Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MAPS WITH 0-DIMENSIONAL CRITICAL SET

PHILIP THROOP CHURCH AND JAMES TIMOURIAN

Vol. 57, No. 1

January 1975

MAPS WITH 0-DIMENSIONAL CRITICAL SET

P. T. CHURCH AND J. G. TIMOURIAN

Let $f: M^n \to N^p$ be C^n with n - p = 0 or 1, let $p \ge 2$, and let $R_{p-1}(f)$ be the critical set of f. If dim $(R_{p-1}(f)) \le 0$, then (1.1) at each $x \in M^n$, f is locally topologically equivalent to one of the following maps:

(a) the projection map $\rho: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$,

(b) $\sigma: C \to C$ defined by $\sigma(z) = z^d (d = 2, 3, \cdots)$, where C is the complex plane, or

(c) $\tau: C \times C \to C \times R$ defined by $\tau(z, w) = (2z \cdot \overline{w}, |w|^2 - |z|^2)$, where \overline{w} is the complex conjugate of w.

Under the additional hypothesis that $\dim (f(R_{p-1}(f))) \leq p-2$ this result was proved in an earlier paper of the authors. They show here that $\dim (R_{p-1}(f)) \leq 0$ implies something like $\dim (f(R_{p-1}(f))) \leq p-2$.

For general background material, the reader is referred to that earlier paper [5]. The branch set B_f [5, p. 616, (1.5)] is the set of points at which f fails to be locally topologically equivalent to ρ . A map $g: J^{n-m} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to L^{p-m} \times \mathbb{R}^m$ is called a *layer map* if for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^m, g(J^{n-m} \times \{t\}) \subset L^{p-m} \times \{t\}$.

1.2. Outline of the proof. We suppose that f is not an open map, and from some technical differential lemmas of §3 obtain in (3.4) by restriction and change of coordinates a layer map satisfying the hypotheses of (2.1). By that lemma dim $(B_f) = p - 1$, so that dim $(R_{p-1}(f)) = p - 1$, contradicting the hypothesis of (1.1). Thus fis open, and from the local structure for open maps given in [7] we conclude in (4.1) that dim $(f(B_f)) \leq p - 2$. This is (essentially) the additional hypothesis assumed in [5], and our conclusion results. A global structure theorem is also given (4.5).

2. A topological lemma. In order to read the proof of (2.1) the reader will need to have at hand the definition and certain properties of spoke sets [7, (2.1), (2.2), (2.3)].

LEMMA 2.1. Let $f: D^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{p-1} \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$ be a layer map with $B_f \neq \emptyset$, $f(\partial D^2 \times \{t\})$ a single point not in $f(B_f)$, and $\dim(B_f \cap (D^2 \times \{t\})) = \dim(f(B_f \cap (D^2 \times \{t\}))) \leq 0$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$. Then $\dim B_f = p-1$.

Proof. The last hypothesis implies that dim $f(B_f) \leq p - 1$ [9, p.

44, Theorem IV 3], so that dim $B_f \leq p-1$ [9, p. 91, Theorem VI 7]. If p=1 and $B_f = \emptyset$, then f is open and a contradiction results from [7, (3.1)(b) or (d)]. Thus, for p=1 dim $B_f = 0$, i.e., p-1. Hence we may suppose that $p \geq 2$, and will prove that dim $B_f \geq p-1$.

Let I = [0, 1], let $I^{p-1} \subset I^p$ be $\{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p): x_p = 0\}$, let r = 0, 1, $\dots, p - 1$, and, for $a \in I^{p-1}$, let $\Gamma_{a,r} = \{x \in I^{p-1}: x_i = a_i \text{ for } i \ge r+1\}$. For

$$X\!\subset\!arGamma_{a,r}$$
 and $lpha>0$,

 $\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{let} X(r,\alpha)=\{x\in I^{p-1}:(x_1,\cdots,x_r,a_{r+1},\cdots,a_{p-1})\in X \text{ and } |x_i-a_i|<\alpha \text{ for}\\ i\geq r+1\}. \quad \operatorname{Thus}\ \Gamma_{a,r}(r,\alpha)=\{x\in I^{p-1}:|x_i-a_i|<\alpha \text{ for } i\geq r+1\}.\end{array}$

