

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**COMPLETELY OUTER GALOIS THEORY OF PERFECT RINGS**

JAMES MICHAEL OSTERBURG

## COMPLETELY OUTER GALOIS THEORY OF PERFECT RINGS

JAMES OSTERBURG

**Let  $G$  be a finite completely outer group of automorphisms of a perfect ring  $R$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the crossed product of  $R$  with  $G$ . Then  $\Delta$  modules which are  $R$  projective are  $\Delta$  projective and  $\Delta$  submodules which are  $R$  direct summands are  $\Delta$  direct summands.**

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. All modules and homomorphisms are unital. Let  $G = \{1, \sigma, \dots, \tau\}$  be a finite group of automorphisms of  $R$ .

By  $Ru_\sigma, \sigma \in G$ , we mean a bi- $R$  module where  $r(r'u_\sigma) = (rr')u_\sigma$  and  $(r'u_\sigma)r = (r'r^\sigma)u_\sigma$  for  $r, r' \in R$  and  $r^\sigma = \sigma(r)$ . We call  $G$  a completely outer group of automorphisms of  $R$ , if for each pair  $\sigma \neq \tau$  of automorphisms in  $G$ , the bi- $R$  modules  $Ru_\sigma$  and  $Ru_\tau$  have no nonzero isomorphic subquotients. This notion was defined by T. Nakayama in [3, p. 203] and Y. Miyashita in [2, p. 126].

Let  $S$  be the fixed ring of  $R$  under  $G$ , i.e.  $\{r \in R \mid \sigma(r) = r \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\}$ . If  $G$  is completely outer, then  $R$  over  $S$  is a  $G$ -Galois extension and the center of  $R$  is the centralizer of  $S$  in  $R$ . See [2, Proposition 6.4, p. 127]. Furthermore, if  $R$  is a commutative ring and  $R$  over  $S$  is  $G$ -Galois, then  $G$  is a completely outer group of automorphisms of  $R$ . See [2, Theorem 6.6, p. 128]. If  $R$  is a simple ring and  $G$  contains no inner automorphisms, then  $G$  is a completely outer group of automorphisms of  $R$  and conversely. See [2, Corollary, p. 128].

The crossed product  $\Delta$  of  $R$  with  $G$  is  $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \oplus Ru_\sigma$  with  $(xu_\sigma) \times (yu_\tau) = xy^\sigma u_{\sigma\tau}$  for any  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$ . We can view  $R$  as a left  $\Delta$  module by defining  $(xu_\sigma)r = xr^\sigma$  for  $x$  and  $r$  in  $R$ . Thus  $R$  is a bi  $\Delta$ - $S$  module.

We now assume  $R$  is a left perfect ring. These rings were studied by H. Bass [1].

Let  $J(\Delta)$  (respectively  $J(R)$ ) denote the Jacobson radical of  $\Delta$  (respectively  $R$ ).

**LEMMA 1.**  $J(\Delta) = J(R)\Delta = \Delta J(R)$ . Thus for any left  $\Delta$  module  $M$ ,  $J(R)M$  is a  $\Delta$  submodule of  $M$ .

*Proof.* Because  $\sigma(J(R)) = J(R)$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $J(R)\Delta = \Delta \cdot J(R)$ . Thus for any simple, nonzero left  $\Delta$  module  $M$ ,  $J(R)M$  is a  $\Delta$  submodule. Now  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$  module, since  $\Delta$  is a finitely generated  $R$  module. Nakayama's Lemma then shows

$J(R)M = 0$ . Since  $J(R)$  annihilates every simple left  $\Delta$  module,  $J(R) \subseteq J(\Delta)$ .

