

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MULTIPLICATIVITY-PRESERVING ARITHMETIC POWER SERIES

DAVID FRANCIS REARICK

MULTIPLICATIVITY-PRESERVING ARITHMETIC POWER SERIES

DAVID REARICK

In the Dirichlet algebra of arithmetic functions let the operator A be represented by an arithmetic power series $Af = \sum a(F)f^F$. A condition on the coefficients $a(F)$ is derived which is necessary and sufficient for Af to be multiplicative whenever f is multiplicative.

1. **Introduction.** In [2] a *factorization* F was defined to be a nonnegative integer-valued arithmetic function having $F(1) = 0$ and $F(n) \neq 0$ for at most finitely n . The *index* of F was defined by $i(F) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{F(j)}$. If f is any arithmetic function, we defined $f^F = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} [f(j)]^{F(j)}$ with the understanding that $0^0 = 1$. If $a(F)$ is a mapping from factorizations into the real or complex numbers, we wrote

$$(1) \quad Af = \sum a(F)f^F$$

as an abbreviation for the arithmetic function Af whose value on n is equal to $\sum_{i(F)=n} a(F)f^F$. In [2] a series of the form (1) was called an *arithmetic power series*. Since for each n the series is terminating, there is never any question of convergence. Such a series defines an operator A on the Dirichlet algebra of arithmetic functions, and the theory of these operators has been investigated in [1] and [2].

In particular, if r is a real number, the Dirichlet r th power of an arithmetic function f is represented, when $f(1) = 1$, by an arithmetic power series $\sum \binom{r}{F} f^F$. The symbol $\binom{r}{F}$ was defined in [2]. It is known [1, Theorem 5] that f^r is multiplicative whenever f is, and therefore the series $\sum \binom{r}{F} f^F$ is an example of a multiplicity-preserving arithmetic power series. The present paper is devoted to determining a necessary and sufficient condition on the coefficients $a(F)$ in order that the general series (1) preserve multiplicity. The method, and the statement of the result (Theorem 1), depend on a certain equivalence relation between factorizations, to be introduced below.

2. Equivalent factorizations.

DEFINITION 1. If F and F'' are two factorizations, we say F is

equivalent to F' , written $F \sim F'$, if $f^F = f^{F'}$ for every multiplicative arithmetic function f .

It is obvious that this is an equivalence relation. An example of a pair of nonequal but equivalent factorizations may be constructed by taking $F(2) = F(3) = F'(6) = 1$, with all other values being zero. Then $f^F = f(2)f(3) = f(6) = f^{F'}$ for every multiplicative f . Two equivalent factorizations F and F' necessarily have the same index, for if we choose the particular multiplicative function $f(n) = n$, we have $i(F) = f^F = f^{F'} = i(F')$.

DEFINITION 2. We shall use the letter C to denote an equivalence class of factorizations. The *index* $i(C)$ of an equivalence class C is defined to be the index of the factorizations F belonging to C . If f is multiplicative, we denote by f^C the common value of f^F for all $F \in C$. If $F_1 \in C_1$ and $F_2 \in C_2$, we define $C_1 + C_2$ to be the equivalence class containing the factorization $F_1 + F_2$.

It is obvious that the definition of $C_1 + C_2$ is unambiguous.

If the operator (1) is applied to a multiplicative f , the sum over all factorizations F of index n reduces to a sum over all classes C of index n , thus:

$$Af(n) = \sum_{i(F)=n} a(F)f^F = \sum_{i(C)=n} f^C \sum_{F \in C} a(F).$$

Therefore, insofar as its action on multiplicative functions is concerned, an arithmetic power series is determined by the *sums* of its coefficients over equivalence classes of factorizations, and it is natural to make the following definition:

DEFINITION 3. $a^*(C) = \sum_{F \in C} a(F)$.

Thus, when f is multiplicative, we may write

$$(2) \quad Af(n) = \sum_{i(C)=n} a^*(C)f^C.$$

The main theorem may now be stated as follows.

THEOREM 1. *The arithmetic function $Af = \sum a(F)f^F$ is multiplicative whenever f is, if and only if the following pair of conditions holds:*

$$(3) \quad a^*(C_1 + C_2) = a^*(C_1)a^*(C_2)$$

for every pair of equivalence classes C_1 and C_2 having relatively prime indices, and

$$(4) \quad a^*(0) = 1$$

where 0 is the class containing the zero factorization.

