

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**CONVEX HULLS AND EXTREME POINTS OF FAMILIES OF
STARLIKE AND CLOSE-TO-CONVEX MAPPINGS**

DAVID JAMES HALLENBECK

CONVEX HULLS AND EXTREME POINTS OF FAMILIES OF STARLIKE AND CLOSE- TO-CONVEX MAPPINGS

DAVID J. HALLENBECK

The closed convex hull is obtained for the functions which are starlike of order α , k -fold symmetric, and real on $(-1, 1)$. The same result for the close-to-convex functions which are k -fold symmetric is obtained. Integral representations are given for the hulls of these and other families in terms of probability measures on suitable sets. These results are used to solve extremal problems.

Introduction. Let Δ denote the unit disk and let A denote the set of functions analytic in Δ . Then A is a locally convex linear topological space with respect to the topology given by uniform convergence on compact subsets of Δ .

We consider the family, denoted by $St_R(\alpha, k)$ of starlike function of order α which are real on $(-1, 1)$ and have power series developments which are k -fold symmetric. We recall that a function f analytic in Δ is called k -fold symmetric ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) if its power series has the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{mk+1} z^{mk+1}.$$

We also consider the family, denoted by C_k of close-to-convex functions which are k -fold symmetric. We also consider the class of functions denoted by $K_R(\beta)$ of functions which are close-to-convex of order β and real on $(-1, 1)$. These functions were introduced by Pommerenke in [8].

Let

$$F_p = \left\{ \int_x \frac{1}{[(1-xz)(1-\bar{x}z)]^p} d\mu(x) : \mu \in \mathcal{P} \right\}$$

where $p > 0$ and \mathcal{P} is the set of probability measures on $X = \{x: |x| = 1 \text{ and } \text{Im } x \geq 0\}$. We prove that $F_p \cdot F_q \subset F_{p+q}$.

We use this result to obtain the closed convex hull and extreme points of $St_R(\alpha, k)$ which we denote $\mathcal{H}St_R(\alpha, k)$ and $\mathcal{E}St_R(\alpha, k)$ respectively. We also use it to obtain the closed convex hull of $K_R(\beta)$ for $\beta \geq 1$ which we denote $\mathcal{H}K_R(\beta)$. We recall that in [2] $\mathcal{H}K(\beta)$ for $\beta \geq 1$ was determined.

By way of application of our results we prove that if $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is subordinate to a close-to-convex odd function, then $|a_n| <$

$\sqrt{2}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We recall that an analytic function f is said to be subordinate to an analytic function F if $f(z) = F(\phi(z))$ where $\phi(z)$ is analytic in Δ , $\phi(0) = 0$, and $|\phi(z)| < 1$. We write this relationship $f < F$.

1. A product theorem and a geometric mean theorem for F_p .

THEOREM 1. Let $X = \{x: |x| = 1 \text{ and } \text{Im } x \geq 0\}$, $\alpha \geq 1$, and \mathcal{P} denote the set of probability measures on X . Given $v \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists a $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$ so that

$$\left[\int_x \frac{1 - z^2}{(1 - xz)(1 - \bar{x}z)} dv(x) \right]^\alpha = \int_x \left[\frac{1 - z^2}{(1 - xz)(1 - \bar{x}z)} \right]^\alpha d\mu(x).$$

Proof. This result follows from obvious modifications of the Herglotz representation for functions of positive real part and the same type of arguments made in the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [2].

THEOREM 2. Let

$$F_p = \left\{ \int_x \frac{1}{[(1 - xz)(1 - \bar{x}z)]^p} d\mu(x) : \mu \in \mathcal{P} \right\}$$

where X and \mathcal{P} are as in Theorem 1. If $p > 0$ and $q > 0$ then $F_p \cdot F_q \subset F_{p+q}$ where $F_p \cdot F_q = \{f: f = gh \text{ and } g \in F_p, h \in F_q\}$.

Proof. If $p + q \geq 1$, then proof of this theorem follows from Theorem 1 by the same arguments used to prove Theorem 1 in [3].

