Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CERTAIN REPRESENTATIONS OF INFINITE GROUP ALGEBRAS

INDRANAND SINHA

Vol. 54, No. 1 May 1974

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 54, No. 1, 1974

CERTAIN REPRESENTATIONS OF INFINITE GROUP ALGEBRAS

I. SINHA

For any group G, let ρ be an irreducible representation of the group algebra $\mathfrak{F}G$ over a field $\mathfrak{F}$. Then by Schur's lemma, the center Δ of its commuting ring, is a field containing $\mathfrak{F}$. If ρ is finite-dimensional over Δ, then it is called finite and if it is finite-dimensional over $\mathfrak{F}$ itself, then it is called strongly finite. In this paper, certain conditions are given for finiteness of ρ. Also it is shown that for some types of groups, finiteness of ρ is related to the existence of abelian subgroups of finite index in certain quotient of the group. Conditions under which finiteness and strongly finiteness are equivalent, are given. Finally, consequences of ρ being faithful on G, or being faithful on $\mathfrak{F}G$, are studied.

Study of finiteness of irreducible representations was initiated by Kaplansky in [3], and later carried to a great extent by Passman, Issacs, and others: {see [5] and relevent references therein}. Finiteness and strong finiteness were studied in [6]. Using a slight modification of the technique of [4] to suit our nonsemisimple case, we get Theorem 1 which includes the results of [4] and gives us Theorem 2 whose corollaries contains the result of [3].

We further recall the well-known result that for a finite group G, if the kernel of an irreducible representation ρ contains the commutator subgroup G', then the representation is 1-dimensional over Δ. As corollary to our Theorem 3, we prove that in general, if G' is contained in the kernel of ρ, then ρ is finite whether G is finite or not.

2. **Finiteness of representation.** In this section we study conditions under which a given irreducible representation is finite, and also the conditions under which all irreducible representations are finite. We need the following:

DEFINITIONS. 1. Let ρ be a representation of $\mathfrak{F}G$. Then $G_\rho = \{g \in G \mid \rho(g) = 1\}$, and Kern ρ = kernel $\rho = \{x \in \mathfrak{F}G \mid \rho(x) = 0\}$.

Thus ρ is G-faithful if $G_\rho = 1$, while ρ is $\mathfrak{F}G$-faithful if Kern $\rho = 0$.

2. Let $\mathfrak{B} \leqq \operatorname{Aut} G$. For $S \leqq G$, we shall write $\mathfrak{A}_\mathfrak{B}(S)$ for the left-ideal $\{\sum x_i(\mathscr{S}_i^{\beta_i} - 1) \mid x_i \in \mathfrak{F}G, \mathscr{S}_i \in S, \beta_i \in \mathfrak{B}\}$. {For a general study of such ideals we may refer to [6] and [8].} We write $\mathfrak{A}(S)$, if $\mathfrak{B} = \{\text{identity}\}$.

3. We also define the $\mathfrak{B}$-kernel of ρ in $H \leqq G$ to be

$$\{h \in H \mid \rho(h^{\beta}) = 1,\ \forall\beta \in \mathfrak{B}\}\ ,$$

and set $K_n^{\mathfrak{B}}(H) = \bigcap \{\mathfrak{B}\text{-kernels of } \rho \text{ in } H\}$, where the intersection runs through all irreducible representations ρ of G for which $\dim_{\Delta} \rho > n^2$, where Δ is the center of the commuting ring of ρ. If no such ρ exists, then we put $K_n^{\mathfrak{B}}(H) = G$.

4. Let S_{2n} be the symmetric group of degree $2n$. Then for an algebra A, the sums

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2n}} (\operatorname{sgn} \sigma) x_{\sigma(1)} \cdot x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(2n)},\ x_{\rho} \in A\ ,$$

are called the Standard Monomial Sums (of parity n).

5. Define $\sum_n(G)$ to be the $\mathfrak{F}$-space spanned by all the σ_n in $\mathfrak{F}G$. {We shall frequently write $\sum_n$ wherever the group in question is clear from context.}

This $\sum_n$ plays a significant role in determining the degrees of irreducible representations.

Specifically we have:

PROPOSITION 1. *Let ρ be an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{F}G$. Then* $\dim_{\Delta} \rho \leqq n^2$ *if and only if* $\sum_n \subseteqq \operatorname{Kern} \rho$.

Proof. If $\dim_{\Delta} \rho \leqq n^2$ then $\mathfrak{F}G/\operatorname{Kern} \rho$ is a primitive algebra of matrices of $\dim n$ over Δ. By Theorem 1 of [1], for any $\sigma_n \in \mathfrak{F}G$, $\rho(\sigma_n) = 0$, whence $\sigma_n \in \operatorname{Kern} \rho$ so that $\sum_n \subseteqq \operatorname{Kern} \rho$.

