Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON STRONGLY RADICIAL EXTENSIONS

YASUJI TAKEUCHI

Vol. 55, No. 2

October 1974

ON STRONGLY RADICIAL EXTENSIONS

Yasuji Takeuchi

Let A be a commutative ring and C a commutative ring-extension of A such that the canonical morphism: Spec (C) \rightarrow Spec (A) induced by the inclusion map: $A \rightarrow C$ is radicial. In this paper a Galois theory of such extension C/A is given, with certain additional assumptions.

Let A be a commutative ring with an identity such that for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in A the residue ring A/\mathfrak{p} is of prime characteristic. We say that a commutative ring-extension C of A is strongly radicial¹ if C is finitely generated projective as an A-module and the Kernel of the multiplication map: $C \otimes_A C \to C$ is a nil-ideal. In this paper, we shall study a Galois theory of strongly radicial extensions. The main tool used here is higher order derivations, which have been studied in [5], [6], and [7]. The reader should consult them, especially [5], [6], for relevant definitions and basic properties.

In §1 we introduce differentiably simple rings and exhibit a structure theorem. We shall later apply this to study the structure of strongly radicial extensions.

In §2 we give criteria for strongly radiciality. We also generalize some of the results about purely inseparable field-extensions to our case. Moreover, we show a structure theorem of strongly radicial extensions.

In §3 we give a Galois correspondence theorem for a strongly radicial extension.

In all that follows all rings are commutative with an identity, and all homomorphisms and all modules are unitary. Unadorned \bigotimes will mean \bigotimes_A . If A is a subring of a ring C, both A and C are assumed to have the same identity.

1. Differentiably simple rings. Let C be a commutative ring. For any qth order derivation D on C and any $a \in C$, [D, a] denotes a (q-1)th order derivation on C which is defined by [D, a](x) = D(ax) - aD(x) - D(a)x for $x \in C$. Let \mathscr{H} be any nonempty set of higher order derivations on C with $[D, a] \in \mathscr{H}$ for all $D \in \mathscr{H}$, all $a \in C$. In this case, the set $\{a \in C \mid D(a) = 0 \text{ for all } D \in \mathscr{H}\}$ forms a subring of C, denoted by Ker (\mathscr{H}) . If C has no nontrivial \mathscr{H} -stable ideal, C will be called an \mathscr{H} -simple ring. For an \mathscr{H} -simple ring C, let A denote Ker (\mathscr{H}) . Then the following properties hold:

(1) A is a field.

¹ For the definition of radicial, see [Grothendieck: E.G.A.I (3.5.4)].

(2) The exponent of C over A is finite if A is of prime characteristic and C is finite dimensional over A.

The proof is omitted, because it is quite similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [12].

PROPOSITION 1. Let C be a commutative ring of prime characteristic p and \mathscr{H} any nonempty set of higher order derivations on C with $[D, a] \in \mathscr{H}$ for all $D \in \mathscr{H}$, all $a \in C$. Suppose that the orders of the derivations in \mathscr{H} are bounded and C is \mathscr{H} -simple. Then C is a local ring whose radical Q is a nil-ideal. Moreover, we have C = F + Q for a subfield F of C containing Ker (\mathscr{H}).

