

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**GENERALIZED HALL PLANES OF EVEN ORDER**

ALAN RAHILLY

## GENERALIZED HALL PLANES OF EVEN ORDER

ALAN RAHILLY

The theory of generalized Hall planes of odd or zero characteristic has been developed by P. B. Kirkpatrick who has obtained a characterization of the odd order Hall planes, within the class of odd order generalized Hall planes, in terms of their homologies with affine axis. N. L. Johnson has pointed out that odd order generalized Hall planes are derivable and has characterized them in terms of their derived planes. In this paper the results of Kirkpatrick are established in the case of generalised Hall planes of characteristic two and the results of Johnson in the case of generalized Hall planes of even order. Also, a characterization of the even order Hall planes, within the class of even order generalized Hall planes, in terms of their elations with affine axis, is obtained.

1. Introduction. Let  $\pi$  be a projective plane,  $l_\infty$  a line of  $\pi$  and  $\pi_0$  a Baer subplane of  $\pi$  such that  $l_\infty$  is a line of  $\pi_0$ . We call  $\pi$  a *generalized Hall plane* with respect to  $l_\infty$ ,  $\pi_0$  if

- (1)  $\pi$  is a translation plane with respect to  $l_\infty$ , and
- (2)  $\pi$  has a group of collineations which is transitive on the points of  $l_\infty$  not in  $\pi_0$ , and fixes every point in  $\pi_0$ .

We shall denote the point set  $\{P \mid P \in l_\infty \text{ and } P \in \pi_0\}$  by  $M$ .

Kirkpatrick [7] has shown that any generalized Hall plane of odd order may be coordinatized by a certain type of Veblen-Wedderburn system ( $V - W$  system from now on) which is a right vector space of dimension two over a subfield. A consequence of this result is that an odd order generalized Hall plane is derivable (in the sense of Ostrom [9]) and Johnson [6] has shown that odd order generalized Hall planes derive translation planes which are in semi-translation plane class 1-3a of his classification of semi-translation planes (see [4]). In §2 we shall extend these results to include the case of even order generalized Hall planes. We shall also show that the derived planes of finite generalized Hall planes are semifield planes. Our proofs shall apply to all finite generalized Hall planes and so we shall not restrict the statement of our results to the even order case.

Section 3 is devoted to proving a result (Lemma 2) on changing of coordinate quadrangles in generalized Hall planes which will be used in §4.

Kirkpatrick [8] has given a characterization of Hall planes of odd order which may be stated as follows: A generalized Hall plane of odd order is a Hall plane if and only if each point of  $M$  is the

centre of a nontrivial involutory homology with axis in  $\pi_0$ . In §4 we shall establish the following analogous characterization of even order Hall planes: A generalized Hall plane of even order is a Hall plane if and only if each point of  $M$  is the centre of a nontrivial elation, with axis  $\neq l_\infty$ , fixing  $M$ . We note at this point that all elations in an even order translation plane are involutory.

**2. Properties of generalized Hall planes.** The following theorem was proved by Kirkpatrick ([7], Theorem 1) in the case of planes of odd or zero characteristic.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\pi$  is a generalized Hall plane with respect to  $l_\infty$ ,  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi$  is coordinatized over a quadrangle  $0, I, X, Y$  in  $\pi_0$ , such that  $XY = l_\infty$ , by a  $V - W$  system  $F$  possessing a subsystem  $F_0$  which coordinatizes  $\pi_0$ , then  $F_0$  is a skew field and  $F$  is a right vector space over  $F_0$ .*

*Proof.* It is not difficult to prove that  $(z\rho)\sigma = z(\rho\sigma) + \beta$  for all  $z \in F \setminus F_0$  and  $\rho, \sigma \in F_0$ , where  $\beta$  depends only on  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  (Kirkpatrick [7]).

Now choose  $z, w \in F \setminus F_0$  such that  $z + w \in F \setminus F_0$ . This can be done unless  $|F_0| = 2$ . It follows that  $((z + w)\rho)\sigma = z(\rho\sigma) + w(\rho\sigma) + \beta = z(\rho\sigma) + \beta + w(\rho\sigma) + \beta$ , so  $\beta = 0$  and  $(z\rho)\sigma = z(\rho\sigma)$  for all  $z \in F \setminus F_0$ ,  $\rho, \sigma \in F_0$ .