Consider statement S_r : (1) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a \in I^{p-1}$, there are a triangulation \mathfrak{T} of the *r*-cell $\Gamma_{\alpha,r}$ and $\alpha > 0$, and (2) for every closed *r*-simplex σ of \mathfrak{T} , there are spoke sets $L_{j,\sigma}(j=0,1,\cdots,q(\sigma))$ satisfying conclusions (i)-(vi) of [7, (2.1) and (2.2)] with W replaced by $\operatorname{Cl}[\sigma(r,\alpha)]$ and $E = B_f \cap (D^2 \times I^{p-1})$. Moreover, (3) let σ and τ be closed *r*-simplices of \mathfrak{T} , and let $D^2 \times \operatorname{Cl}[(\sigma \cap \tau)(r,\alpha)]$ be denoted by *T*. Then, for any $L_{i,\sigma}$ and $L_{j,\tau}$, one of the following statements is true: $L_{i,\sigma} \cap T = L_{j,\tau} \cap T$, $L_{i,\sigma} \cap T \subset (L_{j,\tau} - \Omega_{j,\tau}) \cap T$, $L_{j,\tau} \cap T \subset (L_{j,\sigma} - \Omega_{j,\sigma}) \cap T$, or $L_{i,\sigma} \cap (L_{j,\tau} - \Omega_{j,\tau}) \cap T = \emptyset$.

Since $\Gamma_{a,0} = \{a\}$ and $\{a\}$ is the only 0-simplex of T, statement S_0 follows immediately from [7, (2.2)]. We will suppose that S_r is true $(r and deduce <math>S_{r+1}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a \in I^{p-1}$ be given. For $[u, v] \subset R$ and $\eta > 0$, let

$$\varPsi(u, v, \eta) = \{x \in I^{p-1} : u < x_{r+1} < v \text{ and } |x_i - a_i| < \eta \text{ for } i > r+1\}$$
 .

If $c \in \Gamma_{a,r+1}$, then $\Gamma_{c,r} \subset \Gamma_{a,r+1}$ and $\Gamma_{c,r}(r, \eta) = \Psi(c_{r+1} - \eta, c_{r+1} + \eta, \eta)$. For $c \in \Gamma_{a,r+1}$, let $\alpha(c) > 0$, $\mathfrak{L}(c)$, and $\{L_{c,j,o}\}$ be as given by S_r for ε (and a replaced by c). There are $c(i)(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ such that $\{\Gamma_{c(i),r}(r, \alpha(c_i))\}$ covers $\Gamma_{a,r+1}$. We may suppose that $\{c_{r+1}(i)\}$ are in increasing order and the cover is minimal. If the open interval $(c_{r+1}(i) - \alpha(c(i)), c_{r+1}(i) + \alpha(c(i)))$ is denoted by A_i , then $0 \in A_1 - \bigcup_{i \neq 1} A_i$, $1 \in A_m - \bigcup_{i \neq m} A_i$, and $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if j = i - 1, i, or i + 1. Choose $b(i) \in \Gamma_{a,r+1}, 0 < b_{r+1}(i) < 1$, and $\gamma > 0$ so that the intervals $F_i = [b_{r+1}(i) - \gamma, b_{r+1}(i) + \gamma]$ are mutually disjoint and $F_i \subset A_i \cap A_{i+1} \cap (0, 1)(i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1)$.

Let $\Omega = \bigcup_{i,j,\sigma} \Omega_{c(i),j,\sigma}$. Since $B_f \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ (by S_r (2) (iii) and (iv)), there is a δ with $0 < \delta < \min(\varepsilon, d(B_f, \Omega))$ (d is distance). Let $\alpha(b(i)) > 0$, $\mathfrak{T}(b(i))$, and $\{L_{b(i),j,\sigma}\}$ be as given by S_r for ε replaced by δ and a replaced by $b(i)(i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1)$; let $\beta = \min\{\alpha(b(i)), \alpha(c(i)), \gamma\}$. By S_r (2) (vi) each dim $L_{b(i),j} < \delta < d(B_f, \Omega)$ and by S_r (2) (iv) $B_f \cap L_{b(i),j} \neq \emptyset$; thus (*) if $(D^2 imes arGamma_{a,r+1}(r,eta))\cap L_{b(i),j,\sigma}\cap L_{c(k),k, au}
eq arnothing$,

then $(D^2 \times \Gamma_{a,r}(r,\beta)) \cap L_{b(i),j,\sigma} \subset (D^2 \times \Gamma_{a,r}(r,\beta)) \cap (L_{c(h),k,\tau} - \Omega_{c(h),k,\tau}).$

Let $d(t)(t = 1, 2, \dots, 2m)$ be the numbers $0, 1, b_{r+1}(i) - \beta$, and $b_{r+1}(i) + \beta(i = 1, \dots, m-1)$ in increasing order. Then $\Psi(d(2i - 1), d(2i), \beta)$ (resp., $\Psi(d(2i), d(2i + 1), \beta)$) is contained in $\Gamma_{c(i),r}(r, \alpha(c(i)))$ (resp., $\Gamma_{b(i),r}(r, \alpha(b(i)))$).

