Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A PRODUCT INTEGRAL SOLUTION OF A RICCATI EQUATION

BURRELL WASHINGTON HELTON

Vol. 56, No. 1

November 1975

A PRODUCT INTEGRAL SOLUTION OF A RICCATI EQUATION

BURRELL W. HELTON

In Memory of Professor H. S. Wall

Product integrals are used to show that, if dw, G, H and K are functions from number pairs to a normed complete ring N which are integrable and have bounded variation on [a, b] and v^{-1} exists and is bounded on [a, b], then the integral equation

$$\beta(x) = w(x) + (LRLR) \int_{a}^{x} (\beta H + G\beta + \beta K\beta)$$

has a solution $\beta(x) = v^{-1}(x)u(x)$ on [a, b], where u and v are defined by the matrix equation

$$[u(x), v(x)] = [w(a), 1]_{a} \prod^{x} \left(I + \begin{bmatrix} H & -K \\ dw & -G \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

The above results are used to show that if p, q, h and r are quasicontinuous functions from the numbers to N such that h is left continuous and has bounded variation and p, q and h commute, then the solution on [a, b] of the differential-type equation $f^{**} + f^*p + fq = r$ is

$$f(x) = f(a)_{a} \prod^{x} (1 - \beta dh) + (R) \int_{a}^{x} dz_{t} \prod^{x} (1 - \beta dh),$$

where $f(x) - f(a) = (L) \int_{a}^{x} f^{*} dh$, β is the solution of

$$\beta(x) = (L) \int_a^x qdh + (LL) \int_a^x \beta(-pdh) + (LR) \int_a^x \beta dh\beta,$$

and z is defined in terms of p, q, r, h and β .

1. Introduction. Adam [1] introduced the concept of continuous continued fractions and showed that the solution of $y' = g'y^2 - f'$ could be given as a continuous continued fraction, provided f'and g' are continuous and positive. Wall [11] [12] showed that, if F_{11}, F_{12}, F_{21} and F_{22} are continuous functions of bounded variation from the real numbers to the complex numbers and |b - a| is sufficiently small, then the solution of

(1)
$$w(x) = z + \int_{b}^{x} w^{2} dF_{21} + \int_{b}^{x} w d(F_{22} - F_{11}) - \int_{b}^{x} dF_{12}$$

is $w(x) = [M_{11}(x, b)z + M_{12}(x, b)][M_{21}(x, b)z + M_{22}(x, b)]^{-1}$, where $F = \begin{bmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ is the function such that $M(x, y) = 1 + \int_{x}^{y} M(x, s) dF(s)$. MacNerney, using the Stieltjes integral in [7] and the subdivision-refinement-type mean integral in [8], extended Wall's results to some types of quasicontinuous linear transformations and showed that the solution of Equation (1) can also be expressed as a continuous continued fraction [8, Theorem 5.3]. In this paper the product integral theory developed by MacNerney [8] [9] and the author [3] is used to find and express (in §3) the solution of

$$\beta(x) = w(x) + (LRLR) \int_{a}^{x} (\beta H + G\beta + \beta K\beta)$$

and to find and express (in §4) the solution of

$$f^{**} + f^*p + fq = r,$$

where w, p, q, r, G, H, K are quasicontinuous functions from numbers or pairs of numbers to a normed complete ring N.

Definitions and notations. The symbol R denotes the 2. set of real numbers and N is a ring which has an identity element 1 and a norm $|\cdot|$ with respect to which N is complete and $|\mathbf{1}| = 1$ (henceforth, the symbol 1 will be used for this identity element). Functions from Rto N and from $R \times R$ to N will be represented by lower case letters and upper case letters, respectively. All sum and product integrals are subdivision-refinement-type limits. If G is a function from $R \times R$ to N, the product integral of G exists on [a, b] iff there exists $A \in N$ such that if ϵ is a positive number then there is a subdivision D of [a, b] such that if $\{x_i\}_0^n$ is a refinement of D then $|A - G_1G_2 \cdots G_n| < \epsilon$, where $G_i = G(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The symbol $_a \prod^b G$ will be used to represent the limit A. A similar definition holds for the sum integral. Upper case letters preceding an integral symbol show how the integrand is to be evaluated: i.e., $(LRLR) \int_{a}^{b} (fH + Gf + fKf) =$ $\int M$, where for x < y

$$M(x, y) = f(x)H(x, y) + G(x, y)f(y) + f(x)G(x, y)f(y).$$

Also, $G \in OA^{0}$ on [a, b] iff $\int_{a}^{b} G$ exists and $\int_{a}^{b} |G - \int G| = 0$; $G \in OM^{0}$ on [a, b] iff $_{x}\Pi^{y}(1 + G)$ exists for $a \leq x \leq y \leq b$ and $\int_{a}^{b} |(1 + G) - \Pi(1 + G)| = 0$; $G \in OB^{0}$ on [a, b] iff there is a number Mand a subdivision D of [a, b] such that, if $\{x_{i}\}_{0}^{n}$ is a refinement of D, then $\sum_{i}^{n} |G(x_{i-1}, x_{i})| \leq M$; the function v^{-1} exists on [a, b] means $v(x)v(x)^{-1} = v(x)^{-1}v(x) = 1$ for $x \in [a, b]$. The function G^{-1} exists on [a, b] means there is a subdivision $\{x_{i}\}_{0}^{n}$ of [a, b] such that if $0 < i \leq n$ and $x_{i-1} \leq x < y \leq x_{i}$, then $G(x, y)^{-1}G(x, y) = G(x, y)G(x, y)^{-1} = 1$. If $\{x_{i}\}_{0}^{n}$ is a subdivision, the symbols f_{i-1}, f_{i} , and G_{i} will be used as shorthand notations for $f(x_{i-1}), f(x_{i})$ and $G(x_{i-1}, x_{i})$, respectively. For additional details pertaining to these definitions, see [3], [4], and [9]. The main results of this paper will be designated as theorems; the supporting theorems will be labeled as lemmas.