Proof. Let q be the supremum of orders of the derivations in For any $x \in C$, we have $D(x^{p^e}) = 0$ for $D \in \mathscr{H}$ where $p^e > q$, H. and so $x^{p^{e}}$ belongs to Ker (\mathcal{H}) [c.f., 5, Chap. I, Prop. 10]. Since Ker (*H*) is a field, we obtain $x^{p^e} = 0$ for any nonunit x in C. This shows the radical Q of C is a nil-ideal and is a uniquely maximal ideal. Now we shall show the second statement. Let $E^{i}(S)$ denote a set $\{x^{p^i} \mid x \in S\}$ for a subset S of C. Let s be the minimal positive integer with $E^{s}(C) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $E^{s}(C)$ is a field. We shall show $E^{i}(C) = F_{i} + E^{i}(Q)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$ where F_{i} are a subfield of $E^{i}(C)$, respectively. Assume we have already proved this fact for $i = r + 1, \dots, s$. Let F_r be a maximal field contained in $E^r(C)$ with $F_{r+1} \subseteq F_r$. Suppose $F_r + E^r(Q) \neq E^r(C)$. Then there is an $x \in E^{r}(C)$ not belonging to $F_{r} + E^{r}(Q)$. We can write $x^{p} = a + y$ for $a \in F_{r+1}, y \in E^{r+1}(Q)$. Since $E^{r+1}(Q) = E^{1}(E^{r}(Q))$, we obtain $(x - y_{0})^{p} = a$ for $y_0 \in E^r(Q)$. Then $x - y_0$ does not belong to $F_r + E^r(Q)$, which is denoted by x_0 . Since $\pi(F_r)[\pi(x_0)]$ is a field properly containing $\pi(F_r)$ where π is the canonical map of $E^{r}(C)$ onto the field $E^{r}(C)/E^{r}(Q)$, a polynomial $X^{\nu} - a$ is irreducible in $F_r[X]$. So $F_r[x_0]$ is a field, which is a contradiction. So we have $C=F_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}+Q.$ Unless $F_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ contains Ker (\mathcal{H}) , take a maximal subfield F of F_0 Ker (\mathcal{H}) containing Ker (\mathcal{H}) . Then we claim C = F + Q. Assume this is not the case. Then there is an element x in F_0 not belonging to F + Q. Let t be the minimal positive integer with $x^{pt} \in F$. Then a polynomial $X^{pt} - x^{pt}$ in F[X] is irreducible. Hence F[x] is isomorphic to a residue field $F[X]/(X^{p^t} - x^{p^t})$, that is a contradiction to the maximality of F. This completes the proof.

2. Strongly radicial extensions. Let A be a commutative ring and C a commutative ring-extension of A. Let $J_{C/A}$ denote the Kernel of the multiplication map $\mu: C \otimes C \to C$.

DEFINITION. Let A and C be as above. Suppose the integral

domain A/\mathfrak{p} is of prime characteristic for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. We shall call C a strongly radicial extension of A if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) C is finitely generated and projective as an A-module.
- (2) The ideal $J_{C/A}$ is nilpotent.

The C-module of qth order A-derivations on C is denoted by $Der_q(C|A)$. We shall set $Der(C|A) = \bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} Der_q(C|A)$. Then there are C-module isomorphisms φ_q : $\operatorname{Hom}_c(\Omega_A^{(q)}(C)^2, C) \to Der_q(C|A)$ by $\varphi_q(f) = f \cdot \delta^{(q)}$ and φ : $\operatorname{Hom}_c(J_{C|A}, C) \to Der(C|A)$ by $\varphi(f) = f \cdot \delta$ where δ is an A-module map: $C \to J_{C|A}$ by $\delta(c) = 1 \otimes e - c \otimes 1$ for $c \in C$ and $\delta^{(q)}$ is an A-module map: $C \to \Omega_A^{(q)}(C)$ by $\delta^{(q)}(c) = \{$ the class of $\delta(c) \mod (J_{C|A})^{q+1} \}$. The map $\delta^{(q)}$ is called the canonical qth order derivation of C|A.

Let ν be the map: $C \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(C, C)$ by $\nu(c)(x) = cx$ for $c, x \in C$. We shall put $\mathscr{D}_{q}(C/A) = \nu(C) + Der_{q}(C/A)$ and $\mathscr{D}(C/A) = \nu(C) + Der(C/A)$. Then $\mathscr{D}(C/A)$ forms an A-algebra [c.f., 5].