For each closed r-simplex σ of $\mathfrak{T}(c(i))$ (resp., $\mathfrak{T}(b(i))$), let $\Sigma \subset \Gamma_{a,r+1}$ be the closed (r+1)-cell defined by $x \in \Sigma$ if and only if $(x_1, \dots, x_r, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{p-1}) \in \sigma$, $d(2i-1) \leq x_{r+1} \leq d(2i)$ (resp., $d(2_i) \leq x_{r+1} \leq d(2i+1)$), and $x_i = a_i$ for i > r+1. There is a triangulation \mathfrak{T} of $\Gamma_{a,r+1}$ such that each such Σ is a subpolyhedron [13, Chapter 1, p. 5]. For each closed (r+1)-simplex ρ of \mathfrak{T} , there is an r-simplex σ of $\mathfrak{T}(c(i))$ or $\mathfrak{T}(b(i))$ with $\rho \subset \Sigma$. Define $L_{j,\rho} = L_{j,\sigma} \cap (D^2 \times \rho(r+1,\beta))$ $(j=1, 2, \dots, q(\rho) = q(\sigma))$. It follows that S_{r+1} is satisfied for ε and a, with $\beta > 0, \mathfrak{T}$, and $\{L_{j,\rho}\}$ (conclusion (3) follows from (*) and S_r (3)).

Thus S_{p-1} is true for(say) 0 and any $\varepsilon > 0$; note that $\Gamma_{0,p-1} = I^{p-1}$ itself, and α does not arise in this case.

Let $e = 1, 2, \cdots$. Let \mathfrak{T}_e be the triangulation of I^{p-1} and let $\{L_{j,\sigma,e}\}$ be as given in S_{p-1} for $\varepsilon = 1/e$, let $L_e = \bigcup_{j,\sigma} L_{j,\sigma,e}$, and let $\Omega_e = \bigcup_{j,\sigma} \mathfrak{Q}_{j,\sigma,e}$. Each \mathfrak{T}_e is rectilinear in I^{p-1} , so we may suppose that each \mathfrak{T}_{e+1} is a subdivision of \mathfrak{T}_e .

Define an equivalence relation \sim on L_e by: for every $a \in I^{p-1}, \sigma$, and j, and for every $u, v \in L_{j,\sigma,e} \cap (D^2 \times \{a\}), u \sim v$. Let Y_e be the resulting identification space, and let $\omega_e: L_e \to Y_e$ be the identification map. Let $L_e \cap (D^2 \times \partial I^{p-1})$ be denoted by G_e , and $\omega_e(G_e)$ by ∂Y_e . Then $\omega_e: (L_e, G_e) \to (Y_e, \partial Y_e)$ is a homotopy equivalence, Y_e is a (p-1)dimensional finite polyhedron, viewed as a cell complex [13, Chapter 1, p. 5], its closed (p-1)-cells are $\omega_e(L_{j,\sigma,e})$, their interiors $\omega_e(L_{j,\sigma,e} \cap$ $(D^2 \times \operatorname{int} \sigma)) = \gamma_{j,\sigma,e}$ are mutually disjoint for distinct pairs (j, σ) .

With the index ξ of $[7, (2.1)] \sum_{j,\sigma} \xi(L_{j,\sigma,e}) \cdot \gamma_{j,\sigma,e}$ is a (p-1)-chain β_e of $(Y_e, \partial Y_e)$. From the index formula [7, (2.3)] and from (2) (v) and (3) in S_{p-1} (note that $\operatorname{Cl}\left[(\sigma \cap \tau)(\alpha)\right]$ is merely $\sigma \cap \tau$ in this case), it follows that β_e is a cycle of $(Y_e, \partial Y_e)$. Since $\xi(D^2 \times \{s\}) = 1$, it follows again from the index formula that $\sum_j \xi(L_{j,\sigma}) = 1$ for each σ , so that $\beta_e \neq 0$. Since dim $Y_e = p - 1$, β_e defines a nonzero element of $H_{p-1}(Y_e, \partial Y_e; Z) \approx H_{p-1}(L_e, G_e, Z)$ (Z the ring of integers). Let $\gamma_e = \omega_{e*}^{-1}(\{\beta_e\}) \in H_{p-1}(L_e, G_e; Z)$.