3. A Riccati integral equation. In this section we derive a solution for the integral equation

$$f(x) = w(x) + (LRLR) \int_a^x (fH + Gf + fKf).$$

Since the OA° property plays an important role in this paper, please note that the function $G \in OA^{\circ}$ if at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(1) there is a function g such that

$$G(x, y) = g(y) - g(x);$$

(2) if G(x, y) = f(x)H(x, y), where f is quasicontinuous and $H \in OA^{\circ}$ and OB° , [4, Theorem 2];

(3) if G is an integrable function from number pairs to a real Hilbert space which is finite dimensional, [2, Theorem 2].

Also note that, if H, K, W, G are functions from $R \times R$ to N which belong to OA° and OB° , then $\begin{bmatrix} H & K \\ W & G \end{bmatrix}$ represents a matrix Q such that $Q \in OA^{\circ}$ and OB° and, by Lemma 3.1, $Q \in OM^{\circ}$.

LEMMA 3.1. If G is a function from $R \times R$ to a normed complete ring and $G \in OB^{\circ}$, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $G \in OA^{\circ}$ on [a, b] and
- (2) $G \in OM^{\circ}$ on [a, b].

115

This is Theorem 3.4 of [3].

THEOREM 3.2. Given. (1) [a, b] is a number interval. (2) w is a function from R to N and H, G and K are functions from $R \times R$ to N such that each of dw, H, G and K belongs to OA° and OB° .

(3) u and v are functions from R to N such that if $x \in [a, b]$ then u(x) and v(x) are defined by the matrix equation

$$[u(x), v(x)] = [w(a), 1] \prod^{x} \left(I + \begin{bmatrix} H & -K \\ dw & -G \end{bmatrix} \right);$$

and v^{-1} exists and is bounded.

(4) f is a bounded function from R to N, f(a) = w(a) and $f(x) = v(x)^{-1}u(x)$ for $x \in [a, b]$.

Conclusion. If $x \in [a, b]$, then

$$f(x) = w(x) + (LRLR) \int_a^x (fH + Gf + fKf).$$

Furthermore, if w is a constant function, then

$$f(x) = \left[\prod_{a}^{x} (1-G) - w(a)(LR) \int_{a}^{x} \prod_{a}^{t} (1+H)K_{t} \prod^{x} (1-G)\right]^{-1} \\ \left[w(a)_{a} \prod^{x} (1+H)\right].$$

Proof. Let Q be the function such that $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1+H & -K \\ dw & 1-G \end{bmatrix}$; then $Q - I \in OA^\circ$ and OB° and, by Lemma 3.1, $Q - I \in OM^\circ$. Suppose $x \in (a, b]$ and $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ is a subdivision of [a, x]. If $0 < i \le n$, then there exist a_i and $b_i \in N$ such that

$$[v(x_{i})f(x_{i}), v(x_{i})] = [u(x_{i}), v(x_{i})]$$

= $[w(a), 1]_{a} \prod^{x_{i-1}} Q_{x_{i-1}} \prod^{x_{i}} Q$
= $[u(x_{i-1}), v(x_{i-1})]_{x_{i-1}} \prod^{x_{i}} \begin{bmatrix} 1+H & -K \\ dw & 1-G \end{bmatrix}$
= $[u_{i-1}, v_{i-1}] \begin{bmatrix} 1+H_{i} & -K_{i} \\ \Delta w_{i} & 1-G_{i} \end{bmatrix} + [a_{i}, b_{i}]$
= $v_{i-1}[f_{i-1}, 1] \begin{bmatrix} 1+H_{i} & -K_{i} \\ \Delta w_{i} & 1-G_{i} \end{bmatrix} + [a_{i}, b_{i}]$

116

$$= v_{i-1}[f_{i-1}(1+H_i) + \Delta w_i, -f_{i-1}K_i + (1-G)] + [a_i, b_i].$$

Therefore,

$$(v^{-1}_{i-1}v_i)f_i = f_{i-1}(1+H_i) + \Delta w_i + v^{-1}_{i-1}a_i$$

and

$$v^{-1}_{i-1}v_i = -f_{i-1}K_i + 1 - G_i + v^{-1}_{i-1}b_i;$$

hence,

$$(-f_{i-1}K_i + 1 - G_i + v^{-1}_{i-1}b_i)f_i = f_{i-1}(1 + H_i) + \Delta w_i + v^{-1}_{i-1}a_i$$

and

$$f_i - f_{i-1} = \Delta w_i + f_{i-1} H_i + G_i f_i + f_{i-1} K_i f_i - v^{-1} I_{i-1} b_i f_i + v^{-1} I_{i-1} a_i.$$

