Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE INDEX OF CONVEXITY AND PARALLEL BODIES

GERALD A. BEER

Vol. 53, No. 2 April 1974

THE INDEX OF CONVEXITY AND PARALLEL BODIES

GERALD A. BEER

Intuitively, the visibility function for a set C in \mathbb{R}^n measures the n-dimensional volume of the star of a variable point of C. Suppose that the visibility function for C is measurable. If the measure of C is positive, normalizing the integral of the function produces a measure of the relative convexity of C, called the Index of convexity of C. The purpose of this paper is to study the relationship between the Index of convexity of a compact set C in \mathbb{R}^n and the Indices of its parallel bodies. Continuity properties of the Index are established relative to an appropriate metric on the class of compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n .

1. Introduction.

DEFINITION. The visibility function assigns to each point x of a fixed measurable set E in a Euclidean space R^n the Lebesgue outer measure of $\{y: rx + (1-r)y \in E \text{ for each } r \text{ in } [0,1]\}$ and zero to each point of $R^n \setminus E$.

DEFINITION. Let $E \subset R^n$ be a measurable set with measurable visibility function v_E , and suppose the Lebesgue measure of E, m(E), is finite. If m(E) > 0, the Index of convexity of E, I(E), is given by $\int v_E/(m(E)^2) \ dm$. If m(E) = 0, we agree to let I(E) be 1.

The reader will find a general treatment of the visibility function and the Index of convexity in [3]. Important for the present article are the following results: The visibility function for E is uppersemicontinuous whenever E is compact, and I is upper-semicontinuous on the class of all compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n with an appropriate metric; namely, if C and K are compact sets, define $\bar{d}(C, K)$ to be $\sup (d(C, K), m(C \triangle K))$ where d denotes Hausdorff distance.

Let $B_r(x)$ denote the closed r-ball about a point x in R^n .

DEFINITION. Let C be a compact set in R^n . The ε -parallel body of C, denoted by $B_{\varepsilon}(C)$, is the compact set $\bigcup_{x \in C} B_{\varepsilon}(x)$.

This paper illustrates the central role played by parallel bodies in the study of the Index of convexity. Using this concept, we can characterize those sequences $\{C_k\}$ of compact sets convergent in the \overline{d} metric to a compact set C of positive measure having the property that $I(C) = \lim_{k \to \infty} I(C_k)$.

We then consider the Index as a function of the radius ε of the

parallel body $B_{\epsilon}(C)$ of a fixed compact set C in \mathbb{R}^n . This function is continuous if C is starshaped. Also, if C is contained in a flat of dimension p, its p dimensional Index of convexity, if it is not "trivially" 1, is determined by the Indices of its parallel bodies in \mathbb{R}^n .

With a few exceptions we use the same terminology as in [1]. We denote ordinary Lebesgue measure by m_n or simply by m if only one space is under discussion. Conv ker E and conv E will indicate the convex kernel and convex hull of E, respectively. As usual, bd E, int E, and cl E are the boundary, interior, and closure of E. Finally, xy will denote the line segment joining x to y. If $x \in C$, we say that x sees y via C if $xy \subset C$. The star of x relative to x0 is simply all those points which x2 sees via x3. As alluded to above, x4 represents the visibility function for a fixed set x5, and x6 is the Index of x6.

2. The characterization theorem. The visibility function v_c of a compact set C is continuous on C if and only if the visibility functions for the parallel bodies of C, when restricted to C, converge uniformly to v_c [2]. We establish here an analogous result for the unnormalized integral of the visibility function defined on a compact collection of compact sets in R^n relative to the Hausdorff metric.

If C is a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n , denote the unnormalized integral of the visibilility function for C, $\int v_C \, dm$, by V(C).

LEMMA 1. Let $\{C_i\}$ be a collection of compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n convergent in the Hausdorff metric to a compact set C. Then $V(C) \geq \limsup_{i \to \infty} V(C_i)$.