A similar argument establishes  $z(\rho + \sigma) = z\rho + z\sigma$  for all  $z \in F \setminus F_0$ ,  $\rho, \sigma \in F_0$ .

It is easy (see Kirkpatrick [7]) to prove that  $F_0$  is a skew field and that  $F$  is a right vector space of dimension two over  $F_0$ .

**COROLLARY.**  $\pi_0$  is *desarguesian*.

The multiplication operation in  $F$  may be described as follows if  $F$  is finite:

$F$  is a right vector space of dimension two over a field  $F_0$  embedded in it in the usual way, with multiplication operation

(3)  $x \cdot \alpha = x\alpha$  (multiplication by a scalar) for all  $x \in F$ ,  $\alpha \in F_0$ ,

(4)  $(z\alpha + \beta) \cdot z = zA(\alpha, \beta) + B(\alpha, \beta)$  for all  $z \in F \setminus F_0$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in F_0$  where  $A$  and  $B$  are mappings of  $F_0 \times F_0$  onto  $F_0$  which have the properties:

(5)  $A$  and  $B$  are additive homomorphisms with  $A(0, 1) = 1$  and  $B(0, 1) = 0$ .

(6) for any given  $\gamma$  and  $\delta \in F_0$ , the equation  $(A(\alpha, \beta), B(\alpha, \beta)) = (\gamma, \delta)$  has exactly one solution  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and

(7) the equation  $(A(\alpha, \beta), B(\alpha, \beta)) = (\alpha\gamma, \beta\gamma + \delta)$  has exactly

one solution  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , given  $\gamma, \delta \in F_0$ ; also, for this solution,  $\alpha = 0$  if and only if  $\delta = 0$ .

For this see Kirkpatrick [7]. Conversely, it is easy to see that such a  $V - W$  system coordinatizes a generalized Hall plane. We shall call such a  $V - W$  system a *generalized Hall system*. From now on we shall consider only finite generalized Hall planes.

Because of (5) we can write  $A(\alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha) + h(\beta)$  and  $B(\alpha, \beta) = g(\alpha) + k(\beta)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in F_0$ , where  $f, g, h$ , and  $k$  are additive endomorphisms of  $F_0$  which we shall call the *defining functions* of  $F$ . Now  $\beta z = z h(\beta) + k(\beta)$  for all  $z \in F \setminus F_0$  and  $\beta \in F_0$ . So, if  $h(\beta) = h(\beta')$  then  $(\beta - \beta')z = k(\beta - \beta')$  which implies  $\beta = \beta'$ . Thus  $h$  is an additive automorphism of  $F_0$ .

**THEOREM 2.** (i) *Finite generalized Hall planes are derivable and the derived planes are semifield planes in semi-translation class 1-3a of Johnson's classification.*

(ii) *Finite semifield planes in class 1-3a are derivable and the derived planes are generalized Hall planes.*

*Proof.* (i) Johnson [6] (Theorem 3.1) shows that generalized Hall planes of odd order are derivable and derive translation planes in class 1-3a. His proof rests on a theorem in Kirkpatrick [7] (Theorem 1) for odd order planes. In virtue of Theorem 1 of this paper we can say that Johnson's argument applies to the even order case as well.

It is possible to apply Theorem 11 of [9] to find a coordinate system  $F'$  in the derived plane of a generalized Hall system  $F$  defined by functions  $f, g, h$ , and  $k$ .  $F'$  has multiplication  $\circ$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} (t\xi_1 + \eta_1) \circ (t\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) &= th^{-1}(\eta_1 h(\lambda_1) - f(h(\lambda_1)\xi_1) + h(\kappa)) \\ &+ \eta_1(\lambda_2 - k(\lambda_1)) + g(h(\lambda_1)\xi_1) \\ &+ kh^{-1}(\eta_1 h(\lambda_1) - f(h(\lambda_1)\xi_1)) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\kappa = (\lambda_2 - k(\lambda_1))\xi_1$ . Because  $f, g, h$ , and  $k$  are additive endomorphisms of  $F_0$  the multiplication  $\circ$  is fully distributive and so  $F'$  is a semifield since it is necessarily a  $V - W$  system, the derived system of a  $V - W$  system under Theorem 11 of [9] being always a  $V - W$  system.

