

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR FAMILIES ON NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS**

TECK CHEONG LIM

## A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR FAMILIES OF NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS

TECK-CHEONG LIM

**In this paper it is proved that if  $K$  is a weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space and if  $K$  has normal structure, then any family of commuting nonexpansive mappings on  $K$  into itself admits a common fixed point.**

Kirk [5] first proved that if  $K$  is a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space and if  $K$  has normal structure [3], then every nonexpansive mapping  $T: K \rightarrow K$  has a fixed point. Later, Belluce and Kirk [1] extend this theorem by showing that any finite family of commuting nonexpansive self-mappings of such a set  $K$  always has a common fixed point. Their attempts to prove a common fixed point theorem for arbitrary families resulted in the need for a strengthening of normal structure called complete normal structure (see [2]). Since then the problem of whether their theorem is true for arbitrary families under the normal structure setting remained unsolved. In the present paper, we shall solve this problem by giving an affirmative answer. A technique used in the proof is the notion of asymptotic center which was first considered by Edelstein [4] to obtain a strong version of fixed point theorem in uniformly convex Banach spaces.

Throughout this paper, we shall denote the diameter of a set  $A$  of  $X$  by  $\delta(A)$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$  be a bounded net, ordered by ordinals less than  $\gamma$ , in a convex set  $C$  of a Banach space  $X$ , where  $\gamma$  is an ordinal  $\geq 1$ . For every  $x \in C$  and every ordinal  $\beta < \gamma$ , define

$$r_\beta(x) = \sup \{ \|x - x_\alpha\| : \alpha \geq \beta \}$$

$$r(x) = \inf \{ r_\delta(x) : \delta < \gamma \} = \overline{\lim} \|x - x_\alpha\|$$

and

$$r = \inf \{ r(x) : x \in C \} .$$

The set  $\{x \in C : r(x) = r\}$  (the number  $r$ ) will be called the asymptotic center (asymptotic radius) of  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$  in  $C$ .

**REMARK.** Our main concern in this paper is the case where  $\gamma = \aleph_\delta$  for some  $\delta \geq 0$ .

Some basic properties of  $r(x)$  and asymptotic center:

1. For each  $x \in C$ ,  $\{r_\beta(x)\}_{\beta < \gamma}$  is a decreasing net with limit  $r(x)$ .
2.  $r(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ .
3.  $|r(x) - r(y)| \leq \|x - y\|$  for every  $x, y \in C$ . This follows from (1) and the fact that  $|r_\beta(x) - r_\beta(y)| \leq \|x - y\|$  for every  $\beta < \gamma$ .
4.  $r(x)$  is a continuous convex function on  $C$ . This follows from (3), (1) and the fact that  $r_\beta(x)$  are convex functions on  $C$  for all  $\beta < \gamma$ .
5.  $\{x \in C: r(x) = r\}$  is a closed convex subset of  $C$ . This follows from (4).
6. If  $C$  is weakly compact convex, then  $\{x \in C: r(x) = r\}$  is non-empty. This follows from (4), the equality  $\{x \in C: r(x) = r\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in C: r(x) \leq r + 1/n\}$  and that closed convex subsets of weakly compact set are weakly compact.

DEFINITION 2. A convex subset  $C$  of a Banach space is said to have normal structure [3] if every bounded convex subset  $D$  of  $C$  with  $|D| > 1$  contains a point  $x$  such that  $\sup\{\|x - y\|: y \in D\} < \delta(D)$ . A convex subset  $C$  of a Banach space is said to have  $\aleph_\delta$ -normal structure,  $\delta \geq 0$ , if for every nonconstant bounded net  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \aleph_\delta}$  in  $C$ , the asymptotic center of  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \aleph_\delta}$  in  $\text{Co}(x_\alpha)_{\alpha < \aleph_\delta}$  is a proper subset of  $\text{Co}(x_\alpha)_{\alpha < \aleph_\delta}$ ; in case that  $C$  has  $\aleph_\delta$ -normal structure for every  $\delta \geq 0$ , we say that  $C$  has asymptotic normal structure.

In their original paper [3], Brodskii and Milman characterized normal structure as follows: A convex subset of a Banach space has normal structure if and only if it contains no diametral sequences. (A diametral sequence is a nonconstant bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $d(x_{n+1}, \text{Co}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \rightarrow \delta(\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty})$ .) The following lemma is a simple variation of the above characterization.

LEMMA 1. A convex subset  $C$  of a Banach space has normal structure if and only if it does not contain a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that for some  $c > 0$ ,  $\|x_n - x_m\| \leq c$ ,  $\|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}_n\| \geq c - 1/n^2$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , where  $\bar{x}_n = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ .

*Proof.* If  $C$  contains a bounded convex subset such that  $|D| > 1$  and  $\sup\{\|x - y\|: y \in D\} = \delta(D)$  for every  $x \in D$ , then it is easy to choose, by induction, a nonconstant sequence  $\{x_n\} \subseteq D$  satisfying the condition in the lemma with  $c = \delta(D)$ . On the other hand, assume that  $\{x_n\} \subseteq C$  is a sequence satisfying the condition in the lemma. If  $x \in \text{Co}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , it is not difficult to show that  $x = \lambda_1 \bar{x}_n + \lambda_2 x_{i_2} + \dots + \lambda_n x_{i_n}$  for some  $i_2, \dots, i_n \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , with  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq n$ , and  $\lambda_j \leq 0$  for  $2 \leq j \leq n$ . It follows that  $c \geq \|x_{n+1} - x\| \geq c - 1/n$  for every  $n \geq 1$  and every  $x \in \text{Co}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Hence  $d(x_{n+1}, \text{Co}(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \rightarrow c$  and  $c$  is necessarily equal to  $\delta(\{x_n\})$ .

In what follows, we shall use  $r_\beta(x)$ ,  $r(x)$  and  $r$  as is defined in Definition 1 without referring to the original net  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < r}$  if no ambiguity can arise.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *A convex subset  $C$  of a Banach space has normal structure if and only if it has  $\aleph_0$ -normal structure.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $C$  has  $\aleph_0$ -normal structure and suppose on the contrary that it contains a diametral sequence  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  with diameter  $\delta$ . For every  $x \in \text{Co}(u_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ , there is an integer  $N$  such that  $x \in \text{Co}(u_1, \dots, u_m)$  for all  $m \geq N$ . Since  $d(u_{i+1}, \text{Co}(u_1, \dots, u_i)) \rightarrow \delta$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $r(x) = \overline{\lim}_i \|u_i - x\| = \delta$  for every  $x \in \text{Co}(u_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ . This implies that  $r = \delta$  and the asymptotic center of  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  in  $\text{Co}(u_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  is  $\text{Co}(u_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  itself—a contradiction. Hence  $C$  has normal structure by Brodskii-Milman's characterization.

Suppose now that  $C$  does not have  $\aleph_0$ -normal structure. Then there exists a nonconstant bounded sequence  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that  $r(x) = r$  for every  $x \in \text{Co}(u_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ . By the basic property (2) following Definition 1, we have  $r > 0$ . Denote  $\text{Co}(u_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  by  $D$ .

Let  $x_1$  be an arbitrary point in  $C$ . Since  $r(x_1) = r$ , there exists  $x_2 \in \{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  such that  $\|x_1 - x_2\| \geq r - 1$ ; move  $x_2$  towards  $x_1$  along the line segment joining  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  if necessary, we may assume that  $\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq r$ . Suppose now that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq D$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , has been chosen such that

$$(1) \quad \|x_i - x_j\| \leq r \quad (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n)$$

and

$$\|x_n - \bar{x}_{n-1}\| \geq r - \frac{1}{(n-1)^2}$$

where  $\bar{x}_{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i$ . We proceed to choose  $x_{n+1} \in D$  as follows: Let  $m$  be an integer such that

$$(2) \quad r_m(x_i) \leq r + \frac{1}{n^2(n+1)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

and

$$(3) \quad r_m(\bar{x}_n) \leq r + \frac{1}{n^2(n+1)}.$$

Choose  $z_0 \in \{u_m, u_{m+1}, \dots\}$  so that

$$(4) \quad r_m(\bar{x}_n) - \|z_0 - \bar{x}_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n^2(n+1)}.$$

Since  $\max(\|z_0 - \bar{x}_n\|, r) \leq r_m(\bar{x}_n)$ , we have, from (3) and (4),

$$(5) \quad \left| \|z_0 - \bar{x}_n\| - r \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^2(n+1)}.$$

Let  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  be defined recursively by the formulae

$$(6) \quad z_i = t_i x_i + (1 - t_i) z_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

where

$$t_i = \max\left(\frac{\|x_i - z_{i-1}\| - r}{\|x_i - z_{i-1}\|}, 0\right)$$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We then define  $x_{n+1} = z_n$ .

Geometrically,  $x_{n+1}$  is obtained by first moving  $z_0$  along the line segment joining  $x_1$  and  $z_0$  so that its distance with  $x_1$  becomes  $r$  (keeping  $z_0$  unmoved if its distance with  $x_1$  is already not greater than  $r$ ) and then moving the resulting point towards  $x_2$  in the same manner and continuing so on, up to  $n$  times.