Since f, u, v and v^{-1} are bounded and since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (|a_i| + |b_i|)$ can be made arbitrarily small with an appropriate choice of a subdivision (since $Q \in OM^0$), then the following integral exists and

$$f(x) - f(a) = w(x) - f(a) + (LRLR) \int_{a}^{x} (fH + Gf + fKf).$$

Since

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} p_{i} & q_{i} \\ 0 & r_{i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & r \end{bmatrix},$$

where $p = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i$, $q = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} p_i) q_j (\prod_{i=j+1}^{n} r_i)$ and $r = \prod_{i=1}^{n} r_i$, and since all the following integrals and product integrals exist, then

$$[w(a), 1]_{a}\prod^{'} \begin{bmatrix} 1+H & -K \\ 0 & 1-G \end{bmatrix} = [w(a), 1] \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A = {}_{a}\Pi^{v}(1+H)$, $B = (LR)\int_{a}^{x} [{}_{a}\Pi^{t}(+H)](1-K)[{}_{t}\Pi^{x}(1-G)]$ and $D = {}_{a}\Pi^{v}(1-G)$; hence, if w is a constant function, then

$$f(x) = [w(a)B + D]^{-1}[w(a)A].$$

THEOREM 3.3. Given. (1) [a, b] is a number interval; (2) w is a function from R to N and H, G and K are functions from

117

 $R \times R$ to N such that each of dw, H, G and K belongs to OA° and OB° ; (3) u and v are functions from R to N such that, if $x \in [a, b]$, then

u(x) and v(x) are defined by the matrix equation

$$[u(x), v(x)] = [w(a), 1] \prod^{x} \left(I + \begin{bmatrix} H & -K \\ dw & -G \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

and $v(x)^{-1}$ exists;

(4) f is a bounded function from R to N, f(a) = w(a), $(1 - G_i - f_{i-1}K_i)^{-1}$ exists and

$$f(x) = w(x) + (LRLR) \int_{a}^{x} (fH + Gf + fKf)$$

for $x \in [a, b]$.

Conclusion. If $x \in [a, b]$, then $f(x) = v(x)^{-1}u(x)$.

Proof. Suppose $x \in [a, b]$ and $\{x_i\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of [a, b]. If $0 < i \le n$, then there exists $\epsilon_i \in N$ such that

$$f(x_i) = w(x_i) + (LRLR) \int_{a}^{x_i} (fH + Gf + fKf)$$

= $\Delta w_i + f_{i-1} + f_{i-1} H_i + G_i f_i + f_{i-1} K_i f_i + \epsilon_i$

and $f_i = b_i^{-1} a_i$, where $b_i = 1 - G_i - f_{i-1} K_i$ and $a_i = f_{i-1}(1+H_i) + (\Delta w_i + \epsilon_i)$. For $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$, let R_i be the 2×2 matrix $R_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1+H_i & -K_i \\ \Delta w_i + \epsilon_i & 1 - G_i \end{bmatrix}$; let $a_0 = w(a)$ and $b_0 = 1$; then $\{a_i\}_0^n$ and $\{b_i\}_0^n$ are elements of N such that, if $0 < i \le n$, then $f_i = b_i^{-1} a_i$ and

$$[a_i, b_i] = [f_{i-1}, 1]R_i = [b_{i-1}^{-1} a_{i-1}, 1]R_i = b_{i-1}^{-1} [a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}]R_i$$

Therefore

$$[a_n, b_n] = \left(\prod_{i=n}^{1} b_{i-1}^{-1}\right) [f_0, 1] \prod_{i=1}^{n} R_i$$

and

(1)
$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i-1}\right) b_{n}[f_{n}, 1] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i-1}[a_{n}, b_{n}] = [f_{0}, 1] \prod_{i=1}^{n} R_{i}.$$

Let Q be the function from $R \times R$ to the set of 2×2 matrices such that $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1+H & -K \\ dw & 1-G \end{bmatrix}$. Since f is quasicontinuous and since each of dw, H, G and K belong to OA⁰ and OB⁰, then Q - I and $-G - fK \in$ OA⁰ and OB⁰ and it follows from Lemma 3.1 that Q - I and -G - fKbelong to OM⁰, the corresponding product integrals exist, $\int_{a}^{b} |Q - \Pi Q| =$ 0 and $\int_{a}^{b} |(1 - G - fK) - \Pi (1 - G - fK)| = 0$. For each subdivision $\{x_i\}_{0}^{n}$ of [a, x], there exist elements d_1, d_2 , and d_3 such that Equation (1) can be

$$\left\{(L)_{a}\prod^{x}(1-G-fK)+d_{1}\right\}[f_{n}, 1]=[f_{0}, 1]\left(\prod^{x}Q+d_{2}+d_{3}\right),$$

where $1 - G_i - f_{i-1}K_i$ is playing the role of b_i and

$$d_{1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - G_{i} - f_{i-1} K_{i}) - (L) \prod^{\Lambda} (1 - G - fK),$$

$$d_{2} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i} - \prod^{\Lambda} Q$$

and

$$d_{3} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} R_{i} - \prod_{i=1}^{n} Q_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} Q_{j} \right) (R_{i} - Q_{i}) \prod_{j=i+1}^{n} R_{j}.$$

Since $R_i - Q_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \epsilon_i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, it follows from the OM^0 and OA^0 properties that each of $|d_i|$, $|d_2|$ and $|d_3|$ can be made arbitrarily small; hence $(L)_a \prod^x (1 - G - fK)[f(x), 1] = [f_0, 1]_a \prod^x Q = [u(x), v(x)]$. It follows from the meaning of equality for matrices that $(L)_a \prod^x (1 - G - fK) = v(x)$, v(x)f(x) = u(x) and $f(x) = v(x)^{-1}u(x)$.

LEMMA 3.4. If $G \in OB^{\circ}$ on [a, b] and $\epsilon > 0$, then there is a number $p \in (a, b]$ such that, if $\{x_i\}_0^n$ is a subdivision of [a, p], then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |G_i| < \epsilon$.