(ii) This part is proved in Johnson [6] (Theorem 2.1).

Kirkpatrick [7] gives a class of generalized Hall planes of odd order which contains the class of Hall planes of odd order and some other planes. The following two classes (which appear in Johnson [5] in another form) contain all the finite Hall planes as well as some others of both odd and even orders. The planes are those coordi-

natized by the generalized Hall systems:

(i)  $(z\alpha + \beta)z = z(\beta^{\theta^{-1}} + \mu^{\theta^{-1}}\alpha) + \nu\alpha^\theta$  where  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(F_0)$  and  $x^\theta x - \mu x - \nu$  is irreducible over  $F_0$ , and

(ii)  $(z\alpha + \beta)z = z(\beta + \mu\alpha)^{\theta^{-1}} + \nu\alpha^{\theta^{-1}}$  where  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(F_0)$  and  $x^\theta x - \mu x - \nu$  is irreducible over  $F_0$ .

NOTE (added November 9, 1973). It has recently come to the author's attention that Theorems 1 and 2 have been proved by Vikram Jha in his thesis "On Automorphism Groups of Quasifields", University of London, 1973.

**3. Change of coordinates.** Suppose  $(F, +, \circ)$  is a finite  $V - W$  system coordinatizing a translation plane  $\pi$ . Let us write  $y \circ x = (y)\sigma_x$  for all  $x \in F^* = F \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $y \in F$ . Then  $\sigma_x$  is an automorphism of  $(F, +)$  and we observe that  $\Gamma = \{\sigma_x | x \in F^*\}$  is sharply transitive on  $F^*$  and that  $\sigma_x - \sigma_z$  is nonsingular if  $x \neq z$ , since  $(F^*, \circ)$  is a loop.

LEMMA 1. *If the finite  $V - W$  system  $F_1 = (F, +, \circ)$  coordinatizing the plane  $\pi$  over the quadrangle  $Q | O, I, X, Y$  has multiplication given by  $y \circ x = (y)\sigma_x$  for all  $x \in F^*$  and  $y \circ 0 = 0$  for all  $y \in F$ , then  $\pi$  may be coordinatized over the quadrangle  $Q' | O, I, X, Y' = (r)$  ( $r \neq 0, 1$ ) by a  $V - W$  system  $F_2 = (F, +, *)$  such that*

$$y * x = \begin{cases} (y \circ r - y)(\sigma_r - \sigma_{v(x)})^{-1} \circ v(x) & \text{for all } x \in F^* \setminus \{1 - r\} \\ y - y \circ r & \text{if } x = 1 - r \end{cases}$$

for all  $y \in F$ , where  $(x + r - 1)\sigma_r^{-1} \circ v(x) = x$  for all  $x \in F^* \setminus \{1 - r\}$ .

*Proof.* Leave the coordinates of points on the line  $OI$  unchanged. It is not difficult to show that addition is unchanged. Note that we shall use primes to distinguish point coordinates over  $Q'$  from point coordinates over  $Q$ .

Consider the line  $OY$ . The point  $T_1 = (0, 1 - r)$  lies on  $OY$ . The line  $Y'T_1$  has equation  $y = x \circ r + 1 - r$  and since  $Y'T_1 \cap OI = (1, 1) = (1, 1)'$  we have  $T_1 = (1, 1 - r)'$ , whence  $OY$  has equation  $y = x * (1 - r)$ . (The multiplication makes clear which coordinatization we are referring to.) The point  $T_2 = (0, \bar{y})$  lies on  $OY$  for arbitrary  $\bar{y} \in F$ .  $Y'T_2$  has equation  $y = x \circ r + \bar{y}$ .  $Y'T_2 \cap OI = ((\bar{y})(\sigma_1 - \sigma_r))^{-1}, (\bar{y})(\sigma_1 - \sigma_r)^{-1}$ . Hence,  $T_2 = ((\bar{y})(\sigma_1 - \sigma_r))^{-1}, (\bar{y})'$ . So  $(\bar{y}, \bar{y} - \bar{y} \circ r)$  lies on  $OY$  for all  $\bar{y} \in F$  and thus  $\bar{y} * (1 - r) = \bar{y} - \bar{y} \circ r$  for all  $\bar{y} \in F$ .

