LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS ON SYMMETRIC SPACES

M. H. LIM

Let $U$ be an $n$-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field $F$ of characteristic zero, and let $V^r U$ denote the $r$th symmetric product space of $U$. Let $T$ be a linear transformation on $V^r U$ which sends nonzero decomposable elements to nonzero decomposable elements. We prove the following:

(i) If $n = r + 1$ then $T$ is induced by a nonsingular transformation on $T$.

(ii) If $2 < n < r + 1$ then either $T$ is induced by a nonsingular transformation on $U$ or $T(V^r U) = V^r W$ for some two dimensional subspace $W$ of $U$.

The result for $n > r + 1$ was recently obtained by L. J. Cummings.

1. Preliminaries. Let $U$ be a finite dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field $F$. Let $V^r U$ denote the $r$th symmetric product space over $U$ where $r \geq 2$. Unless otherwise stated, the characteristic of $F$ is assumed to be zero or greater than $r$.

A decomposable subspace of $V^r U$ is a subspace consisting of decomposable elements. Let $x_i, \ldots, x_{r-1}$ be $r - 1$ nonzero vectors in $U$. Then the set $\{x_1 \lor \cdots \lor x_{r-1} \lor u : u \in U\}$, denoted by $x_1 \lor \cdots \lor x_{r-1} \lor U$, is a decomposable subspace of $V^r U$ and is called a type 1 subspace of $V^r U$. Let $W$ be a two dimensional subspace of $U$. It is shown in [2] that $V^r W$ is decomposable and is called a type $r$ subspace of $V^r U$. If $y_i, \ldots, y_{r-k}$ are vectors in $U - W$ where $1 < k < r$, then the set $\{y_1 \lor \cdots \lor y_{r-k} \lor w_1 \lor \cdots \lor w_k : w_i \in W, i = 1, \ldots, k\}$, denoted by $y_1 \lor \cdots \lor y_{r-k} \lor W \lor \cdots \lor W$, is also decomposable and is called a type $k$ subspace of $V^r U$. In [2] Cummings showed that every maximal decomposable subspace of $V^r U$ is of type $i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$.

A linear transformation on $V^r U$ is called a decomposable mapping if it maps nonzero decomposable elements to nonzero decomposable elements. In [3] Cummings proved that if $\dim U > r + 1$ then every decomposable mapping $T$ on $V^r U$ is induced by a nonsingular linear transformation $f$ on $U$; that is, $T(y_1 \lor \cdots \lor y_r) = f(y_1) \lor \cdots \lor f(y_r)$. In this paper we consider the case when $3 \leq \dim U \leq r + 1$.

2. The case when $\dim U = r + 1$. Two type 1 subspaces $M_1$ and $M_2$ of $V^r U$ are called adjacent if

$$M_1 = x_1 \lor \cdots \lor x_{r-2} \lor y_1 \lor U$$
$$M_2 = x_1 \lor \cdots \lor x_{r-2} \lor y_2 \lor U$$
for some $x_i, \cdots, x_{r-2}, y, y_1, y_2$ where $y_1$ and $y_2$ are linearly independent.

The proof of the following lemma is contained in that of Proposition 4 of [3].

**Lemma 1.** The images of two adjacent type 1 subspaces under a decomposable mapping are distinct.

**Theorem 1.** If $\dim U = r + 1$ then every decomposable mapping $T$ of $V^r U$ is induced by a nonsingular mapping of $U$.

**Proof.** Let $M$ be a type 1 subspace of $V^r U$. Then $T(M)$ is a decomposable subspace of $V^r U$. Moreover $\dim M = \dim T(M) = r + 1$. Let $T(M) \subseteq N$ where $N$ is a maximal decomposable subspace. If $N$ is of type $k$ where $1 < k < r$, then $\dim N = k + 1 < r + 1$ which is a contradiction. Hence $N$ is of type 1 or type $r$. Since $\dim N = r + 1$, it follows that $T(M) = N$.

