

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON A CERTAIN PROBLEM OF REALIZATION IN HOMOTOPY  
THEORY**

KALATHOOR VARADARAJAN

# ON A CERTAIN PROBLEM OF REALIZATION IN HOMOTOPY THEORY

K. VARADARAJAN

**In this paper it is shown that given any group  $\pi$  and any subgroup  $G$  of the centre of  $\pi$  there exists a 0-connected  $CW$ -Complex  $X$  with  $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi$  under an isomorphism carrying the Gottlieb subgroup  $G_1(X)$  of  $\pi_1(X)$  onto  $G$ .**

**Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a 0-connected  $CW$ -Complex and  $x_0 \in X$ . In [2] Gottlieb defined a certain subgroup  $G(X, x_0)$  of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$  of  $X$  at  $x_0$  and studied some of its properties. Earlier [4] Jiang Bo Ju defined a subgroup  $G_f$  of  $\pi_1(X, f(x_0))$  corresponding to any map  $f: X \rightarrow X$ . The group  $G_f$  when  $f = Id_X$  turns out to be precisely  $G(X, x_0)$  studied by Gottlieb. These groups play a role in Nielsen-Wecken theory of fixed point classes and were investigated by R. F. Brown, W. J. Barnier, etc. In [3] Gottlieb defined the higher dimensional analogues  $G_n(X, x_0) \subset \pi_n(X, x_0)$  of  $G(X, x_0)$  and studied their properties. For any path  $\sigma$  joining  $x_0$  to  $x_1$  in  $X$  the isomorphism  $\sigma_*: \pi_n(X, x_1) \rightarrow \pi_n(X, x_0)$  carries  $G_n(X, x_1)$  onto  $G_n(X, x_0)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus one can talk of the  $n$ th Gottlieb group  $G_n(X)$  of  $X$  without reference to a base point. In [2] it is shown that always  $G_1(X)$  is a subgroup of the centre of  $\pi_1(X)$  and that if  $X$  is a  $K(\pi, 1)$   $CW$ -Complex  $G_1(X)$  is precisely the centre of  $\pi$ .

Given any sequence of groups  $(\pi_k)_{k \geq 1}$  with  $\pi_k$  abelian for  $k \geq 2$  it is known that there exists a 0-connected  $CW$ -Complex  $X$  with  $\pi_k(X) \cong \pi_k$  for all  $k$ . A natural question that suggests itself is the following:

Given a sequence of groups  $(\pi_k)_{k \geq 1}$  with  $\pi_k$  abelian for  $k \geq 2$  and subgroups  $G_k$  of  $\pi_k$  under what conditions does there exist a 0-connected  $CW$ -Complex with  $\pi_k(X) \cong \pi_k$  under isomorphisms carrying  $G_k(X)$  onto  $G_k$ ?

Though we do not attempt to solve this general problem in this paper, we prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Given any group  $\pi$  and a subgroup  $G$  of the centre of  $\pi$  there exists a 0-connected  $CW$ -Complex  $X$  with  $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi$  under an isomorphism carrying  $G_1(X)$  onto  $G$ .*

Finally I wish to thank Professor W. Browder for some very profitable discussions I had with him in connection with this problem.

1. Discrete group actions. This section deals with some results

that we need regarding the action of the fundamental group on the higher homotopy groups of a space. As we could not find any explicit reference we felt we should include them here. But before dealing with these results we recall briefly how the action of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$  on the homotopy group  $\pi_n(X, x_0)$  is defined.

Let  $\sigma: I \rightarrow X$  be any path in  $X$  with  $\sigma(0) = x_0$  and  $\sigma(1) = x_1$ . Let  $c \in \pi_n(X, x_1)$  be represented by  $f: (S^n, *) \rightarrow (X, x_1)$  where  $*$  denotes the base point in  $S^n$ . Let  $h_\sigma: * \times I \cup S^n \times 1 \rightarrow X$  be given by  $h_\sigma(*, t) = \sigma(t)$  for all  $t \in I$  and  $h_\sigma(z, 1) = f(z)$  for all  $z \in S^n$ . Then the isomorphism  $\sigma_*: \pi_n(X, x_1) \rightarrow \pi_n(X, x_0)$  carries  $c$  into the element of  $\pi_n(X, x_0)$  represented by  $g: (S^n, *) \rightarrow (X, x_0)$  where  $g(z) = F(z, 0)$  with  $F: S^n \times I \rightarrow X$  any extension of  $h_\sigma$ . It is known that  $\sigma_*$  depends only on the homotopy class  $[\sigma]$  of the path  $\sigma$  and that if  $\sigma, \tau$  are paths in  $X$  with  $\sigma(0) = x_0$ ,  $\sigma(1) = x_1 = \tau(0)$  and  $\tau(1) = x_2$  then  $\sigma \cdot \tau_*: \pi_n(X, x_2) \rightarrow \pi_n(X, x_0)$  is the same as the composite  $\sigma_* \circ \tau_*$ . In particular the assignment  $a \cdot c = \sigma_*(c)$  for every  $a \in \pi_1(X, x_0)$  and  $c \in \pi_n(X, x_0)$  where  $\sigma$  is any loop at  $x_0$  representing  $a$ , gives rise to an action of  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$  on  $\pi_n(X, x_0)$ .

Let  $\pi$  be a group and  $X, Y$  spaces on which  $\pi$  acts on the left. As usual we define an action of  $\pi$  on  $X \times Y$  by  $a(x, y) = (ax, ay)$  for any  $a \in \pi$  and  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ . The quotient space of  $X \times Y$  under this action of  $\pi$  will be denoted by  $X \times_\pi Y$ . We recall the following.

DEFINITION 1.1.  $\pi$  is said to act properly discontinuously on  $X$  if given  $x \in X$  there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  with  $x \in U$  and satisfying the following condition:

$$a, a' \in \pi; aU \cap a'U \neq \emptyset \implies a = a'.$$

LEMMA. 1.2. Suppose the action of  $\pi$  on  $X$  is properly discontinuous. Then for any action of  $\pi$  on  $Y$  the action of  $\pi$  on  $X \times Y$  described above is properly discontinuous.

