

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**INDECOMPOSABLE MODULES FOR DIRECT PRODUCTS OF
FINITE GROUPS**

HARVEY ISAAC BLAU

INDECOMPOSABLE MODULES FOR DIRECT PRODUCTS OF FINITE GROUPS

HARVEY I. BLAU

An essentially known result is made explicit and its converse is proved, thereby showing that if K is a field of prime characteristic p , P a finite p -group and H a finite p' -group, then every finitely generated indecomposable $K(P \times H)$ -module is a tensor product of an indecomposable KP -module with an indecomposable KH -module if and only if either P is cyclic or K is a splitting field for H .

Let R be a commutative ring with 1, G and H finite groups. If V, W are RG -, RH -modules respectively, then $V \otimes_R W$ is an $R(G \times H)$ -module, where $(v \otimes w)gh = vg \otimes wh$ for all $v \in V, w \in W, g \in G, h \in H$. The following false assertion is made in [3]:

If K is a field of prime characteristic p , P a finite p -group, and H a finite p' -group, then every finitely generated indecomposable $K(P \times H)$ -module is isomorphic to some $V \otimes W$, where V, W are finitely generated indecomposable KP -, KH -modules respectively.

A correct version, with the additional hypothesis that K is algebraically closed, is proved in [1]. The purpose of this note is to give the exact conditions under which the above conclusion is true.

All rings (and algebras) are assumed to have an identity, all modules (and algebras) are unital and finitely generated, and all groups are finite. $J(R)$ denotes the Jacobson radical of a ring R . Our main result, which is proved after some preliminary steps, is

THEOREM 1. *Let p be a prime, K a field of characteristic p , P a p -group and H a p' -group. Every indecomposable $K(P \times H)$ -module is isomorphic to some $V \otimes W$, where V, W are indecomposable KP -, KH -modules respectively, if and only if either P is cyclic or K is a splitting field for H .*

PROPOSITION 2. *Let R be a commutative ring with A.C.C. such that $\bar{R} = R/J(R)$ has D.C.C. Let A and B be R -algebras. Then*

$$A \otimes_R B / J(A \otimes B) \approx ((A/J(A)) \otimes (B/J(B))) / J((A/J(A)) \otimes (B/J(B)))$$

as R -algebras. Furthermore, if either $A/J(A) \approx \bar{R}$ or \bar{R} is a perfect field, then $J((A/J(A)) \otimes (B/J(B))) = (0)$, so that $A \otimes B / J(A \otimes B) \approx (A/J(A)) \otimes (B/J(B))$.

Proof. $J(R)A \subseteq J(A)$ [4, I. 8.15], so $J(A)/J(R)A = J(A/J(R)A)$. Since $A/J(R)A$ has D. C. C., $J(A)^n \subseteq J(R)A$ for some positive integer n . Let $\iota(J(A) \otimes B)$ denote the natural image of $J(A) \otimes B$ in $A \otimes B$. Then $(\iota(J(A) \otimes B))^n = \iota(J(A)^n \otimes B) \subseteq \iota(J(R)A \otimes B) = J(R)(A \otimes B)$. So $\iota(J(A) \otimes B)/J(R)(A \otimes B)$ is a nilpotent ideal in $A \otimes B/J(R)(A \otimes B)$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(J(A) \otimes B)/J(R)(A \otimes B) &\subseteq J(A \otimes B)/J(R)(A \otimes B) \\ &= J(A \otimes B)/J(R)(A \otimes B). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\iota(J(A) \otimes B) \subseteq J(A \otimes B)$, and similarly $\iota(A \otimes J(B)) \subseteq J(A \otimes B)$.