Now suppose $p + q \geq 1$. Consider the linear operator L defined by

$$(Lf)(z) = \frac{1}{p} \frac{z}{1 - z^2} f'(z) + \frac{1}{1 - z^2} f(z).$$

It is easily verified that L is a linear map from F_p onto F_{p+1} since L applied to $1/[(1 - xz)(1 - \bar{x}z)]^p$ yields $1/[(1 - xz)(1 - \bar{x}z)]^{p+1}$.

Let

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{[(1 - xz)(1 - \bar{x}z)]^p} \frac{1}{[(1 - yz)(1 - \bar{y}z)]^q}.$$

A computation shows that

$$\frac{1}{p + q} \frac{z}{1 - z^2} h'(z) + \frac{1}{1 - z^2} h(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{p}{p+q} \frac{1}{[(1-xz)(1-\bar{x}z)]^{p+1}} \frac{1}{[(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)]^q} \\
 &+ \frac{q}{p+q} \frac{1}{[(1-xz)(1-\bar{x}z)]^p} \frac{1}{[(1-yz)(1-\bar{y}z)]^{q+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the case of the theorem when $p + q \geq 1$ and the convexity of F_{p+q+1} , we conclude that the left hand side of the above equation is a member of F_{p+q+1} . It now follows that $F_p \cdot F_q \subset F_{p+q}$ if $0 < p + q < 1$.

THEOREM 3. *Let X and \mathcal{P} be as in Theorem 1. Then given $\mu \in \mathcal{P}$, $\exists v \in \mathcal{P}$ such that*

$$\exp \left\{ \int_x -p \log (1-xz)(1-\bar{x}z) d\mu(x) \right\} = \int_x [(1-xz)(1-\bar{x}z)]^{-p} dv(x).$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem follows from Theorem 2 in a direct and obvious way.

2. The convex hull of $St_R(\alpha, k)$

THEOREM 4. *Let X and \mathcal{P} be as in Theorem 1, $\alpha < 1, k$ be any positive integer and \mathcal{F} be the set of functions f_μ on Δ defined by*

$$f_\mu(z) = \int_x \frac{z}{(1-xz^k)^{(1-\alpha)/k}(1-\bar{x}z^k)^{(1-\alpha)/k}} \quad (\mu \in \mathcal{P})$$

then $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}St_R(\alpha, k)$ and

$$\mathcal{E} \mathcal{H}St_N(\alpha, k) \subset \left\{ \frac{z}{(1-xz^k)^{(1-\alpha)/k}(1-\bar{x}z^k)^{(1-\alpha)/k}} : |x| = 1, \text{Im } x \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Proof. It is easy to show that each $f(z)$ in $St_R(\alpha, k)$ can be represented by

$$f(z) = z \exp \left\{ -\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{k} \right) \int_x \log (1-xz^k)(1-\bar{x}z^k) dv(x) \right\}$$

where $X = \{x: |x| = 1 \text{ and } \text{Im } x \geq 0\}$ and v is a probability measure on X . The result now follows by direct application of Theorem 3 and standard arguments.

REMARK 1. This result generalizes Theorem 1 of [5] and Theorem 3 of [3].

2. The problem of determining $\mathcal{H} T_k$ when T_k denotes the typically real k -fold symmetric functions seems more difficult. Our next theorem settles the case $k = 2$, i.e., typically real odd functions.

3. The question of whether each kernel function is an extreme point remains to be decided. It is known to be true when $\alpha = 0$ and $k = 1$.

LEMMA 1. Suppose $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$, $p(0) = 1$, $p(z)$ is even, and $p(z)$ is real on $(-1, 1)$.

Then

$$p(z) = \int_X \frac{1 - z^4}{(1 - xz^2)(1 - \bar{x}z^2)} d\mu(x)$$

where $X = \{x: |x| = 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} x \geq 0\}$ and μ is a probability measure on X .

Proof. The result follows from an obvious modification of the Herglotz formula.