*Proof.* Let  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ . Let  $U$  be an open set in  $X$  with  $x \in U$  and satisfying the requirement in Definition 1.1. Then  $V = U \times Y$  is open in  $X \times Y$ ;  $(x, y) \in V$  and  $aV = aU \times aY = aU \times Y$  for any  $a \in \pi$ . Hence  $aV \cap a'V \neq \emptyset \implies aU \cap a'U \neq \emptyset \implies a = a'$ .

For the rest of this section  $X$  will denote a 0-connected space admitting of a simply connected covering space  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . The Deck transformation group of the covering  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ . Let  $\tilde{x}_0$  be a chosen base point of  $\tilde{X}$  and  $x_0 =$

$p(\tilde{x}_0)$ . As is well-known  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ . A specific isomorphism

$$(1) \quad \lambda_X: \mathcal{D}(X) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X, x_0)$$

is got as follows. For any  $a \in \mathcal{D}(X)$  let  $\tilde{\alpha}_a$  be any path in  $\tilde{X}$  joining  $\tilde{x}_0$  to  $a\tilde{x}_0$ . Then  $p \circ \tilde{\alpha}_a = \alpha_a$  is a loop at  $x_0$  in  $X$  and  $\lambda_X(a) =$  the homotopy class  $[\alpha_a]$  of the loop  $\alpha_a$ . This isomorphism  $\lambda_X$  definitely depends on the choice of the base point  $\tilde{x}_0$  in  $\tilde{X}$ .

Let us denote the group  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  by  $\pi$ . Let  $Y$  be a 1-connected space and  $y_0$  a base point in  $Y$ . Assume that the group  $\pi$  acts on the left on  $Y$  further satisfying the condition  $ay_0 = y_0$  for all  $a \in \pi$ . By Lemma 1.2 the action of  $\pi$  on  $\tilde{X} \times Y$  is properly discontinuous. Denote the quotient space  $\tilde{X} \times_\pi Y$  by  $Q$  and the canonical quotient map  $\tilde{X} \times Y \rightarrow Q$  by  $q$ . Since  $\tilde{X} \times Y$  is simply connected and the action of  $\pi$  on  $\tilde{X} \times Y$  is properly discontinuous it follows that  $q: \tilde{X} \times Y \rightarrow Q$  is the universal covering of  $Q$  and  $\pi$  is the Deck transformation group of the covering  $q: \tilde{X} \times Y \rightarrow Q$ . Let  $q(\tilde{x}_0, y_0) = u_0$ . Using  $(\tilde{x}_0, y_0)$  as the base point in  $\tilde{X} \times Y$  as described in the previous paragraph we have an isomorphism

$$(2) \quad \lambda_Q: \pi \longrightarrow \pi_1(Q, u_0)$$

Let now  $n$  be an integer  $\geq 2$  and let us assume  $\pi_n(X) = 0$ . Let  $j: Y \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times Y$  be the inclusion given by  $j(y) = (\tilde{x}_0, y)$ . From the fact that  $\pi_n(\tilde{X}) \cong \pi_n(X) = 0$  we see that

$$j_*: \pi_n(Y, y_0) \longrightarrow \pi_n(\tilde{X} \times Y, (\tilde{x}_0, y_0))$$

is an isomorphism. Since  $q: \tilde{X} \times Y \rightarrow Q$  is the universal covering of  $Q$  the map  $q_*: \pi_n(\tilde{X} \times Y, (\tilde{x}_0, y_0)) \rightarrow \pi_n(Q, u_0)$  is an isomorphism. Denoting the composite  $q \circ j$  by  $q'$  we thus see that  $q'_*: \pi_n(Y, y_0) \rightarrow \pi_n(Q, u_0)$  is an isomorphism.

For any  $v \in \pi_1(Q, u_0)$  and  $w \in \pi_n(Q, u_0)$  we denote by  $v \cdot w$  the element in  $\pi_n(Q, u_0)$  got by the action of  $v$  on  $w$  under the usual action of  $\pi_1(Q, u_0)$  on  $\pi_n(Q, u_0)$ . Since  $ay_0 = y_0$  for any  $a \in \pi$  the action of  $\pi$  on  $Y$  gives rise to an action of  $\pi$  on  $\pi_n(Y, y_0)$ . For any  $a \in \pi$  and  $c \in \pi_n(Y, y_0)$  we denote the element of  $\pi_n(Y, y_0)$  got under this action by  $a * c$ . The following proposition asserts that under the isomorphisms  $\lambda_Q: \pi \rightarrow \pi_1(Q, u_0)$  and  $q'_*: \pi_n(Y, y_0) \rightarrow \pi_n(Q, u_0)$  these two actions correspond. More precisely we have

**PROPOSITION 1.3.**  $q'_*(a * c) = \lambda_Q(a) \cdot q'_*(c)$  for all  $a \in \pi$  and  $c \in \pi_n(Y, y_0)$ .

During the course of the proof of this proposition we will make use of the following well known

LEMMA 1.4. *Let  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  be a continuous map of topological spaces. Let  $\sigma$  be any path in  $A$  with  $\sigma(0) = a_0$ ,  $\sigma(1) = a_1$ . Let  $b_i = \varphi(a_i)$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ). Then the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_n(A, a_1) & \xrightarrow{\sigma\#} & \pi_n(A, a_0) \\ \downarrow \varphi_* & & \downarrow \varphi_* \\ \pi_n(B, b_1) & \xrightarrow{\varphi \circ \sigma\#} & \pi_n(B, b_0) \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 1

