

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **SINGULAR PERTURBATION OF A TIME-DEPENDENT CAUCHY PROBLEM IN A HILBERT SPACE**

LARRY EUGENE BOBISUD AND JAMES CALVERT

## SINGULAR PERTURBATION OF A TIME-DEPENDENT CAUCHY PROBLEM IN A HILBERT SPACE

L. E. BOBISUD AND JAMES CALVERT

**Let  $A$  be a self-adjoint operator, not necessarily bounded, in the Hilbert space  $H$ , with resolution of the identity  $E_\lambda$ . Define  $h(t, A) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t, \lambda) dE_\lambda$ . It is shown that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 +$  the solution of the abstract problem  $\varepsilon U'_\varepsilon + bU'_\varepsilon + h(t, A)U_\varepsilon = 0$ ,  $U_\varepsilon(0) = x_0$ ,  $U'_\varepsilon(0) = x_1$  tends in the norm of  $H$  to the solution of  $bU'_0 + h(t, A)U_0 = 0$ ,  $U_0(0) = x_0$  for data  $x_0, x_1$  in a dense subset of  $H$ .**

Let  $A$  be a (possibly unbounded) self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $E_\lambda$  be the resolution of the identity for  $A$ , so that

$$Ax = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE_\lambda x$$

for  $x \in D(A) \subset H$ . Let  $h(t, \lambda)$  defined on  $[0, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty)$  be a Borel measurable function of  $\lambda$  for fixed  $t$  and a continuous function of  $t$  for fixed real  $\lambda$ . Then an operator  $h(t, A)$  can be defined by

$$h(t, A)x = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t, \lambda) dE_\lambda x$$

for  $x \in D(h(t, A))$ , where

$$D(h(t, A)) = \left\{ x \in H: \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h(t, \lambda)|^2 d\|E_\lambda x\|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

We shall be concerned with the behavior as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 +$  of the solution of the problem

$$(1) \quad \varepsilon U''_\varepsilon + bU'_\varepsilon + h(t, A)U_\varepsilon = 0, \quad U_\varepsilon(0) = x_0, \quad U'_\varepsilon(0) = x_1,$$

where  $b$  is a positive constant. It seems reasonable to expect that  $U_\varepsilon \rightarrow U_0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where  $U_0$  solves the problem

$$(2) \quad bU'_0 + h(t, A)U_0 = 0, \quad U_0(0) = x_0.$$

We prove this convergence, as well as  $U'_\varepsilon \rightarrow U'_0$ , in the norm of  $H$  for data  $x_0, x_1$  restricted to a certain dense subset of  $H$ .

Several abstract singular perturbation problems of this nature have been considered before. Kisyński [5] considered the case  $h(t, A) \equiv A$  where  $A$  is positive as well as self-adjoint; in addition, he considered the inhomogeneous problem. Smoller [9, 10], Latil [6], Friedman [4] and the authors [1] have extended his results to higher-

order equations and have removed the restriction that  $A$  be positive. The use of the resolution of the identity for  $A$  and estimates for the special case  $H = L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $A = a$  a real parameter  $\lambda$  is central to all these treatments, as well as to the present study. Singular perturbations in Banach spaces have been studied by Bobisud and Hersh [2], Sova [11], and Schoene [8].

Time-dependent equations of the form

$$\varepsilon p(t)U_\varepsilon'' + q(t)U_\varepsilon' + AU_\varepsilon = 0$$

and higher-order generalizations have been considered by Friedman [4]. The only previous study of a nonfactorable time-variable operator  $h(t, A)$  which is known to the authors is that of Nur [7], who considers the case  $h(t, \lambda) = e^{t\lambda}$ , so  $h(t, A)$  is a semigroup with generator  $A$ . The result of Nur is contained in the theorems to follow.

As mentioned above, we begin by examining in part 1 the special case  $H = L^2(-\infty, \infty)$  and show that  $u_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) \rightarrow u_0(t, \lambda)$ , where

$$(3) \quad \varepsilon u_\varepsilon'' + bu_\varepsilon' + h(t, \lambda)u_\varepsilon = 0, \quad u_\varepsilon(0, \lambda) = x_0, \quad u_\varepsilon'(0, \lambda) = x_1,$$

$$(4) \quad bu_0' + h(t, \lambda)u_0 = 0, \quad u_0(0, \lambda) = x_0.$$

We also establish for this case certain estimates to be used in treating the Hilbert space problem in part 2.