Next, consider the line  $l$  whose equation is  $y = x * t$  where  $t \in F^* \setminus \{1 - r\}$ . The line  $l$  has equation  $y = x \circ m(t)$  for some  $m(t) \in F$ . Now  $R_1 = (1, t)'$  lies on  $l$ , and  $Y'R_1$  has equation  $y = x \circ r + 1 - r$ , since  $I$  and  $Y' = (r)$  lie on it. The point  $R_1$  is clearly  $((t + r - 1)\sigma_r^{-1}, t)$ ,

whence  $m(t) = v(t)$ . Now  $R_2 = (\bar{y}, \bar{y} * t)'$  lies on  $l$  and  $Y'R_2$  is the line  $y = x \circ r + \bar{y} - \bar{y} \circ r$ , since  $(r)$  and  $(\bar{y}, \bar{y})$  lie on it. Thus  $Y'R_2 \cap l$  has abscissa  $\bar{x}$  such that  $\bar{x} \circ v(t) = \bar{x} \circ r + \bar{y} - \bar{y} \circ r$ , that is  $\bar{x} = (\bar{y} \circ r - \bar{y})(\sigma_r - \sigma_{v(t)})^{-1}$ , whence  $R_2 = ((\bar{y} \circ r - \bar{y})(\sigma_r - \sigma_{v(t)})^{-1}, (\bar{y} \circ r - \bar{y})(\sigma_r - \sigma_{v(t)})^{-1} \circ v(t)) = (\bar{y}, \bar{y} * t)'$  and so  $\bar{y} * t = (\bar{y} \circ r - \bar{y})(\sigma_r - \sigma_{v(t)})^{-1} \circ v(t)$  for all  $\bar{y} \in F$ .

Lemma 1 appears in [10] along with a number of analogous results for some other shifts of coordinates in a finite translation plane.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $\pi$  be a generalized Hall plane with respect to  $l_\infty$ ,  $\pi_0$ . Suppose  $F_1 = (F, +, \circ)$  is a generalized Hall system (with subfield  $F_0$  coordinatizing  $\pi_0$ ) coordinatizing  $\pi$  over  $Q \mid O, I, X, Y$  in  $\pi_0$ ,  $y \circ x = (y)\sigma_x$  for all  $x \in F^*$  and  $y \in F$  and  $f, g, h$ , and  $k$  are the defining functions of  $F_1$ .  $\pi$  may be coordinatized over  $Q' \mid O, I, X, Y' = (\lambda)$  ( $\lambda \in F_0 \setminus \{0, 1\}$ ) by a generalized Hall system  $F_2$  with defining function  $h_\lambda$  such that*

$$h_\lambda(\eta) = M_\lambda^{-1}((1 - \lambda)\eta)(M_\lambda^{-1}(1 - \lambda))^{-1} \text{ for all } \eta \in F_0,$$

and where  $M_\lambda(\eta) = g(\eta) + kh^{-1}(\lambda\eta) - kh^{-1}f(\eta) - \lambda h^{-1}(\lambda\eta) + \lambda h^{-1}f(\eta)$  for all  $\eta \in F_0$ .

*Proof.* From Lemma 1,  $\pi$  may be coordinatized over  $Q'$  by  $F_2 = (F, +, *)$ , where

$$y * x = \begin{cases} (y(\lambda - 1))(\sigma_\lambda - \sigma_{v(x)})^{-1} \circ v(x) & \text{for all } x \in F^* \setminus \{1 - \lambda\} \\ y(1 - \lambda) & \text{for } x = 1 - \lambda \end{cases}$$

and

$$((x + \lambda - 1)\lambda^{-1}) \circ v(x) = x \text{ for all } x \in F^* \setminus \{1 - \lambda\}.$$