Suppose that some type 1 subspace $x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee y \vee U$ is mapped onto a type $r$ subspace $V^r W$ where $W$ is a two dimensional subspace of $U$. We shall show that this leads to a contradiction.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{T(M_u): u \in U, u \neq 0\}$ where $M_u = x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee u \vee U$. We shall show that $V^r W$ is the only type $r$ subspace in $\mathcal{G}$. Suppose there is another type $r$ subspace $V^r W^*$ in $\mathcal{G}$. Since $V^r W \cap V^r W^* \neq 0$, $W \cap W^*$ is 1-dimensional. Choose a nonzero vector $z$ in $U$ such that

$$T(x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee y \vee z) = w_1 \vee \cdots \vee w_r,$$

where $\dim \langle w_1, \cdots, w_r \rangle = 2$, $\langle y \rangle \neq \langle z \rangle$, and $W \cap W^* \neq \langle w_i \rangle$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, r$. If

$$T(M_z) = z_1 \vee \cdots \vee z_{r-1} \vee U$$

for some $z_i$ in $U$ then

$$T(M_z) \cap V^r W \neq 0$$

and

$$T(M_z) \cap V^r W^* \neq 0$$

imply that $z_1, \cdots, z_{r-1} \in W \cap W^*$ and hence $\langle z_1 \rangle = \cdots = \langle z_{r-1} \rangle = W \cap W^*$. Since $w_1 \vee \cdots \vee w_r \in z_1 \vee \cdots \vee z_{r-1} \vee U$, it follows that $\langle w_i \rangle = W \cap W^*$ for some $i$, a contradiction. Hence

$$T(M_z) = V^r S$$

for some two dimensional subspace $S$ of $U$. Note that $x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee y \vee z \in M_z \cap M_y$. Thus $w_1, \cdots, w_r \in W \cap S$. This implies that $\langle w_i, \cdots, w_r \rangle = W = S$, a contradiction to Lemma 1 since $M_z$ and $M_y$
are adjacent type 1 subspaces. This proves that \( V^r W \) is the only type \( r \) subspace in \( \mathcal{C} \).

Since \( \{ T(M_\alpha) : \langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle, x \neq 0 \} \) is an infinite family of type 1 subspaces (Lemma 1) it follows from Proposition 4 of [3] that there exist vectors \( u_1, \ldots, u_{r-2} \) such that for any \( x \in U - \{0\} \) and \( \langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle \),

\[
T(M_\alpha) = u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee x' \vee U
\]

for some \( x' \in U \). Since \( T(M_\alpha) \cap V^r W \neq 0 \) we have \( x' \in W \). Let \( g \) be a fixed nonzero vector such that \( \langle g \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle \). Then for any \( x \in U - \{0\} \) such that \( \langle x \rangle \neq \langle g \rangle \), \( \langle x \rangle \neq \langle y \rangle \),

\[
T(x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_{r-2} \vee x \vee g) = u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee x' \vee g_z
\]

for some \( g_z \). Since \( u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee x' \vee g_z \in U_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee g' \vee U \) and \( \langle x' \rangle \neq \langle g' \rangle \) we have \( \langle g_z \rangle = \langle g' \rangle \). Therefore

\[
T(M_\alpha) \subseteq u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee g' \vee W
\]

for some \( g_z \). Since \( u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee x' \vee g_z \in U_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee g' \vee U \) and \( \langle x' \rangle \neq \langle g' \rangle \) we have \( \langle g_z \rangle = \langle g' \rangle \). Therefore

\[
T(M_\alpha) \subseteq u_1 \vee \cdots \vee u_{r-2} \vee g' \vee W
\]

This is impossible since \( \dim T(M_\alpha) = \dim U > 2 \).

Therefore, \( T \) maps type 1 subspaces to type 1 subspaces. By Theorem 2 of [3] \( T \) is induced by a nonsingular linear transformation on \( U \).

3. The case when \( 3 \leq \dim U < r + 1 \). In this section we assume that \( \text{char } F = 0 \).

**Lemma 2.** Let \( x_1, \ldots, x_k \) be \( k \) nonzero vectors of \( U \). Let \( r > k + 1 \) and \( x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_k \vee A = z_1 \vee \cdots \vee z_r \neq 0 \) in \( V^r U \) where \( A \in V^{r-k} U \) and \( z_i \in U \). Then \( \langle x_i \rangle = \langle z_{ij} \rangle \) for some \( j_i \) where \( j_s \neq j_t \) for distinct \( s \) and \( t \).