*Proof of Proposition 1.3.* Let  $f: (S^n, *) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  represent  $c \in \pi_n(Y, y_0)$ . Let  $a \in \pi$  choose any path  $\tilde{\theta}: I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  joining  $\tilde{x}_0$  to  $a\tilde{x}_0$  in  $\tilde{X}$ . Then  $\tilde{\alpha}_a: I \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times Y$  defined by  $\tilde{\alpha}_a(t) = (\tilde{\theta}(t), y_0)$  is a path in  $\tilde{X} \times Y$  joining  $\tilde{\alpha}_a(0) = (\tilde{x}_0, y_0)$  to  $\tilde{\alpha}_a(1) = (a\tilde{x}_0, y_0) = (a\tilde{x}_0, ay_0) = a(\tilde{x}_0, y_0)$ . By the definition of  $\lambda_Q$  we have  $\lambda_Q(a) = [\alpha_a]$  where  $\alpha_a = q \circ \tilde{\alpha}_a$ . By Lemma 1.4 we see that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_n(\tilde{X} \times Y, (a\tilde{x}_0, y_0)) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\tilde{\alpha}_a\#} & \pi_n(\tilde{X} \times Y, (\tilde{x}_0, y_0)) \\ \downarrow q_* & & \downarrow q_* \\ \pi_n(Q, u_0) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\alpha_a\#} & \pi_n(Q, u_0) \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 2

is a commutative diagram. Since  $\lambda_Q(a) = [\alpha_a]$ , by definition of the action  $\pi_1(Q, u_0)$  on  $\pi_n(Q, u_0)$  we have

$$(3) \quad \lambda_Q(a) \cdot q'_*(c) = \alpha_{a\#}(q'_*(c)).$$

The element  $q'_*(c) \in \pi_n(Q, u_0)$  is represented by the map  $q' \circ f: (S^n, *) \rightarrow (Q, u_0)$ . Denote by  $d$  the element in  $\pi_n(\tilde{X} \times Y, \tilde{x}_0 \times y_0)$  represented by the map  $h: (S^n, *) \rightarrow (\tilde{X} \times Y, \tilde{x}_0 \times y_0)$  given by  $h(z) = (a\tilde{x}_0, af(z))$  for all  $z \in S^n$ . Then

$$q \circ h(z) = q(a\tilde{x}_0, af(z)) = q(\tilde{x}_0, f(z)) = q \circ j(f(z)) = q' \circ f(z) \quad \text{for all } z \in S^n.$$

$q \circ h$  represents  $q_*(d)$  in  $\pi_n(Q, u_0)$  and  $q' \circ f$  represents  $q'_*(c)$  in  $\pi_n(Q, u_0)$ . Since  $q \circ h = q' \circ f$  we have

$$(4) \quad q'_*(c) = q_*(d).$$

Consider the map  $H: S^n \times I \rightarrow \tilde{X} \times Y$  given by  $H(z, t) = (\tilde{\theta}(t), af(z))$ . We have

$$H(*, t) = (\tilde{\theta}(t), ay_0) = (\tilde{\theta}(t), y_0) = \tilde{\alpha}_a(t)$$

and

$$H(z, 1) = (\tilde{\theta}(1), af(z)) = (a\tilde{x}_0, af(z)) = h(z).$$

Hence  $\tilde{\alpha}_{a\#}(d) \in \pi_n(X \times Y, (\tilde{x}_0, y_0))$  is represented by  $g: (S^n, *) \rightarrow (X \times Y, (\tilde{x}_0, y_0))$  where  $g(z) = H(z, 0)$ . Now  $H(z, 0) = (\tilde{\theta}(0), af(z)) = (\tilde{x}_0, af(z))$ . Hence

$$(5) \quad g(z) = (\tilde{x}_0, af(z)).$$

The element  $a * c$  of  $\pi_n(Y, y_0)$  is represented by  $l: (S^n, *) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  where  $l(z) = af(z)$ . Hence  $q'_*(a * c)$  is represented by  $q' \circ l$ . But

$$q' \circ l(z) = q(\tilde{x}_0, af(z)) = q \circ g(z) \quad \text{by (5).}$$

Since  $g$  represents  $\tilde{\alpha}_{a\#}(d)$  it follows that  $q \circ g$  represents  $q_*(\tilde{\alpha}_{a\#}(d))$  in  $\pi_n(Q, u_0)$ . From  $q' \circ l = q \circ g$  we immediately get  $q'_*(a * c) = q_*(\tilde{\alpha}_{a\#}(d))$ . But  $q_*(\tilde{\alpha}_{a\#}(d)) = \alpha_{a\#}(q_*(d))$  by commutativity of Diagram 2. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} q'_*(a * c) &= \alpha_{a\#}(q_*(d)) \\ &= \alpha_{a\#}(q'_*(c)) && \text{by (4)} \\ &= \lambda_Q(a) \cdot q'_*(c) && \text{by (3).} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.3

2. Study of  $U(\pi) \times |K(M, n)|$  for a  $\pi$ -module  $M$ . Let  $\pi$  be a given group and  $K$  a  $K(\pi, 1)$  CW-complex. The universal covering  $\tilde{K}$  of  $K$  is a contractible CW-complex and the Deck transformation group of the covering  $\tilde{K} \xrightarrow{p} K$  is  $\pi$ . We will denote the contractible complex  $\tilde{K}$  by  $U(\pi)$ .

Let  $M$  be any left  $\pi$ -module and  $n$  any integer  $\geq 2$ . Consider the Eilenberg-MacLane semi-simplicial complex  $K(M, n)$ . The action of  $\pi$  on  $M$  gives rise to an action of  $\pi$  on the semi-simplicial complex  $K(M, n)$ . This in turn gives rise to an action of  $\pi$  on the geometric realization  $|K(M, n)|$  (Milnor's geometric realization [5]). We would like to apply the results of §1 to the case when  $X = K$  and  $Y = |K(M, n)|$ . For that purpose we should make sure that there exists a base point  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $a \cdot y_0 = y_0$  for all  $a \in \pi$ . For this purpose we briefly recall the definition of the semi-simplicial complex  $K(M, n)$ .