Since $\Omega_e \cap B_f = \emptyset$ (by S_{p-1} (2) (iv)), there exists $\delta(e)$ with $0 < \delta(e) < d(\Omega_e, B_f)$ $(e = 1, 2, \cdots)$, and there is a subsequence $\{e(k)\}$ such that e(1) = 1 and $1/e(k + 1) < \min \{\delta(e(i)): i \leq k\}$ $(k = 1, 2, \cdots)$. For every $L_{j,\sigma,e(k+1)}$, there are a unique $\tau \in T_{e(k)}$ with $\sigma \subset \tau$ and $x \in B_f \cap L_{j,\sigma,e(k+1)}$ by S_{p-1} (2) (iv). For a unique $i, x \in L_{i,\tau,e(k)}$ by S_{p-2} (2) (iv) and (V), and from the size of 1/e(k + 1) and S_{p-1} (2) (vi), (†) $L_{j,\sigma,e(k+1)} \subset$

 $L_{i,\tau,e(k)}$. Let λ_{k+1} : $(L_{e(k+1)}, G_{e(k+1)}) \rightarrow (L_{e(k)}, G_{e(k)})$ be inclusion. From (†) and the index formula [7, (2.3)] it follows that $\lambda_{k+1}^*(\gamma_{e(k+1)}) = \gamma_{e(k)}(\neq 0)$. Thus the inverse limit of $\{\gamma_{e(k)}\}$ is nonzero, so that the Čech homology group $H_{p-1}(\bigcap_e L_e, \bigcap_e G_e; Z) \neq 0$ by the Continuity Theorem. Hence

$$\dim\left(\bigcap L_{\epsilon}\right) \geq p-1$$

[9, p. 152, Theorem VIII 4], and since $\bigcap_e L_e \subset B_f(S_{p-1}$ (2) (iv) and (vi)), dim $B_f \ge p-1$.

3. Differential lemmas. The following two lemmas are generalizations of lemmas that have been used repeatedly, and these generalizations will also be used elsewhere.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $f: M^n \to N^p$ be C^m , let K^q be a C^m q-manifold $(m = 1, 2, \cdots; \text{ or } m = \infty; \text{ or } m = \omega; q = 0, 1, \cdots, p - 1)$, let ρ be a C^m diffeomorphism of a region in N^p onto $K^q \times R^{p-q}$, and let Ω be a nonempty compact subset of $f^{-1}(\rho^{-1}(K^q \times \{0\}))$. If $f \mid \Omega$ is transverse regular on $\rho^{-1}(K^q \times \{0\})$, then there are $\varepsilon > 0$, $aC^m(n - p + q)$ -manifold L, and a C^m diffeomorphism σ of $L \times S(0, \varepsilon)$ onto a neighborhood of Ω in M^n such that $\rho \circ f \circ \sigma$ is a layer map.

This is proved in [6, (4.1)] and is a generalization of [8, p. 80, (3.5)] and [3, p. 376, (2.7)]. The condition that " $f \mid \Omega$ is transverse regular" means that f is transverse regular at x for each $x \in \Omega$.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $q = 1, 2, \dots$, let $f: M^n \to N^p$ be a C^r map with $\max(n - q + 1, 1) \leq r \leq \infty$, let $\Omega \subset M^n$ be compact, and let $Y \subset N^p$ be closed, with dim $Y \geq q$. Then for some m $(m = 0, 1, \dots, p - q)$ there is a C^r embedding λ of $S^m \times R^{p-m}$ in N^p such that $f \mid \Omega$ is transverse regular on $\lambda(S^m \times \{t\})$ and $\lambda(S^m \times \{t\}) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ for each $t \in R^{p-m}$.

If Ω is omitted, " $f | \Omega$ is transverse regular" is replaced by "f is transverse regular", and f is assumed proper, this is [8, p. 80, (3.7)]. The proof is an immediate generalization of that proof. (Although we do not need it in this paper, the same comments apply to [8, p. 82, (3.8)], except that J need not be compact.)

DEFINITION 3.3. Let K^n and L^p be C^r -manifolds with nonempty boundary, and let $f: K^n \to L^p$ be a $C^r(r \ge 1)$ proper map with $f^{-1}(\partial L^p) =$ ∂K^p and $f(R_{p-1}(f)) \subset \operatorname{int} L^p$. Let $D(K^n)$ and $D(L^p)$ be the doubles K^n and L^p , respectively [10, p. 52, (5.10) and p. 62, (6.3)]. We now define a C^r map $g: D(K^n) \to D(L^p)$, called a *double of* f, such that the restriction of g to each half is C^r equivalent to f [5, p. 616, (1.3)].