Conversely, suppose $\sum_n \subseteqq \operatorname{Kern} \rho$. Then $\mathfrak{F}G/\operatorname{Kern} \rho$ is a primitive algebra satisfying $\sigma_n = 0$ for every σ_n in $\mathfrak{F}G/\operatorname{Kern} \rho$. Then by Theorem 1 of [2], p. 226, $\mathfrak{F}G/\operatorname{Kern} \rho$ is a central simple algebra of $\dim \leqq n^2$. Hence $\dim_{\Delta} \rho \leqq n^2$.

Using this result we obtain:

THEOREM 1. *Let $S \leqq H \leqq G$. Then $S \subseteqq K_n^{\mathfrak{B}}(H)$ if and only if* $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{B}}(S) \cdot \sum_n \subseteqq \operatorname{Rad} \mathfrak{F}G$.

Proof. We observe that $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{B}}(S) = \{\sum x_i(s_i^{\beta_i} - 1) \mid x_i \in \mathfrak{F}G, s_i \in S, \beta_i \in \mathfrak{B}\}$. Thus, to show that $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{B}}(S) \cdot \sum_n \subseteqq \operatorname{Rad} \mathfrak{F}G$, it suffices to show that $(s^{\beta} - 1) \cdot \sum_n \subseteqq \operatorname{Rad} \mathfrak{F}G$, for $\forall\beta \in \mathfrak{B}$, $\forall s \in S$. Now let $h \in K_n^{\mathfrak{B}}(H)$ and ρ be an irreducible representation of G. If $\dim_{\Delta} \rho > n^2$, then $\rho(h^{\beta}) = 1$ or $\rho(h^{\beta} - 1) = 0$, $\forall\beta \in \mathfrak{B}$, by the very difinition of $K_n^{\mathfrak{B}}(H)$. On the other hand, if $\dim_{\Delta} \rho \leqq n^2$, then by Proposition 1, $\rho(\sum_n) = 0$.

Thus, in both cases, $\rho[(h^{\beta} - 1)\cdot\sum_n] = 0$. Since ρ is arbitrary, so $(h^{\beta} - 1)\cdot\sum_n \subseteqq \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G$. Hence $S \subseteqq K_n^{\mathfrak{B}}(H)$ implies $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{B}}(S)\cdot\sum_n \subseteqq \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G$.

Conversely, suppose $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{B}}(S)\cdot\sum_n \subseteqq \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G$. Then, in particular,

$$(s^{\beta} - 1)\cdot\sum_n \subseteqq \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G,\ \forall\beta \in \mathfrak{B},\ s \in S\ .$$

We define the left-idealisor [7], of $\sum_n$ into $\mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G$, by $L_{\mathrm{Rad}}(\sum_n)_{\mathfrak{F}G} = L(\sum_n) = \{x \in \mathfrak{F}G \mid x\cdot\sum_n \subseteqq \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G\}$. This is clearly a left-ideal. Also $[L(\sum_n)\cdot g]\cdot\sum_n = L(\sum_n)[g\cdot\sum_n\cdot g^{-1}]\cdot g = [L(\sum_n)\cdot\sum_n]\cdot g \subset \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G\cdot g = \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G$, for $\forall g \in G$. Hence $L(\sum_n)$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathfrak{F}G$.

Now let ρ be an irreducible representation of G, afforded by the $\mathfrak{F}G$-module $\mathfrak{M}$. Since $L(\sum_n)$ is a two-sided ideal, so $\mathrm{Ann}\ L(\sum_n) = \{m \in \mathfrak{M} \mid L(\sum_n)m = 0\}$ is an $\mathfrak{F}G$-submodule of $\mathfrak{M}$. Thus either $\mathrm{Ann}\,L(\sum_n) = 0$ or $\mathfrak{M}$. Now assume that $\dim_{\Delta}\rho > n^2$. Again, by Proposition 1, $\rho(\sum_n) \neq 0$ so that $\sum_n\cdot\mathfrak{M} \neq 0$, whence $\sum_n \not\subseteqq \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G$. But $L(\sum_n)\cdot[\sum_n\cdot\mathfrak{M}] = [L(\sum_n)\cdot\sum_n]\cdot\mathfrak{M} = 0$, since $L(\sum_n)\cdot\sum_n \subseteqq \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G$. Thus $\mathrm{Ann}\,L(\sum_n) = \mathfrak{M}$. Then, as by hypothesis $s^{\beta} - 1 \in L(\sum_n)$, so $(s^{\beta} - 1)\cdot\mathfrak{M} = 0$; or $\rho(s^{\beta} - 1) = 0$. As ρ was arbitrary with $\dim_{\Delta}\rho > n^2$; so $s \in K_n^{\mathfrak{B}}(H)$.