PROPOSITION 2. Let A be a commutative ring such that the domain A/\mathfrak{p} is of prime characteristic for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. Let C be a commutative ring-extension of A which is finitely generated projective as an A-module. Then the necessary and sufficient condition that C is a strongly radicial extension of A is $\mathscr{D}(C/A) = \text{Hom}_A(C, C)$.

Proof. The necessity is obvious. Suppose $\mathscr{D}(C/A) = \operatorname{Hom}_A(C, C)$. Then the C-module Der(C/A) is generated by finitely many derivations, because it is a C-module direct summand of the finitely generated C-module $\operatorname{Hom}_A(C, C)$. So $\mathscr{D}_q(C/A) = \operatorname{Hom}_A(C, C)$ for the supremum q of orders of their derivations. In order to show $J_{C/A}$ is nilpotent, it is sufficient to observe the canonical epimorphism: $J_{C/A} \to \mathscr{Q}_A^{(q)}(C)$ is injective, accordingly so is the canonical epimorphism: $J_{C_p/A_{\mathfrak{P}}} \to \mathscr{Q}_{A_p}^{(q)}(C_p)$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. This is obvious from the following lemma, because $\{1 \otimes u_i - u_i \otimes 1 \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ form a $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module basis for $J_{C_p/A_{\mathfrak{P}}}$ where $\{1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module basis for $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

LEMMA 3. Let A be a commutative ring and C a commutative A-algebra which is a finitely generated free A-module with a basis $\{1, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$. If $\mathscr{D}_q(C/A) = \operatorname{Hom}_A(C, C)$ for some positive integer q, then $\mathscr{Q}_A^{(q)}(C)$ is a free C-module with a basis $\{\delta^{(q)}(u_1), \delta^{(q)}(u_2), \dots, \delta^{(q)}(u_m)\}$ where $\delta^{(q)}$ is the canonical qth order derivation of C/A.

Proof. From the hypothesis, we have a C-module isomorphism $\psi: C \bigoplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{Q}^{(q)}_{A}(C), C) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(C, C)$ by $\psi(c + f) = cx + (f \cdot \delta^{(q)})(x)$

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 2}$ $\varOmega^{(q)}_{\scriptscriptstyle A}$ (C) denotes the module of qth order differentials $J_{\scriptscriptstyle C/A}|(J_{\scriptscriptstyle C/A})^{q+1}$ [c.f., 5].

for $c, x \in C$, $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{C}(\mathcal{Q}_{A}^{(q)}(C), C)$. Let $D_{i}(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ be elements of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(C, C)$ such that $D_{i}(1) = 0$ for all i and $D_{i}(u_{j}) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Moreover, let f_{i} be elements of $\operatorname{Hom}_{C}(\mathcal{Q}_{A}^{(q)}(C), C)$ with $\psi(f_{i}) = D_{i}$. Then we have $f_{i}(\delta^{(q)}(u_{j})) = \delta_{i,j}$. Since the set $\{\delta^{(q)}(u_{1}), \delta^{(q)}(u_{2}), \dots, \delta^{(q)}(u_{m})\}$ forms a set of generators of $\mathcal{Q}_{A}^{(q)}(C)$ as a C-module, $\mathcal{Q}_{A}^{(q)}(C)$ is a free C-module with $\{\delta^{(q)}(u_{1}), \delta^{(q)}(u_{2}), \dots, \delta^{(q)}(u_{m})\}$ as a basis.

We obtain a following corollary to Proposition 2.

COROLLARY. Let A be a commutative ring of prime characteristic p and C a commutative ring-extension of A which is finitely generated projective as an A-module. Then C is a strongly radicial extension of A if and only if C has a finite exponent over A.