Let $K_i = K \times i$, let $L_i = L \times i$, and let $f_i: K_i \to L_i$ be defined by $f_i(x, i) = (f(x), i)(i = 0, 1)$. Let $J_0 = [0, 1)$ and $J_1 = (-1, 0]$. There is an open neighborhood U of ∂L in L disjoint from $f(R_{p-1}(f))$ and C^r diffeomorphisms $\psi_i: U_i = U \times i \to \partial L_i \times J_i$ [10, p. 51, (5.9)]. Let $\alpha_i: f_i^{-1}(U_i) \to U_i$ and $\beta_i: \partial K_i \to \partial L_i$ be the restrictions of f_i .

There exist manifolds $V_i = V_i^n$ with $\partial V_i = \emptyset$ and $f^{-1}(U_i) \subset V_i$ and $W_i = W_i^p$ with $\partial W_i = \emptyset$ and $U_i \subset W_i$, and a C^r extension $\gamma_i \colon V_i \to W_i$ of α_i . By restricting γ_i we may suppose that it is proper. Now γ_i is the projection map of a C^r bundle (e.g. from (3.1) with K a single point), so that α_i and β_i are also. Thus there are diffeomorphisms $\phi_i \colon f_i^{-1}(U_i) \to \partial K_i \times J_i$ such that $\psi_i \circ \alpha_i = (\beta_i \times \iota) \circ \phi_i$ (where ι is the identity map on J_i) [11, p. 53, (11.4)].

We may define the $(C^r \text{ structures on the})$ doubles $D(K^*)$ and $D(L^p)$ using the maps ϕ_i and ψ_i (identify (x, 0) in ∂K_0 with (y, 1) in ∂K_1 if $\phi_0(x, 0)$ and $\phi_1(y, 1)$ have the same first coordinate), and let λ_i : $K_i \rightarrow D(K^*)$ and $\mu_i: L_i \rightarrow D(L^p)$ be the natural (C^r) embeddings. Define g by $g(x) = f_i(x)$ for $x \in K_i$. Clearly g is C^r except possibly on ∂K . If $U' = U_0 \cup U_1$ and $\psi: U' \rightarrow \partial L \times (-1, 1)$ and $\phi: g^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \partial K \times (-1.1)$ are defined by the ψ_i and ϕ_i , respectively, then $\psi \circ g | g^{-1}(U') = (\beta \times t) \circ \phi$ (where t is the identity map on (-1, 1) and $\beta = \beta_1 = \beta_2$), so that g is C^r everywhere.

LEMMA 3.4. Let $f: M^n \to N^p$ be a C^n map with n - p = 0 or 1, dim $B_f \leq p - 2$, and dim $(B_f \cap f^{-1}(y)) \leq 0$ for each $y \in N^p$. Then f is open.

Proof. In case n = p, f is light and the conclusion is given by [2, p. 94, (2.3)], so we may suppose that n = p + 1. Suppose that f is not open. Let E_f be the set of points at which f fails to be open, and let $x \in E_f$. According to [5, p. 622, (2.6)] there is a connected (not necessarily compact) manifold $K^{p+1} \subset M^{p+1}$ with boundary such that $x \in \operatorname{int} K^{p+1}(=K^{p+1}-\partial K^{p+1})$ and the closure \overline{K}^{p+1} of K^{p+1} in M^{p+1} is compact; there is an open p-cell $D^p \subset N^p$ with $f(K^{p+1}) \subset D^p$; and the restriction map $g \colon K^{p+1} \longrightarrow D^p$ is proper with $B_g \cap \partial K^{p+1} = \emptyset$. Let $\psi = g | \text{int } K^{p+1}$, and let $\Omega \subset \text{int } K^{p+1}$ be the compact set E_{ψ} . Since f is not open, dim $\psi(E_{\psi}) \ge p - 1$ [5, p. 623, (3.4)], and by (3.2) there is a C^{p+1} embedding $\lambda: S^m \times R^{p-m} \to D^p$ such that $\psi | \Omega$ is transverse regular on $\lambda(S^m \times \{t\})$ and $\lambda(S^m \times \{t\}) \cap \psi(E_{\psi}) \neq \emptyset$ for each $t \in R^{p-m}$ and m = 0 or 1. From (3.1) $m \neq 0$ and, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, the restriction of ψ to some neighborhood of E_{ψ} is C^{p+1} equivalent to the C^{p+1} layer map $\alpha: Q^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{p-1} \longrightarrow S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$ with $E_{\alpha} \cap (Q^2 \times \{t\}) \neq \emptyset$ for every $t \in R^{p-1}$.

