

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

FRACTIONAL ELEMENTS IN MULTIPLICATIVE LATTICES

RICHARD G. BURTON

FRACTIONAL ELEMENTS IN MULTIPLICATIVE LATTICES

RICHARD G. BURTON

An abstract study of the theory of fractional ideals of a commutative ring is begun. In particular, the definition of principal element in a multiplicative lattice L is used to define a lattice of fractional elements, L^* , associated with L . As one application of this definition a theory of Dedekind lattices is developed. This construction also allows the development of an abstract theory of integral closure for a Noether lattice. This theory will be presented in a further paper.

By a multiplicative lattice we mean a complete lattice L together with a commutative, associative multiplication on L such that (i) $a(b \cup c) = ab \cup ac$ and (ii) $ab \leq a \cap b$ for all a, b, c in L . We further assume that L has a greatest element e such that $ea = a$ for all a in L and a least element 0 . We denote the meet and join of two elements a, b in L by $a \cup b$ and $a \cap b$, respectively, and we use \leq to denote the order relation on L . A lattice with a multiplication satisfying condition (i) above is a lattice ordered semi-group.

An element m in L is join principal if $(a \cup bm): m = a: m \cup b$ for all a, b in L , meet principal if $(a \cap b: m)m = am \cap b$ for all a, b in L , and principal if it is both join and meet principal. This definition of principal element was given by Dilworth in [1].

The author wishes to express appreciation to Dr. William M. Cunnea.

1. Definition and basic properties of L^* . Let L be a multiplicative lattice and consider the set of all ordered pairs of the form (p, q) , where $p, q \in L$ and q is a principal nonzero divisor of L . We define a relation, denoted by " \sim ", on this set as follows:

$$(p, q) \sim (p', q') \quad \text{iff} \quad pq' = qp'.$$

LEMMA 1.1. " \sim " is an equivalence relation on the set of ordered pairs defined above.

Proof. It is clear that the relation is reflexive and symmetric. To show transitivity, assume $(p, q) \sim (p', q')$ and $(p', q') \sim (p'', q'')$. Then $pq'q'' = qp'q''$ and since $p'q'' = q'p''$ this can be rewritten $pq''q' = qp''q'$. Therefore,

$$pq'' = pq''q': q' = qp''q': q' = qp'',$$

where the first and last equalities follow from the fact that q' is a principal nonzero divisor in L .

Let L^* denote the set of equivalence classes defined by the above equivalence relation. We denote the equivalence class containing (p, q) by $\langle p, q \rangle$. If $\langle p, q \rangle$ and $\langle r, s \rangle$ are elements of L^* we define $\langle p, q \rangle \leq \langle r, s \rangle$ iff $ps \leq qr$.

LEMMA 1.2. *The relation “ \leq ” is a partial order on L^* .*

Proof. To show that “ \leq ” is well defined, assume that $(p, q) \sim (p', q')$ and $(r, s) \sim (r', s')$. Then $pq' = qp'$ and $rs' = sr'$. Now, suppose $ps \leq qr$. Then

$$(p's')qs = s'q'ps \leq s'q'qr = (r'q')qs.$$

Therefore, since qs is a principal nonzero divisor in L ,

$$p's' = [(p's')(qs)]:(qs) \leq [(r'q')(qs)]:(qs) = r'q'$$

and “ \leq ” is well defined.

It is clear the relation is reflexive and antisymmetric. To show transitivity, suppose $\langle p, q \rangle \leq \langle r, s \rangle$ and $\langle r, s \rangle \leq \langle r', s' \rangle$. Then $pss' \leq qrs' \leq qsr'$. Thus,

$$ps' = ps's: s \leq qr's: s = qr'.$$

THEOREM 1.1. *The set L^* together with the relation \leq is a lattice with least upper bound and greatest lower bound given by the following equations:*

- (1) $\langle p, q \rangle \cup \langle p', q' \rangle = \langle pq' \cup qp', qq' \rangle$
- (2) $\langle p, q \rangle \cap \langle p', q' \rangle = \langle pq' \cap qp', qq' \rangle$.

Proof. Let $\langle p, q \rangle$ and $\langle p', q' \rangle$ be any two elements of L^* . Then

$$pqq' \leq pqq' \cup qpq' = q(pq' \cup qp').$$

Therefore, $\langle p, q \rangle \leq \langle pq' \cup qp', qq' \rangle$. Similarly, $\langle p', q' \rangle \leq \langle pq' \cup qp', qq' \rangle$.