For any integer  $k \geq 0$  let  $\Delta_k$  be the set consisting of the integers  $j$  such that  $0 \leq j \leq k$ . Let  $C^n(\Delta_k, M)$  denote the set of " $n$ -cochains" of  $\Delta_k$  in  $M$ , namely functions  $\varphi: \Delta_k \rightarrow M$ . For any integer  $k \geq 1$  let  $\varepsilon_i: \Delta_{k-1} \rightarrow \Delta_k$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k$  and  $s_i: \Delta_k \rightarrow \Delta_{k-1}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$  be given by

$$\varepsilon_i(\mu) = \begin{cases} \mu & \text{for } 0 \leq \mu \leq i-1 \\ \mu+1 & \text{for } i \leq \mu \leq k-1 \end{cases}$$

$$s_i(\mu) = \begin{cases} \mu & \text{for } 0 \leq \mu \leq i \\ \mu-1 & \text{for } i+1 \leq \mu \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\varepsilon_i^*: C^n(\Delta_k, M) \rightarrow C^n(\Delta_{k-1}, M)$  and  $s_i^*: C^n(\Delta_{k-1}, M) \rightarrow C^n(\Delta_k, M)$  be induced by  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $s_i$ . More specifically

$$\text{for any } v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n \text{ in } \Delta_{k-1} \text{ and } \varphi \in C^n(\Delta_k, M)$$

$$\varepsilon_i^* \varphi(v_0, \dots, v_n) = \varphi(\varepsilon_i(v_0), \varepsilon_i(v_1), \varepsilon_i(v_2), \dots, \varepsilon_i(v_n))$$

and

$$\text{for any } x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ in } \Delta_k \text{ and } \theta \in C^n(\Delta_{k-1}, M)$$

$$s_i^* \theta(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \theta(s_i(x_0), s_i(x_1), \dots, s_i(x_n)).$$

Let  $Z^n(\Delta_k, M)$  be the subset of  $C^n(\Delta_k, M)$  consisting of element  $\varphi$  satisfying the following two conditions:

(i)  $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0$  if  $x_0, \dots, x_n$  are elements of  $\Delta_k$  not all distinct

(ii)  $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i \varphi(x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}) = 0$  for any  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$  in  $\Delta_k$ . It is known that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i^*(Z^n(\Delta_k, M)) &\subset Z^n(\Delta_{k-1}, M) \\ s_i^*(Z^n(\Delta_{k-1}, M)) &\subset Z^n(\Delta_k, M) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ for any } k \geq 1.$$

For  $k \geq 0$  the set of  $k$ -simplices  $K_k(M, n)$  of the semi-simplicial complex  $K(M, n)$  is the same as  $Z^n(\Delta_k, M)$ . For any  $k \geq 1$  the face maps  $K_k(M, n) \rightarrow K_{k-1}(M, n)$  and the degeneracy maps  $K_{k-1}(M, n) \rightarrow K_k(M, n)$  are given by  $\varepsilon_i^*$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k$  and  $s_i^*$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$  respectively. It is clear that the set  $K_k(M, n)$  for any  $k$  in  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  has only one element, namely the zero element of  $C^n(\Delta_k, M)$ .

The action of  $\pi$  on  $M$  gives rise to an action of  $\pi$  on  $K(M, n)$  as follows. For any  $\varphi \in K_k(M, n)$  let  $a\varphi$  be the function  $\Delta_k^{n+1} \rightarrow M$  defined by

$$(a\varphi)(x_0, \dots, x_n) = a\{\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_n)\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $a\varphi \in K_k(M, n)$  and that the map

$$\beta_a: K(M, n) \longrightarrow K(M, n)$$

given by  $\beta_a(\varphi) = a\varphi$  for any  $\varphi \in K_k(M, n)$  is a semi-simplicial isomorphism. Moreover for any  $a, a'$  in  $\pi$  it is clear that  $\beta_{aa'} = \beta_a \circ \beta_{a'}$ . If we denote the only 0-simplex of  $K(M, n)$  by  $e_0$  clearly  $\beta_a(e_0) = e_0$  for all  $a \in \pi$ . If we take  $Y = |K(M, n)|$ ,  $y_0 = |e_0|$  and define  $ay = |\beta_a|(y)$  for any  $y \in Y$  it is clear that we get an action of  $\pi$  on  $Y$

satisfying  $a \cdot y_0 = y_0$  for all  $a \in \pi$ . The resulting action of  $\pi$  on  $\pi_n(|K(M, n)|, y_0) = M$  agrees with the original  $\pi$ -module structure on  $M$  that we started with.

Let  $\tilde{x}_0 \in U(\pi)$ ,  $Q = U(\pi) \times_{\pi} Y$ ,  $q: U(\pi) \times Y \rightarrow Q$  the canonical quotient map and  $u_0 = q(\tilde{x}_0, y_0)$ . Let  $\lambda_Q: \pi \rightarrow \pi_1(Q, u_0)$  be the isomorphism given by (2). Let  $H = \{a \in \pi \mid am = m \text{ for all } m \in M\}$

$$H_1 = \{v \in \pi_1(Q, u_0) \mid v \cdot w = w \text{ for all } w \in \pi_n(Q, u_0)\}.$$

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.3 we get the following

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *The isomorphism  $\lambda_Q: \pi \rightarrow \pi_1(Q, u_0)$  carries the subgroup  $H$  of  $\pi$  onto the subgroup  $H_1$  of  $\pi_1(Q, u_0)$ .*

**3. A subgroup of the Deck transformation group.** Let  $X$  be 0-connected topological space and  $\tilde{X}^p \rightarrow X$  a covering space of  $X$  ( $\tilde{X}$  not necessarily simply connected). Let us denote the Deck transformation group of the covering  $\tilde{X}^p \rightarrow X$  by  $\mathcal{D}$ . Recall the usual

**DEFINITION 3.1.** A homotopy  $F: \tilde{X} \times I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is said to be fibre preserving if there exists a homotopy  $H: X \times I \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} \times I & \xrightarrow{F} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow p \times Id & & \downarrow p \\ X \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & X \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 3

is commutative.