It is geometrically clear and can be easily proved that  $\|x_{n+1} - x_i\| \leq r$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . By making use of (1), (2), (6) and the fact that  $z_0 \in \{u_m, u_{m+1}, \dots\}$ , one can easily show that

$$(7) \quad \|x_i - z_{i-1}\| \leq r + \frac{1}{n^2(n+1)}$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since

$$\|z_i - z_{i-1}\| = t_i \|x_i - z_{i-1}\| \leq \max(\|x_i - z_{i-1}\| - r, 0)$$

by (6), we have, by (7),

$$\|x_{n+1} - z_0\| \leq \|z_0 - z_1\| + \dots + \|z_{n-1} - z_n\| \leq \frac{n}{n^2(n+1)}$$

and therefore

$$\|x_{n+1} - \bar{x}_n\| \geq \|z_0 - \bar{x}_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - z_0\| \geq r - \frac{1}{n^2}$$

by (5). Clearly,  $x_{n+1} \in D$ . Thus, by induction, we have constructed a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  satisfying the condition in Lemma 1 with  $c = r > 0$ . This implies that  $C$  has no normal structure and the proof is complete.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *A convex subset  $C$  of a Banach space has normal structure if and only if it has asymptotic normal structure.*

*Proof.* The sufficiency part follows from Proposition 1. For

necessity, suppose that  $C$  has normal structure. Suppose on the contrary that  $C$  does not have  $\aleph_\lambda$ -normal structure for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ . By Proposition 1, we must have  $\lambda \geq 1$ . Then there exists a nonconstant bounded net  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \aleph_\lambda}$  in  $C$  such that  $r(x) = r$  for all  $x \in \text{Co}(x_\alpha)_{\alpha < \aleph_\lambda}$ , where  $r$  is the asymptotic radius of  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \aleph_\lambda}$  in  $\text{Co}(x_\alpha)_{\alpha < \aleph_\lambda}$ . Again  $r > 0$ .

For each  $x \in \text{Co}(x_\alpha)_{\alpha < \aleph_\lambda}$ , we associate with it an ordinal  $\beta(x) < \aleph_\lambda$  as follows: Since  $r(x) = r$ ,  $\{\sup_{\alpha \geq \beta} \|x - x_\alpha\| : \beta < \aleph_\lambda\}$  is a decreasing net in  $R$  with infimum  $r$  so that there exists an increasing sequence  $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of ordinals less than  $\aleph_\lambda$  with  $\lim_i \sup_{\alpha \geq \beta_i} \|x - x_\alpha\| = r$ . We then put  $\beta(x) = \sup\{\beta_i : i \geq 1\}$ . Since  $\lambda \geq 1$ ,  $\beta(x) < \aleph_\lambda$ .

It follows from the definition of  $\beta(x)$  that  $\sup\{\|x - x_\alpha\| : \alpha \geq \gamma\} = r$  for every  $\gamma \geq \beta(x)$ . This implies that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for every  $\gamma \geq \beta(x)$ , there exists an ordinal  $\alpha > \gamma$  such that  $r \geq \|x_\alpha - x\| \geq r - \varepsilon$ .

Let  $u_1 = x_0$ . Choose  $\alpha > \beta(u_1)$  such that  $r \geq \|x_\alpha - u_1\| \geq r - 1$  and put  $u_2 = x_\alpha$ . Suppose that  $u_1, \dots, u_n, n \geq 2$ , have been chosen such that  $u_i \in \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \aleph_\lambda}$ ,  $\|u_i - u_j\| \leq r$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n$ ) and  $\|u_n - \bar{u}_{n-1}\| \geq r - 1/(n-1)^2$ , where  $\bar{u}_{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i$ . Let  $p = \max(\beta(u_1), \dots, \beta(u_n), \beta(\bar{u}_n))$ , where  $\bar{u}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i$ . Choose  $u_{n+1} \in \{x_\alpha\}_{p < \alpha < \aleph_\lambda}$  such that  $\|u_{n+1} - \bar{u}_n\| \geq r - 1/n^2$ ; this is possible since  $p \geq \beta(\bar{u}_n)$ . That  $\|u_{n+1} - u_i\| \leq r$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  is also true since  $p \geq \beta(u_i)$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence, by induction, we have constructed a sequence  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \aleph_\lambda}$  such that the condition in Lemma 1 is satisfied with  $c = r$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption that  $C$  has normal structure by Lemma 1 and the proof is complete.

