

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE BOUNDARY OF A SEMILATTICE ON AN n -CELL

A. Y. W. LAU

THE BOUNDARY OF A SEMILATTICE ON AN n -CELL

A. Y. W. LAU

This paper presents a complete solution to the following problem: if S is a topological semilattice on an n -cell ($n \geq 2$) and B is the boundary, then $B^2 = S$. Other problems of semilattices are solved also.

A topological semilattice S is a Hausdorff space equipped with an associative binary operation which is jointly continuous and satisfies the equations $xy = yx$ and $x^2 = x$ for all x, y . It is easy to see that $x \leq y$ defined by $xy = x$ is a partial order which is closed in $S \times S$, and we shall use $L(x) = \{y \in S \mid y \leq x\}$ and $M(x) = \{y \in S \mid y \geq x\}$. If $M(x)$ is connected for each $x \in S$, then S is called an M -semilattice. The boundary of an n -cell in n -space is denoted by $B(I^n)$ or just B if there is no confusion, and I is the unit interval $[0, 1]$.

Before we proceed to the theorems, we need some preliminary notions. If $f: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow X$ is a continuous function into a space X such that $f(B(I^n)) = p$, then f goes homotopically to p (denoted by $f \xrightarrow{X} p$) if there exists a continuous function $H: I^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ such that $H(x, 1) = f(x)$ and $H(x, 0) = p$ for all $x \in I^n$ and $H(B(I^n) \times I) = p$. The first lemma could be found in [2].

LEMMA 1. *If S is a compact connected subsemilattice of T where T is a semilattice on an n -cell and $B \subseteq S$, then $S = T$.*

LEMMA 2. *If T is an $(n + 1)$ -cell ($n \geq 1$) and $B(T) \subseteq X \subseteq T$ and there exists $p \in B$ such that $f \xrightarrow{X} p$ for each continuous $f: I^n \rightarrow B$ with $f(B(I^n)) = p$, then $X = T$.*

Proof. Let $f: I^n \rightarrow B$ be a continuous surjective function such that $f(B(I^n)) = p$ and f is one-to-one on $I^n \setminus B(I^n)$ into $B \setminus p$. Then there exists continuous $H: I^n \times I$ such that $H(x, 1) = f(x)$ and $H(x, 0) = p$ for all $x \in I^n$ and $H(B(I^n) \times I) = p$. We can put an equivalence relation R on I^{n+1} by identifying all the points on $I^n \times \{0\} \cup B(I^n) \times I$. Then H induces a continuous function $H^*: I^{n+1}/R \rightarrow X$ such that I^{n+1}/R is topologically an $(n + 1)$ -cell and H^* restricted to the boundary of I^{n+1}/R is a homeomorphism onto B .

Suppose $X \neq T$. Then there exists a retraction $r : X \rightarrow B$. Then $r \circ H^* : I^{n+1}/R \rightarrow B$ is an r -map¹ which leads to a contradiction. Hence $X = T$.

LEMMA 3. *If T is an $(n + 1)$ -cell ($n \geq 1$) and A is an arc contained in T with end-points p and q such that $A \cap B = \{q\}$ and $A \cup B \subseteq X \subseteq T$ such that $f \xrightarrow{x} p$ for each continuous $f : I^n \rightarrow A \cup B$ with $f(B(I^n)) = p$, then $X = T$.*

Proof. For the sake of notation, we consider the n -cell I^n to be $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\| \leq 1\}$. Let k be a continuous function from $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$ onto B such that $k(B(D)) = q$ and k is 1-1 on $D \setminus B(D)$ into $B \setminus q$. Since A is an arc, we let h be a homeomorphism from $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ onto A such that $h(\frac{1}{2}) = q$ and $h(1) = p$.

$$\text{Let } f(x) = \begin{cases} k(x) & \text{if } x \in D \\ h(\|x\|) & \text{if } \|x\| \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Then $f \xrightarrow{x} p$. Hence there exists $H : I^n \times I \rightarrow X$ such that $H(x, 1) = f(x)$ and $H(x, 0) = p$ for all $x \in I^n$ and $H(B(I^n) \times I) = p$. Define an equivalence relation R on $I^n \times I$ by $(x, y)R(a, b)$ iff $(x, y) = (a, b)$ or $\{(x, y), (a, b)\} \subseteq I^n \times \{0\} \cup B(I^n) \times I$ or $y = b = 1$ and $\|x\| = \|a\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then H again induces a continuous $H^* : I^{n+1}/R \rightarrow X$ such that I^{n+1}/R is topologically an $(n + 1)$ -cell and H^* restricted to its boundary is a homeomorphism onto B . By a similar argument to that in Lemma 2, we conclude that $X = T$.

We can now proceed to prove Theorems A and B which answer Problem 44 in [1].