Thus, $\langle pq' \cup qp', qq' \rangle$ is an upper bound for $\langle p, q \rangle$ and $\langle p', q' \rangle$. Moreover, if $\langle p, q \rangle \leq \langle r, s \rangle$ and $\langle p', q' \rangle \leq \langle r, s \rangle$, then $ps \leq qr$ and $p's \leq q'r$. Therefore

$$(pq' \cup qp')s = pq's \cup qp's \leq qq'r \cup qq'r = qq'r.$$

Thus, $\langle pq' \cup qp', qq' \rangle \leq \langle r, s \rangle$ and $\langle pq' \cup qp', qq' \rangle$ is the least upper bound for $\langle p, q \rangle$ and $\langle p', q' \rangle$.

Since q is a principal nonzero divisor,

$$(pq' \cap qp')q = (pq' \cap (qp'q): q)q = pq'q \cap qp'q \leq qq'p.$$

Thus, $\langle pq' \cap qp', qq' \rangle \leq \langle p, q \rangle$ and a similar argument shows that $\langle pq' \cap qp', qq' \rangle \leq \langle p', q' \rangle$.

If $\langle r, s \rangle \leq \langle p, q \rangle$ and $\langle r, s \rangle \leq \langle p', q' \rangle$, then $rq \leq sp$ and $rq' \leq sp'$. Therefore, since s is a principal nonzero divisor,

$$s(pq' \cap qp') = spq' \cap sqp' \leq rqq' \cap rqq' = rqq'.$$

Thus, $\langle pq' \cap qp', qq' \rangle$ is the greatest lower bound of $\langle p, q \rangle$ and $\langle p', q' \rangle$.

DEFINITION 1.1. The lattice L^* will be called the lattice of fractional elements of L .

We now define a multiplication on L^* as follows: If $\langle p, q \rangle$ and $\langle r, s \rangle$ are elements of L^* , then

$$\langle p, q \rangle \langle r, s \rangle = \langle pr, qs \rangle.$$

It is easy to see that this multiplication is well defined.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *With the above multiplication, L^* is a commutative, associative lattice ordered semigroup. The element $\langle e, e \rangle$ is a multiplicative identity.*

Proof. For arbitrary elements $\langle a, b \rangle$, $\langle c, d \rangle$, and $\langle f, g \rangle$ in L^* we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, b \rangle \langle \langle c, d \rangle \cup \langle f, g \rangle \rangle &= \langle a, b \rangle \langle cg \cup df, dg \rangle = \langle acg \cup adf, bdg \rangle \\ &= \langle b(acg \cup adf), b(bdg) \rangle = \langle ac, bd \rangle \cup \langle af, bg \rangle \\ &= \langle a, b \rangle \langle c, d \rangle \cup \langle a, b \rangle \langle f, g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$(b(acg \cup adf), b(bdg)) \sim (acg \cup adf, bdg).$$

Commutativity and associativity for multiplication are obvious as is

the fact that $\langle e, e \rangle$ is a multiplicative identity.

We remark that L^* is not a multiplicative lattice since it does not satisfy the condition

$$\langle p, q \rangle \langle p', q' \rangle \leq \langle p, q \rangle \cap \langle p', q' \rangle.$$

The original lattice, L , can be embedded in the lattice L^* as follows: Let \bar{L} be the sublattice of L^* consisting of all elements of the form $\langle p, e \rangle$, where $p \in L$ and e is the largest element of L . Then \bar{L} is a residuated multiplicative lattice. In fact,

$$\langle p, e \rangle : \langle q, e \rangle = \langle p : q, e \rangle.$$

The mapping $\phi: L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ defined by $\phi(p) = \langle p, e \rangle$ for all p in L is then a lattice isomorphism of the residuated multiplicative lattice L onto the residuated multiplicative lattice \bar{L} .

PROPOSITION 1.2. $\bar{L} = \{\langle p, q \rangle \in L^* \mid \langle p, q \rangle \leq \langle e, e \rangle\}$. If $\langle p, q \rangle \in \bar{L}$, then $\langle p, q \rangle = \langle p : q, e \rangle$.

Proof. Clearly $\langle p, e \rangle \leq \langle e, e \rangle$ for all p in L . If $\langle p, q \rangle \leq \langle e, e \rangle$, then $p \leq q$. Therefore, since q is principal, $(p : q)q = p \cap q = p$. Thus, $\langle p, q \rangle = \langle q(p : q), q \rangle = \langle p : q, e \rangle$.

Let $a \in L$ and suppose that $\{a_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a subset of L . Then $a(\cup_{i \in I} a_i) = \cup_{i \in I} aa_i$. This result can be found in [6].

THEOREM 1.2. Let $p' \in L$ such that there exists a principal nonzero divisor $A \in L$ with $a \leq p'$. If q' is any principal nonzero divisor in L , the residual $\langle p, q \rangle : \langle p', q' \rangle$ exists for all elements $\langle p, q \rangle$ in L^* .