THEOREM 3.5. Given. H, W, K and G are functions from $R \times R$ to N such that each of H, W, K and G belongs to OA° and OB° on [a, b] and u and v are functions from R to N and are defined by the matrix equation

$$[u(x), v(x)] = [u(a), v(a)]_{a} \prod^{\lambda} \left(I + \begin{bmatrix} H & W \\ K & G \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

for $x \in [a, b]$. Conclusion. (1) If $p \in (a, b]$ and 0 < k < 1 and $|v(a) - 1| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |u_{i-1} W_i + v_{i-1} G_i| < k$ for each subdivision $\{x_i\}_0^n$ of [a, p], then v^{-1} exists and is bounded on [a, p]. (2) If $|v(a) - 1| + |u(a)W(a, a^+) + v(a)G(a, a^+)| < 1$, then there exists $p \in (a, b]$ such that v^{-1} exists and is bounded on [a, p].

Proof. Since H, W, K and $G \in OA^{\circ}$ and OB° on [a, b], then $\begin{bmatrix} H & W \\ K & G \end{bmatrix} \in OA^{\circ}$ and OB° on [a, b] and, by Lemma 3.1, $\begin{bmatrix} H & W \\ K & G \end{bmatrix} \in OM^{\circ}$ on [a, b]; also, u and v are quasicontinuous and bounded on [a, b].

We now prove Conclusion 1. Let $x \in [a, p]$ and let $\{x_i\}_i^n$ be a subdivision of [a, x]. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there exist a_i and $b_i \in N$ such that

$$[u(x_{i}), v(x_{i})] = [u(a), v(a)] = \begin{bmatrix} u_{i-1}, v_{i-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{i-1}, v_{i-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + H_{i} & W_{i} \\ K & G \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} u_{i-1}, v_{i-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + H_{i} & W_{i} \\ K_{i} & 1 + G_{i} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_{i}, b_{i} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} u_{i-1}(1 + H_{i}) + v_{i-1}K_{i}, u_{i-1}W_{i} + v_{i-1} + v_{i-1}G_{i} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_{i}, b_{i} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$v_i - 1 = (v_{i-1} - 1) + u_{i-1}W_i + v_{i-1}G_i + b_i;$$

hence, by iteration and the norm properties,

$$|v(x) - 1| = |v_n - 1| \le |v_0 - 1| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |u_{i-1} W_i + v_{i-1} G_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} |b_i|$$

< k + $\sum_{i=1}^{n} |b_i|$.

Let r = (k + 1)/2. Since $\begin{bmatrix} H & W \\ K & G \end{bmatrix} \in OM^0$ and u and v are bounded on [a, b], then there is a subdivision $\{x_i\}_0^n$ of [a, x] such that $\sum_{i=1}^n |b_i| < r - k$ and, hence, |v(x) - 1| < r < 1. Let v denote v(x); then v = 1 + (v - 1), v^{-1} exists, and

$$v^{-1} = 1 - (v - 1) + (v - 1)^2 - (v - 1)^3 + \cdots$$

and

$$|v^{-1}| \leq (1 - |v - 1|)^{-1} \leq (1 - r)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, v^{-1} exists and is bounded by $[1 - (k + 1)/2]^{-1}$ on [a, p].

Since u and v are bounded and G and $W \in OB^0$ on [a, b], then there exist numbers p and k satisfying Conclusion 1, provided $|v(a) - 1| + |u(a)W(a, a^+) + v(a)G(a, a^+)| < 1$; hence, Conclusion 2 follows as a corollary to Conclusion 1.

LEMMA 3.6. If G is a function from $R \times R$ to N such that $G \in OA^{\circ}$ and OB° , then $|G| \in OA^{\circ}$.

A proof for this lemma is given in [6].

LEMMA 3.7. If G is a function from $R \times R$ to N, and $G \in OA^{\circ}$ and OB° , then $\left| \int_{a}^{b} G \right| \leq \int_{a}^{b} |G|$.

Outline of proof.

$$\left|\int_a^b G\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left|\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} G - G_i\right| + \sum_{i=1}^n |G_i|.$$

LEMMA 3.8. Given. H and G are functions from $R \times R$ to R and c is a number such that $H \ge 0$, $G \ge 0$, $1 - G \ge c > 0$, and H and $G \in OA^{\circ}$ and OB° on [a, b]; f is a bounded function from R to R and k is a number such that $f(x) \le k + (LR) \int_{a}^{x} (fH + fG)$ for $x \in [a, b]$.

Conclusion. If $x \in [a, b]$, then $f(x) \leq k_a \prod^x (1+H)(1-G)^{-1}$. This is Theorem 4 of [4].

LEMMA 3.9. If $G \in OA^{\circ}$ and OB° and f is quasicontinuous on [a, b], then fG and $Gf \in OA^{\circ}$ on [a, b].

This is a special case of [4, Theorem 2].

THEOREM 3.10. Given. (1) [a, b] is a number interval;

(2) w is a function from R to N and H, G and K are functions from $R \times R$ to N such that each of dw, H, G and K belongs to OA° and OB° on [a, b];

(3) f and g are bounded functions from R to N and c is a number such that $1-|B| \ge c > 0$, where B(x, y) = G(x, y) + g(x)K(x, y) and on [a, b] each of f and g is a solution of the integral equation

$$f(x) = w(x) + (LRLR) \int_a^x (fH + Gf + fKf).$$

Conclusion. If $x \in [a, b]$, then f(x) = g(x).