3. Lemmas. Let those positive integers which are prime powers be arranged in increasing order. Let x_1, x_2, \dots be an arbitrary sequence of complex numbers. We may construct a multiplicative function f by setting $f(1) = 1$ and, if p^v is the k th prime power, defining

$$(5) \quad f(p^v) = x_k.$$

The requirement that f be multiplicative then defines $f(n)$ for all positive integers n . Furthermore, every multiplicative f arises from exactly one particular choice of the sequence $\{x_k\}$. (Following the usual convention, we do not consider the identically zero function to be multiplicative.)

These observations establish a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all multiplicative functions and the set of all sequences of variables $\{x_k\}$. Under this correspondence we may associate, with each factorization F , an expression f^F which is a monomial (with coefficient 1) in certain of the variables x_k . We note that a given variable x_k cannot appear in this monomial if it does not correspond, in (5), to a prime power divisor of $i(F)$, since, by definition of index $F(j) = 0$ if j does not divide $i(F)$.

LEMMA 1. *Two factorizations F and F' are equivalent if and only if the two corresponding monomials f^F and $f^{F'}$ are identical.*

Proof. It is familiar from algebra [3, Chapter 4] that if two polynomials always agree in value while each variable x_k is assigned infinitely many different values, holding the others fixed, then the two polynomials are identical. The converse part of the assertion is trivial.

Lemma 1 shows that equivalence classes of factorizations may be identified with monomials in an arbitrary finite number of variables. Also, it is clear that each equivalence class of prime power index p^v consists of a single factorization.

LEMMA 2. *Let F_1, \dots, F_r be nonequivalent factorizations. Suppose that, for every multiplicative f , the linear combination $\sum_{j=1}^r b_j f^{F_j}$ is equal to zero. Then each of the coefficients b_j is zero.*

Proof. The linear combination referred to in the lemma is a polynomial in certain of the variables x_k , and the numbers b_j are precisely its coefficients, since by Lemma 1 no two of the monomials f^{F_j} are identical. As in the proof of Lemma 1, each of these coefficients must be zero.

LEMMA 3. *Let $F, F', G,$ and G' be factorizations, with $i(F) = i(F') = m$ and $i(G) = i(G') = n$, and assume m and n are relatively prime. Suppose $F + G \sim F' + G'$. Then $F \sim F'$ and $G \sim G'$.*

Proof. As observed earlier, each variable x_k appearing in the monomial f^F corresponds, in (5), to a prime power divisor of m . Similarly, f^G contains only variables corresponding to prime power divisors of n . Since $(m, n) = 1$, these two sets of variables are disjoint. Applying the same reasoning to F' and G' , we see that no variable appearing in either f^F or $f^{F'}$ can appear in either f^G or $f^{G'}$, and conversely. By hypothesis we have $f^F f^G = f^{F+G} = f^{F'+G'} = f^{F'} f^{G'}$ for all multiplicative f , or equivalently $f^F/f^{F'} = f^G/f^{G'}$. Since opposite sides of this identity are rational functions in disjoint sets of independent variables, both sides must be equal to a constant B . In the identity $f^F = Bf^{F'}$, putting $f(k) = 1$ for all k , we obtain $B = 1$. Therefore $f^F = f^{F'}$ and $f^G = f^{G'}$, meaning $F \sim F'$ and $G \sim G'$.

LEMMA 4. *Let F_1, \dots, F_r be nonequivalent factorizations of index m . Let G_1, \dots, G_s be nonequivalent factorizations of index n . Assume $(m, n) = 1$. Suppose that, for every multiplicative f , the linear combination $\sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k=1}^s b_{jk} f^{F_j+G_k}$ is equal to zero. Then each of the coefficients b_{jk} is zero.*

Proof. By Lemma 3 the factorizations $F_j + G_k$ are all non-equivalent, and the result then follows from Lemma 2.