Proof. For an arbitrary element $\langle p, q \rangle \in L^*$, define

$$A = \{\langle r, s \rangle \mid \langle r, s \rangle \in L^* \text{ and } \langle r, s \rangle \langle p', q' \rangle \leq \langle p, q \rangle\}.$$

A is nonempty since $\langle 0, e \rangle \in A$. We will show that there exists a greatest element, $\langle c, d \rangle$, in the set A . It is clear that if such an element exists then $\langle c, d \rangle = \langle p, q \rangle : \langle p', q' \rangle$.

We first show there exists a principal nonzero divisor d in L such that

(i) $\langle d, e \rangle \langle r, s \rangle \leq \langle e, e \rangle$ for all $\langle r, s \rangle \in A$.

Let a be a principal nonzero divisor such that $a \leq p'$. Then $\langle a, e \rangle \leq \langle p', q' \rangle$ since $aq' \leq p'q' \leq p'$. Therefore,

$$\langle r, s \rangle \langle a, e \rangle \leq \langle r, s \rangle \langle p', q' \rangle \leq \langle p, q \rangle$$

for all $\langle r, s \rangle \in A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\langle aq, e \rangle \langle r, s \rangle &= \langle a, e \rangle \langle q, e \rangle \langle r, s \rangle \leq \langle q, e \rangle \langle p, q \rangle \\ &= \langle qp, q \rangle = \langle p, e \rangle \leq \langle e, e \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we set $d = aq$, (i) is satisfied.

With d defined as in the preceding paragraph, let $c = \bigcup \{dr: s \mid \langle r, s \rangle \in A\}$. This element exists since L is a complete lattice. With c and d defined as above, $\langle c, d \rangle$ is the greatest element of A . To show this, let $\langle r, s \rangle \in A$. Then $rp'q \leq sq'p$. Since $\langle dr, s \rangle \leq \langle e, e \rangle$, $dr \leq s$. Combining this with the fact that s is principal gives

$$(dr: s)p'qs = (dr \cap s)p'q = drp'q \leq dq'ps$$

for all $\langle r, s \rangle \in A$. Therefore,

$$(dr: s)p'q = [(dr: s)p'qs]: s \leq (dq'ps): s = dq'p$$

for all $\langle r, s \rangle \in A$. Thus,

$$\bigcup_{\langle r, s \rangle \in A} ((dr: s)p'q) \leq dq'p$$

and so

$$cp'q = \left(\bigcup_{\langle r, s \rangle \in A} dr: s \right) p'q = \bigcup_{\langle r, s \rangle \in A} ((dr: s)p'q) \leq dq'p.$$

Therefore, $\langle c, d \rangle \langle p', q' \rangle \leq \langle p, q \rangle$ and $\langle c, d \rangle$ is an element of A . If $\langle r, s \rangle$ is an arbitrary element of A then, since $dr: s \leq c$,

$$rd = s(rd: s) \leq sc.$$

Thus, $\langle r, s \rangle \leq \langle c, d \rangle$ so $\langle c, d \rangle$ is the greatest element of A .

We now investigate the existence of a multiplicative inverse for elements of the lattice of fractional elements. If $\langle p, q \rangle$ is an invertible element of L^* , $\langle p, q \rangle^{-1}$ will denote the multiplicative inverse of $\langle p, q \rangle$ in L^* . This inverse is unique if it exists.

PROPOSITION 1.3. *A nonzero element $p \in L$ is invertible in L^* if and only if there exists an element $q \in L$ such that pq is a principal nonzero divisor.*

Proof. If $\langle p, e \rangle \langle x, y \rangle = \langle e, e \rangle$, then $\langle px, y \rangle = \langle e, e \rangle$, i.e., $px = y$ with y principal. If there exists $q \in L$ such that $pq = y$ is a principal nonzero divisor, then $\langle q, y \rangle$ is the inverse of $\langle p, e \rangle$ in L^* .

COROLLARY. *Every principal nonzero divisor in L is invertible in L^* .*

PROPOSITION 1.4. *Let $\langle p, q \rangle \in L^*$ with p a nonzero divisor. If $\langle p, q \rangle$ is invertible in L^* , then $\langle p, q \rangle^{-1} = \langle e, e \rangle : \langle p, q \rangle$.*

Proof. Since $\langle p, q \rangle$ is invertible, there exists $\langle x, y \rangle \in L^*$ such that $px = qy$. Thus, px is a principal nonzero divisor and $px \leq p$. Therefore, by Theorem 1.2, $\langle e, e \rangle : \langle p, q \rangle$ exists.

Clearly, $\langle p, q \rangle^{-1} \leq \langle e, e \rangle : \langle p, q \rangle$. Moreover,

$$[\langle e, e \rangle : \langle p, q \rangle] \langle p, q \rangle \leq \langle e, e \rangle.$$

Therefore,

$$[\langle e, e \rangle : \langle p, q \rangle] \langle p, q \rangle \langle p, q \rangle^{-1} \leq \langle p, q \rangle^{-1} \langle e, e \rangle = \langle p, q \rangle^{-1}.$$

Thus, $\langle e, e \rangle : \langle p, q \rangle \leq \langle p, q \rangle^{-1}$.