Firstly, we consider the action of  $v(x)$  for each  $x$ . This is easy to discover if  $x \in F_0^* \setminus \{1 - \lambda\}$  because then  $v(x) \in F_0$ . (In fact, it follows that  $y * x = y \circ x$  in this case.) Suppose  $x \in F \setminus F_0$ ; then  $v(x) \in F \setminus F_0$ , for if  $v(x) \in F_0$  then  $((x + \lambda - 1)\lambda^{-1}) \circ v(x) = x$  implies  $\lambda = 1$ , a contradiction. Now suppose  $v(x) = x\alpha_x + \beta_x$  where  $\alpha_x, \beta_x \in F_0$ . The equation  $((x + \lambda - 1)\lambda^{-1}) \circ v(x) = x$  implies

$$((x\alpha_x + \beta_x)\alpha_x^{-1}\lambda^{-1} + (\lambda - 1)\lambda^{-1} - \beta_x\alpha_x^{-1}\lambda^{-1}) \circ (x\alpha_x + \beta_x) = x$$

and so

$$(8) \quad f(\rho) + h(\tau) = \rho\lambda,$$

and

$$(9) \quad g(\rho) + k(\tau) = \tau\lambda + (1 - \lambda),$$

where  $\rho = \alpha_x^{-1}\lambda^{-1}$  and  $\tau = (\lambda - 1)\lambda^{-1} - \beta_x\alpha_x^{-1}\lambda^{-1}$ .

The solution of (8) and (9) for  $\rho$  is  $\rho = M_\lambda^{-1}(1 - \lambda)$  where  $M_\lambda(\eta) = g(\eta) + kh^{-1}(\lambda\eta) - kh^{-1}f(\eta) - \lambda h^{-1}(\lambda\eta) + \lambda h^{-1}f(\eta)$ . Note that  $M_\lambda^{-1}$  exists since (8) and (9) are uniquely solvable for  $\rho, \tau$  by (7) and also that  $\alpha_x$  and  $\beta_x$  are independent of  $x$  so we may drop the subscripts.

Next we consider the action of  $(\sigma_\lambda - \sigma_{v(x)})^{-1}: (x\gamma + \delta)(\sigma_\lambda - \sigma_{v(x)}) = x\gamma\lambda + \delta\lambda - (x\gamma + \delta) \circ (x\alpha + \beta)$ . It follows that

$$(x\xi + \eta)(\sigma_\lambda - \sigma_{v(x)})^{-1} = x\gamma + \delta,$$

where

$$f(\alpha^{-1}\gamma) + h(\delta - \beta\alpha^{-1}\gamma) = \alpha^{-1}\gamma\lambda - \xi\alpha^{-1}$$

and

$$g(\alpha^{-1}\gamma) + k(\delta - \beta\alpha^{-1}\gamma) = (\delta - \beta\alpha^{-1}\gamma)\lambda + \beta\alpha^{-1}\xi - \eta.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} y * x &= (y(\lambda - 1))(\sigma_\lambda - \sigma_{v(x)})^{-1} \circ v(x) \\ &= (x\xi(\lambda - 1) + \eta(\lambda - 1))(\sigma_\lambda - \sigma_{v(x)})^{-1} \circ v(x) \end{aligned}$$

putting  $y = x\xi + \eta$ . Hence,

$$y * x = (x\gamma + \delta) \circ (x\alpha + \beta),$$

where  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  satisfy

$$(10) \quad f(\alpha^{-1}\gamma) + h(\delta - \beta\alpha^{-1}\gamma) = \alpha^{-1}\gamma\lambda - \xi\alpha^{-1}(\lambda - 1)$$

and

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} g(\alpha^{-1}\gamma) + k(\delta - \beta\alpha^{-1}\gamma) \\ = (\delta - \beta\alpha^{-1}\gamma)\lambda + \beta\alpha^{-1}\xi(\lambda - 1) - \eta(\lambda - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $y * x = x(\gamma\lambda - \xi(\lambda - 1)) + \delta\lambda - \eta(\lambda - 1)$ , where  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  satisfy (10) and (11). Putting  $\xi = 0$  in (10) and (11), we see that  $h_\lambda(\eta) = \gamma\lambda = \alpha\lambda M_\lambda^{-1}(\eta(1 - \lambda)) = M_\lambda^{-1}(\eta(1 - \lambda))(M_\lambda^{-1}(1 - \lambda))^{-1}$ .