LEMMA 5. *Let F be a factorization of index mn , where $(m, n) = 1$. Then there exist factorizations F_1 and F_2 , of indices m and n respectively, such that $F \sim F_1 + F_2$. Furthermore, if F'_1 and F'_2 also satisfy these conditions, then $F_1 \sim F'_1$ and $F_2 \sim F'_2$. In other words, if $(m, n) = 1$, then each equivalence class of index mn is the sum of a unique pair of classes of indices m and n respectively.*

Proof. The uniqueness part follows immediately from Lemma 3. As regards the existence of F_1 and F_2 , we claim that the pair defined as follows will satisfy the requirements:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1(k) &= 0 && \text{if } k = 1 \\
 &= \sum_{(j,m)=k} F(j) && \text{if } k > 1 \\
 F_2(k) &= 0 && \text{if } k = 1 \\
 &= \sum_{(j,n)=k} F(j) && \text{if } k > 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

To check this, choose any multiplicative f . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 f^{F_1+F_2} &= f^{F_1} f^{F_2} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} [f(k)]^{F_1(k)} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} [f(k)]^{F_2(k)} \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} [f((j, m))]^{F(j)} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} [f((j, n))]^{F(j)} \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} [f((j, m))f((j, n))]^{F(j)} \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} [f((j, m)(j, n))]^{F(j)} \\
 &= \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} [f((j, mn))]^{F(j)} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} [f(j)]^{F(j)} = f^F,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we use the fact that $F(j) = 0$ if j does not divide mn . Therefore $F \sim F_1 + F_2$. To find the indices of F_1 and F_2 , we first observe that $i(F_1)i(F_2) = i(F_1 + F_2) = i(F) = mn$. Also, if we choose for f the identity function $f(k) = k$, we have $i(F_1) = f^{F_1} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (j, m)^{F(j)}$, and each factor in the product is relatively prime to n , so $i(F_1)$ is relatively prime to n . Similarly, $i(F_2)$ is relatively prime to m . Therefore $i(F_1) = m$ and $i(F_2) = n$.

4. **Proof of Theorem 1.** First assume conditions (3) and (4) hold. Choose any multiplicative f , and let m and n be relatively prime. We are to show that $Af(mn) = Af(m)Af(n)$ and $Af(1) = 1$. By Lemma 5, each equivalence class C of index mn is the sum of a unique pair of classes $C_1 + C_2$ where $i(C_1) = m$ and $i(C_2) = n$. Remembering (2), we may evaluate $Af(mn)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Af(mn) &= \sum_{i(C)=mn} a^*(C)f^C = \sum_{i(C_1)=m} \sum_{i(C_2)=n} a^*(C_1 + C_2)f^{C_1+C_2} \\
 &= \sum_{i(C_1)=m} a^*(C_1)f^{C_1} \sum_{i(C_2)=n} a^*(C_2)f^{C_2} = Af(m)Af(n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, $Af(1) = a^*(0)f^0 = 1$.

To prove the converse, assume the operator A preserves multiplicativity. Choose m and n relatively prime, and let f be any multiplicative function. Proceeding as in the last computation above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= Af(mn) - Af(m)Af(n) \\
 &= \sum_{i(C_1)=m} \sum_{i(C_2)=n} f^{C_1+C_2}[a^*(C_1 + C_2) - a^*(C_1)a^*(C_2)].
 \end{aligned}$$

This double sum is a linear combination of the type considered in Lemma 4, and therefore, by the result of that lemma, the expression in square brackets is equal to zero for all C_1 and C_2 in the sum. That is, equation (3) is satisfied. Also, (4) is satisfied because $1 = Af(1) = a^*(0)f0 = a^*(0)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

5. **Further consequences.** We wish to show how to construct all solutions $a^*(C)$ of (3) which also satisfy (4) (and which we shall refer to as *nontrivial* solutions of (3)). Given a nontrivial solution $a^*(C)$ of (3), we can recover (nonuniquely) by Definition 3 the coefficients $a(F)$ of an arithmetic power series (1) which preserves multiplicativity, and the class of such series will then be completely characterized.

LEMMA 6. *Let C be an equivalence class whose index is greater than 1 and has prime factorization $i(C) = p_1^{v_1}, \dots, p_r^{v_r}$. Then there are unique classes C_1, \dots, C_r , of indices $p_1^{v_1}, \dots, p_r^{v_r}$ respectively, such that $C = C_1 + \dots + C_r$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 5 repeatedly to the r maximal prime power divisors $p_1^{v_1}, \dots, p_r^{v_r}$ of $i(C)$.