Proof. If C has a finite exponent over A, then $J_{C/A}$ is a nil-ideal. This shows the "if" part, because $J_{C/A}$ is finitely generated as a C-module. Conversely assume C is a strongly radicial extension of A. Then $\mathscr{D}_q(C/A) = \operatorname{Hom}_A(C, C)$ for some positive integer q. Since $A = \operatorname{Ker}(\operatorname{Der}_q(C/A))$, it follows from [5, Chap. I, Prop. 10] that $E^e(C)$ is contained in A for a positive integer e with $p^e > q$. This completes the proof.

Now we give a structure theorem of strongly radicial extensions.

THEOREM 4. Let A be a commutative ring such that the domain A/\mathfrak{p} is of prime characteristic for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. Then, for a strongly radicial extension C of A, the followings hold:

(1) The map "i: Spec (C) \rightarrow Spec (A) induced canonically by the inclusion map $i: A \rightarrow C$ is bijective.

(2) For each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in A we have $C \otimes A(\mathfrak{p})^3 = F_{\mathfrak{p}} + Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$ where $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a subfield of $C \otimes A(\mathfrak{p})$ being purely inseparable over $A(\mathfrak{p})$ and $Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a nilpotent maximal ideal in $C \otimes A(\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. For simplicity of notation set $\overline{A} = A(\mathfrak{p})$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, and set $\overline{C} = C \otimes \overline{A}$. Then we have $\mathscr{D}(C/A) \otimes \overline{A} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{A}}(\overline{C}, \overline{C})$ and so $D(\overline{C}/\overline{A}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{A}}(\overline{C}, \overline{C})$. Hence \overline{C} is a $Der(\overline{C}/\overline{A})$ -simple ring and Ker $(Der(\overline{C}/\overline{A}))$ is equal to \overline{A} . So (2) follows from Proposition 1. Since $C \otimes A(p)$ is local for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, the map ${}^{a}i$ is injective. Since C is integral over A, the map ${}^{a}i$ is surjective. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY. Let A and C be as above. Then an A/R_A -automorphism of C/R_c induced canonically by any A-automorphism of

³ $A(\mathfrak{p})$ usually denotes the residue field $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

C reduces always to the identity map on C/R_c where R_A , R_c are the nil-radical of A, C, respectively.

Proof. Let σ be any A-automorphism of C. For any prime ideal \mathfrak{P} of C, we have $\sigma(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{P}$, because ${}^{a}i(\sigma(\mathfrak{P})) = {}^{a}i(\mathfrak{P})$ where ${}^{a}i$ is as above. So σ induces canonically an automorphism of C/\mathfrak{P} , which reduces to the identity map on C/\mathfrak{P} . This shows $x - \sigma(x) \in \mathfrak{P}$ for all $x \in C$ and so $x \equiv \sigma(x) \mod R_c$.

PROPOSITION 5. Let A be a commutative ring such that the domain A/\mathfrak{p} is of prime characteristic for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in A. Let C be a commutative ring-extension of A which is a finitely generated projective A-module. Then C is a strongly radicial extension of A if and only if $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a strongly radicial extension of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$.

Proof. The "only if" part is obvious. In order to show the "if" part, it is sufficient to prove the fact that the canonical injection: $C \bigoplus Der(C/A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(C, C)$ is an epimorphism, accordingly so is the canonical injection: $C_{\mathfrak{p}} \bigoplus Der(C/A)_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(C, C)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. Let φ be the composition of the following canonical maps

$$C_{\mathfrak{p}} \bigoplus Der(C/A)_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(C, C)_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}, C_{\mathfrak{p}}) \longrightarrow C_{\mathfrak{p}} \bigoplus Der(C_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}})$$
.