Letting $\mathfrak{F}$ = complex-field, we have $\mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G = 0$. Taking $\mathfrak{B} = \{1\}$ in this case, we obtain the result of Passman [4]:

COROLLARY. *$g \in K_n(G)$ if and only if $(g - 1)\cdot\sum_n = 0$.*
We also deduce:

THEOREM 2. *Let $S \leqq G$ and $\mathfrak{B} \leqq \mathrm{Aug}\,G$ such that $S^{\mathfrak{B}} = G$. Then $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{B}}(S)\cdot\sum_n \subseteqq \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G$ if and only if $\dim_{\Delta}\rho \leqq n^2$ for every irreducible representation ρ of G. {Of course, Δ depends on ρ.}*

Proof. By Theorem 1, $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{B}}(S)\cdot\sum_n \subseteqq \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G$ if and only if $S \subseteqq K_n^{\mathfrak{B}}(G)$: $\{G = H\}$.

The latter condition is equivalent to the statement that for every irreducible representation ρ with $\dim_{\Delta}\rho > n^2$, we have $\rho(s^{\beta}) = 1$, $\forall\beta \in \mathfrak{B}$, $s \in S$. Since $S^{\mathfrak{B}} = G$, so we deduce that $\rho = 1$.

COROLLARY 1. *If $\sum_n \subseteqq \mathrm{Rad}\,\mathfrak{F}G$ for some n, then every irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{F}G$ is finite.*

COROLLARY 2. [3]. *If in $\mathfrak{F}G$, $\sum_n = 0$ for some n, then every irreducible representation of G is finite.*

Next recall that if $|G| < \infty$ then $G' \subseteqq G_{\rho}$ for any irreducible representation ρ, if and only if ρ is of dim. 1. A generalization of sort, is obtained in the corollary to:

THEOREM 3. *Let ρ be an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{F}G$.*

(a) *If either* (i) $\sum_n(G/G_\rho) = 0$ *for some* n, *or* (ii) $\exists A \leqq G \ni\cdot G_\rho \leqq A$, $|G: A| < \infty$ *and* A/G_ρ *is abelian, then* ρ *is finite.*

(b) (Conversely) *If ρ is finite and $\mathfrak{F}G$ satisfies either of the following conditions:*

(i) *G/G_ρ is periodic and $\mathfrak{F}(G/G_\rho)$ is nonmodular;*

(ii) *G/G_ρ is periodic with a finite p-Sylow subgroup for* Char. $\mathfrak{F} = p \neq 0$;

(iii) *G/G_ρ satisfies minimum-condition on subgroups; then* $\exists A \leqq G \ni \cdot G_\rho \leqq A$, $|G: A| < \infty$ *and* A/G_ρ *is abelian.*

Proof. (a) Suppose (i) holds. In the notation of [6], $G_\rho = \mathfrak{A}^{-1}(\text{Kern}\,\rho)$ where for any ideal I of $\mathfrak{F}G$, $\mathfrak{A}^{-1}(I) = \{g \in G \mid g - 1 \in I\}$, and hence $\mathfrak{A}(G_\rho)$ is a sub-ideal in Kern ρ. Since $\mathfrak{A}(G_\rho)$ is the kernel of the linear extension of the cannonical map $G \rightarrow G/G_\rho$, so $\mathfrak{F}G/\mathfrak{A}(G_\rho) \cong \mathfrak{F}(G/G_\rho)$. Therefore, $\sum_n (G/G_\rho) = 0$ implies that the standard monomialsum, in $\mathfrak{F}G/\mathfrak{A}(G_\rho)$, all vanish. Now $\mathfrak{F}G/\text{Kern}\ \rho \cong \mathfrak{F}G/\mathfrak{A}(G_\rho)/\text{Kern}\ \rho/\mathfrak{A}(G_\rho)$; therefore, the same holds for $\mathfrak{F}G/\text{Kern}\,\rho$. In particular, $\sum_n(G) \subseteqq \text{Kern}\,\rho$. Then, by Proposition 1, ρ is finite. Next let (ii) hold. Then $|G/G_\rho: A/G_\rho| = n < \infty$, and A/G_ρ is abelian. Therefore, by the result of Kaplansky mentioned before, or by Theorems. 5.1, 8.1 of [5], all the irreducible representations of G/G_ρ are finite.