LEMMA 7. *$a^*(C)$ is a nontrivial solution of (3) if and only if $a^*(0) = 1$ and*

$$(6) \quad a^*(C) = \prod_{k=1}^r a^*(C_k)$$

whenever $i(C) > 1$, where the classes C_1, \dots, C_r are related to C as in Lemma 6.

Proof. Equation (6) is obtained from (3) by applying the latter repeatedly to the maximal prime power divisors of $i(C)$. Conversely, (3) is obtained from (6) by applying (6) to the prime decomposition of mn , separating the maximal prime power divisors of m from those of n .

Lemma 7 gives us a process for constructing all nontrivial solutions of (3). The method is analogous to that used at the beginning of § 3 to construct all multiplicative functions, namely:

THEOREM 2. *The nontrivial solutions $a^*(C)$ of (3) are exactly those which take the value 1 on the zero class and are defined arbitrarily on classes of prime power index, the definition then being extended to all C by the product formula (6).*

Finally, we shall determine the number of equivalence classes of index n . Let this number be denoted by $E(n)$. It follows from Lemma 5 that $E(n)$, as an arithmetic function, is multiplicative. Therefore, it suffices to evaluate this function on prime powers p^ν . Since each class of index p^ν contains only one factorization, $E(p^\nu)$ is equal to the number of factorizations of index p^ν , and this is evidently just the number of unrestricted partitions of ν . These observations yield the following explicit formula for $E(n)$:

THEOREM 3.

$$E(1) = 1$$
$$E(n) = \prod_{p^\nu | n} p(\nu) \quad \text{if } n > 1,$$

where $p(\nu)$ is the partition function, and the product is extended over all maximal prime power divisors p^ν of n .

REFERENCES

1. D. Rearick, *Operators on algebras of arithmetic functions*, Duke Math J., **35** (1968), 761-766.
2. ———, *The trigonometry of numbers*, Duke Math. J., **35** (1968), 767-776.
3. B. L. Van der Waerden, *Modern Algebra*, Vol. I, 2nd ed., New York, 1953.

Received March 20, 1973.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Robert Lee Anderson, <i>Continuous spectra of a singular symmetric differential operator on a Hilbert space of vector-valued functions</i>	1
Michael James Cambern, <i>The isometries of $L^p(X, K)$</i>	9
R. H. Cameron and David Arne Storvick, <i>Two related integrals over spaces of continuous functions</i>	19
Gary Theodore Chartrand and Albert David Polimeni, <i>Ramsey theory and chromatic numbers</i>	39
John Deryck De Pree and Harry Scott Klein, <i>Characterization of collectively compact sets of linear operators</i>	45
John Deryck De Pree and Harry Scott Klein, <i>Semi-groups and collectively compact sets of linear operators</i>	55
George Epstein and Alfred Horn, <i>Chain based lattices</i>	65
Paul Erdős and Ernst Gabor Straus, <i>On the irrationality of certain series</i> . . .	85
Zdeněk Frolík, <i>Measurable uniform spaces</i>	93
Stephen Michael Gagola, Jr., <i>Characters fully ramified over a normal subgroup</i>	107
Frank Larkin Gilfeather, <i>Operator valued roots of abelian analytic functions</i>	127
D. S. Goel, A. S. B. Holland, Cyril Nasim and B. N. Sahney, <i>Best approximation by a saturation class of polynomial operators</i>	149
James Secord Howland, <i>Puiseux series for resonances at an embedded eigenvalue</i>	157
David Jacobson, <i>Linear GCD equations</i>	177
P. H. Karvellas, <i>A note on compact semirings which are multiplicative semilattices</i>	195
Allan Morton Krall, <i>Stieltjes differential-boundary operators. II</i>	207
D. G. Larman, <i>On the inner aperture and intersections of convex sets</i>	219
S. N. Mukhopadhyay, <i>On the regularity of the P^n-integral and its application to summable trigonometric series</i>	233
Dwight Webster Read, <i>On (J, M, m)-extensions of Boolean algebras</i>	249
David Francis Rearick, <i>Multiplicativity-preserving arithmetic power series</i>	277
Indranand Sinha, <i>Characteristic ideals in group algebras</i>	285
Charles Thomas Tucker, II, <i>Homomorphisms of Riesz spaces</i>	289
Kunio Yamagata, <i>The exchange property and direct sums of indecomposable injective modules</i>	301