Proof. If x is in C, let S(x) denote the star of x relative to C, and let $S_l(x)$ denote star of x relative to C_l . Since $\{C_l\}$ converges to C in the Hausdorff metric, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, $B_{\varepsilon}(S(x))$ includes $S_l(x)$ for all sufficiently large integers l. This yields $v_c(x) \ge \sup_{l \to \infty} v_{C_l}(x)$ for each x in C. By Fatou's lemma

$$egin{aligned} V(C) &= \int v_{\scriptscriptstyle C} dm \geqq \int_{\scriptscriptstyle C} \limsup_{l o \infty} v_{\scriptscriptstyle C_l} dm \geqq \limsup_{l o \infty} \int_{\scriptscriptstyle C} v_{\scriptscriptstyle C_l} dm \ &= \limsup_{l o \infty} \int v_{\scriptscriptstyle C_l} dm = \limsup_{l o \infty} V(C_l) \end{aligned}$$

since $m(C_l \backslash C) \rightarrow 0$.

Hence, V is an upper-semicontinuous function on the metric space of compact sets in \mathbb{R}^n with the Hausdorff metric. Since $\{x: ||x|| \leq 1\}$ in \mathbb{R}^n is the Hausdorff limit of a sequence of compact sets of measure zero, V fails to be globally continuous.

In establishing our main result we use the following famous theorem of Dini: Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of upper-semicontinuous nonnegative functions defined on a compact metric space \mathscr{L} . Suppose for each x in \mathscr{L} , $\{f_n(x)\}$ converges monotonically to zero. Then $\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to the zero function on \mathscr{L} . For simplicity of notation, let $V_k(C)$ denote $V(B_{1/k}(C))$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

THEOREM 1. Let $\mathscr A$ be a compact collection of compact sets relative to the Hausdorff metric. The function $V\colon \mathscr A\to R$ is continuous on $\mathscr A$ if and only if $\{V_k\}$ converges uniformly to V on $\mathscr A$ as $k\to\infty$.

Proof. Suppose first that V is continuous on \mathscr{L} . The previous lemma implies that $\{V_k-V\}$ is a sequence of upper-semicontinuous functions. Clearly, the sequence converges monotonically to zero on \mathscr{L} . Since \mathscr{L} is compact, Dini's theorem now applies.

Conversely, suppose V is discontinuous at some compact set C in \mathscr{A} . Since V is upper-semicontinuous, there must then exist a sequence $\{C_k\}$ in \mathscr{A} and an $\varepsilon>0$ satisfying $V(C)>V(C_k)+\varepsilon$ and $d(C_k,C)<1/k$ for all k. By the definition of Hausdorff distance, $B_{1/k}(C_k)\supset C$ so that $V_k(C_k)>V(C_k)+\varepsilon$. Thus, the convergence cannot be uniform on \mathscr{A} .

Suppose that $\{C_i\}$ is a sequence of compact sets in R^n convergent to C in the \overline{d} metric (not merely in the Hausdorff metric), and m(C) > 0. The following are necessary and sufficient conditions for $\lim_{l \to \infty} I(C_l)$ to exist and to equal I(C).

THEOREM 2. Let $\{C_l\}$ be a collection of compact sets convergent in the metric \overline{d} to a compact set C of positive measure. Then $\lim_{l\to\infty} I(C_l) = I(C)$ if and only if $I(B_{1/k}(C_l)) \to I(C_l)$ uniformly on $\{C_l: l \in Z^+\}$ as $k \to \infty$.