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the set of Deck transformations of  $\tilde{X}$  which are homotopic to  $Id_{\tilde{X}}$  through fibre preserving homotopies.

**LEMMA 3.2.**  *$\mathcal{G}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b$  be arbitrary elements of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} \times I & \xrightarrow{F} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow p \times Id & & \downarrow p \\ X \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & X \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} \times I & \xrightarrow{F'} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow p \times Id & & \downarrow p \\ X \times I & \xrightarrow{H'} & X \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 4

be fibre preserving homotopies satisfying

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} F(\tilde{x}, 0) = \tilde{x}, \quad F(\tilde{x}, 1) = a\tilde{x} \\ F'(\tilde{x}, 0) = \tilde{x}, \quad F'(\tilde{x}, 1) = b\tilde{x} \end{array} \right\} \text{ for all } \tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}.$$



It follows that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} H(x, 0) &= x = H(x, 1) \\ H'(x, 0) &= x = H'(x, 1) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Let  $F''': \tilde{X} \times I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  and  $H'': X \times I \rightarrow X$  be given by

$$F'''(\tilde{x}, t) = \begin{cases} F'(\tilde{x}, 2t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ F(b\tilde{x}, 2t - 1) & \text{for } 1/2 \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$H''(x, t) = \begin{cases} H'(x, 2t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1/2 \\ H(x, 2t - 1) & \text{for } 1/2 \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $F'''(\tilde{x}, 0) = \tilde{x}$ ,  $F'''(\tilde{x}, 1) = ab\tilde{x}$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} \times I & \xrightarrow{F'''} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow p \times Id & & \downarrow p \\ X \times I & \xrightarrow{H''} & X \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 5

is commutative. Hence  $ab \in \mathcal{G}$ .

Let  $A: \tilde{X} \times I \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  and  $B: X \times I \rightarrow X$  be defined by

$$A(\tilde{x}, t) = F(a^{-1}\tilde{x}, 1 - t); B(x, t) = H(x, 1 - t)$$

Then  $A(\tilde{x}, 0) = \tilde{x}$ ,  $A(\tilde{x}, 1) = a^{-1}\tilde{x}$  and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} \times I & \xrightarrow{A} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow p \times Id & & \downarrow p \\ X \times I & \xrightarrow{B} & X \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 6

is commutative. Hence  $a^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}$ .

Thus for any  $a, b$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  the elements  $ab$  and  $a^{-1}$  are in  $\mathcal{G}$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Let  $S(X)$  denote the singular C.S.S. complex of  $X$  and  $|S(X)|$  the geometric realization of  $S(X)$ . Let  $j_x: |S(X)| \rightarrow X$  be the canonical map [5]. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{r} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow p' & & \downarrow p \\ |S(X)| & \xrightarrow{j_x} & X \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 7

denote the pull-back of the covering space  $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{p} X$ . Then  $E \xrightarrow{p'} |S(X)|$  is a covering space with the same Deck transformation group  $\mathcal{D}$  as  $\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{p} X$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}'$  be the subgroup of those Deck transformations of  $E$  which are homotopic to  $Id_E$  through fibre preserving homotopies.

**THEOREM 3.1.**  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}'$ .

For the proof of this theorem we need the following

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $A, B$  be topological spaces and  $h_0, h_1$  homotopic maps of  $A$  into  $B$  under a homotopy  $H: A \times I \rightarrow B$ . Then there exists a homotopy  $P: |S(A)| \times I \rightarrow |S(B)|$  between  $|S(h_0)|$  and  $|S(h_1)|$  such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |S(A)| \times I & \xrightarrow{P} & |S(B)| \\ \downarrow j_A \times Id & & \downarrow j_B \\ A \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & B \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 8

is commutative.

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta_1$  be the C.S.S. complex whose  $p$ -simplices are  $(p+1)$  tuples  $(a_0, \dots, a_p)$  with  $a_i = 0$  or  $1$ , the face and degeneracy operations being the usual ones.

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i(a_0, \dots, a_p) &= (a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_p) \\ s_i(a_0, \dots, a_{p-1}) &= (a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{p-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $|\Delta_p|$  denote the standard Euclidean  $p$ -simplex in  $\mathbf{R}^{p+1}$  with the usual unit vectors  $e_0, \dots, e_p$  as its vertices. For any  $p$ -simplex  $\sigma = (a_0, \dots, a_p)$  of  $\Delta_1$  let  $\theta_\sigma: |\Delta_p| \rightarrow I$  be the simplicial map determined by  $\theta_\sigma(e_i) = a_i$ . Let  $\iota: S(A) \times \Delta_1 \rightarrow S(A \times I)$  be defined as follows. For any  $\varphi \in S_p(A)$  and any  $p$ -simplex  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta_1$  let  $\iota(\varphi \times \sigma)$  be that singular  $p$ -simplex in  $A \times I$  which satisfies  $\iota(\varphi \times \sigma)(x) = (\varphi(x), \theta_\sigma(x))$  for all  $x \in |\Delta_p|$ . Then  $\iota: S(A) \times \Delta_1 \rightarrow S(A \times I)$  is a C.S.S. inclusion. It is easily checked that  $P = |S(H)| \circ |\iota|$  satisfies the requirements of the Lemma.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Observe that

$$E = \{(\tilde{x}, w) \in \tilde{X} \mid |S(X)| \mid p(\tilde{x}) = j_x(w)\}$$

and  $p'(\tilde{x}, w) = w$ . For any  $a \in \mathcal{D}$  the action of  $a$  on  $E$  is given by  $a(\tilde{x}, w) = (a\tilde{x}, w)$ .