REMARK. One can also define  $\gamma$ -normal structure for ordinals  $\gamma \geq 1$  and prove that a convex set has normal structure if and only if it has  $\gamma$ -normal structure for all ordinals  $\gamma \geq 1$ .

We are now in the position of proving the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of a Banach space and assume that  $K$  has normal structure. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an arbitrary family of commuting nonexpansive maps from  $K$  into itself. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  has a common fixed point.*

*Proof.* The theorem is true for  $|\mathcal{F}| < \aleph_0$  by Belluce-Kirk's theorem [1], so we assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is infinite. We shall first prove the theorem for  $|\mathcal{F}| = \aleph_0$  and assume that it is true for  $|\mathcal{F}| = \aleph_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha < \gamma$ , then prove it for the case  $|\mathcal{F}| = \aleph_\gamma$ . This would complete the proof by transfinite induction.

Suppose that  $|\mathcal{F}| = \aleph_0$  and let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ . Since closed convex subsets of  $K$  are weakly compact, we can apply Zorn's lemma to obtain a subset  $M$  of  $K$  which is minimal with respect to being

nonempty, closed, convex and mapped into itself by every member of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose  $M$  is not a singleton. For each  $n$ , let  $x_n$  be a common fixed point in  $M$  of  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ ; this is possible by [1]. Since  $M$  is minimal,  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is not a constant sequence. Let  $B$  be the asymptotic center of  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  in  $M$ . By weakly compactness of  $M$ ,  $B \neq \emptyset$ . If  $B = M$ , then  $r(x) = r(y)$  for every  $x, y$  in  $M$ , in particular  $r(x) = r(y)$  for every  $x, y \in \text{Co}(X_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ . This implies that the asymptotic center of  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  in  $\text{Co}(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  is  $\text{Co}(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  itself—a contradiction to normal structure of  $K$  by Proposition 1. Hence  $B$  is a proper (closed convex nonempty) subset of  $M$ . For every  $x \in B$ , every  $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\|x_m - f_n(x)\| = \|f_n(x_m) - f_n(x)\| \leq \|x_m - x\|$  for every  $m \geq n$  so that  $r(f_n(x)) = \overline{\lim}_m \|x_m - f_n(x)\| \leq \overline{\lim}_m \|x_m - x\| = r(x) = r$ , and therefore  $f_n(x) \in B$ . This shows that  $B$  is also mapped into itself by every member of  $\mathcal{F}$ —a contradiction to the minimality of  $M$ . Hence  $M$  consists of a single point which must be a common fixed point of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Suppose that the theorem is true for all such families  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $|\mathcal{F}| = \aleph_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha < \gamma$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family satisfying the condition in the theorem and  $|\mathcal{F}| = \aleph_\gamma$ . By previous reasoning,  $K$  contains a subset  $M$  which is minimal with respect to being nonempty, closed, convex and mapped into itself by each member of  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $M$  is not a singleton, define a net  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \aleph_\gamma}$  as follows: For each  $\alpha < \aleph_\gamma$ , either  $|\{f_\beta\}_{\beta \leq \alpha}| = \aleph_{\alpha_0}$  for some  $\alpha_0 < \gamma$  or  $|\{f_\beta\}_{\beta \leq \alpha}| < \aleph_\alpha$ , so that by induction hypothesis or Belluce-Kirk's theorem,  $\{f_\beta\}_{\beta \leq \alpha}$  has a common fixed point which we call  $x_\alpha$ . An argument parallel to the previous one together with Proposition 2 shows that the asymptotic center of  $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \aleph_\gamma}$  in  $M$  is a proper subset of  $M$  and is closed, convex, nonempty and mapped into itself by each member of  $\mathcal{F}$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $M$  consists of a single point which is a common fixed point of  $\mathcal{F}$ , completing the proof.

The special feature of the above proof leads to the following

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $K$  be defined as in Theorem 1. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of nonexpansive self-mappings of  $K$  such that every finite subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$  has a common fixed point in every  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant closed convex nonempty subset of  $K$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  has a common fixed point.*

**REMARK.** The technique of asymptotic center can also be applied to prove, by induction, Theorem 1 for finite families through the following facts which had been implicitly used in [4] in the case of uniformly convex Banach spaces.