Proof. Since f and g are bounded and since dw, H, G and $K \in OA^{\circ}$ and OB° , then each of f, g and |f-g| is a quasicontinuous function. Let A be the function A(x, y) = H(x, y) + K(x, y)f(y) for $a \leq x < y \leq b$; then it follows from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.9 that A, B, |A| and $|B| \in OA^{\circ}$ and OB° and that $(LR) \int_{a}^{b} [|f-g| |A| + |B| ||f-g|]$ exists. If $x \in [a, b]$, then

$$|f(x) - g(x)| = \left| (LR) \int_{a}^{x} \left[(f - g)A + B(f - g) \right] \right|$$

$$\leq 0 + (LR) \int_{a}^{x} \left[|f - g| |A| + |B| |f - g| \right] \text{ (Lemma 3.7).}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.8 that

$$|f(x) - g(x)| \leq 0 \cdot \prod_{a} (1 + |A|)(1 - |B|)^{-1} = 0.$$

Therefore, if $x \in [a, b]$, then f(x) = g(x).

The restrictions $1 - |B| \ge c > 0$ and $(1 - G_i - f_{i-1}K_i)^{-1}$ cannot be deleted from the hypothesis of Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 3.3, respectively. Consider the following example. Let u, v, and g be functions from R to R such that u(x) = 0 for $x \in [0, 2]$, v(x) = g(x) = 0 for $x \in [0, 1]$ and v(x) = g(x) = 1 for $x \in (1, 2]$. Each of u and v is a solution on [0, 2] for the equation $f(x) = (R) \int_0^x f dg$. See [5] for solutions of equations in which the restriction $1 - |B| \ge c > 0$ does not hold.

Theorems similar to Theorems 3.2, 3.3 and 3.10 can be proved for $f(x) = u(x)v(x)^{-1}$,

$$f(x) = w(x) + (RLRL) \int_a^x (fG + Hf + fKf),$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(x)\\v(x)\end{bmatrix} = {}_{a}\prod^{x}Q\begin{bmatrix} w(a)\\1\end{bmatrix},$$

where
$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1+H & dw \\ -K & 1-G \end{bmatrix}$$
 and
 $_{a}\prod^{x} Q = \lim Q(x_{n-1}, x_{n}) \cdots Q(x_{1}, x_{2})Q(x_{0}, x_{1}).$

We will now compare the Riccati equation for Riemann-Stieltjes integrals with the Riccati equation for the (LRLR)-integral. In this and the next paragraph, G is continuous at p means $G(p^-, p) = 0 =$ $G(p, p^+)$; also, the symbol $(RS) \int_a^b E(f)$ is used to denote a Riemann-Stieltjes-type integral: i.e., for each subdivision $\{x_i\}_0^n$ of [a, b], the approximating sum has the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n} E[f(c_i)]$, where $x_{i-1} \leq c_i \leq x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Suppose that w, H, G and K satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2. If f is the solution of the Riccati equation

$$f(x) = w(x) + (RS) \int_a^x fH + (RS) \int_a^x Gf + (RS) \int_a^x fKf$$

on [a, b], then f is the solution of

(1)
$$f(x) = w(x) + (LRLR) \int_a^x (fH + Gf + fKf)$$

on [a, b]. If f is a solution of

(2)
$$f(x) = w(x) + (RS) \int_a^x (fH + Gf + fKf)$$

on [a, b] and either f is continuous on [a, b] or each of H, G and K is continuous on [a, b], then f is the solution of Equation 1 on [a, b]. Equation 2 can have a solution f on [a, b] even though each of f, w, H, G and K has a discontinuity.

EXAMPLE. Suppose that N is a field, a , and g is a function of bounded variation which is continuous on <math>[a, p) and on [p, b]; f is the function such that

$$f(x) = 1 + (LRLR) \int_{a}^{x} (fdg + dgf + fdgf)$$

for $x \in [a, p)$ and

$$f(x) = -2 - f(p^{-}) + (LRLR) \int_{p}^{x} (fdg + dgf + fdgf)$$

for $x \in [p, b]$; also,

$$g(p) - g(p^{-}) = -2[1 + f(p^{-})]/f(p^{-})[f(p^{-}) + 2].$$

The function f is the solution on [a, b] of Equation (2) with dg = H = G = K; however, f is not the solution of Equation (1) unless $f(p^{-}) = -1$. Furthermore, if g(p) is defined differently, then Equation (2) has no solution on [a, p].

In order for the Riemann-Stieltjes equation to have a solution which is not a solution of the (LRLR)-equation, there must be an interdependence between the functions w, H, G and K. The following discussion illustrates this. Suppose that N is a field and that w, H, Gand K are functions that satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2 and that on [a, b] the function f is a solution of Equation (2) but is not a solution of Equation (1); then there is a number $p \in [a, b]$ such that f is not continuous at p. For convenience suppose that $f(p^-) \neq f(p)$ and, in the following manipulations, let $f_1, f_2, \Delta w, H, G$ and K denote $f(p^-), f(p),$ $w(p) - w(p^-), H(p^-, p), G(p^-, p)$ and $K(p^-, p)$, respectively. Then

