Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS ON SYMMETRIC SPACES

M. H. Lim

Vol. 55, No. 2 October 1974

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 55, No. 2, 1974

LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS ON SYMMETRIC SPACES

M. H. LIM

Let U be an n-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic zero, and let $\vee^r U$ denote the rth symmetric product space of U. Let T be a linear transformation on $\vee^r U$ which sends nonzero decomposable elements to nonzero decomposable elements. We prove the following:

(i) If $n = r+1$ then T is induced by a nonsingular transformation on T.

(ii) If $2 < n < r+1$ then either T is induced by a nonsingular transformation on U or $T(\vee^r U) = \vee^r W$ for some two dimensional subspace W of U.

The result for $n > r+1$ was recently obtained by L. J. Cummings.

1. Preliminaries. Let U be a finite dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field F. Let $\bigvee^r U$ denote the rth symmetric product space over U where $r \geqq 2$. Unlese otherwise stated, the characteristic of F is assumed to be zero or greater than r.

A *decomposable subspace* of $\bigvee^r U$ is a subspace consisting of decomposable elements. Let $x_1, \cdots, x_{r-1}$ be $r-1$ nonzero vectors in U. Then the set $\{x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-1} \vee u : u \in U\}$, denoted by $x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-1} \vee U$, is a decomposable subspace of $\bigvee^r U$ and is called a *type* 1 *subspace* of $\bigvee^r U$. Let W be a two dimensional subspace of U. It is shown in [2] that $\bigvee^r W$ is decomposable and is called a *type r subspace* of $\bigvee^r U$. If $y_1, \cdots, y_{r-k}$ are vectors in $U - W$ where $1 < k < r$, then the set $\{y_1 \vee \cdots \vee y_{r-k} \vee w_1 \vee \cdots \vee w_k : w_i \in W, i = 1, \cdots, k\}$, denoted by $y_1 \vee \cdots \vee y_{r-k} \vee W \vee \cdots \vee W$, is also decomposable and is called a *type k subspace* of $\bigvee^r U$. In [2] Cummings showed that every maximal decomposable subspce of $\bigvee^r U$ is of type i for some $1 \leqq i \leqq r$.

A linear transformation on $\bigvee^r U$ is called a *decomposable mapping* if it maps nonzero decomposable elements to nonzero decomposable elements. In [3] Cummings proved that if $\dim U > r+1$ then every decomposable mapping T on $\bigvee^r U$ is induced by a nonsingular linear transformation f on U; that is, $T(y_1 \vee \cdots \vee y_r) = f(y_1) \vee \cdots \vee f(y_r)$. In this paper we consider the case when $3 \leqq \dim U \leqq r+1$.

2. The case when $\dim U = r+1$. Two type 1 subspaces M_1 and M_2 of $\bigvee^r U$ are called *adjacent* if

$$M_1 = x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee y_1 \vee U$$
$$M_2 = x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee y_2 \vee U$$

for some $x_1, \cdots, x_{r-2}, y_1, y_2$ where y_1 and y_2 are linearly independent.

The proof of the following lemma is contained in that of Proposition 4 of [3].

LEMMA 1. *The images of two adjacent type* 1 *subspaces under a decomposable mapping are distinct.*

THEOREM 1. *If* $\dim U = r + 1$ *then every decomposable mapping* T *of* $\bigvee^r U$ *is induced by a nonsingular mapping of* U.

Proof. Let M be a type 1 subspace of $\bigvee^r U$. Then $T(M)$ is a decomposable subspace of $\bigvee^r U$. Moreover $\dim M = \dim T(M) = r + 1$. Let $T(M) \subseteqq N$ where N is a maximal decomposable subspace. If N is of type k where $1 < k < r$, then $\dim N = k + 1 < r + 1$ which is a contradiction. Hence N is of type 1 or type r. Since $\dim N = r + 1$, it follows that $T(M) = N$.

Suppose that some type 1 subspace $x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee y \vee U$ is mapped onto a type r subspace $\bigvee^r W$ where W is a two dimensional subspace of U. We shall show that this leads to a contradiction.