Then we have $\varphi(Der(C/A)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq Der(C_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}})$. We shall show φ maps $Der(C/A)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ onto $Der(C_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}})$. By the above isomorphisms any element $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $Der(C_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ can be identified with an element of form (1/s)D in $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(C, C)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $s \in A - \mathfrak{p}$, $D \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A}(C, C)$. If $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is of order q, we have finitely many equalities in $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$

$$rac{1}{s}D(x_{_{0}}x_{_{1}}\cdots x_{q}) = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{q}{(-1)^{k-1}}\sum\limits_{i_{1} < i_{2} < \cdots < i_{k}}{x_{i_{1}}x_{i_{2}}\cdots x_{i_{k}}} {x_{i_{1}}x_{i_{2}}\cdots x_{q}} \ ,$$

where $x_i(i = 0, 1, \dots, q)$ range over a finite set of generators for the A-module C. So there is an element t in $A - \mathfrak{p}$ such that tD is a *qth* order A-derivation on C. Hence we have $(1/s)D = (1/st) \cdot tD \in Der(C/A)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\varphi((1/s)D) = D_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

COROLLARY. If C is a strongly radicial extension of a commutative ring B and B is a strongly radicial extension of a commutative ring A, then C is also a strongly radicial extension of A.

Proof. By the above proposition we may assume, without loss

YASUJI TAKEUCHI

of generality, that A and B are local. Hence C is B-free and B is A-free. Then we have a C-module exact sequence $0 \rightarrow (C \otimes C)J_{B/A} \rightarrow J_{C/A} \rightarrow J_{C/A} \rightarrow J_{C/B} \rightarrow 0$. Since both $J_{C/B}$ and $(C \otimes C)J_{B/A}$ are nilpotent, $J_{C/A}$ is also nilpotent.

We conclude this section, showing a converse to Theorem 4 under certain assumption on the basic ring.

PROPOSITION 6. Let A be a commutative ring such that, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is artinian and $A(\mathfrak{p})$ is of prime characteristic. Let C be a commutative ring-extension of A which is finitely generated projective as an A-module. Then C is a strongly radicial extension of A if, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, we have $C \otimes A(\mathfrak{p}) = F_{\mathfrak{p}} + Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$ where $Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the nil-radical of $C \otimes A(\mathfrak{p})$ and $F_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a subfield of $C \otimes A(\mathfrak{p})$ which is purely inseparable over $A(\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. From Proposition 5 if suffices to prove when A is local. Let \mathfrak{m} be the maximal ideal of A. Then \mathfrak{m} is nilpotent. Now we have a commutative diagram



whose all the vertical and horizontal sequence are exact where μ is the multiplication map: $C \otimes C \rightarrow C$ and the other maps are also canonical. From this diagram, we obtain an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\mu \otimes 1) \rightarrow J_{C/A} \rightarrow J_{C(\mathfrak{m})/A(\mathfrak{m})}$. Since $\text{Ker}(\mu \otimes 1) = J_{C/A} \otimes \mathfrak{m}$, $\text{Ker}(\mu \otimes 1)$ is nilpotent. So $J_{C/A}$ is nilpotent. This completes the proof.

3. The Galois correspondence theorem. An aim in this section is to show a Galois correspondence theorem on strongly radical extensions as follows.

THEOREM 7. Let C be a strongly radicial extension of a commutative ring A. Let \varDelta be the set of C-module direct summands \mathscr{C}

624

of Der(C|A) with $DD' \in \mathcal{C}$ and $[D, x] \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $D, D' \in \mathcal{C}$ and all $x \in C$. Let Γ be the set of intermediate rings between A and C, over which C is projective. Then correspondences $\delta: \Delta \to \Gamma, \gamma: \Gamma \to \Delta$ given respectively by $\delta(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{C}), \gamma(B) = Der(C|B)$ are inverse to each other.

In order to prove this theorem two lemmas are necessary.

LEMMA 8. Let A, C be as above and B an intermediate ring between A and C. If C is projective as a B-module, then C is a strongly radicial extension of B and B is also a strongly radicial extension of A. In this case, the C-module Der(C/B) is a C-module direct summand of Der(C/A).