Let now  $b \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} \times I & \xrightarrow{F} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow p \times Id & & \downarrow p \\ X \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & X \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 9

with  $F(\tilde{x}, 0) = \tilde{x}$  and  $F(\tilde{x}, 1) = b\tilde{x}$ . Then  $H(x, 0) = x = H(x, 1)$  for all  $x \in X$ . By Lemma 3.3 there exists a homotopy  $P: |S(X)| \times I \rightarrow |S(X)|$  between  $|S(Id_x)|$  and  $|S(Id_x)|$  such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |S(X)| \times I & \xrightarrow{P} & |S(X)| \\ \downarrow j_x \times Id & & \downarrow j_x \\ X \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & X \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 10

is commutative. But  $|S(Id_x)| = Id_{|S(X)|}$ . Hence  $P(w, 0) = w = P(w, 1)$  for all  $w \in |S(X)|$ .

Let  $L: E \times I \rightarrow E$  be defined by  $L((\tilde{x}, w), t) = (F(\tilde{x}, t), P(w, t))$ . To make sure that  $L((\tilde{x}, w), t) \in E$  we have to check that  $j_x(P(w, t)) = p(F(\tilde{x}, t))$ . But commutativity of diagram 10 yields  $j_x(P(w, t)) = H(j_x(w), t)$ . Since  $(\tilde{x}, w) \in E$  we get  $j_x(w) = p(\tilde{x})$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} H(j_x(w), t) &= H(p(\tilde{x}), t) \\ &= p(F(\tilde{x}, t)) \text{ by commutativity of Diagram 9.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$j_x(P(w, t)) = H(j_x(w), t) = p(F(\tilde{x}, t)).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times I & \xrightarrow{L} & E \\ \downarrow p' \times Id & & \downarrow p' \\ |S(X)| \times I & \xrightarrow{P} & |S(X)| \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 11

is commutative. In fact

$$P(p'(\tilde{x}, w), t) = P(w, t)$$

and

$$p' \circ L((\tilde{x}, w), t) = p'(F(\tilde{x}, t), P(w, t)) = P(w, t)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} L((\tilde{x}, w), 0) &= (F(\tilde{x}, 0), P(w, 0)) = (\tilde{x}, w) \\ L((\tilde{x}, w), 1) &= (F(\tilde{x}, 1), P(w, 1)) = (b\tilde{x}, w) = b(\tilde{x}, w) \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $b \in \mathcal{S}'$ . Since  $b$  is arbitrary in  $\mathcal{S}$  we get  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{S}'$ .

4. The main result. As stated in the introduction the main result proved in this paper is:

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $\pi$  be any group and  $G$  any subgroup of the centre  $\pi$ . Then there exists a 0-connected CW-Complex  $X$  such that  $\pi_1(X) \cong \pi$  under an isomorphism carrying the Gottlieb subgroup  $G_1(X)$  of  $\pi_1(X)$  onto  $G$ .*

Let  $M$  be any nonzero free left  $\pi/G$ -module, for instance  $M = Z(\pi/G)$  the integral group ring of  $\pi/G$ . Using the canonical quotient map  $\eta: \pi \rightarrow \pi/G$  we consider  $M$  as a left  $\pi$ -module. More specifically for any  $a \in \pi$  and any  $m \in M$  we set  $am = \eta(a)m$ . Then it is clear that  $G = \{a \in \pi \mid am = m \text{ for all } m \in M\}$ . Consider the covering space  $U(\pi) \times Y \xrightarrow{q} Q$  where  $Y = |K(M, n)|$  (with  $n$  an integer  $\geq 2$ ) constructed in § 2. Since  $a \cdot m = m$  for  $a \in G$  and all  $m \in M$  the isomorphism  $\beta_a: K(M, n) \rightarrow K(M, n)$  reduces to the Identity of  $K(M, n)$  whenever  $a \in G$ . Hence  $|\beta_a| = Id_Y$ . It follows that  $ay = y$  for all  $y \in Y$  whenever  $a \in G$ . For the covering space  $U(\pi) \times Y \xrightarrow{q} Q$  let  $\Gamma$  be the subgroup of  $\pi$  consisting of those Deck transformations which are homotopic to  $Id_{U(\pi) \times Y}$  through fibre preserving homotopies. As a first step towards proving Theorem 4.1 we show

**PROPOSITION 4.2.**  $G \subset \Gamma$ .

For the proof of this proposition we need the following well known results. Let  $A$  be a 0-connected space and  $\tilde{A} \xrightarrow{p} A$  a covering space of  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the Deck transformation group of this covering.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *A homotopy  $F: \tilde{A} \times I \rightarrow \tilde{A}$  is fibre preserving if and only if  $aF(\tilde{x}, t) = F(a\tilde{x}, t)$  for all  $(\tilde{x}, t) \in \tilde{A} \times I$  and all  $a \in \mathcal{D}$ .*

This is Theorem II.2 of [2]. The proof given there is valid for a covering space of any 0-connected space  $A$ . ( $A$  need not be a CW-complex).

**PROPOSITION 4.4.** *If  $A$  is a CW-complex and  $\tilde{A} \xrightarrow{p} A$  the universal covering of  $A$ , the subgroup of  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  which corresponds to the*

*Gottlieb group  $G_1(A, a0)$  under the isomorphism  $\mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \pi_1(A, a0)$  consists precisely of all those Deck transformations which are homotopic to  $Id_{\tilde{X}}$  under fibre preserving homotopics.*

This is Theorem II.1 of [2].

**PROPOSITION 4.5.** *If  $A$  is a  $K(\pi, 1)$  CW-complex then  $G_1(A)$  is the centre of  $\pi$ .*

This is Corollary I.13 of [2].

*Proof of Proposition 4.2.* Since  $G$  is in the centre of  $\pi$  and by Proposition 4.5  $G_1(K(\pi, 1)) =$  the centre of  $\pi$  we see that  $G \subset G_1(K(\pi, 1))$ . By Proposition 4.4, for any  $b \in G$  the Deck transformation  $\tilde{x} \rightarrow b\tilde{x}$  of  $U(\pi) \xrightarrow{p} K(\pi, 1)$  is homotopic to  $Id_{U(\pi)}$  by fibre preserving homotopy  $F: U(\pi) \times I \rightarrow U(\pi)$ . By Proposition 4.3 we have  $aF(\tilde{x}, t) = F(a\tilde{x}, t)$  for every  $a \in \pi$  and  $(\tilde{x}, t) \in U(\pi) \times I$ .