Now if ρ is afforded by the $\mathfrak{F}G$-module $\mathfrak{M}$, then putting $\bar{\rho}(\bar{g})\cdot m = \rho(g)\cdot m$, for $\bar{g} \in G/G_\rho$,and observing that $G_\rho = \{g \in G \mid \rho(g) = 1\}$, we get a representation $\bar{\rho}$ of G/G_ρ, such that $\bar{\rho}$ is irreducible and the commuting ring of $\bar{\rho}$ in $\text{Hon}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M})$, is the same as that of ρ.

Thus the finiteness of $\bar{\rho}$ implies the finiteness of ρ.

(b) $G/G_\rho \cong S \leqq GL(n, \Delta)$ and any such S satisfying either of the conditions (i), (ii) or (iii), is abelian by finite: {see [9], Corollaries 9.4, 9.7, 9.8, and 9.23}. We then get our A, by taking the complete inverse-image of the abelian part of G/G_ρ.

Since the group-algebra of an abelian group always satisfies $\sum_n = 0$, so we obtain:

COROLLARY. *If $G' \subseteqq G_\rho$, then ρ is finite.*

3. Strong finiteness of representations. In this section we give a result which shows the equivalence of finiteness and strong-finiteness in certain conditions.

THEOREM 4. *Under either of the following conditions, an irreducible representation* ρ *of* G *is finite if and only if it is strongly finite*:

(i) G *is finitely generated;*

(ii) ρ *is absolutely irreducible;*

(iii) $\exists H \trianglelefteq G \ni |G: H| < \infty$ *and* ρ_H *has a strongly finite constituent.*

Proof. (i) This is the content of Lemma 7 of [6].

(ii) Let the absolutely irreducible finite representation ρ, be afforded by the $\mathfrak{F}G$-module $\mathfrak{M}$. Since $\Delta \subseteqq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{F}}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M})$, $\mathfrak{F} \subseteqq \Delta$, so we can make $\Delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{F}} \mathfrak{M}$ into a ΔG-module by letting $g\cdot(d \otimes m) = d \otimes \rho(g)m$.

Define $\psi: \Delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{F}} \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ by $\psi(d \otimes m) = dm$. Since ρ and d commute, so

$$\psi(g\cdot(d \otimes m)) = \psi(d \otimes \rho(g)m) = d(\rho(g)m) = \rho(g)(dm) .$$

Thus ψ is a ΔG-homomorphism. Since $\mathfrak{M}$ is absolutely irreducible, so $\Delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{F}} \mathfrak{M}$ is irreducible. So ψ is an isomorphism. Then $\dim_{\Delta}(\Delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{F}} \mathfrak{M}) = \dim_{\Delta} \mathfrak{M} < \infty$. Thus ρ is also finite-dimensional over $\mathfrak{F}$.

(iii) By Clifford's theorem, $\rho_H = \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^{[G:H]} \rho_i$, where ρ_i are all conjugate irreducible-representations of H. Hence, if one of them is finite-dimensional over $\mathfrak{F}$, then so are all; and hence ρ.

4. **Faithful representation.** Finally, let ρ be a representation (not necessarily irreducible) of the group algebra $\mathfrak{F}G$. For any left-ideal I we shall write ρ^I for the representation afforded by the module $I\cdot\mathfrak{M}$, where ρ is afforded by $\mathfrak{M}$. We shall let $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}(G)$ denote the augmentation-ideal of $\mathfrak{F}G$ and $J = [\mathfrak{F}G, \mathfrak{F}G]$. Let $\mathrm{Char}\,\mathfrak{F} = p \neq 0$.

We then investigate the consequences of ρ being faithful as a representation of G and as a representation of $\mathfrak{F}G$ respectively. Recalling that if $H \leqq G$, then $\mathfrak{A}(H)$ is the left-ideal in $\mathfrak{F}G$ generated by $\{h - 1 \mid h \in H\}$: [6], we have the following:

THEOREM 5. (a) *If* ρ *is faithful on* G, *then* $A = \mathfrak{A}^{-1}(\mathrm{Kern}\,\rho^{\mathfrak{A}})$ *is an elementary abelian normal* p*-subgroup which is central if* $\mathfrak{A} \subseteqq \mathrm{Kern}\,\rho^{\mathfrak{A}}$.