Let $\mathscr{C} = \{T(M_u): u \in U, u \neq 0\}$ where $M_u = x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee u \vee U$. We shall show that $\bigvee^r W$ is the only type r subspace in $\mathscr{C}$. Suppose there is another type r subspace $\bigvee^r W^*$ in $\mathscr{C}$. Since $\bigvee^r W \cap \bigvee^r W^* \neq 0$, $W \cap W^*$ is 1-dimensional. Choose a nonzero vector z in U such that

$$T(x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee y \vee z) = w_1 \vee \cdots \vee w_r$$

where $\dim \langle w_1, \cdots, w_r \rangle = 2$, $\langle y \rangle \neq \langle z \rangle$, and $W \cap W^* \neq \langle w_i \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, r$. If

$$T(M_z) = z_1 \vee \cdots \vee z_{r-1} \vee U$$

for some z_i in U then

$$T(M_z) \cap \bigvee^r W \neq 0$$

and

$$T(M_z) \cap \bigvee^r W^* \neq 0$$

imply that $z_1, \cdots, z_{r-1} \in W \cap W^*$ and hence $\langle z_1 \rangle = \cdots = \langle z_{r-1} \rangle = W \cap W^*$. Since $w_1 \vee \cdots \vee w_r \in z_1 \vee \cdots \vee z_{r-1} \vee U$, it follows that $\langle w_i \rangle = W \cap W^*$ for some i, a contradiction. Hence

$$T(M_z) = \bigvee^r S$$

for some two dimensional subspace S of U. Note that $x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee y \vee z \in M_z \cap M_y$. Thus $w_1, \cdots, w_r \in W \cap S$. This implies that $\langle w_1, \cdots, w_r \rangle = W = S$, a contradiction to Lemma 1 since M_z and M_y

are adjacent type 1 subspaces. This proves that $\bigvee^r W$ is the only type r subspace in $\mathscr{C}$.

Since $\{T(M_x): \langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle, x \neq 0\}$ is an infinite family of type 1 subspaces (Lemma 1) it follows from Proposition 4 of [3] that there exist vectors $u_1, \cdots, u_{r-2}$ such that for any $x \in U - \{0\}$ and $\langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle$,

$$T(M_x) = u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee x' \vee U$$

for some $x' \in U$. Since $T(M_x) \cap \bigvee^r W \neq 0$ we have $x' \in W$. Let g be a fixed nonzero vector such that $\langle g \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle$. Then for any $x \in U - \{0\}$ such that $\langle x \rangle \neq \langle g \rangle$, $\langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle$,

$$T(x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee x \vee g) = u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee x' \vee g_x$$

for some g_x. Since $u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee x' \vee g_x \in u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee g' \vee U$ and $\langle x' \rangle \neq \langle g' \rangle$ we have $\langle g_x \rangle = \langle g' \rangle$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} T(M_g) \subseteqq u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} &\vee g' \vee W \\ &\cup \langle T(x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee g \vee y) \rangle \\ &\cup \langle T(x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee g \vee g) \rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

This is impossible since $\dim T(M_g) = \dim U > 2$.

Therefore, T maps type 1 subspaces to type 1 subspaces. By Theorem 2 of [3] T is induced by a nonsingular linear transformation on U.

3. The case when $3 \leqq \dim U < r + 1$. In this section we assume that char $F = 0$.

LEMMA 2. *Let $x_1, \cdots, x_k$ be k nonzero vectors of U. Let $r > k + 1$ and $x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_k \vee A = z_1 \vee \cdots \vee z_r \neq 0$ in $\bigvee^r U$ where $A \in \bigvee^{r-k} U$ and $z_i \in U$. Then $\langle x_i \rangle = \langle z_{j_i} \rangle$ for some j_i where $j_s \neq j_t$ for distinct s and t.*

Proof. Let $u_1, \cdots, u_n$ be a basis of U. Let ϕ be the isomorphism from the symmetric algebra $\bigvee U$ over U onto the polynomical algebra $F[\xi_1, \cdots \xi_n]$ in n indeterminates $\xi_1, \cdots \xi_n$ over F such that $\phi(u_i) = \xi_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$ [4, p. 428]. Then

$$\phi(x_1) \cdots \phi(x_k)\phi(A) = \phi(z_1) \cdots \phi(z_r) \neq 0 \,.$$

Since $F[\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n]$ is a Gaussian domain and since $\phi(x_1), \cdots, \phi(x_k)$, $\phi(z_1), \cdots, \phi(z_r)$ are linear homogeneous polynomials, it follows that for each $i = 1, \cdots, k$, $\langle \phi(x_i) \rangle = \langle \phi(z_{j_i}) \rangle$ for some j_i where $j_t \neq j_s$ if $s \neq t$. This implies that $\langle x_i \rangle = \langle z_{j_i} \rangle$. Hence the lemma is proved.