The multiplicative lattice, L , is an M -lattice if and only if it satisfies the following condition:

(M) If a and b are elements of L with $a \leq b$, there exists an element $c \in L$ such that $a = bc$.

We list here two important properties of such lattices:

(1) L is an M -lattice if and only if every element of L is meet principal.

(2) An M -lattice is distributive.

For proofs of these properties as well as a more complete discussion of M -lattices, see [3] and [7].

PROPOSITION 1.5. *If the nonzero elements of L^* form a group then L is an M -lattice.*

Proof. Let a and b be elements of L with $a \leq b$. Then there exists $\langle x, y \rangle \in L^*$ such that

(i) $\langle b, e \rangle \langle x, y \rangle = \langle a, e \rangle$.

Thus, $bx = ay$ with y a principal nonzero divisor in L . Since $a \leq b$, $a = a \cap b$ and so

$$bx = ay = (a \cap b)y = ay \cap by = bx \cap by.$$

Thus, $bx \leq by$ and therefore $x \leq y$. Thus, by Proposition 1.2, $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x : y, e \rangle$. Therefore, (i) may be rewritten

$$\langle b, e \rangle \langle x : y, e \rangle = \langle a, e \rangle$$

or, $b(x : y) = a$.

THEOREM 1.3. *The nonzero elements of L^* form a group if and only if every nonzero element of L is a principal nonzero divisor.*

Proof. If the nonzero elements of L^* form a group then L is an M -lattice by the previous proposition so that every element of L is meet principal. To show every element is join principal, let $a, b \in L$, $b \neq 0$. Then $(ab : b)b \leq ab$ which implies $ab : b \leq a$ since b has an inverse in L^* . Since clearly $a \leq ab : b$, we have

$$(i) \quad ab : b = a$$

for all $a, b \in L$, $b \neq 0$.

Let a, b, c be elements of L with $c \neq 0$. Then

$$((a : c) \cup b)c = (a : c)c \cup bc = (a \cap c) \cup bc$$

since c is meet principal. Since L is distributive,

$$(a \cap c) \cup bc = (a \cup bc) \cap (c \cup bc) = (a \cup bc) \cap c.$$

Thus,

$$(ii) \quad ((a : c) \cup b)c = (a \cup bc) \cap c.$$

Using equations (i) and (ii) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (a : c) \cup b &= [((a : c) \cup b)c] : c = [(a \cup bc) \cap c] : c \\ &= (a \cup bc) : c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, every nonzero element of L is a principal nonzero divisor.

Conversely, if every nonzero element of L is a principal nonzero divisor and if $\langle p, q \rangle \in L^*$, $\langle p, q \rangle \neq \langle 0, e \rangle$, then $\langle q, p \rangle \in L^*$. Thus

$$\langle p, q \rangle \langle q, p \rangle = \langle e, e \rangle$$

so $\langle p, q \rangle$ is invertible in L^* .

PROPOSITION 1.6. *If every nonzero element of L is invertible in L^* then the nonzero elements of L^* form a group.*

Proof. Let $\langle p, q \rangle \in L^*$, $p \neq 0$. Since p is invertible in L^* , there exists $\langle x, y \rangle \in L^*$ such that $px = y$. Then $\langle xq, y \rangle$ is the multiplicative inverse for $\langle p, q \rangle$ in L^* .

PROPOSITION 1.7. *Suppose L satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) *Every element of L contains a principal element.*
- (2) *L contains no zero divisors.*

Then L is an M -lattice if and only if every nonzero element of L is a principal nonzero divisor.

Proof. If every element is principal, L is clearly an M -lattice. Suppose L is an M -lattice and let $p \in L$, $p \neq 0$. Let $q \leq p$ be a principal element of L . Then $q = pr$ for some r in L . Thus, p is invertible in L^* by Proposition 1.3. The Proposition then follows from Proposition 1.6 and Theorem 1.3.

EXAMPLE. Let $L(R)$ be the lattice of ideals of a commutative ring with identity R . Let $L(Q(R))$ denote the lattice of fractional ideals of R . If $A \in L(Q(R))$, then $A = \frac{1}{d}B$, where B is an ideal of R . The mapping $\phi: L(Q(R)) \rightarrow L^*$ defined by $\phi\left(\frac{1}{d}B\right) = \langle B, (d) \rangle$ is an isomorphism of $L(Q(R))$ onto L^* . Thus, in this case, the lattice of fractional elements defined above is isomorphic to the lattice of fractional ideals of R .