Since $B_{\alpha} \subset R_{p-1}(\alpha)$ (the Rank Theorem [5, p. 617, (1.6)], dim ($\alpha(B_{\alpha}) \cap$

 $(S^1 \times \{t\}) \leq 0$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$ (by Sard's theorem); and since

$$\dim \left(B_{\alpha}\cap \alpha^{-1}(u,\,t)\right) \leq 0$$

for each $(u, t) \in S^1 \times R^{p-1}$ by hypothesis, dim $(B_{\alpha} \cap (Q^2 \times \{t\})) \leq 0$ [9, p. 91, Theorem VI 7].

Let $(q, s) \in E_{\alpha} \subset B_{\alpha}$ (we may suppose that s = 0), and let $T \subset Q^2 \times R^{p-1}$ be a closed (p + 1)-cell neighborhood of (q, 0). Since $\{(q, 0)\}$ is the component of $\alpha^{-I}(\alpha(q, 0))$ containing (q, 0) [5, p. 622, (3.2)], there is an interval $I \subset S^1$ with $\alpha_0(q) \in int I$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the component F of $\alpha^{-1}(I \times S(s, \delta))$ is contained in int T. We may suppose that the endpoints of I are regular values of α_0 , and thus, for δ sufficiently small, of α_t for every $t \in S(0, \delta)$. Thus F is an *n*-manifold with boundary, and each $F_t = F \cap (Q^2 \times \{t\})$ is compact. Let G be the double of F, and let $\beta: G \to S^1 \times S(0, \delta)$ be the double of the proper map $\alpha | F: F \to I \times S(0, \delta)$ (3.3).

Choose an open 2-cell U with $q \in U$ and $U \times \{0\} \subset \operatorname{int} F_0 \subset G_0$, and choose $\eta, 0 < \eta < \delta$, with $U \times S(0, \eta) \subset \operatorname{int} F \subset G$. There exists $\xi, 0 < \xi < \eta$, and an interval $J \subset \operatorname{int} I \subset S^1$ such that $\beta_0(q) \in \operatorname{int} J$, the component X of $\beta^{-1}(J \times S(0, \xi))$ containing (q, 0) is contained in $U \times S(0, \xi)$, and the end points of J are regular values of β_t for each $t \in S(0, \xi)$. Thus $X \cap (U \times \{0\})$, call it A^2 , is a 2-disk with holes, and $\alpha_0(\partial A^2) \subset \partial J$.

We now apply [1, p. 196, (3.4)] to β , $K_0 = S^1 \times \{0\}$, $\Gamma_1 = J \times \{0\}$, $K_1 = \partial \Gamma_1$, and ρ the identity map. There exists ζ , $0 < \zeta < \xi$, and a C^{p+1} (layer) diffeomorphism ω of $\beta^{-1}(S^1 \times \{0\}) \times S(0, \zeta)$ onto $\beta^{-1}(S^1 \times S(0, \zeta))$ with $\omega(A^2 \times S(0, \zeta)) = X$. Let D be the closed 2-cell with $A^2 \subset D \subset U$ and $\partial D \subset \partial A^2$, and let $\gamma: D \times S(0, \zeta) \to \text{int } I \times S(0, \zeta)$ be the restriction of $\beta \circ \omega$. Now $(0, q) \in E_7 \subset B_7$ and by (2.1) dim $B_7 = p - 1$, so that dim $B_f \geq p - 1$, and a contradiction results.

4. Conclusions.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let $f: M^{p+1} \rightarrow N^p$ be C^{p+1} with $B_f \neq \emptyset$, dim $B_f \leq p-2$, and dim $(f^{-1}(y) \cap B_f) \leq 0$ for each $y \in N^p$. Then dim $B_f = p-3$ and there is a closed set $Y \subset B_f$ such that dim Y < p-3 and, for every $x \in B_f - Y$, f at x is locally topologically equivalent to

$$au imes \operatorname{id}: R^{\scriptscriptstyle 4} imes R^{\scriptscriptstyle p-3} \longrightarrow R^{\scriptscriptstyle 3} imes R^{\scriptscriptstyle p-3}$$
 .

According to the Rank Theorem [5, p. 617, (1.6)] $B_f \subset R_{p-1}(f)$ and the following corollary results.

COROLLARY 4.2. Let $f: M^{p+1} \rightarrow N^p$ be C^{p+1} with critical set $R_{p-1}(f)$, let dim $R_{p-1}(f) \leq p-2$, and let dim $(f^{-1}(y) \cap R_{p-1}(f)) \leq 0$ for each $y \in N^p$. Then there is a closed set $Y \subset M^{p+1}$ such that dim Y < p-3 and, for each $x \in M^{p+1} - Y$, f at x is locally topologically equivalent to either the projection map $\rho: R^{p+1} \to R^p$ or to

$$au imes \operatorname{id} : R^{\scriptscriptstyle 4} imes R^{\scriptscriptstyle p-3} \longrightarrow R^{\scriptscriptstyle 3} imes R^{\scriptscriptstyle p-3}$$
 .