The following result is proved in [1, p. 131] under the assumption that char $F = 0$.

LEMMA 3. *$\bigvee^r U$ is spanned by* $\{u^r = \underbrace{u \vee \cdots \vee u}_{r\text{-times}} : u \in U\}$.

Hereafter we will assume that $3 \leqq \dim U < r + 1$ and T is a decomposable mapping on $\bigvee^r U$. Since every type k subspace has dimension $< r + 1$ where $1 \leqq k < r$ we see that every type r subspace of $\bigvee^r U$ is mapped onto a type r subspace under T.

LEMMA 4. *If there are two distinct type r subspaces M and N of $\bigvee^r U$ such that $M \cap N \neq 0$ and $T(M) = T(N)$, then $T(\bigvee^r U) = T(M)$.*

Proof. Let $M = \bigvee^r S_1$, $N = \bigvee^r S_2$ and $T(M) = T(N) = \bigvee^r S$ where S, S_1, S_2 are two dimensional subspaces of U. By hypothesis,

$$M \cap N = \bigvee^r S_1 \cap \bigvee^r S_2 = \bigvee^r (S_1 \cap S_2) \neq 0 .$$

Hence $S_1 \cap S_2$ is one dimensional. Let $S_1 = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$, $S_2 = \langle y_1, y_3 \rangle$. Consider $S_3 = \langle y_2, y_3 \rangle$. Then

$$\bigvee^r S_3 \cap \bigvee^r S_2 = \langle y_3^r \rangle , \qquad \bigvee^r S_3 \cap \bigvee^r S_1 = \langle y_2^r \rangle .$$

Hence $T(\bigvee^r S_3) \cap \bigvee^r S \supseteqq \langle T(y_3^r), T(y_2^r) \rangle$. Since T is a decomposable mapping and $\langle y_2^r, y_3^r \rangle$ is a two dimensional decomposable subspace, it follows that $\langle T(y_2^r), T(y_3^r) \rangle$ is two dimensional. Hence $T(\bigvee^r S_3) = \bigvee^r S$ because any two distinct type r subspaces of $\bigvee^r U$ have at most one dimension in common.

Let $z = \alpha y_1 + \beta y_2 + \gamma y_3$ where α, β, γ are all nonzero scalars. Consider $S_4 = \langle y_1, z \rangle = \langle y_1, \beta y_2 + \gamma y_3 \rangle$. Since

$$\bigvee^r S_4 \cap \bigvee^r S_3 \supseteqq \langle (\beta y_2 + \gamma y_3)^r \rangle ,$$
$$\bigvee^r S_4 \cap \bigvee^r S_1 \supseteqq \langle y_1^r \rangle ,$$

we have $T(\bigvee^r S_4) \cap \bigvee^r S \supseteqq \langle T(y_1^r), T((\beta y_2 + \gamma y_3)^r) \rangle$ which is two dimensional. Hence $T(\bigvee^r S_4) = \bigvee^r S$. Consequently by Lemma 3, $T(\bigvee^r \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle) = \bigvee^r S$.

Now, let $w \in U$ such that $w \notin \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle$. Let $W = \langle y_1, w \rangle$. Consider the type 1 subspace $P = y_1 \vee \cdots \vee y_1 \vee U$. Since

$$\dim (P \cap \bigvee^r \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle) = 3 ,$$

we have $\dim (T(P) \cap \bigvee^r S) \geqq 3$. Since the maximal dimension of the intersection of two distinct maximal decomposable subspaces is 2, we conclude that $T(P) \subseteqq \bigvee^r S$. This shows that

$$T(\bigvee^r W) \cap \bigvee^r S \supseteqq \langle T(y_1^r), T(y_1 \vee \cdots \vee y_1 \vee w) \rangle .$$