2. Dedekind lattices. Throughout this section we will assume that L is a multiplicative lattice that satisfies the following conditions:

- (A) L is modular.
- (B) Every element of L is a join of principal elements.
- (C) If p is a principal element of L and $p \leq \bigcup_{i \in I} q_i$, where each q_i is principal, then there exists a finite subset I' of I such that $p \leq \bigcup_{i \in I'} q_i$.
- (D) L contains no zero divisors.

L^* will denote the lattice of fractional elements of L .

If $L(R)$ is the lattice of ideals of a commutative ring with identity R , then $L(R)$ satisfies (A) and (B). Since every principal element of $L(R)$ is a finitely generated ideal of R ([3], p. 655), $L(R)$ also satisfies (C). We also remark that a Noether lattice satisfies (A) through (C). A further discussion of (B) and (C) can be found in [6].

DEFINITION 2.1. A Dedekind lattice is a multiplicative lattice satisfying (A) through (D) above in which every element can be written

as a finite product of prime elements.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\{p_i | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a set of elements of L . If $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i$ is invertible in L^* , then each p_i is invertible in L^* .*

Proof. By Proposition 1.3, $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i$ is invertible if and only if there exists $x, y \in L$ with y principal such that $x \prod_{i=1}^n p_i = y$. Then, for $j = 1, \dots, n$,

$$p_j \left(x \prod_{i \neq j} p_i \right) = y$$

so p_j is invertible by Proposition 1.3.

LEMMA 2.2. *For products of invertible prime elements of L , the factorization into prime elements is unique.*

Proof. Suppose $a = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i = \prod_{j=1}^m q_j$ where p_i and q_j are prime in L and a is invertible in L^* . Further, assume p_1 is minimal among the set $\{p_i | i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then $\prod_{j=1}^m q_j \leq p_1$ so there exists q_1 such that $q_1 \leq p_1$. Without loss of generality we may assume $j = 1$ so that $q_1 \leq p_1$. Now, $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i \leq q_1$. Thus, there exists an integer s such that $p_s \leq q_1$. Then $p_s \leq q_1 \leq p_1$ which implies $q_1 = p_1$ since p_1 was assumed to be minimal among the p_i . By Lemma 2.1, p_1 is invertible in L^* . Therefore,

$$\prod_{i=2}^n p_i = p_1^{-1} p_1 \prod_{i=2}^n p_i = p_1^{-1} p_1 \prod_{j=2}^m q_j = \prod_{j=2}^m q_j.$$

Clearly, $\prod_{i=2}^n p_i = \prod_{j=2}^m q_j$ is invertible in L^* , so the above argument can be repeated.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If $p \in L$ is invertible in L^* , then p can be written as a finite join of principal elements.*

Proof. If $p \in L$ is invertible in L^* there exists $\langle r, s \rangle \in L^*$ such that $\langle p, e \rangle \langle r, s \rangle = \langle e, e \rangle$. By condition (B) on the lattice L , we can write

$$p = \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i \quad \text{and} \quad r = \bigcup_{j \in J} r_j$$

where p_i and r_j are principal for all $i \in I$ and all $j \in J$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle e, e \rangle &= \langle p, e \rangle \langle r, s \rangle = \left\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} p_i, e \right\rangle \left\langle \bigcup_{j \in J} r_j, e \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \bigcup_{i,j} (p_i r_j), s \right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $s = \bigcup_{i,j} (p_i r_j)$. Since s is principal, by condition (C), s can be written as a join of finitely many of the elements $p_i r_j$. Thus,

$$s = \bigcup_{k=1}^n p_k r_k$$

where, for all k , $p_k \leq p$ and $r_k \leq r$ and p_k, r_k are principal. Therefore $\langle e, e \rangle = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (\langle p_k, e \rangle \langle r_k, s \rangle)$ and so,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle p, e \rangle &= \langle p, e \rangle \langle e, e \rangle = \bigcup_{k=1}^n (\langle p, e \rangle \langle p_k, e \rangle \langle r_k, s \rangle) \\ &\leq \bigcup_{k=1}^n (\langle p, e \rangle \langle r, s \rangle \langle p_k, e \rangle) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \langle e, e \rangle \langle p_k, e \rangle \\ &= \bigcup_{k=1}^n \langle p_k, e \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since $p_k \leq p$ for all k ,

$$p = \bigcup_{k=1}^n p_k.$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If $p \in L$ is invertible in L^* , then $qp : p = q$ for all $q \in L$.*

Proof. Clearly $q \leq qp : p$. Moreover, $(qp : p)p \leq qp$ and so, since p is invertible,

$$qp : p = (qp : p)pp^{-1} \leq qpp^{-1} = q.$$

THEOREM 2.1. *In a Dedekind lattice every proper, nonzero prime element is maximal in L and invertible in L^* .*

Proof. We first show that every invertible prime of L is maximal. Because of condition (B) it will suffice to show that if $q \in L$ is principal and $q \not\leq p$, then $p \cup q = e$. Thus, assume $q \in L$ is principal and $q \not\leq p$ and consider the elements $p \cup q$ and $p \cup q^2$. Since L is a Dedekind lattice

$$(i) \quad p \cup q = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$$

$$(ii) \quad p \cup q^2 = \prod_{j=1}^s q_j$$

where p_i and q_j are prime. Clearly, $p \cup q$, $p \cup q^2$ as well as the elements p_i and q_j belong to the factor lattice L/p . We will denote elements of L/p by a/p , b/p , etc.