**4. Characterization of Hall planes of even order.** The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** *A generalized Hall plane  $\pi$  with respect to  $l_\infty, \pi_0$  of even order is a Hall plane if and only if each point of  $M$  is the centre of a nontrivial elation (with axis  $l \neq l_\infty$ ) fixing  $M$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\pi$  is an even order Hall plane, Hughes [3] has shown that each point of  $M$  is the centre of a nontrivial elation (with axis  $l \neq l_\infty$ ) fixing  $M$ .

Suppose  $\pi$  is a generalized Hall plane of even order such that each point of  $M$  is the centre of a nontrivial elation (with axis  $l \neq l_\infty$ ) fixing  $M$ . Let us coordinatize  $\pi$  over the quadrangle  $O, I, X, Y$  in  $\pi_0$  (where  $XY = l_\infty$ ) by a generalized Hall system  $F$  with subfield  $F_0$  coordinatizing  $\pi_0$  and defining functions  $f, g, h$ , and  $k$ . There is a nontrivial  $(Y, OY)$ -elation  $\varphi$  which fixes  $M$  because there is a nontrivial elation with centre  $Y$  and axis  $l \neq l_\infty$ , fixing  $M$ , and  $\pi$  is a translation plane with respect to  $l_\infty$ .

Suppose  $\varphi$  takes  $X$  to  $(d)$  where  $d \in F_0$ . Now  $d$  belongs to the distributor of  $F$ . Thus,

$$(12) \quad x(d + y) = xd + xy \text{ for all } x, y \in F.$$

Putting  $x = z\alpha + \beta$  and  $y = z$ , where  $z \in F \setminus F_0$ , in (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (z\alpha + \beta)(d + z) &= (z\alpha + \beta)d + (z\alpha + \beta)z \\ \implies ((z + d)\alpha + \beta + d\alpha)(z + d) &= (z\alpha + \beta)d + (z\alpha + \beta)z \\ \implies (z + d)(f(\alpha) + h(\beta + d\alpha)) + g(\alpha) + k(\beta + d\alpha) \\ &= z\alpha d + \beta d + z(f(\alpha) + h(\beta)) + g(\alpha) + k(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

It follows readily that  $h(\xi) = \xi$  for all  $\xi \in F_0$ . We note here that this is true whatever our original choice of quadrangle  $O, I, X, Y$  in  $\pi_0$  might be (provided  $XY = l_\infty$ , of course).

Consider a nontrivial elation  $\psi$  fixing  $M$  with centre  $P \in M$  and axis  $l (\neq l_\infty) \in \pi_0$ . Suppose  $Q \in M \setminus \{P\}$  and  $Q^\psi = R$ . If we choose coordinate quadrangle such that  $Q = Y, R = X, P = (1)$ , and  $O \in l \cap \pi_0$ , then  $(x, y)^\psi = (y, x)$ . Suppose  $(z)^\psi = (w)$  where  $z \in F \setminus F_0$ . Then  $w \in F \setminus F_0$  and  $(w)^\psi = (z)$ . So  $(x, xz)^\psi = (x', x'w)$ , since  $O^\psi = O$ . Hence  $x' = xz$  and we have

$$(13) \quad (xz)w = x \text{ for all } x \in F.$$

Now there is an automorphism  $\rho$  of  $F$  fixing  $F_0$  pointwise and taking  $z$  to  $w$  and  $w$  to (say)  $v$ . Thus,  $(xw)v = x$  for all  $x \in F$ . But  $(w)^\psi = (z)$ , so  $(xw)z = x$  for all  $x \in F$  and so we have  $v = z$ .

Suppose  $w = z\lambda_z + \mu_z$  where  $\lambda_z$  and  $\mu_z \in F_0$ . The automorphism  $\rho$  taking  $z$  to  $w$  takes  $w$  to  $z$ . So  $(z\lambda_z + \mu_z)\lambda_z + \mu_z = z$ , whence  $\lambda_z = 1$  for all  $z \in F \setminus F_0$ . Thus  $w = z + \mu_z$ . Substituting for  $w$  in (13) gives  $(xz)(z + \mu_z) = x$  for all  $x \in F$ . So, a fortiori,  $(xz)(z + \mu_z) = x$  for all  $x \in F_0$  and it follows that  $(z + \mu_z)(f(x) + \mu_z x + k(x)) + g(x) + k(\mu_z x + k(x)) = x$  for all  $x \in F_0$ . Hence,  $f(x) + \mu_z x + k(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in F_0$  and we see that  $\mu_z$  is independent of  $z$ . Writing  $\mu_z = \mu$  we have

$$(14) \quad f(x) + \mu x + k(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in F_0,$$



and

$$(15) \quad g(x) + kf(x) + x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in F_0.$$