THEOREM A. *If S is a topological semilattice on an $(n + 1)$ -cell ($n \geq 1$), then $B^2 = S$.*

Proof. Let 0 be the zero of S .

Case I. Suppose $0 \in B$. Let $f : I^n \rightarrow B$ be a continuous function such that $f(B(I^n)) = 0$. Then one can define $H : I^{n+1} \rightarrow B \cdot B$ by $H(x, y) = f(x)f(xy)$ where $xy = (x_1, \dots, x_n)y = (x_1y, \dots, x_ny)$. Then H is the appropriate function to make $f \xrightarrow{B^2} 0$. Since $B \subseteq B^2$, then by Lemma 2, $B^2 = S$.

Case II. Suppose $0 \notin B$. Let $a \in B$. Then there exists an arc chain K from a to 0 . Let $q = \inf(K \cap B)$. Then $A = L(q) \cap K$ is an

¹ A discussion of r -map could be found in K. Borsuk's "Theory of Retracts".

arc chain from q to 0 such that $A \cap B = \{q\}$. If $f: I^n \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a continuous function such that $f(B(I^n)) = 0$, then $H: I^{n+1} \rightarrow (A \cup B) \cdot (A \cup B)$ defined by $H(x, y) = f(x)f(xy)$ is again the appropriate function. By Lemma 3, $(A \cup B)^2 = S$. But $(A \cup B)^2 \subseteq L(q) \cup B^2 \subseteq L(a) \cup B^2$. Hence for each $a \in B$, we have $S \subseteq L(a) \cup B^2$.

Suppose $x \in S$ and $x \neq 0$. If $B \subseteq M(x)$, then the compact connected subsemilattice generated by $B, \cup_{n \geq 1} B^n$, is contained in $M(x)$. By Lemma 1, that would make $S \subseteq M(x)$, which implies that $x = 0$. So it must be that there exists $a \in B$ such that $a \notin M(x)$. In other words, $x \notin L(a)$. But $S \subseteq L(a) \cup B^2$. Hence $x \in B^2$. If each nonzero x belongs to B^2 , then $S = B^2$ since B^2 is closed and 0 is a limit point of nonzero elements.

THEOREM B. *There exists a topological semilattice on a two-cell such that there is an element $x \in B$ and if $y \in B$, then $xy \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let A be a topological semilattice on an arc such that A has zero as a cutpoint and an identity as an endpoint (e.g., the subsemilattice of $I \times I$ given by $\{(x, y) | x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0 \text{ or } x = 1\}$). Then $A \times A$ is a semilattice on a two-cell with coordinate multiplication. Consider $(1, 1) \in B$ and if $(a, b) \in B$, then $(1, 1)(a, b) = (a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, since $(0, 0)$ is not on the boundary. Hence $(1, 1)$ has no zero-divisor on the boundary.

Theorem C (its corollary) and D are related to questions raised in [2] on M -semilattices, namely, a converse of Lemma 2 and a generalization of Lemma 1 in [2].

THEOREM C². *If S is a semilattice on an n -cell such that for each $x \in S$, $M(x) \cap B$ is connected, then S is an M -semilattice.*

Proof. Let K be the component of $M(ab)$ containing $M(ab) \cap B$ where $a, b \in B$. Since K is a subsemilattice of $M(ab)$, then $ab \in K^2 \subseteq K$. Let $x \in M(ab)$. Then $x \cong y$ for some maximal element y in $M(ab)$. Hence y is also maximal in S . Thus $y \in B \cap M(ab) \subseteq K$. But $x, ab \in Kx$ which is a connected set contained in $M(ab)$. We have $M(ab)$ connected. By Theorem A, each element in S can be written as a product of some $a, b \in B$. Hence S is an M -semilattice.

COROLLARY. *If S is a semilattice on a two-cell such that if $a, b \in B = [a, b] \cup [b, a]$, then $[a, b] \subseteq M(ab)$ or $[b, a] \subseteq M(ab)$, then S is an M -semilattice.*

² The author is grateful to the referee for this generalization.

Proof. If $x, y \in B$, then denote the counter-clockwise arc from x to y on B by $[x, y]$. Let $a, b \in B$. We claim that $M(ab) \cap B$ is connected. If it is not connected, then there exist $r, t \in M(ab) \cap B$ such that $r \neq t$ and $[r, t] \cap (M(ab) \cap b) = \{r, t\}$. Note that $M(rt) \subseteq M(ab)$. Since $[r, t] \subseteq M(rt)$, then $[t, r] \subseteq M(rt) \subseteq M(ab)$. We have $M(ab) \cap B = [t, r]$ which is connected.

The proof of Lemma 1 in [2] relies on the existence of arc-chains in compact M -semilattice. Theorem *D* applies to topological M -semilattices.