Let $C = \iota(A \otimes J(B)) + \iota(J(A) \otimes B)$. Then $(A/J(A)) \otimes (B/J(B)) \approx (A \otimes B)/C$ as R -algebras. (The obvious homomorphism in each direction is indeed well-defined.) Since we have shown $C \subseteq J(A \otimes B)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A \otimes B/J(A \otimes B) &\approx ((A \otimes B)/C)/((J(A \otimes B))/C) \\ &= ((A \otimes B)/C)/J((A \otimes B)/C) \\ &\approx ((A/J(A)) \otimes (B/J(B)))/J((A/J(A)) \otimes (B/J(B))). \end{aligned}$$

Let $A/J(A) = X$, $B/J(B) = Y$. $J(R)X = (0) = J(R)Y$ implies $X \otimes_R Y \approx X \otimes_{\bar{R}} Y$. If $X \approx \bar{R}$, then $X \otimes_{\bar{R}} Y \approx Y = B/J(B)$ implies $J(X \otimes_{\bar{R}} Y) = (0)$.

Suppose \bar{R} is a perfect field. Since X and Y are each the direct sum of a finite number of simple ideals, to prove $J(X \otimes_{\bar{R}} Y) = (0)$ it suffices to assume that X and Y are simple. Let K be the center of X , F the center of Y . K and F are extension fields of \bar{R} . By a theorem of Azumaya and Nakayama [6, V. 9.1], the lattice of ideals of $K \otimes_{\bar{R}} F$ is isomorphic to the lattice of ideals of $X \otimes_{\bar{R}} Y$ under the correspondence $I \rightarrow I(X \otimes Y)$, where I is an ideal of $K \otimes F$. Since $I(X \otimes Y)$ is nilpotent if and only if I is, this correspondence preserves the radical. So $J(X \otimes Y) = (0)$ if and only if $J(K \otimes F) = (0)$. Since \bar{R} is perfect, F is a separable extension, so that

$$J(K \otimes F) = J(K) \otimes F = (0) \otimes F = (0)$$

[2, (69.10)].

Let R be a complete local domain, as in [4, I. 17] (i.e., R is either a complete discrete valuation ring or a field). Let $\bar{R} = R/J(R)$. Let G and H be groups. Let $E_{RG}(V)$ denote the R -algebra of all RG -linear maps of V into V . Consider the following two properties:

(A) *Every R -free indecomposable $R(G \times H)$ -module has the form $V \otimes_R W$ for some R -free indecomposable RG -, RH -modules V , W respectively.*

(B) *For all R -free indecomposable RG -, RH -modules V , W respectively, $V \otimes W$ is an indecomposable $R(G \times H)$ -module.*

PROPOSITION 3. (i) (A) implies (B).

(ii) If $E_{RG}(V)/J(E_{RG}(V)) \approx \bar{R}$ for each R -free indecomposable RG -module V , then (B) holds.

(iii) If $|H|$ is prime to the characteristic of \bar{R} , then (B) implies (A).

The proof of (iii) is essentially given in [4, III. 3.7], but we include it here for the sake of completeness. Note that if R is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, (ii) and (iii) imply the standard result on the irreducible characters of a direct product.

Proof. (i) Let V, W be R -free indecomposable RG -, RH -modules respectively. Let $V \otimes W = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^n U_i$ where the U_i are indecomposable $R(G \times H)$ -modules (necessarily R -free). Each $U_i \approx V_i \otimes W_i$ for some R -free indecomposable RG -, RH -modules V_i, W_i respectively. Then

$$(V \otimes W)_{RG} = \bigoplus (\text{rank}_R W) V \approx \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{rank}_R W_i) V_i$$

and

$$(V \otimes W)_{RH} = \bigoplus (\text{rank}_R V) W \approx \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{rank}_R V_i) W_i.$$

The unique decomposition property [4, I. 11.5] implies $V_i \approx V$ and $W_i \approx W$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $V \otimes W \approx \bigoplus n(V \otimes W)$ implies $n = 1$, hence $V \otimes W$ is indecomposable.