**Proof.** Let \( u_1, \ldots, u_n \) be a basis of \( U \). Let \( \phi \) be the isomorphism from the symmetric algebra \( V U \) over \( U \) onto the polynomial algebra \( F[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n] \) in \( n \) indeterminates \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \) over \( F \) such that \( \phi(u_i) = \xi_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) [4, p. 428]. Then

\[
\phi(x_1) \cdots \phi(x_k)\phi(A) = \phi(z_1) \cdots \phi(z_r) \neq 0 .
\]

Since \( F[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n] \) is a Gaussian domain and since \( \phi(x_1), \ldots, \phi(x_k), \phi(z_1), \ldots, \phi(z_r) \) are linear homogeneous polynomials, it follows that for each \( i = 1, \ldots, k, \langle \phi(x_i) \rangle = \langle \phi(z_{ij}) \rangle \) for some \( j_i \) where \( j_t \neq j_s \) if \( s \neq t \). This implies that \( \langle x_i \rangle = \langle z_{ij} \rangle \). Hence the lemma is proved.

The following result is proved in [1, p. 131] under the assumption that \( \text{char } F = 0 \).
**Lemma 3.** \( \mathbf{V}^r U \) is spanned by \( \{ u^r = u \lor \cdots \lor u : u \in U \} \).

Hereafter we will assume that \( 3 \leq \dim U < r + 1 \) and \( T \) is a decomposable mapping on \( \mathbf{V}^r U \). Since every type \( k \) subspace has dimension \( < r + 1 \) where \( 1 \leq k < r \) we see that every type \( r \) subspace of \( \mathbf{V}^r U \) is mapped onto a type \( r \) subspace under \( T \).

**Lemma 4.** If there are two distinct type \( r \) subspaces \( M \) and \( N \) of \( \mathbf{V}^r U \) such that \( M \cap N \neq 0 \) and \( T(M) = T(N) = \mathbf{V}^r S \) where \( S, S_1, S_2 \) are two dimensional subspaces of \( U \). By hypothesis,
\[
M \cap N = \mathbf{V}^r S_1 \cap \mathbf{V}^r S_2 = \mathbf{V}^r (S_1 \cap S_2) \neq 0 .
\]
Hence \( S_1 \cap S_2 \) is one dimensional. Let \( S_1 = \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle, S_2 = \langle y_1, y_3 \rangle \). Consider \( S_3 = \langle y_2, y_3 \rangle \). Then
\[
\mathbf{V}^r S_3 \cap \mathbf{V}^r S_2 = \langle y_2 \rangle , \quad \mathbf{V}^r S_3 \cap \mathbf{V}^r S_1 = \langle y_3 \rangle .
\]
Hence \( T(\mathbf{V}^r S_3) \cap \mathbf{V}^r S \supseteq \langle T(y_2) \rangle \). Since \( T \) is a decomposable mapping and \( \langle y_2 \rangle \) is a two dimensional decomposable subspace, it follows that \( \langle T(y_2) \rangle \) is two dimensional. Hence \( T(\mathbf{V}^r S_3) = \mathbf{V}^r S \) because any two distinct type \( r \) subspaces of \( \mathbf{V}^r U \) have at most one dimension in common.

Let \( z = \alpha y_1 + \beta y_2 + \gamma y_3 \) where \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) are all nonzero scalars. Consider \( S_4 = \langle y_1, z \rangle = \langle y_1, \beta y_2 + \gamma y_3 \rangle \). Since
\[
\mathbf{V}^r S_4 \cap \mathbf{V}^r S_2 \supseteq \langle (\beta y_2 + \gamma y_3)^r \rangle ,
\]
\[
\mathbf{V}^r S_4 \cap \mathbf{V}^r S_4 \supseteq \langle y_1 \rangle ,
\]
we have \( T(\mathbf{V}^r S_4) \cap \mathbf{V}^r S \supseteq \langle T(y_1^r) \rangle \) which is two dimensional. Hence \( T(\mathbf{V}^r S_4) = \mathbf{V}^r S \). Consequently by Lemma 3, \( T(\mathbf{V}^r S_4) = \mathbf{V}^r S \).