$$f(p) = f(p^{-}) + \Delta w + (RS) \int_{p^{-}}^{p} (fH + Gf + fKf),$$

$$f_{2} = f_{1} + \Delta w + f_{1}H + Gf_{1} + f_{1}Kf_{1},$$

$$= f_{1} + \Delta w + f_{2}H + Gf_{2} + f_{2}Kf_{2},$$

$$f_{2}H + Gf_{2} + f_{2}Kf_{2} = f_{1}H + Gf_{1} + f_{1}Kf_{1}$$

and

$$(f_2 - f_1)(H + Kf_2) + (G + f_1K)(f_2 - f_1) = 0.$$

Since $f_2 - f_1 \neq 0$ and N is a field, then

$$H + G + Kf_2 + f_1K = 0.$$

Substituting for f_2 and simplifying, we obtain

(3) $K^2 f_1^2 + (2 + H + G) K f_1 + (H + G + \Delta w K) = 0.$

Since $f_1 = f(p^-) = w(p^-) + (RS) \int_a^{p^-} (fH + Gf + fKf)$, then the value of $f(p^-)$ depends only on the values of w, H, G and K on the half open interval [a, p); however, Equation (3) depends on the values of w, H, G and K on the closed interval [a, p]. Hence, these functions cannot be defined independently. For example, if $K \neq 0$ and a different value is assigned to w(p), then Equation (3) is no longer true and the Riemann-Stieltjes equation has no solution on [a, p] unless compensating values are assigned to $H(p^-, p), G(p^-, p)$ and $K(p^-, p)$. However, the new (*LRLR*)-Riccati equation will have a solution on [a, p].

4. A differential-type equation. In this section we find the solution of $f^{**} + f^*p + fq = r$, where f^* and f^{**} are defined as follows. If [a, b] is a number interval and h is a left continuous function from R to N such that $dh \in OB^0$, then D(h, a, b) denotes the set of ordered pairs of functions such that $(f, g) \in D(h, a, b)$ iff g is a quasicontinuous function from R to N such that f(x) - f(a) = $(L) \int_a^x gdh$ for $x \in [a, b]$. If $(f, g) \in D(h, a, b)$, then g is denoted by f^* . Also,

 $f^{**} = (f^*)^*$ and $f \cong w$ iff $(L) \int_a^x f dh = (L) \int_a^x w dh$ for $x \in [a, b]$. In this section all integrals and product integrals are Cauchy-left-type integrals unless indicated otherwise.

LEMMA 4.1. If (f, f^*) and $(g, g^*) \in D(h, a, b)$, then $(f + g, f^* + g^*) \in D(h, a, b)$.

LEMMA 4.2. If (f, f^*) and $(g, g^*) \in D(h, a, b)$, g^* , h and g commute and z is the function such that $z(x) = g(x^+) - g(x)$ for $x \in [a, b]$, then $(fg, f^*g + fg^* + f^*z) \in D(h, a, b)$.

Indication of proof. Since (g, g^*) and $(f, f^*) \in D(h, a, b)$, then g is left continuous and $df \in OB^0$; hence,

$$\int_{a}^{x} df dg = (L) \int_{a}^{x} (df)z,$$

$$(L) \int_{a}^{x} (df)g = (R) \int_{a}^{x} [(df)g - (df)(dg)]$$

and

$$(L) \int_{a}^{x} (f^{*}g + fg^{*} + f^{*}z)dh = (LLL) \int_{a}^{x} [(df)g + fdg + (df)z]$$
$$= (RLL) \int_{a}^{x} [(df)g + fdg - (df)dg + (df)z]$$
$$= (RL) \int_{a}^{x} [(df)g + fdg]$$
$$= f(x)g(x) - f(a)g(a)$$

LEMMA 4.3. Given. [a, b] is a number interval; f and h are functions from R to N such that f(a) = h(a) and $dh \in OB^{\circ}$; G is a function from $R \times R$ to N such that $G \in OB^{\circ}$ and OA°

Conclusion. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1) if $x \in [a, b]$, then $f(x) = h(x) + (L) \int_{a}^{x} fG$; and
- (2) if $x \in [a, b]$, then

$$f(x) = f(a)_{a} \prod^{x} (1+G) + (R) \int_{a}^{x} dh_{i} \prod^{x} (1+G).$$

This lemma is a special case of Theorem 5.1 of [3].

THEOREM 4.4. Given. (1) [a, b] is a number interval; (2) h, p, q, u, v, β and s are functions from R to N such that h is left continuous, $dh \in OB^0$, p and q are quasicontinuous on [a, b] and, if $x \in [a, b]$, then u(x) and v(x) are defined by the matrix equation

$$[u(x), v(x)] = [0, 1](\dot{L})_{a} \prod^{x} \left(I + \begin{bmatrix} -p & -1 \\ q & 0 \end{bmatrix} dh \right),$$

 $v(x)^{-1}$ exists, $\beta(x) = v(x)^{-1}u(x)$ and $s(x) = \beta(x^+) - \beta(x)$; also, v^{-1} is bounded on [a, b]; (3) if $a \le x \le y \le b$, then p(x), p(y), q(x), q(y), h(x) and h(y) commute; (4) f and r are functions from R to N and r is quasicontinuous.

Conclusion. The following statements are equivalent.

(1) There exist functions f^* and f^{**} such that (f, f^*) and $(f^*, f^{**}) \in D(h, a, b)$ and such that on [a, b]

$$f^{**} + f^*p + fq = r.$$

(2) If $x \in [a, b]$, then

$$f(x) = f(a)(L) \prod_{a} \prod^{x} (1 - \beta dh) + (R) \int_{a}^{x} dz(L) \prod^{x} (1 - \beta dh),$$

where $\alpha = p - \beta - s$, $z(x) = f(a) + (L) \int_{a}^{x} w dh$, $g(x) = f^{*}(a) + (L) \int_{a}^{x} r dh$ and

$$w(x) = f^{*}(a)(L)_{a} \prod^{x} (1 - \alpha dh) + (R) \int_{a}^{x} dg(L)_{t} \prod^{x} (1 - \alpha dh).$$

