Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE RADICALS OF LATTICE-ORDERED RINGS

H. J. SHYR AND T. M. VISWANATHAN

Vol. 54, No. 1 May 1974

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 54, No. 1, 1974

ON THE RADICALS OF LATTICE-ORDERED RINGS

H. J. SHYR AND T. M. VISWANATHAN

In this note, it is shown that for several classes of lattice-ordered rings, the l-radical $L(A)$ and the prime radical $P(A)$ coincide and that A modulo the l-radical is an f-ring. In particular, this is true for the class of positive square rings satisfying the identity $a_+a_- = 0$.

The most well-behaved lattice-ordered rings are the f-rings satisfying the identities $xa_+ \wedge a_- = 0$ where x is an arbitrary positive element and a an arbitrary element of the l-ring A. All other rings are then studied by dissecting the ring into parts — one part called the radical where the idiosyncracies of the ring play a role and the other is the ring modulo the radical where the ring is expected to behave more like an f-ring. The radicals are themselves varied: There is the l-radical $L(A)$ of Birkhoff and Pierce which is the union of nilpotent l-ideals of A and the P-radical $\mathscr{P}(A)$, being the intersection of all the prime l-ideals of A. It is known that $L(A) \subseteqq P(A)$. The object of this note is to show that equality holds and that the radicals behave well for several classes of l-rings.

2. Square-archimedean rings. A *square-archimedean* ring A is an l-ring satisfying the following: Given x, y in the positive cone A_+, there exists a positive integer $n = n(x, y)$ such that $xy + yx \leqq n(x^2 + y^2)$. The positive square l-rings, having square elements positive or zero are indeed square-archimedean. The following is an example of a commutative l-ring with identity which is square-archimedean but not positive square: The ring A has the additive group of two copies of the ordered group Z of integers with multiplication defined by $(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = (a_1b_1, a_2b_1 + a_1b_2)$ and order provided by (a_1, a_2) in A^+ if $a_2 \geqq a_1 \geqq 0$ in Z. Notice also that the bound $n(x, y)$ may not be uniform.

It is appropriate at this point to introduce the upper l-radical $U(A)$ which is the union of all nil l-ideals of A. $U(A)$ is an l-ideal whereas the set $H(A)$ of all absolutely nilpotent elements need not be an ideal. We have the containment relation $L(A) \subseteqq P(A) \subseteqq U(A) \subseteqq H(A)$. Throughout the remaining part of this section A is assumed to be a square-archimedean ring.

PROPOSITION 1. *If x and y are elements of A^+ and m a positive integer, then there exist positive integers λ_m and μ_m such that $(x + y)^{2^m} \leqq \lambda_m(x^{2^m} + y^{2^m})$ and $(xy)^{2^m} \leqq \mu_m(x^{2^{m+1}} + y^{2^{m+1}})$.*

Proof. Use induction on m. For the second inequality, $xy \leqq xy + yx \leqq n(x^2 + y^2)$ and so $(xy)^{2m} \leqq n^{2m}(x^2 + y^2)^{2m}$ and now use the first.

PROPOSITION 2. *The set $H(A)$ is a sublattice subring of A which is also square-archimedean.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 1 and the following identity in A: $a + b = (a \vee b) + (a \wedge b)$.

THEOREM 1. *If A is a square-archimedean ring, then $L(A) = P(A) = U(A)$. In particular, the three radicals coincide for positive square l-rings.*

Proof. We shall obtain a reduction to the case when A itself will be a nil ring. For this, $U(A)$ is an l-ideal of A and so by (2.18) of [2], the l-radical of $U(A)$ is equal to $L(A)$. Since $U(A)$ is a nil ring, the theorem will be proved if we show that the l-radical of a nil ring is the whole ring. This is the next lemma.

LEMMA 1. *For every integer $m \geqq 1$, let $p(m) = 2^m$. If A is a nil ring then the set $I_m = \{x \in A: | x |^{p(m)} = 0\}$ is a nil potent l-ideal. Hence $L(A) = A$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the result for $m = 1$, since the general case would then follow by induction by passing to the quotient say A/I_{m-1}. For $m = 1$, we already know from Proposition 1 that I_1 is a sublattice subring of A. Given $x \geqq 0$ in I_1 and a in A^+, we have $xax = xax + ax^2 \leqq n(ax)^2$ for some positive integer n and by iteration, $xax \leqq n^s a^s xax$ for every $s \geqq 2$ and so $xax = 0$, making the square of both ax and xa vanish. Thus I_1 is a nilpotent l-ideal of index 2.

REMARK 1. The question naturally arises whether there exists a positive square l-ring for which $U(A) \neq H(A)$. This is another form of a question of Diem. (See p. 79 of [2].)