Now, let \( w \in U \) such that \( w \notin \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle \). Let \( W = \langle y_1, w \rangle \). Consider the type 1 subspace \( P = y_1 \lor \cdots \lor y_1 \lor U \). Since
\[
\dim \left( P \cap \mathbf{V}^r \langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle \right) = 3 ,
\]
we have \( \dim (T(P) \cap \mathbf{V}^r S) \geq 3 \). Since the maximal dimension of the intersection of two distinct maximal decomposable subspaces is 2, we conclude that \( T(P) \subseteq \mathbf{V}^r S \). This shows that
\[
T(\mathbf{V}^r W) \cap \mathbf{V}^r S \supseteq \langle T(y_1^r), T(y_1 \lor \cdots \lor y_1 \lor w) \rangle .
\]
Since \( \langle y_1^r, y_1^{-r} \lor w \rangle \) is a two dimensional decomposable subspace, \( \langle T(y_1^r), T(y_1^{-r} \lor w) \rangle \) is also two dimensional. Hence \( T(\mathbf{V}^r W) = \mathbf{V}^r S \). By Lemma 3, we conclude that \( T(\mathbf{V}^r U) = \mathbf{V}^r S \). This completes the proof.
LEMMA 5. Suppose that for any two distinct type r subspaces $M, N$ such that $M \cap N \neq 0$, we have $T(M) \neq T(N)$. Then $T$ is induced by a nonsingular transformation on $U$.

Proof. Let $y, y_1, y_2$ be linearly independent vectors. Let $S_1 = \langle y, y_1 \rangle, S_2 = \langle y, y_2 \rangle$. Then $T(V^r S_1) = V^r S'_1$ and $T(V^r S_2) = V^r S'_2$ for some two dimensional subspaces $S'_1, S'_2$ of $U$. By hypothesis $V^r S'_1 \neq V^r S'_2$. Hence

$$V^r S'_1 \cap V^r S'_2 = T(V^r S_1 \cap V^r S_2) = \langle y' \rangle$$

for some $y' \in U$. Therefore $T(y') = \lambda y'$ for some $\lambda$ in $F$.

Let $H = y \lor \cdots \lor y \lor U$. We claim that $T(H) = y' \lor \cdots \lor y' \lor U$. Since $T(H)$ is a decomposable subspace, it is contained in a maximal decomposable subspace. If $T(H)$ is contained in a type $k$ subspace $g_1 \lor \cdots \lor g_{r-k} \lor W \lor \cdots \lor W$ where $2 \leq k < r$, then $y' \in g_i \lor \cdots \lor g_{r-k} \lor W \lor \cdots \lor W$ and hence $\langle g_1 \rangle = \langle y' \rangle$, $y' \in W$. This implies $g_1 \in W$, a contradiction. If $T(H)$ is contained in a type $r$ subspace $V^r W$, then

$$\dim (V^r S_1 \cap H) = 2 \implies \dim (T(V^r S_1) \cap V^r W) \geq 2,$$

$$\dim (V^r S_2 \cap H) = 2 \implies \dim (T(V^r S_2) \cap V^r W) \geq 2.$$

Since $T(V^r S_1)$ and $T(V^r S_2)$ are both type $r$ subspaces, it follows that $T(V^r S_1) = V^r W = T(V^r S_2)$, a contradiction to our hypothesis. Hence $T(H)$ is a type 1 subspace of $V^r U$. Since $y' \in T(H)$, it follows that

$$T(H) = y' \lor \cdots \lor y' \lor U.$$

By Lemma 3, let $x_i^{-1}, \cdots, x_i^{-1}$ be a basis of $V^{r-1} U$. Note that $3 \leq \dim U < r + 1$ implies that $r \geq 3$. Clearly if $i \neq j$ then $x_i$ and $x_j$ are linearly independent. Consider any type one subspace $D = z_1 \lor \cdots \lor z_{r-1} \lor U$. Let $z_1 \lor \cdots \lor z_{r-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_i x_i^{-1}$ where $\lambda_i \in F$ and $i = 1, \cdots, t$. We shall show that $T(D)$ is a type 1 subspace. Suppose to the contrary that

(i) $T(D) \subseteq V^r S$

or

(ii) $T(D) \subseteq w_1 \lor \cdots \lor w_{r-k} \lor S \lor \cdots \lor S, 2 \leq k < r$,

for some two dimensional subspace $S$ of $U$ and some $w_1, \cdots, w_{r-k} \in U - S$.