Proof. In order to show the first statement, it suffices to observe $\operatorname{Hom}_{B}(C, C)$ is contained in $\mathscr{D}(C/B)$. For any $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{B}(C, C)$, we have f = c + D for $c \in C$, $D \in Der(C/A)$. Then cbx + D(bx) = f(bx) = bf(x) = cbx + bD(x) for any $b \in B$, any $x \in C$. This shows D belongs to Der(C/B). The second assertion follows obviously from the fact that B is an A-module direct summand of C and $J_{B/A}$ is contained in the nilpotent ideal $J_{C/A}$. Now we shall prove the last statement. For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$, a sequence of canonical $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module homomorphisms

$$0 \longrightarrow (C_{\mathfrak{p}} \bigotimes_{{}^{A}\mathfrak{p}} C_{\mathfrak{p}}) J_{{}^{B}\mathfrak{p}/{}^{A}\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow J_{{}^{C}\mathfrak{p}/{}^{A}\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow J_{{}^{C}\mathfrak{p}/{}^{B}\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, because both B_{*} and C_{*} are A_{*} -free. Hence a sequence of canonical C-module homomorphisms

$$0 \longrightarrow (C \otimes C) J_{\scriptscriptstyle B/A} \longrightarrow J_{\scriptscriptstyle C/A} \longrightarrow J_{\scriptscriptstyle C/B} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact and so is split, since J_{CIB} is C-projective. So we have a C-module isomorphism:

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(J_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{A}}, C) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(J_{\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}}, C) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}((C \otimes C)J_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{A}}, C) .$$

Since $Der(C|A) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{C}(J_{C|A}, C)$ and $Der(C|B) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{C}(J_{C|B}, C)$, our requirement is obtained.

LEMMA 9. Let C be strongly radicial extension of a local ring A. Let \mathcal{C} be a C-module direct summand of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(C, C)$ which is finitely generated free. Then there exist elements $c_{1}, c_{2}, \dots, c_{n}$ in C and a C-module basis $D_{1}, D_{2}, \dots, D_{n}$ for \mathcal{C} with $D_{i}(c_{j}) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. In this case C is local by Theorem 4. Let m be the maximal ideal in A and Q the maximal ideal in C. Put $\overline{A} = A/m$

and $\overline{C} = C/mC$. Since $\mathscr{D}(\overline{C}/\overline{A}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{A}}(\overline{C}, \overline{C}), \overline{C}$ is a $\operatorname{Der}(\overline{C}/\overline{A})$ -simple ring. Let $D_{0,1}, D_{0,2}, \dots, D_{0,n}$ be a C-module basis for \mathscr{C} . We show first $D_{0,i}(C) \not\subset Q$ for all *i*. Assume this is not the case. For the minimal positive integer *e* with $Q^e \subseteq mC$, we have $xD_{0,i} = 0 \mod mC$ where *x* ranges over the elements of Q^{e-1} . Since $D_{0,i}$ is free mod. m*C* where obtain $x \in mC$, which is a contradiction. Suppose we have already found c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l in *C* and a basis $D_{l,1}, D_{l,2}, \dots, D_{l,n}$ for a *C*-module \mathscr{C} with $D_{l,i}(c_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq l$. If l < r, there is an element c_{l+1} in *C* such that $D_{l,l+1}(c_{l+1})$ is a unit in *C*. Set $D_{l+1,l+1} =$ $(D_{l,l+1}(c_{l+1}))^{-1}D_{l,l+1}$ and $D_{l+1,i} = D_{l,i} - D_{l,i}(c_{l+1})D_{l+1,l+1}$ for $i \neq l+1$. Then we have $D_{l+1,i}(c_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq l+1$ and the $D_{l+1,i}$'s are a basis for \mathscr{C} . Proceeding in this fashion, we find c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n and D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n as desired.

Now we can prove Theorem 7.