Proof. Let \mathscr{A} denote $\{C\} \cup \{C_l \colon l \in Z\}$. \mathscr{A} is compact relative to the \overline{d} metric and has only one limit point, the set C. Since Lebesgue measure is an upper-semicontinuous function on the metric space of compact sets in R^n with the Hausdorff metric, an application of Dini's theorem yields the uniform convergence of $\{m(B_{1/k}(F))\}$ to m(F) for each $F \in \mathscr{A}$ as $k \to \infty$. It follows that $\lim_{k \to \infty} m(B_{1/k}(C_k)) = m(C)$. A slight modification of the technique used in the preceding theorem now yields the sufficiency of the conditions as the Index of convexity is upper-semicontinuous with respect to the metric \overline{d} . Conversely, if $\lim_{l \to \infty} I(C_l) = I(C)$, then $\lim_{l \to \infty} V(C_l) = V(C)$. Our result now follows from Theorem 1, as any uniformly convergent sequence of functions on \mathscr{A} will automatically be uniformly convergent on

 $\{C_l: l \in Z^+\}.$

Since the Index of convexity is not upper-semicontinuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric [3], the necessity of using the \overline{d} metric in the previous theorem is not surprising. To verify this, given $l \in Z^+$, let C_l be the planar set $\{(x,y)\colon 0 \le x \le 1,\ 0 \le y \le 1\} \cup \{(x,y)\colon 1 \le x \le 2,\ y=k/l,\ k=0,\ 1,\ \cdots l\}$. The sequence $\{C_l\}$ converges to $C=\{(x,y)\colon 0 \le x \le 2,\ 0 \le y \le 1\}$, and $I(C_l)=I(C)$ for all l. However, $I(B_{1/k}(C_l))$ does not converge uniformly to $I(C_l)$ on $\{C_l\colon l \in Z^+\}$. One can also see that both Theorems 1 and 2 fail if the metric only reflects convergence in measure.

3. Parallel bodies of a fixed set. Let C be a fixed compact set in R^n . Define $I^*: (0, \infty) \to R$ by $I^*(r) = I(B_r(C))$. It is obvious that $I^*(r) > 0$ for r > 0 and that $\lim_{r \to \infty} I^*(r) = 1$.

THEOREM 3. Let C be a compact set in R^n . Then $I^*(r-) \le I^*(r) = I^*(r+)$ for each r > 0.

Proof. Let $\{s_k\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers convergent to r. The visibility function for C is the pointwise limit of the sequence of visibility functions corresponding to $\{B_{s_k}(C)\}$. Hence $I^*(r) = I^*(r+)$. If $\{r_k\}$ is an increasing sequence of positive numbers convergent to r, then the visibility function for $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{r_k}(C)$ is the pointwise limit of the sequence of visibility function corresponding to $\{B_{r_k}(C)\}$. An application of the Dominated Convergence Theorem yields the existence of $I^*(r-)$. Since $\{B_{r_k}(C)\}$ converges in the \bar{d} metric to $B_r(C)$, we have $I^*(r-) \leq I^*(r)$.

A compact planar set for which I^* has infinitely many discontinuities is

$$(0, 0) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ (x, y) : \frac{1}{2k+1} \le x^2 + y^2 \le \frac{1}{2k} \right\}.$$

Theorem 4. If C is a compact starshaped set, I^* corresponding to C is continuous.

Proof. We need only show that I^* is left continuous at each point of $(0, \infty)$. Fix r in $(0, \infty)$, and let $\{r_k\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive reals convergent to r. As we have seen, $\{B_{r_k}(C)\}$ converges in the \bar{d} metric to $B_r(C)$. Moreover, since C is starshaped, $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{r_k}(C)$ is precisely int $B_r(C)$. The assertion now easily follows from the Dominated Convergence Theorem upon verifying that $v_{B_{r_k}(C)}(x) \to v_{B_r(C)}(x)$ for each x in int $B_r(C)$.

Suppose such a point x sees a point z via int $B_r(C)$. The com-

pactness of xz forces the segment to be totally contained in $B_{r_k}(C)$ for k sufficiently large. However, x may see some points of $B_r(C)$ via line segments not wholly contained in the interior of $B_r(C)$. Fortunately, the arduous task of establishing that the set of such points has measure zero has been executed in [2] in proving that the visibility function for a compact starshaped set whose convex kernel has dimension exceeding n-2 is continuous on the interior of the set. It follows that almost every point in the star of x relative to $B_r(C)$ is in the star of x relative to $B_{r_k}(C)$ for x sufficiently large so that $x_{B_{r_k}(C)}(x) \to x_{B_{r_k}(C)}(x)$.