Since  $J(\Delta)$  is a bi- $R$  submodule of  $\Delta$ ,  $J(\Delta) = J(\Delta) \cap Ru_1 + J(\Delta) \cap Ru_\sigma + \cdots + J(\Delta) \cap Ru_n$ . See [2, Proposition 6.1, p. 126]. Let  $x = \delta = ru_\sigma \in J(\Delta) \cap Ru_\sigma$  for  $\delta$  in  $J(\Delta)$  and  $r \in R$ . Assume  $\delta = \sum_{\sigma \in G} y_\sigma u_\sigma$ ,  $y_\sigma \in R$ , then  $x = y_\sigma u_\sigma = ru_\sigma$ , so  $ru_1 = y_\sigma u_1 = xu_{\sigma^{-1}} \in J(\Delta) \cap Ru_1$ . It follows that  $1 - y_\sigma s$  is right invertible in  $R$  for all  $s$  in  $R$ ; since  $u_1 - y_\sigma s u_1$  in  $J(\Delta)$  all  $s$  in  $R$ . Thus  $y_\sigma \in J(R)$ ; hence  $x = y_\sigma u_\sigma \in J(R)u_\sigma$ . Therefore  $J(R)\Delta = J(\Delta)$ .

REMARK. Lemma 1 is true even if  $R$  is not left perfect.

LEMMA 2. As a right  $S$  module,  $S$  is a direct summand of  $R_S$ . Let  $J(S)$  (respectively  $J(R)$ ) denote the Jacobson radical of  $S$  (respectively of  $R$ ), then  $J(S) = S \cap J(R)$  and  $J(R) = J(S)R = RJ(S)$ . Thus if  $M$  is a left  $\Delta(R)$  module  $J(S)M$  is a left  $\Delta(R)$  submodule.

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{\Delta} = \Delta/J(\Delta)$  and  $\bar{R} = R/J(R)$ . Because  $R$  is perfect,  $\bar{R}$  is a semisimple, Artinian ring, which makes  $\bar{\Delta}$  a semisimple, Artinian ring. Thus  $\bar{R}$  is a finitely generated, projective  $\bar{\Delta}$  module. By the Dual Basis Lemma, there exists  $f_1, \cdots, f_n \in \text{Hom}_{\bar{\Delta}}(\bar{R}, \bar{\Delta})$  and  $\bar{x}_1, \cdots, \bar{x}_n \in \bar{R}$  such that  $\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\bar{x})\bar{x}_i$ . Since  $\text{Hom}_{\bar{\Delta}}(\bar{R}, \bar{\Delta}) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{R}, \bar{\Delta}) = \bar{\Delta}$  we conclude  $\text{Hom}_{\bar{\Delta}}(\bar{R}, \bar{\Delta}) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma \bar{R}$ . Thus each  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \cdots, n$ , is of the form  $\sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma \bar{r}_i$ , for some suitable  $\bar{r}_i \in \bar{R}$ . Let  $\bar{x} \in \bar{R}$ , then  $\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\bar{x})\bar{x}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{\sigma \in G} \bar{x} u_\sigma \bar{r}_i)\bar{x}_i = \bar{x} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\sigma \in G} (\bar{r}_i \bar{x}_i)^\sigma$ . Thus  $\bar{1} = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{r}_i \bar{x}_i)^\sigma$ . Let  $\bar{d} = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{r}_i \bar{x}_i$ , then  $\text{tr } \bar{d} = \bar{1}$ ; hence  $\text{tr } d - 1 \in J(R) \cap S$ .

Now  $J(R) \cap S \subseteq J(S)$ , for let  $x = j = s$ ,  $j \in J(R)$  and  $s \in S$ , then  $1 - sy$  is right invertible in  $R$  for any  $y$  in  $S$ . Assume  $(1 - sy)z = 1$ ,  $z \in R$ , then  $(1 - sy)z^\sigma = 1$  for all  $\sigma \in G$ ; hence  $z \in S$ . So  $1 - sy$  is right invertible in  $S$  for all  $y$  in  $S$ , thus  $x \in J(S)$  or  $J(R) \cap S \subseteq J(S)$ .