Since p is prime in L , L/p has no zero divisors and since q and q^2 are principal in L , $(p \cup q)/p$ and $(p \cup q^2)/p$ are principal in L/p .

Let $(L/p)^*$ denote the lattice of fractional elements of L/p . Since $(p \cup q)/p$ and $(p \cup q^2)/p$ are principal nonzero divisors in L/p , they are invertible in $(L/p)^*$ by the Corollary to Proposition 1.3. The elements p_i/p and q_j/p are prime in L/p since they are prime in L . Thus (i) and (ii) give $(p \cup q)/p$ and $(p \cup q^2)/p$ as a product of primes of L/p .

Since $\prod_{i=1}^r (p_i/p) = (p \cup q)/p$ is invertible in $(L/p)^*$, each p_i/p is invertible in $(L/p)^*$ by Lemma 2.1. Similarly, each q_j/p is invertible in $(L/p)^*$.

We now note that $p \cup (p \cup q)^2 = p \cup q^2$. Therefore, in L/p

$$\prod_{i=1}^r (p_i/p)^2 = (p \cup q)^2/p = (p \cup q^2)/p = \prod_{j=1}^s (q_j/p).$$

Thus, since each p_i/p and q_j/p is invertible in $(L/p)^*$, by Lemma 2.2 the q_j/p must be the p_i/p each repeated twice. Specifically, in L/p we have $s = 2r$ and after a possible renumbering of the q_j , $q_{2i}/p = q_{2i-1}/p = p_i/p$. Therefore, since $p_i \geq p$ for all i and $q_j \geq p$ for all j ,

$$q_{2i} = q_{2i-1} = p_i$$

in the lattice L . Therefore, in the lattice L ,

$$(iii) \quad p \leq p \cup q^2 = \prod_{j=1}^s q_j = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^2 = (p \cup q)^2 = p^2 \cup q(p \cup q) \\ \leq p^2 \cup q.$$

Since p is prime and $q \not\leq p$, $rq \leq p$ implies that $r \leq p$. Therefore, $p : q \leq p$ and so $p \cap q = (p : q)q \leq pq$, where the first equality follows from the fact that q is principal. Since L is a multiplicative lattice, $pq \leq p \cap q$ and therefore

$$(iv) \quad pq = p \cap q$$

By assumption, p is invertible. Therefore, by Proposition 2.2 and (iv),

$$(v) \quad q = qp : p = (q \cap p) : p = q : p.$$

We now establish the following equation:

$$(vi) \quad (p^2 \cup q): p = p^2: p \cup q: p.$$

By Proposition 2.2, $(p^2: p)p = p^2$ and by (iv) and (v), $(q: p)p = qp = q \cap p$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (p^2: p) \cup (q: p) &= ((p^2: p) \cup (q: p))p: p = ((p^2: p)p \cup (q: p)p): p \\ &= (p^2 \cup (p \cap q)): p = ((p^2 \cup q) \cap p): p = (p^2 \cup q): p \end{aligned}$$

where we have again used Proposition 2.2 as well as the fact that L is modular.

By equation (iii), $p \leq p^2 \cup q$. Therefore, using (vi) and Proposition 2.2 gives

$$e = p: p \leq (p^2 \cup q): p = (p^2: p) \cup (q: p) = p \cup (q: p) = p \cup q.$$

Therefore, $p \cup q = e$ and every invertible prime is maximal. We now show that every prime is invertible. Let p be prime and let q be a principal element with $q \leq p$. Then $q = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i$ where each p_i is prime. Since q is principal it is invertible in L^* . Therefore p_i is invertible in L^* for all i . Thus, each p_i is maximal in L by the first part of the proof. But this implies that $p_i = p$ for some i and so p is invertible.

COROLLARY 2.1. *In a Dedekind lattice, the factorization of an element into a product of primes is unique.*

COROLLARY 2.2. *In a Dedekind lattice every nonzero element is invertible in L^* .*

Proof. If $a \in L$, $a \neq 0$, then $a = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i$ with p_i prime for all i .

By Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 1.3, there exists $b_i \in L$ such that $p_i b_i$ is principal. Then, if $b = \prod_{i=1}^n b_i$, ab is principal so a is invertible by Proposition 1.3.