A compact set that is the closure of an open set and that has Index 1 must be convex [3]. Hence, if $I^*(r) = 1$ for some r > 0, then $I^*(s) = 1$ for all s > r.

THEOREM 5. Let C be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n . The number 1 is a value of I^* corresponding to C if and only if $\operatorname{bd}(\operatorname{conv} C) \subset C$.

Proof. If bd (conv C) $\subset C$, it is easy to show that $B_r(C)$ is convex for sufficiently large r. Conversely, suppose bd (conv C) $\cap C^c \neq \emptyset$. Given a fixed p in bd (conv C) $\cap C^c$, choose an outer unit normal x to a hyperplane of support of conv C at p. Evidently, for each r>0, $p+rx\notin B_r(C)$. Since p is a convex combination of points in C, say $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_k\}$, the point p+rx is a convex combination of $\{x_1+rx, \cdots, x_k+rx\} \subset B_r(C)$. Hence $B_r(C)$ is never convex, so that $I^*(r)$ is never one.

COROLLARY. Let C be a compact nonconvex starshaped set in R^* . Then I^* corresponding to C never assumes the value 1.

Even when C is starshaped, it is not necessarily true that I^* corresponding to C be a monotone increasing function. It would be useful to characterize those compact sets having this property, for if I^* were bounded, monotone increasing, and right continuous, a normalization of I^* yields a probability distribution function.

If $\{C_k\}$ is a sequence of compact sets converging in the \overline{d} metric to C, it may well occur that C is contained in a hyperplane H. Relative to H, C has an n-1 dimensional Index of convexity which we denote by $I^H(C)$. One might guess that if the projections of $\{C_k\}$ onto H converged to C in terms of n-1 dimensional measure, then $\limsup I(C_k) \leq I^H(C)$ would follow. Unfortunately, the inequality is invalid. Let $H = \{(x, y, z) \colon z = 0\}$. Let $C_k = \{(x, y, z) \colon x^2 + y^2 \leq 1, 0 \leq z \leq 1/k\} \cup \{(x, y, z) \colon (x-2)^2 + (y-2)^2 \leq 1, 0 \leq z \leq 2/k\}$. If $C = C_1 \cap H$, then $\{C_k\} \to C$. However, $I^H(C) = 1/2$ while $I(C_k) = 5/9$ for all k. Clearly the projection of each C_k is just C.

We now show that the n-1 dimensional Index of such a set C is the limit of the Indices of its parallel bodies in \mathbb{R}^n .

THEOREM 6. Let H be a hyperplane in R^n . Suppose C is a compact subset of H and $m_{n-1}(C) > 0$. Then $\lim_{k\to\infty} I(B_{1/k}(C)) = I^H(C)$, the Index of convexity of C with respect to H.

Proof. We may assume that $H = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_n = 0\}$. Let $\pi \colon R^n \to R^{n-1}$ be defined by $\pi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. Two facts are obvious: For each $k \in Z^+$ we have $B_{1/k}(C) \supset \pi(C) \times [-1/k, 1/k]$, and if x belongs to $\pi(C) \times [-1/k, 1/k]$ then the star of x relative to $B_{1/k}(C)$ includes the Cartesian product of the star of $\pi(x)$ relative to $\pi(C)$ with [-1/k, 1/k].

Suppose $p \in R^{n-1}$ does not belong to the star of $\pi(x)$ relative to $\pi(C)$. If k is sufficiently large, no point of $\{p\} \times [-1/k, 1/k]$ can be seen by any point in $\{\pi(x)\} \times [-1/k, 1/k]$ via $B_{1/k}(C)$ or else $\pi(x)p \subset \pi(C)$ by the compactness of C.