Thus  $\text{tr } R + J(S) = S$ , so by Nakayama's Lemma  $\text{tr } R = S$ . Thus there is a  $c$  in  $R$  such that  $\text{tr } c = 1$ . Hence  $\text{tr} : R_S \rightarrow S_S$  is onto and so splits. Thus  $S_S$  is a direct summand of  $R_S$ .

The conclusion concerning the Jacobson radical of  $S$  follows from [2, Theorem 7.10, p. 132].

PROPOSITION 1. A left  $\Delta$  module is completely reducible as a  $\Delta$  module if and only if it is completely reducible as an  $R$  module. Moreover, a module is completely reducible as a left  $R$ -module if and only if it is completely reducible as an  $S$  module.

*Proof.* A  $\Delta$  module is annihilated by  $J(\Delta)$  if and only if it is annihilated by  $J(R)$  if and only if it is annihilated by  $J(S)$ .

PROPOSITION 2. *Let  $R$  be a left perfect ring and  $G$  a completely outer group of automorphisms acting on  $R$ . Then  $S$ , the fixed ring of  $R$  under  $G$ , and  $\Delta$ , the crossed product of  $R$  with  $G$  are left perfect.*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is left perfect its Jacobson radical  $J(R)$ , is left  $T$  nilpotent. So the Jacobson radical of  $S, J(S)$ , which is contained in  $J(R)$  (by Lemma 2) is left  $T$  nilpotent.

Also  $S/J(S)$  is an Artinian ring since  $S_S$  is a direct summand of  $R_S$ . See Lemma 2 and [2, Proposition 7.3, p. 130]. Thus  $S$  is a left perfect; hence,  $S$  is semiperfect.

Now  $R$  as a right  $S$  module is finitely generated and projective; moreover,  $\Delta$  is isomorphic to  $\text{End } R_S$  [2, p. 116]. Since  $S$  is a direct summand of  $R$ , as a right  $S$  module (Lemma 2)  $R$  is an  $S$  generator.

Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be completely primitive idempotents orthogonal idempotents of  $S$  such that  $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ . Furthermore, let  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  be a maximal family of mutually nonisomorphic idempotents. Then  $\bar{R} = R/J(S)R$  is isomorphic, as a right  $S$  module, to  $\sum_{i=1}^k (\bar{e}_i \cdot \bar{S})^{m_i}$ , where  $\bar{e}_i = e_i + J(S)$ ,  $\bar{S} = S/J(S)$  and  $m_i < \infty$ , since  $\bar{R}$  is finitely generated right  $S$  module. Thus  $R$  as a right  $S$  module, is isomorphic to  $\sum_{i=1}^k \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \oplus P_{ij}$ , where  $P_{ij}$  is right  $S$  isomorphic to  $e_i S$ , since idempotents can be lifted.

Let  $f_{ij}$  be the projection of  $R$  onto  $P_{ij}$ , then  $f_{ij} \in \text{End } R_S = \Delta$  and the  $f_{ij}$ 's are orthogonal idempotents such that

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} f_{ij}. \quad \text{Also } e_i S e_i = \text{End}_S(e_i S) = \text{End}_S(P_{ij}) = f_{ij} \Delta f_{ij}.$$

Since  $e_i S e_i$  is a local ring,  $f_{ij} \Delta f_{ij}$  is a local ring. Hence  $f_{ij}$  is a completely primitive idempotent; therefore  $\Delta$  is semiperfect.

We know that  $\Delta$  modulo its Jacobson radical,  $J(\Delta)$ , is semisimple and idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(\Delta)$ . Let  $M$  be a left  $\Delta$  module, by [1, Lemma 2.6, p. 473] in order that  $M$  have a projective cover it suffices that for any left  $\Delta$  module  $B$  requiring no more generators than  $M, B = J(\Delta)B$  implies  $B = 0$ . But  $B = J(\Delta)B = J(R)B$  and  $R$  being left perfect implies  $B = 0$ . Thus every left  $\Delta$  module  $M$  has a projective cover and  $\Delta$  is then left perfect.