Let $T(x_i \lor \cdots \lor x_i \lor U) = x'_i \lor \cdots \lor x'_i \lor U, i = 1, \cdots, t$. Note that $T(x'_i) = \gamma_i x'_i$ for some $\gamma_i \in F$, $i = 1, \cdots, t$. For $i \neq j$, $\langle x'_i, x'_j \rangle$ is a two dimensional subspace of $V^r U$ implies that $T(\langle x'_i, x'_j \rangle) = \langle x'_i, x'_j \rangle$ is a two dimensional subspace of $V^r U$. Hence $x'_i$ and $x'_j$ are linearly independent if $i \neq j$.

Consider case (ii). Choose a vector $w$ of $U$ such that
Let \( u \in U \) such that \( T(x_i^{r-1} \cup u) = x_i^{r-1} \cup w \). For each \( i \geq 2 \), let \( T(x_i^{r-1} \cup u) = x_i^{r-1} \cup u_i \). We shall show that \( \langle u_i \rangle = \langle w \rangle \) for \( i \geq 2 \).

Since \( \langle x_i^{r-1} \cup u, x_i^{r-1} \cup u \rangle \) is a decomposable subspace for \( i \geq 2 \), \( \langle x_i^{r-1} \cup w, x_i^{r-1} \cup u_i \rangle \) is also a decomposable subspace. By our choice of \( w \), \( \langle x_i', w, x_i' \rangle \) is three dimensional. Hence \( \langle x_i^{r-1} \cup w, x_i^{r-1} \cup u_i \rangle \) is contained in a type \( k \) subspace \( A \) for some \( 1 \leq k < r \). If \( A \) is of type \( k \) where \( 1 \leq k \leq r - 2 \), then we have \( \langle x_i' \rangle = \langle w \rangle \) or \( \langle x_i' \rangle = \langle x_i' \rangle \) which is a contradiction. Hence \( A \) is of type \( r - 1 \). This implies that \( \langle u_i \rangle = \langle w \rangle \), \( i \geq 2 \).

Let \( u_i = a_i w \) where \( a_i \in F \), \( i \geq 2 \). Then

\[
T(x_i \cup \cdots \cup x_{r-1} \cup u) = T\left( \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i x_i^{r-1} \cup u \right)
= \lambda_i x_i^{r-1} \cup w + \sum_{i=2}^{r} \lambda_i a_i x_i^{r-1} \cup (a_i w)
= \left( \lambda_i x_i^{r-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{r} \lambda_i a_i x_i^{r-1} \right) \cup w
= g_1 \lor \cdots \lor g_r \neq 0
\]
for some \( g_i \in U \), \( i = 1, \ldots, r \). In view of Lemma 2, \( \langle g_j \rangle = \langle w \rangle \) for some \( j \), \( 1 \leq j \leq r \). Since

\[
g_1 \lor \cdots \lor g_r \in w_1 \lor \cdots \lor w_{r-k} \lor S \lor \cdots \lor S,
\]
we have \( \langle w \rangle = \langle w_i \rangle \) for some \( i \) or \( w \in S \). This contradicts our choice of \( w \). Hence

\[
T(D) \not\subseteq w_1 \lor \cdots \lor w_{r-k} \lor S \lor \cdots \lor S.
\]
Similarly \( T(D) \not\subseteq V^* S \). Therefore \( T(D) \) is a type 1 subspace. In view of Theorem 2 of [3], \( T \) is induced by a nonsingular linear transformation on \( U \).

Combining Lemmas 4 and 5 we have the following main result:

**Theorem 2.** Let \( T : V^r U \to V^r U \) be a decomposable mapping. If \( 3 \leq \dim U < r + 1 \) then either \( T \) is induced by a nonsingular transformation on \( U \) or \( T(V^r U) \) is a type \( r \) subspace. In particular, if \( T \) is nonsingular, then \( T \) is induced by a nonsingular transformation on \( U \).

We have so far not been able to determine whether there does in fact exist a decomposable mapping on \( V^r U \) such that its image is a type \( r \) subspace when \( 3 \leq \dim U < r + 1 \).
The author is indebted to Professor R. Westwick for his encouragement and suggestions. Thanks are also due to the referee for his suggestions.
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