COROLLARY 2.3. *A Dedekind lattice is a Noether lattice.*

Proof. If L is a Dedekind lattice then every nonzero element of L is invertible by Corollary 2.2. Thus, by Proposition 2.1 every element of L can be written as a finite join of principal elements. By using conditions (B) and (C) imposed on L one can prove exactly as in the ring theoretic case, that L then satisfies the ACC. Thus, L is a Noether lattice.

Dilworth [1] has noted a special case of the following theorem. By using Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 1.3, his proof can be extended to the

present case. It is also possible to give a proof using Theorem 5 of [4]. We give a different proof.

THEOREM 2.2. *A multiplicative lattice, L , satisfying conditions (A) through (D) is a Dedekind lattice if and only if the nonzero elements of L^* form a group.*

Proof. If L is Dedekind every element of L is invertible so the nonzero elements of L^* form a group by Proposition 1.6.

If the nonzero elements of L^* form a group, every element of L is principal by Theorem 1.3. Thus L is a Noether lattice. Let S be the set of elements that cannot be written as a product of prime elements. If S is nonempty it contains a maximal element, a , since L is a Noether lattice. Now, a is not maximal in L since every maximal element of L is prime. Let m be a maximal element of L such that $a \leq m$. Such an element exists since L is a Noether lattice.

Consider $a : m$. Clearly $a \leq a : m$. Moreover $a \neq a : m$. For suppose $a = a : m$. Then, since m is principal and a is invertible in L^* ,

$$m = a^{-1}am = a^{-1}(a : m)m = a^{-1}(a \cap m) = a^{-1}a = e.$$

Thus, $a < a : m$, so $a : m$ is a product of primes, that is, $a : m = p_1 \cdots p_n$, where each p_i is a prime. Then, since m is principal

$$a = a \cap m = (a : m)m = p_1 \cdots p_n m$$

is a representation of a as a product of prime elements. The contradiction establishes the Theorem.

The following result is an immediate consequence of the preceding theorem, Proposition 1.7, and Theorem 1.3.

COROLLARY 2.4. *A multiplicative lattice satisfying (A) through (D) is a Dedekind lattice if and only if it is an M -lattice.*

From the corollary to Theorem 6 of [3], it follows that an M -lattice satisfying (A) through (D) also satisfies the ACC. In [8], M. Ward has investigated M -lattices satisfying the ACC. By using the primary decomposition, he has shown that every element of such a lattice has a unique decomposition into a product of prime elements ([8], Theorem 5.2). This result, together with Proposition 1.5, could also be used to prove Theorem 2.2. Using Corollary 2.4, we also obtain the following restatement of Theorem 6.1 of [8].

THEOREM 2.3. *A multiplicative lattice L is a Dedekind lattice if*

and only if it is a Noether lattice without zero divisors satisfying

- (i) Every primary element of L is a power of a prime;
- (ii) If p is prime, $p \leq q$, and $p \neq q$, then $qp = p$.

For the following theorem, we use the definition of integrally closed elements given in [5].

THEOREM 2.4. *A multiplicative lattice satisfying (A) through (D) is a Dedekind lattice if and only if L satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) L is a Noether lattice;
- (2) Every nonzero prime element of L is maximal;
- (3) Every principal element of L is integrally closed.

Proof. Assume L satisfies (1) through (3) and let p be a prime in L . Let $a \leq p$ be a principal element. By (2) p is a minimal prime associated with a .

In [2], Furuyama has defined the n th symbolic primary power $q^{(n)}$ of a primary element q associated with p to be $(q^n)_p$, where $(q^n)_p$ denotes the p -primary component of q^n . He has then shown that if p is a prime associated with a principal integrally closed element, the only p -primary elements are the symbolic powers $p^{(n)}$. Thus, the symbolic powers $p^{(n)}$ are the only p -primary elements of L . Therefore, the quotient lattice L_p is totally ordered, the only elements of L_p being the powers $[p]^n$ of the maximal element $[p]$. By Theorem 6 of [6], this implies that L is an M -lattice. Thus, by Proposition 1.7 and Theorems 1.2 and 2.2, L is a Dedekind lattice.

Conversely, suppose L is Dedekind. By Corollary 2.3, L is a Noether lattice and by Theorem 2.1, every prime is maximal. Suppose a is a -dependent on b (for a definition of this relation, see [5]). Then there exists an integer n such that $(a \cup b)^{n+1} = b(a \cup b)^n$. Since L is Dedekind, every element of L is invertible in L^* . Thus,

$$a \cup b = (a \cup b)^{n+1}(a \cup b)^{-n} = b(a \cup b)^n(a \cup b)^{-n} = b.$$

Therefore, $a \leq b$, so b is integrally closed.

Since, by Corollary 2.3, a Dedekind lattice is a Noether lattice, the following theorem is an obvious consequence of Theorem 5 of [4].