Proof. Since $dh \in OB^0$ and h is left continuous and since p and q are quasicontinuous, then u and v are left continuous and

quasicontinuous. Since v^{-1} is bounded and $\beta = v^{-1}u$, then β is left continuous, quasicontinuous and commutes with h. If $x \in [a, b]$, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that

$$\beta(x) = (L) \int_a^x qdh + (LL) \int_a^x \beta(-pdh) + (LR) \int_a^x \beta dh\beta.$$

Let α , s and k be the functions such that $s(t) = \beta(t^+) - \beta(t)$, $\alpha = p - \beta - s$, k(a) = 0, and $k = q + \beta^2 - \beta p + \beta s$; then, for $x \in [a, b]$,

$$(L) \int_{a}^{x} kdh = (L) \int_{a}^{x} (q + \beta^{2} - \beta p + \beta s) dh$$
$$= (L) \int_{a}^{x} qdh + \left[(LR) \int_{a}^{x} \beta dh\beta - (L) \int_{a}^{x} \beta dh d\beta \right]$$
$$+ (LL) \int_{a}^{x} \beta (-pdh) + (LL) \int_{a}^{x} \beta sdh.$$

Since β is left continuous, then

$$(L) \int_a^x \beta dh \, d\beta = (LL) \int_a^x \beta s dh,$$

 $\int_{a}^{x} kdh = \beta(x) - \beta(a) \text{ and } (\beta, k) \in D(h, a, b); k \text{ will be denoted by } \beta^{*}.$ Note that $\beta, \alpha, \beta^{*}, p, q$ and h commute on [a, b] and that $q = \beta^{*} + \beta\alpha$.

Proof of $1 \rightarrow 2$. Since the triple (f, f^*) , (β, β^*) , s satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.2, then $(f\beta, f^*\beta + f\beta^* + f^*s) \in D(h, a, b)$. Hence,

$$(f^* + f\beta)^* + (f^* + f\beta)\alpha$$

$$\cong f^{**} + f^*\beta + f\beta^* + f^*s + f^*\alpha + f\beta\alpha$$

$$= f^{**} + f^*(\beta + s + \alpha) + f(\beta^* + \beta\alpha)$$

$$= f^{**} + f^*p + fq = r$$

and

$$(f^* + f\beta)^* \cong r - (f^* + f\beta)\alpha.$$

If we integrate each member of the preceding equation with respect to h and recall that $\beta(a) = 0$, we obtain

$$(f^*+f\beta)(x)=g(x)+(L)\int_a^x(f^*+f\beta)(-\alpha dh),$$

where $g(x) = f^*(a) + (L) \int_a^x r dh$. It follows from Lemma 4.3, $1 \rightarrow 2$, that

$$(f^* + f\beta)(x) = f^*(a) \,_{a} \prod^{x} (1 - \alpha dh) + (R) \,\int_{a}^{x} dg \,_{t} \prod^{x} (1 - \alpha dh)$$

for $x \in [a, b]$. Let w(x) respresent the right member in the preceding equation. If $x \in [a, b]$, then $f^*(x) = w(x) - f(x)\beta(x)$ and by integrating both members we obtain

$$f(x) = z(x) + (L) \int_a^x f(-\beta dh),$$

where $z(x) = f(a) + (L) \int_{a}^{x} w dh$ and z(a) = f(a). It follows from Lemma 4.3, $1 \rightarrow 2$, that

$$f(x) = f(a)_{a} \prod^{x} (1 - \beta dh) + (R) \int_{a}^{x} dz_{t} \prod^{x} (1 - \beta dh).$$

Proof of $2 \rightarrow 1$. Functions f^{**} and f^{*} will be defined such that (f, f^{*}) and $(f^{*}, f^{**}) \in D(h, a, b)$ and such that on [a, b] $f^{**} + f^{*}p + fq = r$.

Let $f^* = w - f\beta$. Since f satisfies the second statement of the conclusion, it follows from Lemma 4.3, $2 \rightarrow 1$, that for $x \in [a, b]$

$$f(x) = z(x) + (L) \int_{a}^{x} f(-\beta dh)$$
$$= f(a) + (L) \int_{a}^{x} w dh + (L) \int_{a}^{x} f(-\beta dh)$$
$$= f(a) + (L) \int_{a}^{x} f^{*} dh$$

and $(f, f^*) \in D(h, a, b)$.

Let f^{**} be the function such that

$$f^{**} = r - (f^* + f\beta)\alpha - (f^*\beta + f\beta^* + f^*s).$$

Since $\beta(a) = 0$ and

$$(f^* + f\beta)(x) = w(x)$$

= $f^*(a) \,_{a} \prod^{x} (1 - \alpha dh) + (R) \int_{a}^{x} dg \,_{i} \prod^{x} (1 - \alpha dh)$

for $x \in [a, b]$, it follows from Lemma 4.3, $2 \rightarrow 1$, that

$$(f^* + f\beta)(x) = g(x) + (L) \int_a^x (f^* + f\beta)(-\alpha dh)$$

and, hence,

$$f^*(x) = g(x) + (L) \int_a^x (f^* + f\beta)(-\alpha dh) - f(x)\beta(x).$$

Since $(f\beta, f^*\beta + f\beta^* + f^*s) \in D(h, a, b)$ and $\beta(a) = 0$, it follows from the definition of f^{**} that

$$(L) \int_{a}^{x} f^{**} dh = (L) \int_{a}^{x} [r - (f^{*} + f\beta)\alpha - (f^{*}\beta + f\beta^{*} + f^{*}s)] dh$$
$$= -f^{*}(a) + \left[g(x) + (L) \int_{a}^{x} (f^{*} + f\beta)(-\alpha dh) - f(x)g(x)\right]$$

 $= f^*(x) - f^*(a)$

for $x \in [a, b]$; hence, $(f^*, f^{**}) \in D(h, a, b)$. Since

$$f^{**} + f^*p + fq = [r - (f^* + f\beta)\alpha - (f^*\beta + f\beta^* + f^*s)] + f^*(\alpha + \beta + s) + f(\beta^* + \alpha\beta) = r,$$

then the triple f, f^*, f^{**} satisfies the given equation.