Proof of Theorem 7. It follows from Lemma 8 that γ is welldefined. We have to show δ is well-defined. For any $\mathscr{C} \in A$, put $B = \text{Ker}(\mathscr{C})$. In the case of any local ring A, we shall first observe C is free over B. From the above lemma there are elements c_1, c_2 , \cdots , c_r in C and a C-module basis D_1 , D_2 , \cdots , D_r for $\mathscr C$ with $D_i(c_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ $(i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r)$. Then we have $D_i D_j = 0$ for $i, j, = 1, 2, \dots, r$. In fact, we can write $D_i D_j = x_1 D_1 + \cdots + x_r D_r$ for $x_i \in C$. Then we have $x_k = (\sum_{i=1}^r x_i D_i)(c_k) = D_i D_i(c_k) = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ and so $D_iD_j = 0$. Since D(bx) = bD(x) + xD(b) + [D, x](b) for any $D \in \mathcal{C}$, any $b \in B$, any $x \in C$, any element of \mathcal{C} is a B-homomorphism. Set $C_1 = B + Bc_1 + \cdots + Bc_r$. Then C_1 is B-free. We shall show $C = C_1$. Assume this is not the case. Then there is an element u in C not belonging to C_1 . Suppose inductively that we have already found an element u_i in C not belonging to C_1 with $D_k(u_i) = 0$ for all $k \leq i$. Then $D_{i+1}(u_i)$ belongs to *B*. Set $u_{i+1} = u_i - D_{i+1}(u_i)c_{i+1}$. Then u_{i+1} does not belong to C_1 and we have $D_k(u_{i+1}) = 0$ for all $k \leq i+1$, because $D_i D_j = 0$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Repeating this construction, we can obtain an element u_r in C with $u_r \notin C_1$ and $D_i(u_r) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. Then u_r belongs to B, which is absurd. Hence we have $C = C_1$ and so C is a free B-module when A is local. In the case of any general ring $A, \mathscr{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module direct summand of $Der(C_{\mathfrak{p}}/A_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. Moreover, we have $B_{\mathfrak{p}} = \operatorname{Ker}(\mathscr{C}_{\mathfrak{p}})$. So, from the result above, C_{ν} is a free B_{ν} -module of rank equal to $\operatorname{rank}_{C_{\nu}}(\mathscr{C}_{\nu}) + 1$. Since \mathscr{C} is a finitely generated projective C-module, the map of Spec(A) into the domain of rational integers by $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto$ $\operatorname{rank}_{C_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathscr{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}) + 1$ is locally constant [2, Chap. II, § 5, No 2, Theorem 1]. On the other hand, by Theorem 4, we have $\operatorname{Spec}(C) \cong \operatorname{Spec}(B) \cong$ Spec (A) as the topological spaces and $\operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{R}}}(\mathscr{C}_{\mathfrak{R}}) + 1 = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{R}}}(\mathscr{C}_{\mathfrak{P}}) + 1$ for any $\mathfrak{P} \in \operatorname{Spec}(B)$, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P} \cap A$. By [2, ibid], C is projective over B

and so δ is well-defined. Hence we have $Der(C_{\nu}/B_{\nu}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{C_{p}}(J_{C_{\nu}}/B_{\nu}, C_{\nu})$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$. This shows $Der(C_{\nu}/B_{\nu})$ is generated by such a *C*-module basis $D_{1}, D_{2}, \dots, D_{r}$ for \mathscr{C}_{ν} as the above augument. So we obtain $\mathscr{C}_{\nu} = Der(C_{\nu}/B_{\nu})$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and so $\mathscr{C} = Der(C/B)$. This shows $\gamma \cdot \delta$ is the identity map on Λ . It is obvious that $\delta \cdot \gamma$ is the identity map on Γ . This completes the proof.

References

1. N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre*, Chap. II, 3^e ed., Actualités Sci. Indust., No. 1261, Hermann, Paris, 1958.

2. _____, Algèbre commutative, Chap. I, II, Actualités Sci. Indust., No. 1290, Hermann, Paris, 1961.