For each $k \in Z^+$ and y in $\pi(C)$, let $r_k(y)$ be the n-1 dimensional measure of the projection onto H of the union of the stars of all points in $\{y\} \times [-1/k, 1/k]$ relative to $B_{1/k}(C)$ minus $v_{\pi(C)}(y)$. Thus for fixed k if T(y) denotes $\bigcup_{x \in [y] \times [-1/k, 1/k]} \{z : xz \subset B_{1/k}(C)\}$ we have

$$(1) r_k(y) = m_{n-1}(\pi(T(y))) - v_{\pi(G)}(y).$$

The compactness of [-1/k, 1/k] and $B_{1/k}(C)$ imply (i) T(y) is compact so that $\pi(T(y))$ is compact for each $y \in \pi(C)$ (ii) if $\{y_i\}$ is a sequence in $\pi(C)$ with limit y, then

(2)
$$\pi(T(y)) \supset \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \pi(T(y_i)).$$

By (1) and (2), r is the difference of two upper-semicontinuous functions. Evidently $\lim_{k\to\infty} r_k(y)=0$ for each y in $\pi(C)$. Since $B_{1/k}(C)\subset B_{1/k}(\pi(C))\times [-1/k,1/k]$ we conclude that for each x in $\pi(C)\times [-1/k,1/k]$

(3)
$$v_{B_{1/k}(C)}(x) \leq \frac{2}{k} \left(v_{\pi(C)} \circ \pi(x) + r_k \circ \pi(x) \right).$$

Choosing M to be the n-1 dimensional measure of $\pi(B_{:}(C))$ we also see that

$$(4) v_{\pi(G)} \circ \pi(x) + r_k \circ \pi(x) \leq M$$

for $x \in \pi(C) \times [-1/k, 1/k]$ and all $k \in Z^+$.

To show $\lim_{k\to\infty}I(B_{1/k}(C))=I^{H}(C)$, it suffices to show

(5)
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{k}{2} m(B_{1/k}(C)) = m_{n-1}(\pi(C))$$

and

(6)
$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\frac{k^2}{4}\int v_{B_{1/k}(C)}dx_1\cdots dx_n=\int v_{\pi(C)}dx_1\cdots dx_{n-1}.$$

To establish (5) we again use the fact that $\pi(C) \times [-1/k, 1/k] \subset B_{1/k}(C) \subset B_{1/k}(\pi(C)) \times [-1/k, 1/k]$ to conclude

$$\frac{2}{k} m_{n-1}(\pi(C)) \leq m_n(B_{1/k}(C)) \leq \frac{2}{k} m_{n-1}(B_{1/k}(\pi(C))).$$

The compactness of $\pi(C)$ implies that $m_{n-1}(B_{1/k}(\pi(C))) \to m_{n-1}(\pi(C))$ as $k \to \infty$ and (5) easily follows.

Recalling that the star of each x in $\pi(C) \times [-1/k, 1/k]$ relative to $B_{1/k}(C)$ includes the Cartesian product of the star of $\pi(x)$ relative to $\pi(C)$ with [-1/k, 1/k], we have

$$rac{k^2}{4} \int v_{B_1/k(C)} dx_1 \cdots dx_n \geq rac{k^2}{4} \int_{\pi(C)} \left(\int_{-1/k}^{1/k} v_{B_1/k(C)} dx_n \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_{n-1} \ \geq rac{k^2}{4} \int_{\pi(C)} \left(\int_{-1/k}^{1/k} rac{2}{k} v_{\pi(C)} \circ \pi dx_n \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_{n-1} \ = rac{k^2}{4} \int_{\pi(C)} v_{\pi(C)} \cdot rac{4}{k^2} dx_1 \cdots dx_{n-1} = \int v_{\pi(C)} dx_1 \cdots dx_{n-1}$$

since $v_{\pi(C)} \circ \pi$ is constant on any vertical line.