Let  $T$  be an arbitrary left perfect ring and let  $J(T)$  denote the Jacobson radical of  $T$ . Then for any nonzero left  $T$  module  $M, J(T)M$  is a proper submodule. See [1, p. 473]. Hence the natural map  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/J(T)M$  is a minimal epimorphism.

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be left  $T$  modules and  $f$  a left  $T$  epimorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ . By  $\bar{f}$ , we mean the induced map from  $M/J(T)M \rightarrow N/J(T)N$  given by  $\bar{f}(m + J(T)M) = f(m) + J(T)N$  for  $m \in M$ .

LEMMA 3. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is a minimal  $T$  epimorphism.
- (2)  $\bar{f}: M/J(T)M \rightarrow N/J(T)N$  is an isomorphism. See [4, Proposition 8, p. 713].

PROPOSITION 3. *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be left  $\Delta$  modules and  $f$  a minimal  $\Delta$  epimorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ . Then  $f$  is a minimal  $R$  epimorphism and  $f$  is a minimal  $S$  epimorphism.*

*Proof.* Certainly  $f$  is an  $R$  and an  $S$  epimorphism. Since  $J(\Delta)M = J(R)M = J(S)M$  and  $J(\Delta)N = J(R)N = J(S)N$ , then  $\bar{f}$ , which is a  $\Delta$  isomorphism, is an  $R$  and an  $S$  isomorphism.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let  $M$  be a left  $\Delta$  module which is projective as an  $S$  module, then  $M$  is projective as a  $\Delta$  module.*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be the  $\Delta$  cover of  $M$  and  $f: P \rightarrow M$  a minimal  $\Delta$  epimorphism. Since  $M$  is  $S$  projective,  $f$  splits as an  $S$  epimorphism. Thus  $P$  as an  $S$  module is isomorphic to  $\ker f + X$ , for some  $S$  submodule  $X$  of  $P$ . But  $f$  is a minimal  $S$  epimorphism (Proposition 3), therefore  $\ker f = 0$ . So  $f$  is a  $\Delta$  isomorphism and  $M$  is  $\Delta$  projective.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let  $M$  be a left  $\Delta$  module which is projective as an  $R$  module. Then  $M$  is projective as a  $\Delta$  module.*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be the  $\Delta$  projective cover of  $M$  and  $f$  a minimal  $\Delta$  epimorphism. Now  $f$  splits as an  $R$  map, and  $f$  is a minimal  $R$  epimorphism, so  $\ker f = 0$ .

PROPOSITION 6. *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be left  $\Delta$  modules such that  $M/J(\Delta)M$  and  $N/J(\Delta)N$  are isomorphic as  $R$  modules. If  $M$  is  $R$  projective there exists a  $\Delta$  epimorphism  $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ . Moreover, if  $N$  is  $R$  projective, then  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\Delta$  isomorphic. See [3, Lemma 5, p. 212].*

*Proof.* We assume that  $M$  and  $N$  are completely reducible  $\Delta$  modules. Hence they are completely reducible  $R$ -modules, by Proposition 1.

Now Nakayama in [3, p. 214] has shown that if  $M$  and  $N$  are isomorphic as  $R$  modules, then they are isomorphic as  $\Delta$  modules.

If  $M$  and  $N$  are arbitrary left  $\Delta$  modules, then  $M/J(\Delta)M$  and  $N/J(\Delta)N$  are nonzero, completely reducible left  $\Delta$  modules. We have

assumed they are isomorphic as left  $R$  modules; hence by the above argument, they are isomorphic as left  $\Delta$  modules. Call the isomorphisms from  $M/J(\Delta)M$  to  $N/J(\Delta)N$ ,  $f$ .