1. The problem on the real line. Since the problems (3), (4) are linear, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) &= p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)x_0 + q_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)x_1, \\ u_0(t, \lambda) &= p_0(t, \lambda)x_0 \end{aligned}$$

for certain functions  $p_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon, p_0$ . Regarding a solution of (3) for fixed  $\varepsilon$  as a solution of the equation  $\varepsilon u_\varepsilon'' + bu_\varepsilon' = -h(t, \lambda)u_\varepsilon(t)$ , we find that  $u_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)$  satisfies the following integral equation:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) &= x_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{b}[1 - e^{-(b/\varepsilon)t}]x_1 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{b} \int_0^t h(s, \lambda)[1 - e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)}]u_\varepsilon(s, \lambda)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for  $u_0$  we obtain the integral equation

$$(6) \quad u_0(t, \lambda) = x_0 - \frac{1}{b} \int_0^t h(s, \lambda)u_0(s, \lambda)ds;$$

thus for the difference we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad u_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) - u_0(t, \lambda) &= \frac{\varepsilon}{b} [1 - e^{-(b/\varepsilon)t}] x_1 \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{b} \int_0^t h(s, \lambda) [u_\varepsilon(s, \lambda) - u_0(s, \lambda)] ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{b} \int_0^t h(s, \lambda) e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} u_\varepsilon(s, \lambda) ds .
 \end{aligned}$$

For convenience we define

$$M_T(\lambda) = 1 + \frac{1}{b} e^{1/b} \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds .$$

Observe that  $M_\varepsilon(\lambda) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ 2 \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds \right\}$  since  $\alpha e^\alpha \leq e^{2\alpha}$ .

LEMMA 1. For  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)| &\leq M_T(\lambda) , \\
 |q_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{b} M_T(\lambda) , \\
 |p_0(t, \lambda)| &\leq M_T(\lambda) .
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From (5) we obtain

$$|u_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)| \leq |x_0| + \frac{\varepsilon}{b} |x_1| + \frac{1}{b} \int_0^t |h(s, \lambda)| |u_\varepsilon(s, \lambda)| ds ;$$

Gronwall's lemma [3, p. 37] then implies that

$$(8) \quad |u_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)| \leq \left[ |x_0| + \frac{\varepsilon}{b} |x_1| \right] M_T(\lambda) .$$

The first two statements of the lemma follow on setting  $x_0 = 1, x_1 = 0$  and  $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1$ , respectively. The last statement follows in the same manner from (6).

THEOREM 1. For any  $T > 0$  and any fixed  $\lambda, p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) \rightarrow p_0(t, \lambda)$  and  $q_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ , uniformly in  $t \in [0, T]$ .

*Proof.* That  $q_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) \rightarrow 0$  is obvious from Lemma 1. Setting  $x_1 = 0, x_0 = 1$  in (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 |p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) - p_0(t, \lambda)| &\leq \frac{1}{b} \int_0^t |h(s, \lambda)| |p_\varepsilon(s, \lambda) - p_0(s, \lambda)| ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{b} M_T(\lambda) \int_0^t |h(s, \lambda)| e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} ds .
 \end{aligned}$$

For any  $\delta > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t |h(s, \lambda)| e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} ds &\leq \int_0^{\max(t-\delta, 0)} |h(s, \lambda)| e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} ds + \int_{\max(t-\delta, 0)}^t |h(s, \lambda)| ds \\
&\leq e^{-(b/\varepsilon)\delta} \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds + \delta \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |h(t, \lambda)| \\
&\equiv \delta g(\lambda) + e^{-(b/\varepsilon)\delta} k(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) - p_0(t, \lambda)| &\leq \frac{1}{b} M_T(\lambda) [\delta g(\lambda) + e^{-(b/\varepsilon)\delta} k(\lambda)] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{b} \int_0^t |h(s, \lambda)| |p_\varepsilon(s, \lambda) - p_0(s, \lambda)| ds;
\end{aligned}$$

application of Gronwall's lemma yields the inequality

$$|p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) - p_0(t, \lambda)| \leq \frac{1}{b} [\delta g(\lambda) + e^{-(b/\varepsilon)\delta} k(\lambda)] M_2(T)^2.$$

Here the right-hand side can be made arbitrarily small by first choosing  $\delta > 0$  small and then requiring  $\varepsilon$  to be sufficiently small.