The reverse inequality does not follow so easily. We make a preliminary decomposition:

$$\frac{k^{2}}{4} \int v_{B_{1/k}(C)} dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n}$$

$$= \frac{k^{2}}{4} \int_{\pi(C)} \left(\int_{-1/k}^{1/k} v_{B_{1/k}(C)} dx_{n} \right) dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n-1}$$

$$+ \frac{k^{2}}{4} \int_{B_{1/k}(\pi(C))/\pi(C)} \left(\int_{-1/k}^{1/k} v_{B_{1/k}(C)} dx_{n} \right) dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n-1} .$$

Since

(8)
$$\frac{k^2}{4} \int_{-1/k}^{1/k} v_{B_{1/k}(C)} dx_n \le M$$

for all k, the second integral in (7) can be made less than $\varepsilon/3$ if k is sufficiently large.

Since r_k is measurable for each k, by Egoroff's theorem there is a subset F of $\pi(C)$ such that $m_{n-1}(F) < \varepsilon/3M$ and r_k converges to zero uniformly on $\pi(C)/F$. For any $k \in Z^+$, we now have using (8)

$$\frac{k^2}{4} \int_F \left(\int_{-1/k}^{1/k} v_{B_{1/k}(C)} dx_n \right) dx_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot dx_{n-1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3M} \cdot M = \frac{\varepsilon}{3} .$$

Pick k so large that $|r_k(y)| < \varepsilon/3M$ uniformly on $\pi(C)/F$. We can now conclude from (3) and (4) that

$$\begin{split} &\frac{k^2}{4} \int_{\pi(C)/F} \biggl(\int_{-1/k}^{1/k} v_{B_{1/k}(C)} dx_n \biggr) dx_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot dx_{n-1} \\ & \leq \frac{k^2}{4} \int_{\pi(C)/F} \biggl(\int_{-1/k}^{1/k} \frac{2}{k} (v_{\pi(C)} \circ \pi \, + \, r_k \circ \pi) dx_n \biggr) dx_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot dx_{n-1} \\ & \leq \int_{\pi(C)/F} v_{\pi(C)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3M} dx_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot dx_{n-1} \leq \int_{\pi(C)} v_{\pi(C)} dx_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot dx_{n-1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \; . \end{split}$$

Combining our three integrals over $B_{1/k}(\pi(C))/\pi(C)$, F and $\pi(C)/F$ we have

$$\frac{k^2}{4} \int v_{\scriptscriptstyle B_1/k}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle (C)} dx_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot dx_{\scriptscriptstyle n} \leqq \int v_{\scriptscriptstyle \pi(C)} dx_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot dx_{\scriptscriptstyle n-1} + \varepsilon$$

when k is sufficiently large so that (6) is established. Theorem 6 has the following obvious generalization.

THEOREM 7. Let C be a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n contained in a flat F of dimension p, and suppose $m_p(C) > 0$. If $I^F(C)$ denotes the Index of convexity of C with respect to F, then $\lim_{k\to\infty} I(B_{1/k}(C)) = I^F(C)$.

The proofs of Theorems 6 and 7 are identical modulo replacing [-1/k, 1/k] by an n-p dimensional sphere of radius 1/k. Of course, the notation required to establish Theorem 3 would be horrendous, and for this reason we confined ourselves to p=n-1.

Can we define the Index of convexity of a compact C of measure zero to be the limit of the Indices of its parallel bodies? If C is a compact set of positive measure, then $\lim_{r\to 0^+} I^*(r) = I(C)$, but if m(C) = 0, then the limit need not even exist. To see that I^* may behave poorly, we construct a peculiar compact set C in the plane consisting of a countable collection of vertical segments. Each segment has length one and lies in the strip $\{(x, y): 0 \le y \le 1\}$. After placing a segment at the origin, we construct the others in an iterative manner. Let $\delta_1 = 1/8$. Initially place two vertical segments at $x=1-\delta_1$ and at $x=1+\delta_1$. Adjoin to this set 4 equally spaced segments between $x=1/4\delta_1$ and $x=3/4\delta_1$. half the distance between such segments by δ_2 . Having chosen $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$, construct 2^{2^n} equally spaced vertical segments between $x = 1/4\delta_n$ and $x = 3/4\delta_n$, and denote half the distance between adjacent new segments by δ_{n+1} . Let C be the union of the segments so constructed. Although $I^*(\delta_n -) < 1/2$ for all n, $\lim_{n \to \infty} I^*(\delta_n) = 1$. example also indicates that I^* need not be of bounded variation.