THEOREM 5. Let $X = \{x: |x| = 1, \operatorname{Im} x \geq 0\}$, \mathcal{P} be the set of probability measures on X , and \mathcal{F} be the set of functions f_μ on Δ defined by

$$f_\mu(z) = \int_X \frac{z(1 + z^2)}{(1 - xz^2)(1 - \bar{x}z^2)} d\mu(x) \quad (\mu \in \mathcal{P}).$$

Let T_2 be the set of typically real odd functions on Δ . Then $\mathcal{H} T_2 = T_2 = \mathcal{F}$, the map $\mu \rightarrow f_\mu$ is one-to-one, and each function

$$z \longrightarrow \frac{z(1 + z^2)}{(1 - xz^2)(1 - \bar{x}z^2)}$$

is an extreme point of $\mathcal{H} T_2$.

Proof. This result follows in a very direct way from the previous lemma and a classical result of W. Rogosinski [9] which states that if $f(z)$ is typically real then

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{1 - z^2} p(z)$$

where $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$, $p(0) = 1$ and $p(z)$ is real on $(-1, 1)$. The fact that $\mu \rightarrow f_\mu$ is one-to-one follows by direct appeal to Theorem 4 in [4, p. 95].

3. The convex hull of $K_R(\beta)$.

In [2] D. A. Brannan, J. G. Clunie and W. E. Kirwan determined $\mathcal{H} K(\beta)$ where $\beta \geq 1$. We now turn our attention to $K_R(\beta)$ for $\beta \geq 1$, i.e., those functions in $K(\beta)$ which are real on $(-1, 1)$. We recall that in [2] the above authors showed that $f(z) \in K(\beta)$ if and only if there exists a function $p(z)$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ and a starlike function $s(z)$ so that $zf'(z) = a(p(z))^\beta s(z)$ where $|a| = 1$. This is equivalent to the original definition given by Ch. Pommerenke in [8].

THEOREM 6. *Let $\{X = x: |x| = 1, \operatorname{Im} x \geq 0\}$, \mathcal{P} be the set of probability measures on X , and \mathcal{F} be the class of functions f_μ on Δ defined by*

$$f_\mu(z) = \int_X \left[\frac{1 - z^2}{(1 - xz)(1 - \bar{x}z)} \right]^\beta \frac{1}{(1 - xz)(1 - \bar{x}z)} d\mu(x) \quad (\mu \in \mathcal{P}).$$

Then $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H} K'_R(\beta)$, where $\beta \geq 1$ and $K'_R(\beta)$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} \mathcal{H} K'_R(\beta) &\subset \left\{ \left[\frac{1 - z^2}{(1 - xz)(1 - \bar{x}z)} \right]^\beta \frac{1}{(1 - xz)(1 - \bar{x}z)} : |x| \right. \\ &\quad \left. = 1, \operatorname{Im} x \geq 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We assume that $f(z) \in K_R(\beta)$ for $\beta \geq 1$. In [2] the authors showed that

$$zf'(z) = \left[\left[p(z) \cdot \overline{p(\bar{z})} \right]^{1/2} \right]^\beta [s(z) \cdot \overline{s(\bar{z})}]^{1/2}$$

where $[p(z)\overline{p(\bar{z})}]^{1/2}$ has positive real part and is real on $(-1, 1)$ and $[s(z)\overline{s(\bar{z})}]^{1/2}$ is univalent, starlike, and real on $(-1, 1)$. The result now follows with the usual arguments by appealing to Theorems 1 and 2.

REMARK 1. The question of whether each kernel function is an extreme point remains undecided.