LEMMA 2. For  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|p'_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)| &\leq \frac{2b}{\varepsilon} e^2 \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds, \\
|q'_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)| &\leq 1 + e^2 \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Differentiating (5), taking absolute values, and using the estimate (8), we get

$$(9) \quad |u'_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)| \leq |x_1| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} [|x_0| + \frac{\varepsilon}{b} |x_1|] M_T(\lambda) \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds;$$

setting in turn  $x_0 = 1$ ,  $x_1 = 0$  and  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $x_1 = 1$ , and using the inequality  $\alpha(1 + \alpha e^\alpha) \leq 2e^{2\alpha}$  for  $\alpha > 0$ , yields the result.

LEMMA 3. For  $t \in [0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|p''_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)| &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left( 2b^2 + \varepsilon \max_{[0, T]} |h(t, \lambda)| \right) e^2 \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds, \\
|q''_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)| &\leq \left( \frac{2b}{\varepsilon} + \frac{2}{b} \max_{[0, T]} |h(t, \lambda)| \right) e^2 \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds, \\
|p'_0(t, \lambda)| &\leq \frac{2}{b} \left( \max_{[0, T]} |h(t, \lambda)| \right) e^2 \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The proof follows easily by using the differential equations (3), (4) themselves and the estimates contained in (9) and Lemma 1.

**THEOREM 2.** For any  $0 < \tau < T$ ,  $p'_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) \rightarrow p'_0(t, \lambda)$  uniformly for  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $q'_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $t \in [\tau, T]$ .

*Proof.* Differentiation of (5) and (6) yields the equations

$$\begin{aligned} p'_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t h(s, \lambda) e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} p_\varepsilon(s, \lambda) ds, \\ p'_0(t, \lambda) &= -\frac{1}{b} h(t, \lambda) p_0(t, \lambda), \\ q'_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) &= e^{-(b/\varepsilon)t} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t h(s, \lambda) e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} q_\varepsilon(s, \lambda) ds. \end{aligned}$$

From the last of these equations we obtain, using Lemma 1,

$$|q'_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)| \leq e^{-(b/\varepsilon)t} + \frac{1}{b} M_T(\lambda) \int_0^t |h(s, \lambda)| e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} ds;$$

in the proof of Theorem 1 this integral was shown to approach zero, uniformly in  $t \in [0, T]$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $e^{-(b/\varepsilon)t} \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $t \in [\tau, T]$ , the second statement of theorem follows.

We turn to the first statement, writing for any fixed  $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} p'_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) - p'_0(t, \lambda) &= -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{\max(t-\delta, 0)} h(s, \lambda) e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} p_\varepsilon(s, \lambda) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\max(t-\delta, 0)}^t h(s, \lambda) e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} p_\varepsilon(s, \lambda) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{b} h(t, \lambda) p_0(t, \lambda) \equiv J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda e^{-\lambda} \leq e^{-(1/2)\lambda}$ , we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |J_1| &\leq \frac{1}{b\delta} \int_0^{\max(t-\delta, 0)} \frac{b}{\varepsilon} (t-s) e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} |h(s, \lambda)| |p_\varepsilon(s, \lambda)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{b\delta} M_T(\lambda) e^{-(b/2\varepsilon)\delta} \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the final quantity tends to zero with  $\varepsilon$ . Also, since