From Lemma 2 we see that  $h_\lambda(x) = M_\lambda^{-1}((1 - \lambda)x)(M_\lambda^{-1}(1 - \lambda))^{-1}$ , where  $M_\lambda(x) = g(x) + k(\lambda x) + kf(x) + \lambda^2x + \lambda f(x)$  for all  $x \in F_0$  and  $\lambda \in \bar{F}_0 = F_0 \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . But  $h_\lambda(x) = x$  for all  $\lambda \in \bar{F}_0$  as we have seen. This means that  $M_\lambda(x) = \bar{\lambda}x$  where  $\bar{\lambda} = (1 - \lambda)(M_\lambda^{-1}(1 - \lambda))^{-1}$  for all  $x \in F_0$ ,  $\lambda \in \bar{F}_0$ . So we have

$$(16) \quad g(x) + k(\lambda x) + kf(x) + \lambda^2x + \lambda f(x) = \bar{\lambda}x \text{ for all } x \in F_0, \lambda \in \bar{F}_0.$$

Now (14), (15), and (16) imply  $k(\lambda x) + \lambda k(x) = \lambda'x$  where  $\lambda' = \bar{\lambda} + 1 + \lambda^2 + \lambda\mu$ . We can state this as

$$(17) \quad km_\lambda + m_\lambda k = m_{\lambda'} \text{ for all } \lambda \in \bar{F}_0.$$

(In (17)  $m_\alpha$  stands for the endomorphism of  $(F_0, +)$  given by  $m_\alpha(x) = \alpha x$ .)

But  $k$  may be uniquely written

$$(18) \quad k = m_{\alpha_0} + m_{\alpha_1}T + \cdots + m_{\alpha_{n-1}}T^{n-1},$$

where the  $\alpha_i$ 's lie in  $F_0 = GF(2^n)$  and  $T$  is the automorphism of  $F_0$  given by  $T(x) = x^2$ .

Substituting (18) in (17) gives

$$m_{\lambda'} + m_{\beta(1, \lambda)}T + \cdots + m_{\beta(n-1, \lambda)}T^{n-1} = 0$$

where  $\beta(i, \lambda) = \alpha_i(T^i(\lambda) + \lambda)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\lambda \in \bar{F}_0$ . So  $\lambda' = \beta(i, \lambda) = 0$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\lambda \in \bar{F}_0$ . But  $T^i(\lambda) + \lambda \neq 0$  for some  $\lambda \in \bar{F}_0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and thus  $k = m_{\alpha_0}$ . But  $k(1) = 0$  and so  $\alpha_0 = 0$  and  $k = 0$  (the zero map). From (14) and (15) we have  $f(x) = \mu x$  and  $g(x) = x$ . It follows that  $F$  is a Hall system ([2]) and  $\pi$  is a Hall plane.

Theorem 3 of this paper and the characterization of the odd order Hall planes given in [8] allow us to assert the following result:

**THEOREM 4.** *A finite generalized Hall plane with respect to  $l_\infty$ ,  $\pi_0$  is a Hall plane if and only if each point of  $M = l_\infty \cap \pi_0$  is the centre of a nontrivial involutory central collineation which has axis  $\neq l_\infty$  in  $\pi_0$  and which fixes  $M$ .*

There are other characterizations of the finite Hall planes amongst the finite generalized Hall planes. Of these we mention: A generalized Hall plane  $\pi$  of order  $q^2 \neq 4$  is a Hall plane if and only if the kernel of  $\pi$  is of order  $q$ .