Consider the homotopy  $L: U(\pi) \times Y \times I \rightarrow U(\pi) \times Y$  given by  $L((\tilde{x}, y), t) = (F(\tilde{x}, t), y)$ . We have  $L(\tilde{x}, y), 0) = (F(\tilde{x}, 0), y) = (\tilde{x}, y)$  and  $(L(\tilde{x}, y), 1) = (F(\tilde{x}, 1), y) = (b\tilde{x}, y) = (b\tilde{x}, by)$  since  $by = y$  for any  $y \in Y$  whenever  $b \in G$ . Hence  $L((\tilde{x}, y), 1) = (b\tilde{x}, by) = b(\tilde{x}, y)$ .

Also if  $a \in \pi$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} aL((\tilde{x}, y), t) &= a(F(\tilde{x}, t), y) = (aF(\tilde{x}, t), ay) = (F(a\tilde{x}, t), ay) \\ &= L((a\tilde{x}, ay), t) = L(a(\tilde{x}, y), t). \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Proposition 4.3 applied to the covering  $U(\pi) \times Y \xrightarrow{q} Q$  that  $L: U(\pi) \times Y \times I \rightarrow U(\pi) \times Y$  is a fibre preserving homotopy. Since  $L((\tilde{x}, y), 0) = ((\tilde{x}, y), 0)$  and  $L((\tilde{x}, y), 1) = b(\tilde{x}, y)$  we see that  $b \in \Gamma$  and  $b$  is arbitrary in  $G$ . Hence  $G \subset \Gamma$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Consider the 0-connected space  $Q = U(\pi) \times_{\pi} |K(M, n)|$  where  $n \geq 2$  and  $M$  a nonzero free  $\pi/G$ -module converted into a  $\pi$ -module using the natural homomorphism  $\eta: \pi \rightarrow \pi/G$ . Since  $G = \{a \in \pi \mid am = m \text{ for all } m \in M\}$  by Proposition 2.1 we get

$$(6) \quad \lambda_Q(G) = H_1 = \{v \in \pi_1(Q, u_0) \mid v \cdot w = \text{for all } w \in \pi_n(Q, u_0)\}$$

If  $Q$  happens to be CW-complex Propositions 4.2 and 4.4 immediately yield

$$(7) \quad \lambda_Q(G) \subset G_1(Q, u_0)$$

We now recall the following

PROPOSITION 4.6. *Let  $X$  be a  $CW$ -complex and  $x_0 \in X$ .*

Let  $P(X, x_0) = \{v \in \pi_1(X, x_0) \mid v \cdot w = w \text{ for all } w \in \pi_n(X, x_0) \text{ and all } n \geq 1\}$ . Then  $G_1(X, x_0) \subset P(X, x_0)$ .

This is Proposition I.4 of [2]

It follows from (6) and Proposition 4.6 that if  $Q$  happens to be a  $CW$ -complex

$$(8) \quad G_1(Q, u_0) \subset \lambda_Q(G)$$

(7) and (8) yield  $\lambda_Q(G) = G_1(Q, u_0)$ . Thus if  $Q$  happens to be a  $CW$ -complex  $X = Q$  will satisfy the requirements of Theorem 4.1. Even though  $U(\pi)$  and  $|K(M, n)|$  are  $CW$ -complexes the product  $U(\pi) \times |K(M, n)|$  with the product topology need not be. Even if it is (or even if we alter the topology to the weak topology and get a  $CW$ -structure on the set  $U(\pi) \times |K(M, n)|$ ) there is no guarantee that  $Q$  will be  $CW$ . However it is possible to rectify the situation. It turns out that  $X = |S(Q)|$  satisfies the requirements of Theorem 4.1.

First of all observe that there exists a point  $c_0 \in X = |S(Q)|$  such that  $j_Q(c_0) = u_0$ . In fact if we take the 0-singular simplex  $\alpha_{u_0}$  corresponding to the point  $u_0$  then  $c_0 = |\alpha_{u_0}| \in |S(Q)|$  satisfies  $j_Q(c_0) = u_0$ . It is known that  $j_{Q*}: \pi_i(|S(Q)|, c_0) \rightarrow \pi_i(Q, u_0)$  is an isomorphism for all  $i \geq 1$ . [5].

Let  $H_1(X, c_0) = \{v \in \pi_1(X, c_0) \mid v \cdot w = w \text{ for all } w \in \pi_n(X, c_0)\}$ . Using Lemma 1.4 and the fact that  $j_{Q*}: \pi_i(X, c_0) \rightarrow \pi_i(Q, u_0)$  is an isomorphism for all  $i$  we see that

$$(9) \quad j_{Q*}(H_1(X, c_0)) = H_1.$$

Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{r} & U(\pi) \times Y \\ \downarrow q' & & \downarrow q \\ |S(Q)| & \xrightarrow{j_Q} & Q \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 12

denote the pull back of the covering space  $U(\pi) \times Y \xrightarrow{q} Q$ . Let  $I'$  be the subgroup of  $\pi$  consisting of those Deck transformations of the covering  $E \xrightarrow{q'} |S(Q)|$  which are homotopic to  $I_{d_E}$  through fibre preserving homotopies. By Theorem 3.1 we get  $I' \subset I''$ . We take  $e_0 = ((\tilde{x}_0, y_0), c_0)$  as the base point in  $E$  and use it to get the isomorphism

$$\lambda_X: \pi \longrightarrow \pi_1(X, c_0).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \pi & \\
 \lambda_X \swarrow & & \searrow \lambda_Q \\
 \pi_1(X, c_0) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{j_{Q*}} & \pi_1(Q, u_0)
 \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 13

is a commutative diagram. In fact if  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is a path in  $E$  joining  $e_0 = ((\tilde{x}_0, y_0), c_0)$  to  $a \cdot e_0 = (a(\tilde{x}_0, y_0), c_0)$  it is clear that  $r\tilde{\sigma}$  is a path in  $U(\pi) \times Y$  joining  $(\tilde{x}_0, y_0)$  to  $a(\tilde{x}_0, y_0)$ . This fact yields commutativity of Diagram 13.