3. A. Hattori, On high order derivations from the view-point of two sided modules, Sci. Pap. Coll. Gen Ed. Univ. of Tokyo, **20** (1970), 1-11.

4. M. Nagata, Local Rings, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1962.

5. Y. Nakai, High order derivations I, Osaka J. Math., 7 (1970), 1-27.

6. Y. Nakai, K. Kosaki, and Y. Ishibashi, *High order derivations II*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ., Ser. A-1, **34** (1970), 17-27.

7. H. Osborn, Modules of differentials I, Math. Annalen, 170 (1967), 221-244.

8. Y. Takeuchi, On quasi-Galois extensions of a commutative ring, Revista de Union Mate. Argentina, **24** (1969), 167-175.

9. ____, On Galois objects which are strongly radicial over its basic ring, to appear.

10. S. Yuan, Differentiably simple rings of prime characteristic, Duke Math. J., **31** (1964), 625-630.

11. _____, Inseparable Galois theory of exponent one, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **149** (1970), 163-170.

12. ____, Finite dimensional inseparable algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 150 (1970). 577-587.

Received May 21, 1973 and in revised form September 17, 1974.

KOBE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024 J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.F. BECKENBACH

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington

Seattle, Washington 98105

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

> Copyright © 1973 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 55, No. 2 October, 1974

Walter Allegretto, On the equivalence of two types of oscillation for elliptic operators	319
Edward Arthur Bertram, A density theorem on the number of conjugacy classes in	
finite groups	329
Arne Brøndsted, On a lemma of Bishop and Phelps	335
Jacob Burbea, Total positivity and reproducing kernels	343
Ed Dubinsky, Linear Pincherle sequences	361
Benny Dan Evans, Cyclic amalgamations of residually finite groups	371
Barry J. Gardner and Patrick Noble Stewart, A going down theorem for certain	201
In the Light Cross and Themas William Tusker, Quatients of semplate engrha	301
Jonathan Light Gross and Thomas witham Tucker, Quotients of complete graphs:	201
Seu Demen Henremin Course of matrices active on distribution and	391
Sav Roman Harasymiv, Groups of matrices acting on distribution spaces	403
linearly ordered spaces	/10
Chung Wu Ho. Deforming p. 1. homeomorphisms on a convex polygonal	419
2-disk	427
Richard Farl Hodel Metrizability of topological spaces	441
Wilfried Imrich and Mark F. Watkins. On araphical regular representations of	771
cvclic extensions of groups	461
Jozef Krasinkiewicz. Remark on mappings not raising dimension of curves	479
Melven Robert Krom, Infinite games and special Baire space extensions	483
S. Leela. Stability of measure differential equations	489
M. H. Lim, Linear transformations on symmetric spaces	499
Teng-Sun Liu Arnoud C M van Rooij and Ju-Kwei Wang <i>On</i> some group algebra	
modules related to Wiener's algebra M_1	507
Dale Wayne Myers. The back-and-forth isomorphism construction	521
Donovan Harold Van Osdol. Extensions of sheaves of commutative algebras by	
nontrivial kernels	531
Alan Rahilly, Generalized Hall planes of even order	543
Joylyn Newberry Reed. On completeness and semicompleteness of first countable	
spaces	553
Alan Schwartz, Generalized convolutions and positive definite functions associated	
with general orthogonal series	565
Thomas Jerome Scott, <i>Monotonic permutations of chains</i>	583
Eivind Stensholt, An application of Steinberg's construction of twisted groups	595
Yasuji Takeuchi, On strongly radicial extensions	619
William P. Ziemer, Some remarks on harmonic measure in space	629
John Grant, Corrections to: "Automorphisms definable by formulas"	639
Peter Michael Rosenthal, Corrections to: "On an inversion for the general	
Mehler-Fock transform pair"	640
Carl Clifton Faith, Corrections to: "When are proper cyclics injective"	640