Since $\langle y_1^r, y_1^{r-1} \vee w \rangle$ is a two dimensional decomposable subspace, $\langle T(y_1^r), T(y_1^{r-1} \vee w) \rangle$ is also two dimensional. Hence $T(\bigvee^r W) = \bigvee^r S$. By Lemma 3, we conclude that $T(\bigvee^r U) = \bigvee^r S$. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 5. *Suppose that for any two distinct type r subspaces M, N such that $M \cap N \neq 0$, we have $T(M) \neq T(N)$. Then T is induced by a nonsingular transformation on U.*

Proof. Let y, y_1, y_2 be linearly independent vectors. Let $S_1 = \langle y, y_1 \rangle$, $S_2 = \langle y, y_2 \rangle$. Then $T(\mathbf{V}^r S_1) = \mathbf{V}^r S_1'$ and $T(\mathbf{V}^r S_2) = \mathbf{V}^r S_2'$ for some two dimentional subspaces S_1', S_2' of U. By hypothesis $\mathbf{V}^r S_1' \neq \mathbf{V}^r S_2'$. Hence

$$\mathbf{V}^r S_1' \cap \mathbf{V}^r S_2' = T(\mathbf{V}^r S_1 \cap \mathbf{V}^r S_2) = \langle y'^r \rangle$$

for some $y' \in U$. Therefore $T(y^r) = \lambda y'^r$ for some λ in F.

Let $H = y \vee \cdots \vee y \vee U$. We claim that $T(H) = y' \vee \cdots \vee y' \vee U$. Since $T(H)$ is a decomposable subspace, it is contained in a maximal decomposable subspace. If $T(H)$ is contained in a type k subspace $g_1 \vee \cdots \vee g_{r-k} \vee W \vee \cdots \vee W$ where $2 \leqq k < r$, then $y'^r \in g_1 \vee \cdots \vee g_{r-k} \vee W \vee \cdots \vee W$ and hence $\langle g_1 \rangle = \langle y' \rangle$, $y' \in W$. This implies $g_1 \in W$, a contradiction. If $T(H)$ is contained in a type r subspace $\mathbf{V}^r W$, then

$$\dim (\mathbf{V}^r S_1 \cap H) = 2 \Longrightarrow \dim (T(\mathbf{V}^r S_1) \cap \mathbf{V}^r W) \geqq 2 ,$$
$$\dim (\mathbf{V}^r S_2 \cap H) = 2 \Longrightarrow \dim (T(\mathbf{V}^r S_2) \cap \mathbf{V}^r W) \geqq 2 .$$

Since $T(\mathbf{V}^r S_1)$ and $T(\mathbf{V}^r S_2)$ are both type r subspaces, it follows that $T(\mathbf{V}^r S_1) = \mathbf{V}^r W = T(\mathbf{V}^r S_2)$, a contradiction to our hypothesis. Hence $T(H)$ is a type 1 subspace of $\mathbf{V}^r U$. Since $y'^r \in T(H)$, it follows that

$$T(H) = y' \vee \cdots \vee y' \vee U .$$

By Lemma 3, let $x_1^{r-1}, \cdots, x_t^{r-1}$ be a basis of $\mathbf{V}^{r-1} U$. Note that $3 \leqq \dim U < r + 1$ implies that $r \geqq 3$. Clearly if $i \neq j$ then x_i and x_j are linearly independent. Consider any type one subspace $D = z_1 \vee \cdots \vee z_{r-1} \vee U$. Let $z_1 \vee \cdots \vee z_{r-1} = \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_i x_i^{r-1}$ where $\lambda_i \in F$ and $i = 1, \cdots, t$. We shall show that $T(D)$ is a type 1 subspace. Suppose to the contrary that

(i) $T(D) \subseteqq \mathbf{V}^r S$

or

(ii) $T(D) \subseteqq w_1 \vee \cdots \vee w_{r-k} \vee S \vee \cdots \vee S$, $2 \leqq k < r$,

for some two dimensional subspace S of U and some $w_1, \cdots, w_{r-k} \in U - S$.

Let $T(x_i \vee \cdots \vee x_i \vee U) = x_i' \vee \cdots \vee x_i' \vee U$, $i = 1, \cdots, t$. Note that $T(x_i^r) = \eta_i x_i'^r$ for some $\eta_i \in F$, $i = 1, \cdots, t$. For $i \neq j$, $\langle x_i^r, x_j^r \rangle$ is a two dimensional subspace of $\mathbf{V}^r U$ implies that $T(\langle x_i^r, x_j^r \rangle) = \langle x_i'^r, x_j'^r \rangle$ is a two dimensional subspace of $\mathbf{V}^r U$. Hence x_i' and x_j' are linearly independent if $i \neq j$.