$$\int_{t-\delta}^t \frac{b}{\varepsilon} e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} ds = 1 - e^{-b\delta/\varepsilon},$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |b(J_2 + J_3)| &\leq \frac{b}{\varepsilon} \int_{t-\delta}^t e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)} |h(s, \lambda)| |p_\varepsilon(s, \lambda) - p_0(s, \lambda)| ds \\ &\quad + e^{-b\delta/\varepsilon} |h(t, \lambda)| |p_0(t, \lambda)|. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\mu_\varepsilon(\lambda, T) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) - p_0(t, \lambda)|$ ,  $\nu(\lambda, T) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |h(t, \lambda)|$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |b(J_2 + J_3)| &\leq e^{-b\delta/\varepsilon} \nu(\lambda, T) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |p_0(t, \lambda)| \\ &\quad + \mu_\varepsilon(\lambda, T) \nu(\lambda, T) (1 - e^{-b\delta/\varepsilon}); \end{aligned}$$

the right-hand side tends to zero with  $\varepsilon$  by Theorem 1.

**2. The problem in a Hilbert space.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $E_\lambda$  the resolution of the identity for the self-adjoint operator  $A$ , and define operators  $P_\varepsilon, P_0, Q_\varepsilon$  on  $H$  by

$$\begin{aligned} P_\varepsilon(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) dE_\lambda, \\ P_0(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_0(t, \lambda) dE_\lambda, \\ Q_\varepsilon(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) dE_\lambda; \end{aligned}$$

let  $T > 0$  be a fixed number. Let  $D$  denote the (dense) domain of the operator

$$(I + A + \max_{[0, T]} |h(t, A)|) \exp \left\{ 2 \int_0^T |h(s, A)| ds \right\}$$

defined as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \lambda + \max_{[0, T]} |h(t, \lambda)| \right) e^{2 \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds} dE_\lambda;$$

then

$$D = \left\{ x \in H: \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \lambda + \max_{[0, T]} |h(t, \lambda)| \right)^2 e^{4 \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds} d(E_\lambda x, x) < \infty \right\}.$$

$D$  is contained in the domains of  $P_\varepsilon, P_0, Q_\varepsilon$ , because for  $x \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\varepsilon(t)x\|^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)|^2 d(E_\lambda x, x) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [M_T(\lambda)]^2 d(E_\lambda x, x) \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 4e^{4 \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds} d(E_\lambda x, x) < \infty; \end{aligned}$$

similar calculations are valid for  $P_0, Q_0$ . Also, if  $x \in D$ , then  $P_\varepsilon x, P_0 x, Q_\varepsilon x \in D(A)$ , as is shown by calculations like the following for  $P_\varepsilon x$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^2 d \|E_\lambda P_\varepsilon x\|^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^2 d \left\| E_\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_\varepsilon(t, \mu) dE_\mu x \right\|^2 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^2 d \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} p_\varepsilon(t, \mu) dE_\mu x \right\|^2 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^2 d \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} 4e^{4 \int_0^T |h(s, \mu)| ds} d \|E_\mu x\|^2 \\ &= 4 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^2 e^{4 \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds} d \|E_\lambda x\|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Defining  $U_\varepsilon(t) = P_\varepsilon(t)x_0 + Q_\varepsilon(t)x_1$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $U_0(t) = P_0(t)x_0$ , we have

LEMMA 4.  $U_\varepsilon(t)$ ,  $U_0(t)$  solve the problems (1), (2), respectively, on  $[0, T]$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove only the statement concerning  $U_\varepsilon$ ; that  $U_0$  solves (2) is proved similarly. In view of the fact that  $p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)$  and  $q_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)$  satisfy the differential equation (3), it is enough to show that the first and second derivatives of  $P_\varepsilon$ ,  $Q_\varepsilon$  can be taken under the integral sign; that is, for  $x \in D$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^\nu P_\varepsilon(t)x &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^\nu p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) dE_\lambda x, \\ \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^\nu Q_\varepsilon(t)x &\sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^\nu q_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) dE_\lambda x \quad (\nu = 1, 2); \end{aligned}$$

we present a proof of the statement for  $P_\varepsilon$ . By the mean value theorem we have that

$$\frac{1}{h}[P_\varepsilon(t+h)x - P_\varepsilon(t)x] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} p_\varepsilon(t', \lambda) dE_\lambda x$$

for some  $t'$  between  $t$  and  $t+h$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} p_\varepsilon(t', \lambda) dE_\lambda x - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dt} p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) dE_\lambda x \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{d}{dt} p_\varepsilon(t', \lambda) - \frac{d}{dt} p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) \right|^2 d\|E_\lambda x\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so the desired result is a consequence of the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem if we show that the integrand of the last integral is bounded by a function integrable with respect to the measure  $\|E_\lambda x\|^2$ . To this end restrict  $h$  to be small enough that  $0 \leq t+h \leq T$ . Then from Lemma 2