(b) *If* ρ *is faithful on* $\mathfrak{F}G$, *then*

(i) $A = 1$ *unless* $|G| = 2$, $p = 2$ *in which case* $A = G$;

(ii) $B = \mathfrak{A}^{-1}(\mathrm{Kern}\,\rho^{J}) = 1$ *unless* $p = 2$ *and* $B = G$ *is abelian, or* $p = 2$, G *is nonabelian and* B *is central.*

Proof. (a) Since $\mathrm{Kern}\,\rho^{\mathfrak{A}} \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{F}G$ so $A \trianglelefteq G$.

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ afford ρ so that $\mathfrak{A}\cdot\mathfrak{M}$ affords $\rho^{\mathfrak{A}}$. Hence $g \in A$ if and only if $(g-1)\cdot\sum_{\substack{x\in G\\ \lambda_x\in\mathfrak{F}}}\lambda_x(x-1)m = 0$ for each $m \in \mathfrak{M}$. Since $p \neq 0$, so $(g^p-1)m = (g-1)^p m = (g-1)[(g-1)^{p-1}m] = 0$ as $(g-1)^{p-1}m \in \mathfrak{A}\cdot\mathfrak{M}$. Thus $g^p m = m$ and faithfulness implies that $g^p = 1$. Further, if $h \in A$ then $(h-1)m$ and $(g-1)m$ are both in $\mathfrak{A}\cdot\mathfrak{M}$. Then,

$$(g-1)(h-1)m = 0 = (h-1)(g-1)m$$

or

$$g^{-1}h^{-1}gh\, m = m\ .$$

Again faithfulness gives that $gh = hg$; i.e., A is abelian.

If $\mathfrak{A} \subseteqq \text{Kern } \rho^{\mathfrak{A}}$, then $g \in G$, $h \in A$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}(gh-1)m &= [(g-1)(h-1) + (g-1) + (h-1)]m \\ &= (g-1)m + (h-1)m = (hg-1)m\ .\end{aligned}$$

Thus $gh\, m = hg\, m$ and faithfulness gives that $A \subseteqq Z(G)$.

(b) (i) Now let ρ be $\mathfrak{F}G$-faithful. Then the Kern $\rho^{\mathfrak{A}} =$ Ann $\mathfrak{A}$ in $\mathfrak{F}G$. It is well-known that this annihilator is 0 unless $|G| < \infty$ and $\text{Ann}\,\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}\cdot(\sum_{g\in G} g)$. Now if $g_i \in A$, then $g_i - 1 = a \in \text{Kern } \rho^{\mathfrak{A}}$ so that $g_i - 1 = k\cdot\sum g$, $k \in \mathfrak{F}$. Linear independence of the group elements, gives us that $g_i = 1$, and $k = 0$, or $|G| = 2$, $g_1 = 1$, $i = 2$, $k = 1$, and $+1 = -1$ in $\mathfrak{F}$.

(ii) Again by faithfulness $\text{Kern}\,\rho^J = \text{Ann}\,J$. So $g \in B$ implies that $(g-1)(hk-kh) = 0$, $\forall\, h, k \in G$, i.e., $ghk - gkh - hk + kh = 0$. If Char, $\mathfrak{F} \neq 2$, then we must either have $ghk = gkh$ in which case $hk = kh$, or $ghk = hk$ in which case $g = 1$.

In case Char $\mathfrak{F} = 2$ and g is noncentral then choose $k \in G$ such that $gk \neq kg$. Put $h = g^{-1}$. Then the above identity gives,

$$k - gkg^{-1} - g^{-1}k + kg^{-1} = 0\ .$$

Since $gkg^{-1} \neq k$, so either $k = g^{-1}k$ or $k = kg^{-1}$, both leading to $g = 1$, a contradiction. Thus in this case $g \in Z(G)$.

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur and J. Levitzki, *Minimal identities for algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **1** (1950), 449–463.

2. N. Jacobson, *Structure of Rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., **28** 1964, Rhode Island, Providence.

3. I. Kaplansky, *Groups with representations of bounded degree*, Canad. Math. J., **1** (1949), 575–580.

4. D. S. Passman, *Character kernels in discrete groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **17** (1966), 487–492.

5. ———, *Infinite Group Rings*, Marcel-Dekker Inc., N. Y., 1971.

6. I. Sinha, *On the augmentation maps of the subgroups of a group*, Math. Zeitsch., **94** (196)6, 193–206.
7. I. Sinha and J. Srivastava, *Ideals in commutative domains*, Math. Mag., **44** (3), (1971), 124-127.
8. J. Srivastava, Ph. D. Thesis, I. I. T., Kanpur, India.
9. B. A. F. Wehrfritz, *Infinite Linear Groups*, Queen Mary College Math. Notes, 1969.

Received April 18, 1973 and in revised form October 16, 1973.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 54, No. 1 May, 1974