3. Rings with well-behaved radicals. We shall now complete the work of Diem by showing that for several classes of rings satisfying specific l-ring identities, the l-radical equals the set N of nilpotents so that all the radicals coincide. A basic tool is the notion of an f-ideal, which is an l-ideal I such that A/I is an f-ring. Thus an l-ideal I is ad f-ideal if and only if it contains all elements of the form $xa^+ \wedge a^-$ and $a^+x \wedge a^-$ for all $x \geqq 0$ and for all a in A. We observe that if the l-ring A has a nilpotent f-ideal, then $L(A) = N$, making all the radicals coincide and in this case the l-radical indeed behaves well since $A/L(A)$ is an f-ring without nilpotent elements.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be an l-ring which satisfies one of the following identities*:

(i) $xa^+ \wedge xa^- = 0$ *and* $a^+x \wedge a^-x = 0$ *for all* $x \geqq 0$ *and* a *in* A.

(ii) $xa^+x \wedge xa^-x = 0$ *for all* $x \geqq 0$ *and* a *in* A.

(iii) $a^+xa^- = 0$ *for all* $x \geqq 0$ *and* a *in* A.

(iv) $xa^+xa^-x = 0$ *for all* $x \geqq 0$ *and* a *in* A.

(v) $a^+a^- = 0$ *for all* a *in* A. *Then* $L(A) = N$.

Proof. We shall produce a nilpotent $\underline{f}$-ideal in all cases except (v).

(i) and (ii). Let $I = \{x \in A: AxA = 0\}$. Let us show that I is an $\underline{f}$-ideal in the case of (ii). A similar proof works for (i). If c, d, and $x \geqq 0$ in A and a an element of A, then $c(xa^+ \wedge a^-)d \leqq cxa^+d \wedge ca^-d \leqq ea^+e \wedge ea^-e$ where e is any upper bound of c, cx, and d and this last element is 0. Since any element is the difference of two positive elements, this shows that $xa^+ \wedge a^-$ belongs in I. Similarly $a^+x \wedge a^-$ belongs in I. Clearly I is a nilpotent $\underline{l}$-ideal.

(iii) and (iv). It is clearly enough to prove (iv). Notice that for every $x \geqq 0$ and a in A, the element $(xa)^2x \geqq 0$. Using this, it is easy to show that the set $J = \{a \in A: (x \mid a \mid)^2x = 0 \forall x \in A^+\}$ is a nilpotent $\underline{f}$-ideal.

(v) Since A in this case is a positive square ring, by Theorem 1, $L(A) = P(A)$ and by Corollary 4.6 of [2], $P(A) = N$.

COROLLARY. *Let A be an $\underline{l}$-ring. Suppose the upper radical is square-archimedean or satisfies one of the identities above, then* $L(A) = P(A) = U(A)$.

REMARK 2. The l-ring satisfying the identity $a^+a^- = 0$ also has a nilpotent $\underline{f}$-ideal. The proof however requires that $H(A)$ be an $\underline{l}$-ideal, a consequence of Corollary 3.8 of [2]. Since the existence of a nilpotent $\underline{f}$-ideal implies that only a part of the $\underline{l}$-radical behaves undesirably, it may be useful to describe this $\underline{f}$-ideal.

From Lemma 1, if a and s are elements of A^+ and if $a^2 = 0$ and s nilpotent, then $asa = 0$. Now if $r \in A^+$ and $a \in A^+$ an element such that $a^2 = 0$, then rar is nilpotent, since $H(A)$ is an $\underline{l}$-ideal. Hence for every r in A^+ we have $arara = 0$.

Now if $a \in A$ and $r \in A^+$ then $(ra^+ \wedge a^-)^2 \leqq ra^+a^- = 0$. Hence $(ra^+ \wedge a^-)^2 = 0$. Similarly $(a^+r \wedge a^-)^2 = 0$.

Let $Z_1(A) = \{a \in A: (x \mid a \mid)^2x = 0 \ \forall\ x \in A^+\}$. Since A is a positive square ring, $Z_1(A)$ is a nilpotent $\underline{l}$-ideal. Since it may not contain $ra^+ \wedge a^-$, we construct $Z_2(A)$ as the inverse image of $Z_1(A/Z_1(A))$, using the natural epimorphism $A \rightarrow A/Z_1(A)$. $Z_2(A)$ is a nilpotent $\underline{f}$-ideal of A.

References

1. G. Birkhoff and R. S. Pierce, *Lattice-ordered rings*, An. Acad. Brasil Ci., **28** (1956), 41-69.
2. J. E. Diem, *A radical for lattice-ordered rings*, Pacific J. Math., **25** (1968), 71-82.
3. L. Fuchs, *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press, New York, 1963.
4. I. N. Herstein, *Noncommutative Rings*, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, Number Fifteen, The Mathematical Association of America, 1968.
5. D. G. Johnson, *A structure theory for a class of lattice-ordered rings*, Acta Math., **104** (1960), 163-215.
6. P. Ribenboim, *Rings and Modules*, Interscience Publishers, 1967.

Received July 14, 1972 and in revised form January 8, 1974.

University of Western Ontario, London
and
IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 54, No. 1 May, 1974