5. **Acknowledgements.** The work appearing in this paper was done when the author was a research student on a Commonwealth Post-Graduate Award. The author wishes to thank his supervisor Dr. P. B. Kirkpatrick for his guidance and encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Dembowski, *Finite Geometries*, (*Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Band 44, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1968)
2. Marshall Hall, Jr. *The Theory of Groups*, The Macmillan Company, New York, 1959.
3. D. R. Hughes, *Collineation groups of nondesarguesian planes, I. The Hall Veblen-Wedderburn systems*, Amer. J. Math., **81** (1959), 921-938.
4. Norman Lloyd Johnson, *A classification of semi-translation planes*, Canad. J. Math., **21** (1969), 1372-1387.
5. ———, *Translation planes constructed from semifield planes*, Pacific J. Math., **36** (1971), 701-711.
6. ———, *A characterization of generalized Hall planes*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **6** (1972), 61-67.
7. P. B. Kirkpatrick, *Generalization of Hall planes of odd order*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **4** (1971), 205-209.
8. ———, *A characterization of the Hall planes of odd order*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **6** (1972), 407-415.
9. T. G. Ostrom, *Semi-translation planes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **111** (1964), 1-18.
10. A. J. Rahilly, *Veblen-Wedderburn systems and translation planes*, M. Sc. Thesis, University of Melbourne, 1970.

Received February 26, 1973.

UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 55, No. 2

October, 1974

Walter Allegretto, <i>On the equivalence of two types of oscillation for elliptic operators</i> .....	319
Edward Arthur Bertram, <i>A density theorem on the number of conjugacy classes in finite groups</i> .....	329
Arne Brøndsted, <i>On a lemma of Bishop and Phelps</i> .....	335
Jacob Burbea, <i>Total positivity and reproducing kernels</i> .....	343
Ed Dubinsky, <i>Linear Pincherle sequences</i> .....	361
Benny Dan Evans, <i>Cyclic amalgamations of residually finite groups</i> .....	371
Barry J. Gardner and Patrick Noble Stewart, <i>A “going down” theorem for certain reflected radicals</i> .....	381
Jonathan Light Gross and Thomas William Tucker, <i>Quotients of complete graphs: revisiting the Heawood map-coloring problem</i> .....	391
Sav Roman Harasymiv, <i>Groups of matrices acting on distribution spaces</i> .....	403
Robert Winship Heath and David John Lutzer, <i>Dugundji extension theorems for linearly ordered spaces</i> .....	419
Chung-Wu Ho, <i>Deforming p. l. homeomorphisms on a convex polygonal 2-disk</i> .....	427
Richard Earl Hodel, <i>Metrizability of topological spaces</i> .....	441
Wilfried Imrich and Mark E. Watkins, <i>On graphical regular representations of cyclic extensions of groups</i> .....	461
Jozef Krasinkiewicz, <i>Remark on mappings not raising dimension of curves</i> .....	479
Melven Robert Krom, <i>Infinite games and special Baire space extensions</i> .....	483
S. Leela, <i>Stability of measure differential equations</i> .....	489
M. H. Lim, <i>Linear transformations on symmetric spaces</i> .....	499
Teng-Sun Liu, Arnoud C. M. van Rooij and Ju-Kwei Wang, <i>On some group algebra modules related to Wiener’s algebra <math>M_1</math></i> .....	507
Dale Wayne Myers, <i>The back-and-forth isomorphism construction</i> .....	521
Donovan Harold Van Osdol, <i>Extensions of sheaves of commutative algebras by nontrivial kernels</i> .....	531
Alan Rahilly, <i>Generalized Hall planes of even order</i> .....	543
Joylyn Newberry Reed, <i>On completeness and semicompleteness of first countable spaces</i> .....	553
Alan Schwartz, <i>Generalized convolutions and positive definite functions associated with general orthogonal series</i> .....	565
Thomas Jerome Scott, <i>Monotonic permutations of chains</i> .....	583
Eivind Stensholt, <i>An application of Steinberg’s construction of twisted groups</i> .....	595
Yasuji Takeuchi, <i>On strongly radical extensions</i> .....	619
William P. Ziemer, <i>Some remarks on harmonic measure in space</i> .....	629
John Grant, <i>Corrections to: “Automorphisms definable by formulas”</i> .....	639
Peter Michael Rosenthal, <i>Corrections to: “On an inversion for the general Mehler-Fock transform pair”</i> .....	640
Carl Clifton Faith, <i>Corrections to: “When are proper cyclics injective”</i> .....	640