From Proposition 4.4 we have  $G_1(X, c_0) = \lambda_X(I')$ . Commutativity of Diagram 13, the fact that  $j_{Q*}$  is an isomorphism together with (6) and (9) yield

$$(10) \quad \lambda_X(G) = H_1(X, c_0).$$

From Proposition 4.6 we get  $G_1(X, c_0) \subset H_1(X, c_0)$ . Hence

$$(11) \quad G_1(X, c_0) \subset \lambda_X(G).$$

Also from  $G \subset I' \subset I''$  we get  $\lambda_X(G) \subset \lambda_X(I') \subset \lambda_X(I'')$  and  $\lambda_X(I'') = G_1(X, c_0)$ . Hence

$$(12) \quad \lambda_X(G) \subset G_1(X, c_0)$$

(11) and (12) together yield  $\lambda_X(G) = G_1(X, c_0)$ .

Thus  $\lambda_X^{-1}: \pi_1(X, c_0) \rightarrow \pi$  is an isomorphism carrying  $G_1(X, c_0)$  onto the subgroup  $G$  of  $\pi$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Finally we end the paper by raising a question. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E & \xrightarrow{r} & \tilde{A} \\
 \downarrow p' & & \downarrow p \\
 |S(A)| & \xrightarrow{j_A} & A
 \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 14

be the pullback of a covering  $\tilde{A} \xrightarrow{p} A$  of a 0-connected space  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}'$  be the subgroups associated to the covering  $\tilde{A} \xrightarrow{p} A$  and  $E \xrightarrow{p'} |S(A)|$  respectively of the Deck transformation group  $\mathcal{D}$ . We have proved in § 3 that  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}'$  (Theorem 3.1).

**PROBLEM.** Is  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}'$ ?

## REFERENCES

1. S. Eilenberg and S. MacLane, *Relations between homology and homotopy groups of spaces*, Annals of Math., **46** (1945), 480-509.
2. D. H. Gottlieb, *A certain subgroup of the fundamental group*, Amer. J. Math., **87** (1965), 840-856.
3. ———, *Evaluation subgroups of homotopy groups*, Amer. J. Math., **91** (1969), 729-756.
4. Jiang Bo-Ju, *Estimation of Nielsen number*, Chinese Mathematics, **5** (1964) 330-339.
5. J. W. Milnor, *Geometric realization of a semi-simplicial complex*, Annals of Math., **65** (1957) 357-362.

Received February 20, 1973.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY





# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 54, No. 1

May, 1974

Ralph K Amayo, <i>Engel Lie rings with chain conditions</i> . . . . .	1
Bernd Anger and Jörn Lembcke, <i>Hahn-Banach type theorems for hypolinear functionals on preordered topological vector spaces</i> . . . . .	13
Gregory Frank Bachelis and Samuel Ebenstein, <i>On <math>\Lambda(p)</math> sets</i> . . . . .	35
Harvey Isaac Blau, <i>Indecomposable modules for direct products of finite groups</i> . . . . .	39
Larry Eugene Bobisud and James Calvert, <i>Singular perturbation of a time-dependent Cauchy problem in a Hilbert space</i> . . . . .	45
Walter D. Burgess and Robert Raphael, <i>Abian's order relation and orthogonal completions for reduced rings</i> . . . . .	55
James Diederich, <i>Representation of superharmonic functions mean continuous at the boundary of the unit ball</i> . . . . .	65
Aad Dijkma and Hendrik S. V. de Snoo, <i>Self-adjoint extensions of symmetric subspaces</i> . . . . .	71
Gustave Adam Efroymson, <i>A Nullstellensatz for Nash rings</i> . . . . .	101
John D. Elwin and Donald R. Short, <i>Branched immersions onto compact orientable surfaces</i> . . . . .	113
John Douglas Faires, <i>Comparison of the states of closed linear transformations</i> . . . . .	123
Joe Wayne Fisher and Robert L. Snider, <i>On the von Neumann regularity of rings with regular prime factor rings</i> . . . . .	135
Franklin Takashi Iha, <i>A unified approach to boundary value problems on compact intervals</i> . . . . .	145
Palaniappan L. Kannappan and Che Tat Ng, <i>On functional equations connected with directed divergence, inaccuracy and generalized directed divergence</i> . . . . .	157
Samir A. Khabbaz and Elias Hanna Toubassi, <i>The module structure of <math>\text{Ext}(F, T)</math> over the endomorphism ring of <math>T</math></i> . . . . .	169
Garo K. Kiremidjian, <i>On deformations of complex compact manifolds with boundary</i> . . . . .	177
Dimitri Koutroufiotis, <i>Mappings by parallel normals preserving principal directions</i> . . . . .	191
W. K. Nicholson, <i>Semiperfect rings with abelian adjoint group</i> . . . . .	201
Norman R. Reilly, <i>Extension of congruences and homomorphisms to translational hulls</i> . . . . .	209
Sadahiro Saeki, <i>Symmetric maximal ideals in <math>M(G)</math></i> . . . . .	229
Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, <i>On the homotopy invariance of certain functors</i> . . . . .	245
H. J. Shyr and T. M. Viswanathan, <i>On the radicals of lattice-ordered rings</i> . . . . .	257
Indranand Sinha, <i>Certain representations of infinite group algebras</i> . . . . .	261
David Smallen, <i>The group of self-equivalences of certain complexes</i> . . . . .	269
Kalathoor Varadarajan, <i>On a certain problem of realization in homotopy theory</i> . . . . .	277
James Edward West, <i>Sums of Hilbert cube factors</i> . . . . .	293
Chi Song Wong, <i>Fixed points and characterizations of certain maps</i> . . . . .	305