Proof of (4.1). By (3.4) f is open, and $p \ge 2$ since $B_f \ne \emptyset$ and dim $B_f \le p-2$. According to [7, (4.1) and (1.1)], if $f: M^{p+1} \rightarrow N^p$ is a C^3 open map with dim $(B_f \cap f^{-1}(y)) \le 0$ for each $y \in N^p$, then there is a closed set $X \subset M^{p+1}$ such that dim $f(X) \le p-2$ and, for every $x \in M^{p+1} - X$, there is a natural number d(x) with f at x locally topologically equivalent to the map

$$\phi_{d(x)} \colon C imes R^{p-1} \longrightarrow R imes R^{p-1}$$

defined by $\phi_{d(x)}(z, t) = (\mathscr{R}(z^{d(x)}), t)(\mathscr{R}(z^{d(x)}))$ is the real part of the complex number).

Since dim $B_f \leq p-2$ by hypothesis, $B_f \subset X$, so that dim $f(B_f) \leq p-2$. Thus f satisfies the hypothesis of [5, p. 626, (4.7)]. (For n = p + 1 that proposition is identical with the present one except that the hypothesis dim $B_f \leq p-2$ is replaced by dim $f(B_f) \leq p-2$.)

COROLLARY 4.3. If $f: M^{p+1} \rightarrow N^p$ is a C^{p+1} map with dim $B_f = 0$ and $p \geq 2$, then p = 3 and at each $x \in B_f$, f is locally topologically equivalent to τ .

4.4. Proof of (1.1). From the Rank Theorem [5, p. 617, (1.6)] $B_f \subset R_{p-1}(f)$, and the conclusion for n - p = 1 results from (4.3). For $n = p \ge 3 \dim(R_{p-1}(f)) \le 0$ implies $B_f = \emptyset$ [2, p. 94, (2.2)]; for n = p = 2, f is light open [2, p. 94, (2.3)], and so has the desired structure (e.g. by [2, p. 90, (1.10)]).

Let G be a compact, connected Lie group, and let M be a closed, connected, oriented G-manifold with orbit space a manifold. The action is called *almost free* if it is free except for the fixed point set F, and F is discrete nonempty set. In [4] Church and Lamotke classified such actions globally, up to equivariant homeomorphism (they also treated the smooth case): invariants are the oriented homeomorphism type of the orbit space and the number (which is even) of fixed points. This classification gives significance to the following corollary of (1.1), a global classification of maps with 0-dimensional critical set.

COROLLARY 4.5. Let M^{p+1} and N^p be closed, connected, oriented manifolds, and let $f: M^{p+1} \rightarrow N^p$ be a C^{p+1} map with critical set $R_{p-1}(f)$ of dimension at most 0. Then there is a unique factorization $f = h \circ g$, where $g: M^{p+1} \rightarrow K^p$ is the orbit map of a topological S^1 free or almost free action on M^{p+1} (and thus is classified by [4]), and h: $K^p \rightarrow N^p$ is an r-to-1 covering map $(r = 1, 2, \cdots)$.

Proof. By (1.1) either the branch set $B_f = \emptyset$, or p = 3 and at each point of B_f f is locally topologically equivalent to τ , i.e., to the cone map of the Hopf fibration $\psi: S^3 \to S^2$ [5, p. 618, (1.10)]. According to [12, p. 64, (2.5)] there is a natural number k such that $f^{-1}(y)$ has exactly k components for each $y \in N^p - f(B_f)$, and at most k components for each $y \in f(B_f)$. From the local structure, $f^{-1}(y)$ has exactly k components for every $y \in N^p$, and thus according to [12, p. 63, (2.1)] there is a (unique) factorization $f = h \circ g$, where $g: M^{p+1} \to K^p$ is a C^{p+1} monotone map and $h: K^p \to N^p$ is an r-to-1 covering map.

In case $B_f = \emptyset$, $B_g = \emptyset$ also, so that g is a bundle map [5, p. 618, (1.9)] with fiber S¹. The structure group can be reduced to S¹ = SO(2) [12, pp. 64-65], and thus g is the orbit map of a free S¹ action. In case $B_f \neq \emptyset$, the map $\alpha: M^{p+1} - B_g \to K^p - g(B_g)$ defined by restriction of g is also a free S¹ action; since B_g is discrete, g itself is the orbit map of an almost free action.