THEOREM 2.5. *A Dedekind lattice is isomorphic to the lattice of ideals of a Noetherian ring.*

The following result follows from the corresponding ring theoretic result by using Theorem 2.5. A lattice theoretic proof can also be given

which is exactly analogous to the ring theoretic proof.

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let L be a Dedekind lattice. Then every element $\langle a, b \rangle$ of L^* can be written uniquely in the form*

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \prod_{\substack{p \in L \\ p \text{ prime}}} p^{n_p(\langle a, b \rangle)}$$

where $n_p(\langle a, b \rangle)$ is an integer and $n_p(\langle a, b \rangle) = 0$ for all but finitely many p in L . The following equations also hold:

- (1) $n_p(\langle a, b \rangle \cup \langle c, d \rangle) = \min \{n_p(\langle a, b \rangle), n_p(\langle c, d \rangle)\}$
- (2) $n_p(\langle a, b \rangle \cap \langle c, d \rangle) = \max \{n_p(\langle a, b \rangle), n_p(\langle c, d \rangle)\}$
- (3) $n_p(\langle a, b \rangle \langle c, d \rangle) = n_p(\langle a, b \rangle) + n_p(\langle c, d \rangle)$
- (4) $\langle a, b \rangle \leq \langle c, d \rangle$ iff $n_p(\langle a, b \rangle) \geq n_p(\langle c, d \rangle)$ for all primes p in L .

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Dilworth, *Abstract commutative ideal theory*, Pacific J. Math., **12** (1962), 481–498.
2. E. I. Furuyama, Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Washington State University, 1972.
3. M. F. Janowitz, *Principal multiplicative lattices*, Pacific J. Math., **33** (1970), 653–656.
4. E. W. Johnson and J. P. Lediaev, *Representable distributive Noether lattices*, Pacific J. Math., **28** (1969), 561–564.
5. John P. Lediaev, *Asymptotic and integral closure of elements in multiplicative lattices*, Michigan Math. J., **16** (1968), 235–243.
6. P. J. McCarthy, *Arithmetical rings and multiplicative lattices*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **82** (1969), 267–274.
7. P. J. McCarthy, *Note on abstract commutative ideal theory*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **74** (1967), 706–707.
8. M. Ward, *Residuated distributive lattices*, Duke Math. J., **6** (1940), 641–651.
9. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra I*, Princeton: Van Nostrand, 1960.

Received October 26, 1973. This research constitutes a portion of a doctoral dissertation written under the direction of Professor William M. Cunnea at Washington State University.

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 56, No. 1

November, 1975

Shimshon A. Amitsur, <i>Central embeddings in semi-simple rings</i>	1
David Marion Arnold and Charles Estep Murley, <i>Abelian groups, A, such that $\text{Hom}(A, - - -)$ preserves direct sums of copies of A</i>	7
Martin Bartelt, <i>An integral representation for strictly continuous linear operators</i>	21
Richard G. Burton, <i>Fractional elements in multiplicative lattices</i>	35
James Alan Cochran, <i>Growth estimates for the singular values of square-integrable kernels</i>	51
C. Martin Edwards and Peter John Stacey, <i>On group algebras of central group extensions</i>	59
Peter Fletcher and Pei Liu, <i>Topologies compatible with homeomorphism groups</i>	77
George Gasper, Jr., <i>Products of terminating ${}_3F_2(1)$ series</i>	87
Leon Gerber, <i>The orthocentric simplex as an extreme simplex</i>	97
Burrell Washington Helton, <i>A product integral solution of a Riccati equation</i>	113
Melvyn W. Jeter, <i>On the extremal elements of the convex cone of superadditive n-homogeneous functions</i>	131
R. H. Johnson, <i>Simple separable graphs</i>	143
Margaret Humm Kleinfeld, <i>More on a generalization of commutative and alternative rings</i>	159
A. Y. W. Lau, <i>The boundary of a semilattice on an n-cell</i>	171
Robert F. Lax, <i>The local rigidity of the moduli scheme for curves</i>	175
Glenn Richard Luecke, <i>A note on quasidiagonal and quasitriangular operators</i>	179
Paul Milnes, <i>On the extension of continuous and almost periodic functions</i>	187
Hidegoro Nakano and Kazumi Nakano, <i>Connector theory</i>	195
James Michael Osterburg, <i>Completely outer Galois theory of perfect rings</i>	215
Lavon Barry Page, <i>Compact Hankel operators and the F. and M. Riesz theorem</i>	221
Joseph E. Quinn, <i>Intermediate Riesz spaces</i>	225
Shlomo Vinner, <i>Model-completeness in a first order language with a generalized quantifier</i>	265
Jorge Viola-Prioli, <i>On absolutely torsion-free rings</i>	275
Philip William Walker, <i>A note on differential equations with all solutions of integrable-square</i>	285
Stephen Jeffrey Willson, <i>Equivariant maps between representation spheres</i>	291