1. If  $f$  is a nonexpansive mapping on a bounded convex set  $C$  into itself and  $x \in C$ , then the asymptotic center of  $\{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots\}$  in  $C$  is mapped into itself by  $f$ .
2. If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are commuting nonexpansive mappings on a

bounded convex set  $C$  into itself, and  $x$  is a common fixed point of  $f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$ , then the asymptotic center of  $\{x, f_n(x), f_n^2(x), \dots\}$  in  $C$  is mapped into itself by each  $f_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

## REFERENCES

1. L. P. Belluce and W. A. Kirk, *Fixed point theorems for families of contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math., **18** (1966), 213-217.
2. ———, *Nonexpansive mappings and fixed-points in Banach spaces*, Illinois J. Math., **11** (1967), 474-479.
3. M. S. Brodskii and D. P. Milman, *On the center of a convex set*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.), **59** (1948), 837-840.
4. M. Edelstein, *Fixed point theorems in uniformly convex Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **44** (1974), 369-374.
5. W. A. Kirk, *A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **72** (1965), 1004-1006.

Received May 31, 1973.

DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY

*Present address:* The University of Chicago





# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific of Journal Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Kenneth Abernethy, <i>On characterizing certain classes of first countable spaces by open mappings</i> .....	319
Ross A. Beaumont and Donald Lawver, <i>Strongly semisimple abelian groups</i> .....	327
Gerald A. Beer, <i>The index of convexity and parallel bodies</i> .....	337
Victor P. Camillo and Kent Ralph Fuller, <i>On Loewy length of rings</i> .....	347
Stephen LaVern Campbell, <i>Linear operators for which <math>T^*T</math> and <math>TT^*</math> commute.</i> <i>II</i> .....	355
Charles Kam-Tai Chui and Philip Wesley Smith, <i>Characterization of a function by certain infinite series it generates</i> .....	363
Allan L. Edelson, <i>Conjugations on stably almost complex manifolds</i> .....	373
Patrick John Fleury, <i>Hollow modules and local endomorphism rings</i> .....	379
Jack Tilden Goodykoontz, Jr., <i>Connectedness in kleinen and local connectedness in <math>2^X</math> and <math>C(X)</math></i> .....	387
Robert Edward Jamison, II, <i>Functional representation of algebraic intervals</i> .....	399
Athanassios G. Kartsatos, <i>Nonzero solutions to boundary value problems for nonlinear systems</i> .....	425
Soon-Kyu Kim, Dennis McGavran and Jingyal Pak, <i>Torus group actions on simply connected manifolds</i> .....	435
David Anthony Klarner and R. Rado, <i>Arithmetic properties of certain recursively defined sets</i> .....	445
Ray Alden Kunze, <i>On the Frobenius reciprocity theorem for square-integrable representations</i> .....	465
John Lagnese, <i>Existence, uniqueness and limiting behavior of solutions of a class of differential equations in Banach space</i> .....	473
Teck Cheong Lim, <i>A fixed point theorem for families on nonexpansive mappings</i> ..	487
Lewis Lum, <i>A quasi order characterization of smooth continua</i> .....	495
Andy R. Magid, <i>Principal homogeneous spaces and Galois extensions</i> .....	501
Charles Alan McCarthy, <i>The norm of a certain derivation</i> .....	515
Louise Elizabeth Moser, <i>On the impossibility of obtaining <math>S^2 \times S^1</math> by elementary surgery along a knot</i> .....	519
Gordon L. Nipp, <i>Quaternion orders associated with ternary lattices</i> .....	525
Anthony G. O'Farrell, <i>Equiconvergence of derivations</i> .....	539
Dorte Olesen, <i>Derivations of <math>AW^*</math>-algebras are inner</i> .....	555
Dorte Olesen and Gert Kjærsgaard Pedersen, <i>Derivations of <math>C^*</math>-algebras have semi-continuous generators</i> .....	563
Duane O'Neill, <i>On conjugation cobordism</i> .....	573
Chull Park and S. R. Paranjape, <i>Probabilities of Wiener paths crossing differentiable curves</i> .....	579
Edward Ralph Rozema, <i>Almost Chebyshev subspaces of <math>L^1(\mu; E)</math></i> .....	585
Lesley Millman Sibner and Robert Jules Sibner, <i>A note on the Atiyah-Bott fixed point formula</i> .....	605
Betty Salzberg Stark, <i>Irreducible subgroups of orthogonal groups generated by groups of root type 1</i> .....	611
N. Stavrakas, <i>A note on starshaped sets, (k)-extreme points and the half ray property</i> .....	627
Carl E. Swenson, <i>Direct sum subset decompositions of <math>Z</math></i> .....	629
Stephen Tefteller, <i>A two-point boundary problem for nonhomogeneous second order differential equations</i> .....	635
Robert S. Wilson, <i>Representations of finite rings</i> .....	643