Let  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/J(\Delta)M$  and  $\pi' : N \rightarrow N/J(\Delta)N$  be the natural maps. Since  $M$  is  $R$  projective, it is  $\Delta$  projective. Thus we can find a  $\Delta$  homomorphism  $g$  from  $M$  to  $N$  such that  $\pi'g = f\pi$ . Now  $g$  is an epimorphism since  $\pi'$  is a minimal  $\Delta$  map.

If  $N$  is also  $R$  projective, it is  $\Delta$  projective. Thus  $g$  is an isomorphism.

**PROPOSITION 7.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be left  $\Delta$  modules and  $f$  a  $\Delta$  epimorphism from  $M$  to  $N$ . If  $f$  is a minimal epimorphism as an  $R$  map, then  $f$  is a minimal epimorphism as a  $\Delta$  map. Furthermore, if  $M$  and  $N$  are  $R$  projective, then  $f$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We know that  $M/J(R)M$  and  $N/J(R)N$  are isomorphic as  $R$  modules and completely reducible. Hence they are isomorphic as  $\Delta$  modules. Thus  $f$  is a minimal  $\Delta$  map.

If  $M$  and  $N$  are  $R$  projective, then they are projective as  $\Delta$  modules. Thus  $f$  splits; let  $g : N \rightarrow M$  be a  $\Delta$  map such that  $fg$  is the identity on  $N$ . Since the natural map  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/J(\Delta)M$  is minimal  $g$  is an isomorphism.

**PROPOSITION 8.** *Let  $M, N$  be left  $\Delta$  modules such that  $M$  is a projective  $R$  module. If  $N$  is an  $R$  direct summand of  $M$ , then  $N$  is a  $\Delta$  direct summand of  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M$  is  $R$  projective and  $N$  is a direct summand, then  $N$  is  $R$  projective. Hence  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\Delta$  projective.

If  $N$  is an  $R$  direct summand of  $M$ , then  $J(R)M \cap N = J(R)N$  so  $J(\Delta)M \cap N = J(\Delta)N$ . Thus  $N/J(\Delta)N$  is a  $\Delta$  submodule of the completely reducible  $\Delta$  module  $M/J(\Delta)M$ .

Thus  $N/J(\Delta)N$  is a  $\Delta$  direct summand of  $M/J(\Delta)M$ , so there is a  $\Delta$  epimorphism  $\phi : M \rightarrow N/J(\Delta)N$ . Let  $\pi' : N \rightarrow N/J(\Delta)N$ , be the natural map. Since  $M$  is  $\Delta$  projective there exists a  $\Delta$  map  $\psi : M \rightarrow N$  such that  $\pi'\psi = \phi$ . Now  $\psi$  is an epimorphism since  $\pi'$  is minimal. Thus  $\psi$  splits as a  $\Delta$  map and  $N$  is then a  $\Delta$  direct summand of  $M$ .

**PROPOSITION 9.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be left  $\Delta$  modules such that  $N/J(R)N$  is an  $R$  homomorphic image of  $M/J(R)M$  and  $M$  is projective as an  $R$  module. Then  $N$  is a  $\Delta$  homomorphic image of  $M$ . Moreover,*

if  $N$  is  $R$  projective, then  $N$  is a  $\Delta$  direct summand of  $M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be an  $R$  epimorphism from  $M/J(R)M$  to  $N/J(R)N$ . Since  $R/J(R)$  is a semisimple, Artinian ring,  $f$  splits; hence  $N/J(R)N$  is a  $R$  direct summand of  $M/J(R)M$ .

Now  $G$  is a completely outer group of automorphisms of  $R/J(R)$ . The crossed product of  $R/J(R)$  and  $G$  is  $\Delta/J(\Delta)$ .

Applying Proposition 8 we see that  $N/J(R)N$  is a  $\Delta$  direct summand of  $M/J(R)M$ . Thus there is a  $\Delta$  map  $\phi$  from  $M$  to  $N/J(R)N$ . Let  $\pi' : N \rightarrow N/J(R)N$  be the natural map. Since  $M$  is  $R$  projective, there is a  $\Delta$  map  $g : M \rightarrow N$  such that  $\pi'g = \phi$ . Now  $g$  is an epimorphism, since  $\pi'$  is a minimal  $\Delta$  map.