Consider case (ii). Choose a vector w of U such that

$$w \notin \langle w_1 \rangle \cup \cdots \cup \langle w_{r-k} \rangle \cup S \cup \Big(\bigcup_{i \neq j} \langle x'_i, x'_j \rangle \Big) .$$

Let $u \in U$ such that $T(x_1^{r-1} \vee u) = x_1'^{r-1} \vee w$. For each $i \geqq 2$, let $T(x_i^{r-1} \vee u) = x_i'^{r-1} \vee u_i$. We shall show that $\langle u_i \rangle = \langle w \rangle$ for $i \geqq 2$.

Since $\langle x_1^{r-1} \vee u, x_i^{r-1} \vee u \rangle$ is a decomposable subspace for $i \geqq 2$, $\langle x_1'^{r-1} \vee w, x_i'^{r-1} \vee u_i \rangle$ is also a decomposable subspace. By our choice of w, $\langle x'_1, w, x'_i \rangle$ is three dimensional. Hence $\langle x_1'^{r-1} \vee w, x_i'^{r-1} \vee u_i \rangle$ is contained in a type k subspace A for some $1 \leqq k < r$. If A is of type k where $1 \leqq k \leqq r-2$, then we have $\langle x'_i \rangle = \langle w \rangle$ or $\langle x'_i \rangle = \langle x'_1 \rangle$ which is a contradiction. Hence A is of type $r-1$. This implies that $\langle u_i \rangle = \langle w \rangle$, $i \geqq 2$.

Let $u_i = a_i w$ where $a_i \in F$, $i \geqq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(z_1 \vee \cdots \vee z_{r-1} \vee u) &= T\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_i x_i^{r-1} \vee u \Big) \\ &= \lambda_1 x_1'^{r-1} \vee w + \sum_{i=2}^{t} \lambda_i x_i'^{r-1} \vee (a_i w) \\ &= \Big(\lambda_1 x_1'^{r-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{t} \lambda_i a_i x_i'^{r-1} \Big) \vee w \\ &= g_1 \vee \cdots \vee g_r \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for some $g_i \in U$, $i = 1, \cdots, r$. In view of Lemma 2, $\langle g_j \rangle = \langle w \rangle$ for some j, $1 \leqq j \leqq r$. Since

$$g_1 \vee \cdots \vee g_r \in w_1 \vee \cdots \vee w_{r-k} \vee S \vee \cdots \vee S ,$$

we have $\langle w \rangle = \langle w_i \rangle$ for some i or $w \in S$. This contradicts our choice of w. Hence

$$T(D) \nsubseteq w_1 \vee \cdots \vee w_{r-k} \vee S \vee \cdots \vee S .$$

Similarly $T(D) \nsubseteq \bigvee^r S$. Therefore $T(D)$ is a type 1 subspace. In view of Theorem 2 of [3], T is induced by a nonsingular linear transformation on U.

Combining Lemmas 4 and 5 we have the following main result:

THEOREM 2. *Let* $T: \bigvee^r U \rightarrow \bigvee^r U$ *be a decomposable mapping. If* $3 \leqq \dim U < r+1$ *then either* T *is induced by a nonsingular transformation on* U *or* $T(\bigvee^r U)$ *is a type r subspace. In particular, if* T *is nonsingular, then* T *is induced by a nonsingular transformation on* U.

We have so far not been able to determine whether there does in fact exist a decomposable mapping on $\bigvee^r U$ such that its image is a type r subspace when $3 \leqq \dim U < r+1$.

The author is indebted to Professor R. Westwick for his encouragement and suggestions. Thanks are also due to the referee for his suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. H. Boerner, *Representations of Groups*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1963.
2. L. J. Cummings, *Decomposable symmetric tensors*, Pacific J. Math., **35** (1970), 65-77.
3. ———, *Transformations of symmetric tensors*, Pacific J. Math., **42** (1972), 603-613.
4. S. Lang, *Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1965.

Received October 3, 1973 and in revised form September 18, 1974.

UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA, KUALA LUMPUR, MALAYSIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: $72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: $36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 55, No. 2 October, 1974