Suppose that on [a, b] the functions h, p and q are defined as in Theorem 4.4 except for the restrictions pertaining to v^{-1} . If $h \in C^0$, it follows from Theorem 3.5 that there is a subdivision $\{x_i\}_0^n$ of [a, b] and functions $\{\beta_i\}_1^n$, $\{u_i\}_1^n$ and $\{v_i\}_1^n$ such that for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$

$$[u_i(x), v_i(x)] = [0, 1]_{x_{i-1}} \prod^x \left(I + \begin{bmatrix} -p & -1 \\ q & 0 \end{bmatrix} dh \right),$$

 $\beta_i(x) = v_i(x)^{-1} u_i(x)$, and v_i^{-1} exists and is bounded on $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$. Hence, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, Theorem 4.4 gives the solution of $f^{**} + f^*p + fq = r$ on $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ which is unique for a given pair $f^*(x_{i-1})$ and $f(x_{i-1})$. Therefore, Theorem 4.4 can be used to find a unique solution on [a, b] for given values of f(a) and $f^*(a)$.

A theorem similar to Theorem 4.4 can be stated and proved for the equation $f^{**} + pf^* + qf = r$; however, Theorem 5.2 of [3] would be used in the proof instead of Lemma 4.3. If (f, f^*) means $f(x) - f(a) = (R) \int_a^x f^* dh$ and h is right continuous, a theorem similar to Theorem 4.4 can be stated and proved.

References

1. P. Puig Adam, Los fracciones continuas de cocientes incompletos differenciales y sus aplicaciones, Revista Mat. Hisp. Amer. II, (1951), 180-190.

2. W. D. L. Appling, Interval functions and real Hilbert spaces, Rend.-Circl. Mat. Palermo, Ser. 2, 11 (1962), 154–156.

3. B. W. Helton, Integral equations and product integrals, Pacific J. Math. 16 (1966), 297-322.

4. _____, A product integral representation for a Gronwall inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 23 (1969), 493–500.

5. _____, Solutions of $f(x) = f(a) + (RL) \int_{a}^{x} (fH + fG)$ for rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 25 (1970), 735-742.

6. Jon C. Helton, An existence theorem for sum and product integrals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 39 (1973), 149–154.

7. J. S. MacNerney, Stieltjes integrals in linear spaces, Ann. of Math., (2) 61 (1955), 354-367.

8. ____, Continuous products in linear spaces, J. Elisha Mitchell Sci. Soc., 71 (1955), 185-200.

9. ____, Integral equations and semigroups, Illinois J. Math., 7 (1963), 148-173.

10. Alfred Stohr, Über einen integralartigen Grenzubergang bei Kettenbruchen, Math. Nachr., 6 (1951), 103-107.

11. H. S. Wall, Concerning continuous continued fractions and certain systems of Stieltjes integral equations, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, (2), 2 (1953), 73–84.

12. ____, Concerning harmonic matrices, Archiv. der Math., V (1954), 160-167.

Received September 12, 1973 and in revised form June 11, 1974.

SOUTHWEST TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 56, No. 1 November, 1975

Shimshon A. Amitsur, Central embeddings in semi-simple rings	1
David Marion Arnold and Charles Estep Murley, Abelian groups, A, such	
that $Hom(A,)$ preserves direct sums of copies of A	7
Martin Bartelt, An integral representation for strictly continuous linear	
operators	21
Richard G. Burton, Fractional elements in multiplicative lattices	35
James Alan Cochran, Growth estimates for the singular values of	
square-integrable kernels	51
C. Martin Edwards and Peter John Stacey, <i>On group algebras of central group extensions</i>	59
Peter Fletcher and Pei Liu, <i>Topologies compatible with homeomorphism</i>	
groups	77
George Gasper, Jr., <i>Products of terminating</i> $_{3}F_{2}(1)$ <i>series</i>	87
Leon Gerber, <i>The orthocentric simplex as an extreme simplex</i>	97
Burrell Washington Helton, A product integral solution of a Riccati	
equation	113
Melvyn W. Jeter, On the extremal elements of the convex cone of	
superadditive n-homogeneous functions	131
R. H. Johnson, Simple separable graphs	143
Margaret Humm Kleinfeld, More on a generalization of commutative and	
alternative rings	159
A. Y. W. Lau, <i>The boundary of a semilattice on an n-cell</i>	171
Robert F. Lax, <i>The local rigidity of the moduli scheme for curves</i>	175
Glenn Richard Luecke, A note on quasidiagonal and quasitriangular	
operators	179
Paul Milnes, On the extension of continuous and almost periodic	
functions	187
Hidegoro Nakano and Kazumi Nakano, Connector theory.	195
James Michael Osterburg, Completely outer Galois theory of perfect	
rings	215
Lavon Barry Page, Compact Hankel operators and the F. and M. Riesz	
theorem	221
Joseph E. Quinn, Intermediate Riesz spaces	225
Shlomo Vinner, Model-completeness in a first order language with a	
generalized quantifier	265
Jorge Viola-Prioli, On absolutely torsion-free rings	275
Philip William Walker, A note on differential equations with all solutions of	
integrable-square	285
Stephen Jeffrey Willson, Equivariant maps between representation	
spheres	291