2. We introduce some useful notation at this point. Suppose $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n z^n$ and $F(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty A_n z^n$ are such that $|a_n| \leq |A_n|$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We then write $f(z) \ll F(z)$. In [2] the authors proved that $f(z) \in K_R(\beta)$ implies $f'(z) \ll f'_k(z)$ where $p = (1/2)k - 1$ and

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \left[\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{k/2} - 1 \right].$$

They proved this with no restriction on β . If $\beta \geq 1$, the previous

theorem gives an easy proof of this result. The argument needed is essentially the same one used by D. A. Brannan, J. G. Clunie, and W. E. Kirwan in [2] to prove the coefficient conjecture for $K(\beta)$ when $\beta \geq 1$. We recall that D. Aharonov and S. Friedland settled that coefficient conjecture for $K(\beta)$ in [1]. We next prove a generalized coefficient conjecture for $K(\beta)$.

THEOREM 7. *Suppose $F(z) \in K(\beta)$ where $\beta \geq 1$. If $f(z) < F(z)$ then $f(z) \ll f_k(z)$ where*

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \left[\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^{k/2} - 1 \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}k - 1.$$

Proof. It suffices to consider $F(z)$ which are in $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{H} K(\beta)$. So we may assume by Theorem 4.1 in [2] that for $f(z) = F(\phi(z))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= F'(\phi(z))\phi'(z) \\ &= \left[\frac{1+x\phi(z)}{1-y\phi(z)} \right]^\beta \frac{1}{(1-y\phi(z))^2} \phi'(z). \end{aligned}$$

We recall that D. Aharonov and S. Friedland in [1] proved that

$$\left[\frac{1+cz}{1-z} \right]^\beta \ll \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^\beta \quad \text{for} \quad \beta \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |c| \leq 1.$$

Since

$$\left[\frac{1+x\phi(z)}{1-y\phi(z)} \right]^\beta = \int_x \left[\frac{1+cxz}{1-xz} \right]^\beta d\mu(x)$$

for some μ a probability measure on $X = \{x: |x| = 1\}$ by Theorem 2.2 in [2] we see that

$$\left[\frac{1+x\phi(z)}{1-y\phi(z)} \right]^\beta \ll \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^\beta.$$

Since

$$\frac{\phi'(z)}{(1-y\phi(z))^2} = \frac{1}{y} \left[\frac{1}{1-y\phi(z)} \right]' \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{1-y\phi(z)} \ll \frac{1}{1-z}$$

we conclude that

$$\frac{\phi'(z)}{(1-y\phi(z))^2} \ll \frac{1}{(1-z)^2}.$$

Hence we see that

$$f'(z) \ll \left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^\beta \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} = f'_k(z)$$

where

$$f_k(z) = \frac{1}{k} \left[\left[\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right]^{k/2} - 1 \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}k - 1.$$

The theorem now follows directly.

4. The convex hull of C_k .

THEOREM 8. *Let $X^2 = \{(x, y): |x| = |y| = 1\}$, \mathcal{P} be the set of probability measures on X^2 , and \mathcal{F} be the class of functions f_μ on Δ defined by*

$$f_\mu(z) = \int_{X^2} \frac{1 - xz^k}{(1 - yz^k)^{2/k+1}} d\mu(x, y) \quad (\mu \in \mathcal{P})$$

then $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}C'_k$, where C'_k is the set of derivatives of functions in C_k and $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Furthermore

$$\mathcal{E} \mathcal{H}C'_k \subset \left\{ \frac{1 + xz^k}{(1 - yz^k)^{2/k+1}} : |x| = |y| = 1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Let $f(z)$ be in C_k . An inspection of the proof of Theorem 2 in [6], as noted by Ch. Pommerenke in [7, p. 263], shows that we can choose a starlike function $s(z)$ with k -fold symmetry and a function of positive real part with k -fold symmetry so that

$$zf'(z) = p(z)s(z).$$

The result now follows appeal to Theorem 3 in [4, p. 95] and the same arguments in [4, Theorem 6].

The next result was proven earlier in [7, p. 266] by Ch. Pommerenke.