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} p_\varepsilon(t', \lambda) \right|^2, \left| \frac{d}{dt} p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) \right|^2 \leq \frac{4b^2}{\varepsilon^2} e^{4 \int_0^T |h(s, \lambda)| ds},$$

which is integrable for each fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The proof of the case  $\nu = 2$  is similar but uses Lemma 3 instead of Lemma 2.

LEMMA 5. *The solutions of the problems (1), (2) are unique.*

*Proof.* We shall show that  $P_\varepsilon(t)x_0$  is the only solution of (1) with  $x_1 = 0$ ; the omitted cases are similar. Let  $h_n(t, A)$  for any integer  $n$  be the bounded operator



$$h_n(t, A) \equiv \int_{-n}^n h(t, \lambda) dE_\lambda = (E_n - E_{-n})h(t, A).$$

Suppose  $R_\varepsilon(t)$  is a solution of (1) with  $x_1 = 0$ , and set  $z_\varepsilon(t) = P_\varepsilon(t)x_0 - R_\varepsilon(t)$ . Set  $z_{\varepsilon,n}(t) = (E_n - E_{-n})z_\varepsilon(t)$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon z_{\varepsilon,n}'' + b z_{\varepsilon,n}' + h_n(t, A) z_{\varepsilon,n} \\ = (E_n - E_{-n})(\varepsilon z_\varepsilon'' + b z_\varepsilon' + h(t, A) z_\varepsilon) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and  $z_{\varepsilon,n}(0) = 0$ ,  $z_{\varepsilon,n}'(0) = 0$ . If we show that  $z_{\varepsilon,n} \equiv 0$ , we will have  $0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{\varepsilon,n}(t) = z_\varepsilon(t)$ , as desired. Now  $z_{\varepsilon,n}$  satisfies the integral equation

$$z_{\varepsilon,n}(t) = -\frac{1}{b} \int_0^t [1 - e^{-(b/\varepsilon)(t-s)}] h_n(s, A) z_{\varepsilon,n}(s) ds,$$

whence

$$\|z_{\varepsilon,n}(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{b} \int_0^t \|h_n(s, A)\| \|z_{\varepsilon,n}(s)\| ds,$$

and  $z_{\varepsilon,n} \equiv 0$  follows in standard fashion.

**THEOREM 3.** *For  $x_0, x_1 \in D$  we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|U_\varepsilon(t) - U_0(t)\| = 0$$

*uniformly for  $t \in [0, t]$ , and for any  $\tau > 0$ ,  $\tau < T$ ,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \|U'_\varepsilon(t) - U'_0(t)\| = 0$$

*uniformly for  $t \in [\tau, T]$ .*

*Proof.* It is necessary to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) - p_0(t, \lambda)|^2 d\|E_\lambda x_0\|^2 = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)|^2 d\|E_\lambda x_1\|^2 = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |p'_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) - p'_0(t, \lambda)|^2 d\|E_\lambda x_0\|^2 = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q'_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)|^2 d\|E_\lambda x_1\|^2 = 0.$$

Since, by Lemma 1,

$$|p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda) - p_0(t, \lambda)|^2 \leq 2|p_\varepsilon(t, \lambda)|^2 + 2|p_0(t, \lambda)|^2 \leq 4M_T(\lambda),$$

the first result follows from Theorem 1 and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. The remaining statements follow in a similar manner.