(ii) Let $E = E_{R(G \times H)}(V \otimes W)$. By [4, III. 3.6], $E \approx E_{RG}(V) \otimes_R E_{RH}(W)$ as an R -algebra. Since W is indecomposable, $E_{RH}(W)$ has no idempotents besides the identity. Hence $E_{RH}(W)/J(E_{RH}(W))$ is a division ring [4, I. 12.6, I. 10.1]. By Proposition 2,

$$\begin{aligned} E/J(E) &\approx (E_{RG}(V)/J(E_{RG}(V))) \otimes_R (E_{RH}(W)/J(E_{RH}(W))) \\ &\approx \bar{R} \otimes_R (E_{RH}(W)/J(E_{RH}(W))) \approx \bar{R} \otimes_{\bar{R}} (E_{RH}(W)/J(E_{RH}(W))) \\ &\approx E_{RH}(W)/J(E_{RH}(W)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus E is a local ring and has no idempotents other than the identity. It follows that $V \otimes W$ is indecomposable.

(iii) Let U be an indecomposable $R(G \times H)$ -module. Since $|G \times H:G|$ is a unit in R , there is an indecomposable RG -module V such that $U|V^{G \times H} = V \otimes_{RG} R(G \times H)$ by [4, II. 3] and unique decomposition. $V \otimes_{RG} R(G \times H) \approx V \otimes_{RG} (RG \otimes_R RH) \approx (V \otimes_{RG} RG) \otimes_R RH \approx V \otimes_R RH$, where the isomorphisms are $R(G \times H)$ -linear. Let $RH = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^n W_i$, a sum of indecomposable RH -modules. Then

$U | \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^n V \otimes_R W_i$. Since each $V \otimes W_i$ is indecomposable by (B), $U \approx V \otimes W_j$ for some j by unique decomposition.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let R be a finite field. Then (B) holds if and only if for all indecomposable RG -, RH -modules V, W respectively,*

$$([E_{RG}(V)/J(E_{RG}(V)): R], [E_{RH}(W)/J(E_{RH}(W)): R]) = 1.$$

Proof. V and W indecomposable imply $E_{RG}(V)/J(E_{RG}(V)) = R'$ and $E_{RH}(W)/J(E_{RH}(W)) = R''$ are division rings, and hence fields by Wedderburn's theorem. Since R is perfect, [4, III. 3.6] and Proposition 2 imply that $V \otimes W$ is indecomposable if and only if $R' \otimes_R R''$ is a field. It is well-known that (for R finite) $R' \otimes_R R''$ is a field if and only if $([R': R], [R'': R]) = 1$.

EXAMPLES. (1) Proposition 3(iii) is not true if the assumption on $|H|$ is dropped. For instance, let $H = G$ be a (non-trivial) cyclic p -group, with $R = \bar{R}$ a field of characteristic p . For all indecomposable RG -modules V_i and V_j (see the proof of Theorem 1 below), $V_i \otimes V_j$ is an indecomposable $R(G \times H)$ -module by Proposition 3(ii). But there are only finitely many such modules, while $G \times H$ has infinitely many nonisomorphic indecomposable modules over R [2, (64.1)].

(2) If R is a finite field of characteristic p , and G, H are p' -groups, (A) and (B) may be true with R not a splitting field for either group. Let $R = GF(11)$, G be cyclic of order 7, H cyclic of order 3. Considering the decomposition of RG as a cyclic RG -module, we find there exist two nonlinear irreducible RG -modules, say U_i for $i = 1, 2$ such that $[E_{RG}(U_i): R] = 3$. Similarly, there is one nonlinear irreducible RH -module W with $[E_{RH}(W): R] = 2$. So (B) and (A) hold, by Proposition 4 and Proposition 3(iii).

On the other hand, let $R = GF(11)$, H cyclic of order 3, and G cyclic of order 4. G has one nonlinear irreducible RG -module V with $[E_{RG}(V): R] = 2$. Then Proposition 4 implies (B) and (A) fail. However, if $R = Q$ is the rational field, then there is one nonlinear irreducible QG -module V with $E_{QG}(V) \approx Q(\sqrt{-1})$, and one nonlinear irreducible QH -module W with $E_{QH}(W) \approx Q(\sqrt{-3})$. Since $Q(\sqrt{-1}) \otimes_Q Q(\sqrt{-3})$ is a field, $V \otimes W$ is indecomposable and (A), (B) hold.