If  $N$  is  $R$  projective, then  $N$  is  $\Delta$  projective. So  $g$  splits.

PROPOSITION 10. Let  $g = |G|$ , then  $R^g$  is  $\Delta$  isomorphic to  $\Delta$ .

*Proof.*  $(R/J(R))^g$  is  $R$  isomorphic to  $\Delta/J(R)\Delta$ .

Thus Proposition 6 implies  $R^g$  and  $\Delta$  are isomorphic.

PROPOSITION 11.  $R$  has a normal basis.

*Proof.* Proposition 10 implies  $S^g$  is  $S$  isomorphic to  $R$  so  $R$  has a normal basis by [2, Theorem 1.7, p. 118].

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **95** (1960), 466–488.
2. Y. Miyashita, *Finite outer Galois theory of non-commutative rings*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. 1, **19** (1966), 114–134.
3. T. Nakayama, *Galois theory for general rings with minimal condition*, J. Math. Soc. of Japan, **1** (1949), 203–216.
4. U. Skukla, *On the projective cover of a module and related results*, Pacific J. Math., **12** (1962), 709–717.

Received November 19, 1973.

UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI





Shimshon A. Amitsur, <i>Central embeddings in semi-simple rings</i> .....	1
David Marion Arnold and Charles Estep Murley, <i>Abelian groups, A, such that <math>\text{Hom}(A, - - -)</math> preserves direct sums of copies of A</i> .....	7
Martin Bartelt, <i>An integral representation for strictly continuous linear operators</i> .....	21
Richard G. Burton, <i>Fractional elements in multiplicative lattices</i> .....	35
James Alan Cochran, <i>Growth estimates for the singular values of square-integrable kernels</i> .....	51
C. Martin Edwards and Peter John Stacey, <i>On group algebras of central group extensions</i> .....	59
Peter Fletcher and Pei Liu, <i>Topologies compatible with homeomorphism groups</i> .....	77
George Gasper, Jr., <i>Products of terminating <math>{}_3F_2(1)</math> series</i> .....	87
Leon Gerber, <i>The orthocentric simplex as an extreme simplex</i> .....	97
Burrell Washington Helton, <i>A product integral solution of a Riccati equation</i> .....	113
Melvyn W. Jeter, <i>On the extremal elements of the convex cone of superadditive n-homogeneous functions</i> .....	131
R. H. Johnson, <i>Simple separable graphs</i> .....	143
Margaret Humm Kleinfeld, <i>More on a generalization of commutative and alternative rings</i> .....	159
A. Y. W. Lau, <i>The boundary of a semilattice on an n-cell</i> .....	171
Robert F. Lax, <i>The local rigidity of the moduli scheme for curves</i> .....	175
Glenn Richard Luecke, <i>A note on quasidiagonal and quasitriangular operators</i> .....	179
Paul Milnes, <i>On the extension of continuous and almost periodic functions</i> .....	187
Hidegoro Nakano and Kazumi Nakano, <i>Connector theory</i> .....	195
James Michael Osterburg, <i>Completely outer Galois theory of perfect rings</i> .....	215
Lavon Barry Page, <i>Compact Hankel operators and the F. and M. Riesz theorem</i> .....	221
Joseph E. Quinn, <i>Intermediate Riesz spaces</i> .....	225
Shlomo Vinner, <i>Model-completeness in a first order language with a generalized quantifier</i> .....	265
Jorge Viola-Prioli, <i>On absolutely torsion-free rings</i> .....	275
Philip William Walker, <i>A note on differential equations with all solutions of integrable-square</i> .....	285
Stephen Jeffrey Willson, <i>Equivariant maps between representation spheres</i> .....	291