## REFERENCES

1. L. Bobisud and J. Calvert, *Singularly perturbed differential equations in a Hilbert space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **30** (1970), 113-127.
2. L. Bobisud and R. Hersh, *Perturbation and approximation theory for higher-order abstract Cauchy problems*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **2** (1972), 57-73.
3. E. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, McGraw-Hill, 1955.
4. A. Friedman, *Singular perturbations for the Cauchy problem and for boundary value problems*, J. Differential Equations, **5** (1969), 226-261.
5. J. Kiszyński, *Sur les équations hyperboliques avec petit paramètre*, Colloq. Math., **10** (1963), 331-343.
6. B. Latil, *Singular perturbations of Cauchy's problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **23** (1968), 683-698.
7. H. Nur, *Singular perturbations of differential equations in abstract spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **36** (1971), 775-780.
8. A. Schoene, *Semigroups and a class of singular perturbation problems*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **20** (1970), 247-263.
9. J. Smoller, *Singular perturbations and a theorem of Kiszyński*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **12** (1965), 105-114.
10. ———, *Singular perturbations of Cauchy's problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **18** (1965), 665-677.
11. M. Sova, *Équations hyperboliques avec petit paramètre dans les espaces de Banach généraux*, Colloq. Math., **21** (1970), 303-320.

Received April 2, 1973.

UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Ralph K Amayo, <i>Engel Lie rings with chain conditions</i> .....	1
Bernd Anger and Jörn Lembcke, <i>Hahn-Banach type theorems for hypolinear functionals on preordered topological vector spaces</i> .....	13
Gregory Frank Bachelis and Samuel Ebenstein, <i>On <math>\Lambda(p)</math> sets</i> .....	35
Harvey Isaac Blau, <i>Indecomposable modules for direct products of finite groups</i> .....	39
Larry Eugene Bobisud and James Calvert, <i>Singular perturbation of a time-dependent Cauchy problem in a Hilbert space</i> .....	45
Walter D. Burgess and Robert Raphael, <i>Abian's order relation and orthogonal completions for reduced rings</i> .....	55
James Diederich, <i>Representation of superharmonic functions mean continuous at the boundary of the unit ball</i> .....	65
Aad Dijksma and Hendrik S. V. de Snoo, <i>Self-adjoint extensions of symmetric subspaces</i> .....	71
Gustave Adam Efroymson, <i>A Nullstellensatz for Nash rings</i> .....	101
John D. Elwin and Donald R. Short, <i>Branched immersions onto compact orientable surfaces</i> .....	113
John Douglas Faires, <i>Comparison of the states of closed linear transformations</i> .....	123
Joe Wayne Fisher and Robert L. Snider, <i>On the von Neumann regularity of rings with regular prime factor rings</i> .....	135
Franklin Takashi Iha, <i>A unified approach to boundary value problems on compact intervals</i> .....	145
Palaniappan L. Kannappan and Che Tat Ng, <i>On functional equations connected with directed divergence, inaccuracy and generalized directed divergence</i> .....	157
Samir A. Khabbaz and Elias Hanna Toubassi, <i>The module structure of <math>\text{Ext}(F, T)</math> over the endomorphism ring of <math>T</math></i> .....	169
Garo K. Kiremidjian, <i>On deformations of complex compact manifolds with boundary</i> .....	177
Dimitri Koutroufiotis, <i>Mappings by parallel normals preserving principal directions</i> .....	191
W. K. Nicholson, <i>Semiperfect rings with abelian adjoint group</i> .....	201
Norman R. Reilly, <i>Extension of congruences and homomorphisms to translational hulls</i> .....	209
Sadahiro Saeki, <i>Symmetric maximal ideals in <math>M(G)</math></i> .....	229
Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, <i>On the homotopy invariance of certain functors</i> .....	245
H. J. Shyr and T. M. Viswanathan, <i>On the radicals of lattice-ordered rings</i> .....	257
Indranand Sinha, <i>Certain representations of infinite group algebras</i> .....	261
David Smallen, <i>The group of self-equivalences of certain complexes</i> .....	269
Kalathoor Varadarajan, <i>On a certain problem of realization in homotopy theory</i> .....	277
James Edward West, <i>Sums of Hilbert cube factors</i> .....	293
Chi Song Wong, <i>Fixed points and characterizations of certain maps</i> .....	305