Proof of Theorem 1. If K is a splitting field for H , then for all indecomposable (hence irreducible) KH -modules W , $E_{KH}(W) \approx K$. So every indecomposable $K(P \times H)$ -module is isomorphic to some $V \otimes W$ by Proposition 3(ii) (applied to H) and (iii).

If P is cyclic of order p^n , then the p^n distinct indecomposable

KP -modules are given by $V_i = KP/J(KP)^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p^n$ [2, (64.2)]. Since V_i is cyclic, $E_{KP}(V_i) \approx KP/J(KP)^i$. Hence $E_{KP}(V_i)/J(E_{KP}(V_i)) \approx KP/J(KP) \approx K$ for $1 \leq i \leq p^n$. Again, every indecomposable $K(P \times H)$ -module is isomorphic to some $V_i \otimes W$ by Proposition 3(ii) and (iii).

Suppose K is not a splitting field for H and P is not cyclic. There is an irreducible KH -module W with $D = E_{KH}(W)$ a division ring of dimension greater than one as a K -algebra. Pick $\alpha \in D - K$, and let $f(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$ be the irreducible polynomial for α over K . (So each $a_i \in K$ and $n > 1$.) $K \subseteq K(\alpha) \subseteq D$.

Let g_1 and g_2 generate the noncyclic group of order p^2 , which is a homomorphic image of P . Let Y be a vector space over K of dimension $2n$, with basis $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$.

Let

$$T = (t_{i,j}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & \dots & \dots & -a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n}.$$

Then Y is an indecomposable KP -module, with $(u_i)(g_1 - 1) = y_i$, $(u_i)(g_2 - 1) = \sum_j t_{ij}y_j$, $(y_i)(g_1 - 1) = 0 = (y_i)(g_2 - 1)$ [5, Proposition 5]. We show that in fact $E_{KP}(Y)/J(E_{KP}(Y)) \approx K(\alpha)$:

$E_{KP}(Y)$ consists of all $2n \times 2n$ matrices over K which commute with both $\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}$ and $\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}$, where the blocks are $n \times n$. A matrix commutes with the first if and only if it has the form $\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & A \end{smallmatrix}$, and also commutes with the second if and only if $AT = TA$. An n -dimensional K -space $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n K v_i$ is a faithful, cyclic $K[T]$ -module with $v_i T = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij} v_j$. Hence $AT = TA$ implies $A \in K[T]$. Now $f(x)$ is the minimum polynomial for T , so $K[T] \approx K[x]/\langle f(x) \rangle \approx K(\alpha)$. Thus, $J(E_{KP}(Y))$ equals the set of all nonunits in $E_{KP}(Y)$, namely the set of all matrices of the form $\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}$. Then $E_{KP}(Y)/J(E_{KP}(Y)) \approx K[T] \approx K(\alpha)$.

Let $F \supseteq K$ be a splitting field for H . Then $W \otimes_K F \approx \bigoplus_{i,j} U_{ij}$ where each $U_{i,j}$ is an absolutely irreducible FH -module, and $U_{i,j} \approx U_{st}$ if and only if $i = s$. Since $E_{FH}(U_{ij}) \approx F$ for all i, j , we have $D \otimes_K F \approx E_{FH}(W \otimes_K F) \approx \bigoplus_i F_{n_i}$, a direct sum of full matrix algebras over F . Then D is a separable K -algebra [2, (71.2)]. It follows that $J(K(\alpha) \otimes_K D) = (0)$.