REFERENCES

- 1. G. A. Beer, Continuity Properties of the Visibility Function, to appear, Michigan Math. J., 20 (1973), 297-302.
- 2. ——, The continuity of the visibility function on a starshaped set, Canad.
- J. Math., 24 (1972), 989-992.
- 3. _____, The index of convexity and the visibility function, Pacific J. Math., 44 (1973), 59-67.
- 4. F. A. Valentine, Convex Sets, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964.

Received May 7, 1973 and in revised form November 25, 1973.

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific of Journal Mathematics is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 53, No. 2

April, 1974

Kenneth Abernethy, On characterizing certain classses of first c				
open mappings		19		
Ross A. Beaumont and Donald Lawver, Strongly semisimple about		27		
Gerald A. Beer, <i>The index of convexity and parallel bodies</i>		37		
Victor P. Camillo and Kent Ralph Fuller, On Loewy length of rin		47		
Stephen LaVern Campbell, <i>Linear operators for which T*T and II</i>		55		
Charles Kam-Tai Chui and Philip Wesley Smith, Characterizatio	on of a function by			
certain infinite series it generates		63		
Allan L. Edelson, Conjugations on stably almost complex manifolds				
Patrick John Fleury, Hollow modules and local endomorphism r	ings 3'	79		
Jack Tilden Goodykoontz, Jr., Connectedness im kleinen and loc 2^X and $C(X)$		87		
Robert Edward Jamison, II, Functional representation of algebra		99		
Athanassios G. Kartsatos, Nonzero solutions to boundary value properties and systems.	problems for	25		
Soon-Kyu Kim, Dennis McGavran and Jingyal Pak, <i>Torus group</i>		23		
connected manifolds		35		
David Anthony Klarner and R. Rado, Arithmetic properties of ce		-		
defined sets		45		
Ray Alden Kunze, On the Frobenius reciprocity theorem for squ	are-integrable			
representations	40	65		
John Lagnese, Existence, uniqueness and limiting behavior of so	olutions of a class of			
differential equations in Banach space	4'	73		
Teck Cheong Lim, A fixed point theorem for families on nonexposition	ansive mappings 4	87		
Lewis Lum, A quasi order characterization of smooth continua.		95		
Andy R. Magid, Principal homogeneous spaces and Galois exte	nsions 50	01		
Charles Alan McCarthy, The norm of a certain derivation	5	15		
Louise Elizabeth Moser, On the impossibility of obtaining $S^2 \times I$				
surgery along a knot	5	19		
Gordon L. Nipp, Quaternion orders associated with ternary latte	ices 52	25		
Anthony G. O'Farrell, <i>Equiconvergence of derivations</i>		39		
Dorte Olesen, <i>Derivations of AW*-algebras are inner</i>		55		
Dorte Olesen and Gert Kjærgaard Pedersen, <i>Derivations of C*-a</i>				
semi-continuous generators		63		
Duane O'Neill, On conjugation cobordism		73		
Chull Park and S. R. Paranjape, <i>Probabilities of Wiener paths crecurves</i>		79		
Edward Ralph Rozema, Almost Chebyshev subspaces of $L^1(\mu)$;		85		
Lesley Millman Sibner and Robert Jules Sibner, A note on the A				
point formula		05		
Betty Salzberg Stark, Irreducible subgroups of orthogonal group	os generated by			
groups of root type 1	6	11		
N. Stavrakas, A note on starshaped sets, (k)-extreme points and property		27		
Carl E. Swenson, <i>Direct sum subset decompositions of Z</i>		21 29		
Stephen Tefteller, A two-point boundary problem for nonhomogo				
differential equations		35		
Robert S. Wilson, Representations of finite rings		43		