References

1. P. T. Church, Differentiable monotone maps on manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 128 (1967), 185-205.

2. ____, Differential open maps on manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 109 (1963), 87-100.

3. _____, Factorization of differentiable maps with branch set dimension at most n-3, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 115 (1965), 370-387.

4. P. T. Church and K. Lamotke, Almost free G-manifolds, Bull. Austal. Math. Soc., 10 (1974), 171-196.

5. P. T. Church and J. G. Timourian, Differentiable maps with 0-dimensional critical set, I, Pacific J. Math., 41 (1972), 615-630.

6. _____, Differentiable maps with 0-dimensional set, II, Indiana U. Math. J., 24 (1974), 17-25.

7. _____, Differentiable open maps from (p + 1)-manifold to p-manifold, Pacific J. Math., 48 (1973), 35-45.

8. ____, Fiber bundles with singularities, J. Math. Mech., 18 (1968), 71-90.

9. W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, 2nd edition, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1948.

10. J. R. Munkres, *Elementary Differential Topology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1963.

11. N. Steenrod, *The Topology of Fiber Bundles*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1951.

12. J. G. Timourian, Fiber bundles with discrete singular set, J. Math. Mech., 18 (1968), 61-70.

13. E. C. Zeeman, Seminar on Combinatorial Topology, Institute des Haûtes Etudes Scientifiques, mimeographed, Paris, 1963.

Received February 7, 1973. Work of the first author supported by N.S.F Grant GP-6871 and that of the second author by N.S.F. Grant GP-8888 and NRC Grant A 7357.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY AND UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

R. A. BEAUMONT

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by Intarnational Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 57, No. 1 January, 1975

Keith Roy Allen, Dendritic compactification	1
Daniel D. Anderson, <i>The Krull intersection theorem</i>	11
George Phillip Barker and David Hilding Carlson, Cones of diagonally dominant	
matrices	15
David Wilmot Barnette, Generalized combinatorial cells and facet splitting	33
Stefan Bergman, Bounds for distortion in pseudoconformal mappings	47
Nguyên Phuong Các, On bounded solutions of a strongly nonlinear elliptic	
equation	53
Philip Throop Church and James Timourian, Maps with 0-dimensional critical	59
set	59 67
G. Coquet and J. C. Dupin, <i>Sur les convexes ubiquitaires</i>	
Kandiah Dayanithy, <i>On perturbation of differential operators</i>	85
Thomas P. Dence, A Lebesgue decomposition for vector valued additive set functions	91
John Riley Durbin, On locally compact wreath products	99
Allan L. Edelson, <i>The converse to a theorem of Conner and Floyd</i>	109
William Alan Feldman and James Franklin Porter, <i>Compact convergence and the</i>	107
order bidual for $C(X)$	113
Ralph S. Freese, <i>Ideal lattices of lattices</i>	125
R. Gow, Groups whose irreducible character degrees are ordered by divisibility	135
David G. Green, The lattice of congruences on an inverse semigroup	141
John William Green, Completion and semicompletion of Moore spaces	153
David James Hallenbeck, Convex hulls and extreme points of families of starlike and	
close-to-convex mappings	167
Israel (Yitzchak) Nathan Herstein, On a theorem of Brauer-Cartan-Hua type	177
Virgil Dwight House, Jr., Countable products of generalized countably compact	
spaces	183
John Sollion Hsia, Spinor norms of local integral rotations. I	199
Hugo Junghenn, Almost periodic compactifications of transformation	
semigroups	207
Shin'ichi Kinoshita, On elementary ideals of projective planes in the 4-sphere and	
oriented Θ -curves in the 3-sphere	217
Ronald Fred Levy, <i>Showering spaces</i>	223
Geoffrey Mason, <i>Two theorems on groups of characteristic</i> 2-type	233
Cyril Nasim, An inversion formula for Hankel transform	255
W. P. Novinger, Real parts of uniform algebras on the circle	259
T. Parthasarathy and T. E. S. Raghavan, <i>Equilibria of continuous two-person</i>	
games	265
John Pfaltzgraff and Ted Joe Suffridge, <i>Close-to-starlike holomorphic functions of</i> several variables	271
Esther Portnoy, <i>Developable surfaces in hyperbolic space</i>	281
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht, <i>Differential extension fields of exponential type</i>	289
Keith William Schrader and James Lewis Thornburg, <i>Sufficient conditions for the</i>	200
existence of convergent subsequences	301
Joseph M. Weinstein, <i>Reconstructing colored graphs</i>	