Let $E = E_{K(P \times H)}(Y \otimes_K W)$. By [4, III. 3.6] and Proposition 2,

$$E/J(E) \approx K(\alpha) \otimes D/J(K(\alpha) \otimes D) = K(\alpha) \otimes D.$$

However, $K(\alpha) \otimes D \cong K(\alpha) \otimes K(\alpha) \approx K(\alpha)[x]/\langle f(x) \rangle$ which contains zero divisors, since $f(x)$ is reducible over $K(\alpha)$. Therefore, $E/J(E)$ is not a division ring, whence E contains more than one idempotent. So $Y \otimes W$ is decomposable. Proposition 3(i) implies not every indecomposable $K(P \times H)$ -module is of the given form.

REFERENCES

1. R. Brauer and W. Feit, *An analogue of Jordan's theorem in characteristic p* , Ann. of Math., **84** (1966), 119-131.
2. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
3. W. Feit, *Groups with a cyclic Sylow subgroup*, Nagoya Math. J., **27** (1966), 571-584.
4. ———, *Representations of Finite Groups, Part I*, Lecture Notes, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, 1969.
5. A. Heller and I. Reiner, *Indecomposable representations*, Illinois J. Math., **5** (1961), 314-323.
6. N. Jacobson, *Structure of Rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., **37**, 1964.

Received May 2, 1973.

NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 54, No. 1

May, 1974

Ralph K Amayo, <i>Engel Lie rings with chain conditions</i>	1
Bernd Anger and Jörn Lembcke, <i>Hahn-Banach type theorems for hypolinear functionals on preordered topological vector spaces</i>	13
Gregory Frank Bachelis and Samuel Ebenstein, <i>On $\Lambda(p)$ sets</i>	35
Harvey Isaac Blau, <i>Indecomposable modules for direct products of finite groups</i>	39
Larry Eugene Bobisud and James Calvert, <i>Singular perturbation of a time-dependent Cauchy problem in a Hilbert space</i>	45
Walter D. Burgess and Robert Raphael, <i>Abian's order relation and orthogonal completions for reduced rings</i>	55
James Diederich, <i>Representation of superharmonic functions mean continuous at the boundary of the unit ball</i>	65
Aad Dijkema and Hendrik S. V. de Snoo, <i>Self-adjoint extensions of symmetric subspaces</i>	71
Gustave Adam Efroymsen, <i>A Nullstellensatz for Nash rings</i>	101
John D. Elwin and Donald R. Short, <i>Branched immersions onto compact orientable surfaces</i>	113
John Douglas Faires, <i>Comparison of the states of closed linear transformations</i>	123
Joe Wayne Fisher and Robert L. Snider, <i>On the von Neumann regularity of rings with regular prime factor rings</i>	135
Franklin Takashi Iha, <i>A unified approach to boundary value problems on compact intervals</i>	145
Palaniappan L. Kannappan and Che Tat Ng, <i>On functional equations connected with directed divergence, inaccuracy and generalized directed divergence</i>	157
Samir A. Khabbaz and Elias Hanna Toubassi, <i>The module structure of $\text{Ext}(F, T)$ over the endomorphism ring of T</i>	169
Garo K. Kiremidjian, <i>On deformations of complex compact manifolds with boundary</i>	177
Dimitri Koutroufiotis, <i>Mappings by parallel normals preserving principal directions</i>	191
W. K. Nicholson, <i>Semiperfect rings with abelian adjoint group</i>	201
Norman R. Reilly, <i>Extension of congruences and homomorphisms to translational hulls</i>	209
Sadahiro Saeki, <i>Symmetric maximal ideals in $M(G)$</i>	229
Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, <i>On the homotopy invariance of certain functors</i>	245
H. J. Shyr and T. M. Viswanathan, <i>On the radicals of lattice-ordered rings</i>	257
Indranand Sinha, <i>Certain representations of infinite group algebras</i>	261
David Smallen, <i>The group of self-equivalences of certain complexes</i>	269
Kalathoor Varadarajan, <i>On a certain problem of realization in homotopy theory</i>	277
James Edward West, <i>Sums of Hilbert cube factors</i>	293
Chi Song Wong, <i>Fixed points and characterizations of certain maps</i>	305