THEOREM D. *If S is an M -semilattice and f is a continuous homomorphism from S onto a semilattice T , then T is an M -semilattice and f is a monotone function.*

Proof. Let $y \in T$ and $a, b \in M(y)$. Since f is surjective, there exist $c, d \in S$ such that $f(c) = a$, $f(d) = b$. Then $f(cd) = f(c)f(d) = ab \geq y$. Hence $f(M(cd)) \subseteq M(y)$. But $c, d \in M(cd)$ which is connected. Hence $f(c)$, $f(d)$ belong to a connected set $f(M(cd))$ which is contained in $M(y)$. Thus $M(y)$ is connected.

To show f is monotone, one has to show $f^{-1}(y)$ is connected. Let $a, b \in f^{-1}(y)$ and $a \leq b$. Since $M(a)$ is connected, then $b \cdot M(a)$ is connected. If $x \in M(a)$, then $a = ab \leq xb \leq b$ which yields $nf(a) \leq f(xb) \leq f(b)$, i.e., $f(xb) = y$. Hence $b \cdot M(a)$ is contained in $f^{-1}(y)$ and contains a, b . If $a \not\leq b$, then $ab \leq a$ and $ab \leq b$ and $f(ab) = f(a)f(b) = y^2 = y$. In this case, there exists connected sets in $f^{-1}(y)$ which contain $\{ab, a\}$ and $\{ab, b\}$. Hence $f^{-1}(y)$ is connected.

It would be interesting to generalize the concept of boundary (by homotopy or cohomology) to general semilattices (e.g., as in [4]) such that $B^2 = S$ still holds. Also, there is no structure theorem concerning semilattices on a two-cell which are not M -semilattices.

REFERENCES

1. D. R. Brown and A. R. Stralka, *Problems on compact semilattices*, Semigroup Forum, **6** No. 3 (1973), 265–270.
2. D. R. Brown, *Topological semilattices on the two-cell*, Pacific J. of Math., **15** (1965), 35–46.
3. R. J. Koch, *Arcs in partially ordered spaces*, Pacific J. of Math., **9** (1959), 723–728.
4. J. D. Lawson and B. Madison, *Peripheral and inner points*, Fund. Math., **LXIX** (1970), 253–266.
5. J. W. Lea, Jr., *The peripherality of irreducible elements of a lattice*, Pacific J. of Math., **45** (1973), 555–560.

Received October 1, 1973 and in revised form April 20, 1974. The author was partially supported by North Texas State University Grant No. 34563 (1973), and appreciates the many conversations with Chih-chen Chang.

Shimshon A. Amitsur, <i>Central embeddings in semi-simple rings</i>	1
David Marion Arnold and Charles Estep Murley, <i>Abelian groups, A, such that $\text{Hom}(A, - - -)$ preserves direct sums of copies of A</i>	7
Martin Bartelt, <i>An integral representation for strictly continuous linear operators</i>	21
Richard G. Burton, <i>Fractional elements in multiplicative lattices</i>	35
James Alan Cochran, <i>Growth estimates for the singular values of square-integrable kernels</i>	51
C. Martin Edwards and Peter John Stacey, <i>On group algebras of central group extensions</i>	59
Peter Fletcher and Pei Liu, <i>Topologies compatible with homeomorphism groups</i>	77
George Gasper, Jr., <i>Products of terminating ${}_3F_2(1)$ series</i>	87
Leon Gerber, <i>The orthocentric simplex as an extreme simplex</i>	97
Burrell Washington Helton, <i>A product integral solution of a Riccati equation</i>	113
Melvyn W. Jeter, <i>On the extremal elements of the convex cone of superadditive n-homogeneous functions</i>	131
R. H. Johnson, <i>Simple separable graphs</i>	143
Margaret Humm Kleinfeld, <i>More on a generalization of commutative and alternative rings</i>	159
A. Y. W. Lau, <i>The boundary of a semilattice on an n-cell</i>	171
Robert F. Lax, <i>The local rigidity of the moduli scheme for curves</i>	175
Glenn Richard Luecke, <i>A note on quasidiagonal and quasitriangular operators</i>	179
Paul Milnes, <i>On the extension of continuous and almost periodic functions</i>	187
Hidegoro Nakano and Kazumi Nakano, <i>Connector theory</i>	195
James Michael Osterburg, <i>Completely outer Galois theory of perfect rings</i>	215
Lavon Barry Page, <i>Compact Hankel operators and the F. and M. Riesz theorem</i>	221
Joseph E. Quinn, <i>Intermediate Riesz spaces</i>	225
Shlomo Vinner, <i>Model-completeness in a first order language with a generalized quantifier</i>	265
Jorge Viola-Prioli, <i>On absolutely torsion-free rings</i>	275
Philip William Walker, <i>A note on differential equations with all solutions of integrable-square</i>	285
Stephen Jeffrey Willson, <i>Equivariant maps between representation spheres</i>	291