in the triangle of size $m^m$ whose top row is $G_{i_1, \ldots, i+j}, G_{i_2, \ldots, i+j+1}, \ldots, G_{i_n, \ldots, i+j+m^{m-1}-1}$. (Refer to Diagram 2.) Again using the techniques of Case I, this $A$-subtriangle can be expanded to a triangle of size $n$ using the sequence $(\vdash)$. Therefore, without loss of generality, assume that every sequence $(\vdash)$ contains a group from $B$. This assumption can be used to build a $B$-subtriangle of size $n$.

Choose from triangle 1 any group $B_1$ from $B$. Say $B_1 = G_{i, \ldots, i+j}$ where $2 \leq i \leq m^m - 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq m^m - i$. If such a $B_1$ does not exist, we are done, for triangle 1 is then an $A$-subtriangle of size $m^m - 1 \geq n$. Similarly choose from triangle 2 a group $B_2 = G_{i', \ldots, i'+j'}$ from $B$, where $m^m - 1 < i' \leq 2m^m - 1$, $0 \leq j' \leq 2m^m - i'$. Now choose a group $B_{23}$ from $B$ from the sequence $G_{i, \ldots, i', i'+j'}, \ldots, G_{i, \ldots, i'+1, i'+j'+m^m-1-i'}$. (See Diagram 2.) This is a $(\vdash)$ sequence unless $i' + j' - i < n$. In this case replace $i' + j'$ with $i + n$ in the above sequence. Now let $B_3$ be any group from $B$ found in triangle 4. The group $B_{23}$ is obtained from the $(\vdash)$ sequence which begins where the diagonals from $B_2$ and $B_3$ intersect (see Diagram 2). The group $B_{123}$ is obtained
from the \((\cdot)\) sequence which begins where the diagonals from \(B_{12}\) and \(B_{23}\) intersect. The group \(B_i\) is then chosen from triangle 7 and the process continued. Since each new group requires a new \((\cdot)\) sequence, it is not difficult to see that \(n(n+1)/2\) \((\cdot)\) sequences are needed in this process. Hence the requirement \(m \geq n(n+1)/2\). Thus a \(B\)-triangle of size \(n\) can be constructed and the proof is complete.

**Remark.** The number \(f(n) = \max\{3n - 1, n(n+1)/2\}\) is probably much too large as is the triangle size \(m^n\) used in the proof. These in fact could be lowered slightly, but are retained in the interest of a less complicated proof.

4. Applications. In this section, the results of the previous sections are consolidated to give some information on when completely decomposable groups are radical. We begin with

**Definition 4.1.** Let \(A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i\) be any completely decomposable group, and assume \(T(A_i)\) is nil for all \(i \in I\). Let \(\Delta(A) = \sup \{n \mid \exists \text{ a triangle of size } n\} \text{ chosen, possibly with repetitions, from } \{A_i \mid i \in I\}\). Let \(\Delta'(A) = \sup \{n \mid \exists \text{ a triangle of size } n\} \text{ chosen without repetition from } \{A_i \mid i \in I\}\).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \(A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i\) be as above. If \(\{T(A_i) \mid i \in I\}\) is an ordered set and \(\Delta(A)\) is finite, then \(A\) is radical.

**Proof.** If \(A\) is not radical, then for any \(n \geq 0\), there exists a multiplication on \(A\) which yields a nonzero product of length greater than \(n\). By Theorem 2.1, \(\Delta(A) > n\). This contradicts \(\Delta(A)\) finite.

A sort of converse to Theorem 4.1 is provided by

**Theorem 4.2.** If \(A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i\) is completely decomposable with \(\Delta'(A) = \infty\) then \(A\) is not radical.

**Proof.** If \(\Delta'(A)\) is infinite, we show there exists a multiplication on \(A\) which produces nonzero products of arbitrary length. Let \(n\) be any positive integer. Since \(\Delta'(A)\) is infinite, there exists a triangle \(T_i\) of size \(m > n\) consisting of distinct groups from \(\{A_i\}\). Now let \(k = f(m+1)\) and form a triangle of size \(l \geq k^k\) consisting of distinct groups from \(\{A_i\}\). By applying Theorem 3.1 to the disjoint collections of groups \(T_i\) and \(\{A_i\} \setminus T_i\), we obtain a subtriangle \(T'\) of size \(m+1\) consisting of distinct groups from \(\{A_i\} \setminus T_i\). Continuing this process we obtain a disjoint sequence of collections of groups, \(T_1, T_2, T_3, \ldots\), such that distinct groups in \(T_i\) may be used to form a triangle of
size at least \( m + j - 1 \). Thus by Theorem 2.1 a ring may be defined on the direct sum of the groups in \( T_i \) which contains a nonzero product of length \( m + j - 1 \). The direct sum of these rings is then non-nilpotent and is a group direct summand of \( A \). Therefore \( A \) cannot be radical.

The final result concerns the direct sum of two completely decomposable radical groups.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i, B = \bigoplus_{j \in J} B_j \) be completely decomposable radical groups such that \( \Delta(A) = n \) and \( \Delta(B) = m \) are finite. Then if \( (T(A_i) \mid i \in I) \cup (T(B_j) \mid j \in J) \) is an ordered set, \( A \oplus B \) is radical. Moreover, for any multiplication on \( A \oplus B \), \( (A \oplus B)^{k^k} = 0 \) for \( k = \max \{ f(n + 1), f(m + 1) \} \).

**Proof.** If \( A \oplus B \) is not radical, then \( \Delta(A \oplus B) \) is infinite by Theorem 4.1. But Theorem 3.1 then implies that either \( \Delta(A) \) or \( \Delta(B) \) is infinite, a contradiction. In fact, if \( (A \oplus B)^{k^k} \neq (0) \) for some multiplication on \( A \oplus B \), then \( \Delta(A \oplus B) \geq k^k \). But by Theorem 3.1, this implies that \( \Delta(A) \geq n + 1 \) or \( \Delta(B) \geq m + 1 \), a contradiction.
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