COROLLARY. (1) *If $f \in C_k$, then $f(z) \ll z/(1 - z^k)^{2/k}$.*

Proof. We prove $f'(z) \ll [z/((1 - z^k)^{2/k})]'$ which is equivalent to the above statement. It suffices to prove the result for f in $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{H}C_k$, i.e., for functions

$$\frac{1 + xz^k}{(1 - yz^k)^{2/k+1}} \quad \text{where} \quad |x| = |y| = 1.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{1 + xz^k}{1 - yz^k} \ll \frac{1 + z^k}{1 - z^k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{(1 - yz^k)^{2/k}} \ll \frac{1}{(1 - z^k)^{2/k}} .$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{1 + xz^k}{(1 - yz^k)^{2/k+1}} \ll \frac{1 + z^k}{(1 - z^k)^{2/k+1}} = \left[\frac{z}{(1 - z^k)^{2/k}} \right]' .$$

The result now follows.

COROLLARY. (2) *Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be subordinate to $F(z)$ where $F(z)$ is in C_2 . Then $|a_n| < \sqrt{2}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.*

Proof. Applying Theorem 8 when $k = 2$, integrating and using the fact that $f_\mu(0) = 0$ we find that the extreme points of C_2 are given by the collection

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{y} \right) \frac{z}{1 - yz^2} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{y} \right) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{yz}}{1 - \sqrt{yz}} : |x| = |y| = 1 \right\} .$$

It suffices to prove the above theorem for $F(z)$ one of these extreme points. So we have

$$f(z) = \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{y} \right] \frac{\phi(z)}{1 - y\phi^2(z)} + \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{y} \right] \frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{y}\phi(z)}{1 - \sqrt{y}\phi(z)}$$

where $\phi(0) = 0, |\phi(z)| < 1$. Let $\phi(z)/(1 - y\phi^2(z)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$. Since $z/(1 - yz^2)$ is starlike and odd, we have by Theorem 9 in [3] the inequality $|b_n| \leq 1$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Let

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}} \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{y}\phi(z)}{1 - \sqrt{y}\phi(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n .$$

Since $1/2 \log(1 + z)/(1 - z)$ is convex we have $|c_n| \leq 1$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ by the classical result of Rogosinski [10]. We have

$$a_n = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{y} \right) b_n + \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{y} \right] c_n .$$

We conclude that

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left| 1 + \frac{x}{y} \right| + \left| 1 - \frac{x}{y} \right| \right\} \leq \sqrt{2} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots .$$

To see that we must have the strict inequality $|a_n| < \sqrt{2}$ for all n recall when $|b_n| = 1$ and $|c_n| = 1$. If equality occurs we must have $\phi(z) = \varepsilon z^n$ where $|\varepsilon| = 1$. However, for such a ϕ it is easy to see

that $|a_n| \leq 1$.

REMARKS. If $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is in C_2 , then $|a_n| \leq 1$. This is the same bound which holds for odd starlike functions. Since it has been proven in [3] that a function subordinate to an odd starlike function also has coefficients bounded by 1, it is natural to conjecture that the correct bound in Corollary (2) is 1.

5. The hull of a class of close-to-convex functions.

In [11] K. Sukaguchi proved that the operator $(Lf)(z) = \int_0^z (f(w)/w)dw$ applied to a close-to-convex function produces a close-to-convex function. This result was proven again and generalized by Ch. Pommerenke in [8]. We will examine in this section the compact family of close-to-convex functions $L(C) = \{Lf: f \in C\}$.

We remark that since the operator L is linear the extreme points and closed convex hull of $L(C)$ can be precisely determined from Theorem 6 in [4, p. 97]. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} \mathcal{H} L(C) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{x}{y} \right) \frac{z}{1-yz} - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{x}{y} \right) \frac{1}{y} \log(1-yz); |x| \right. \\ &= \left. |y| = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We also note that by applying the technique of proof used in Corollary (2) we can prove that if $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n < F(z)$ where $F(z) \in L(C)$ then $|a_n| < \sqrt[2]{2}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We remark that it natural to conjecture that $|a_n| \leq 1$ is the correct inequality.

Added in proof. The extreme points of $\mathcal{H} \text{St}_x(\alpha, k)$ are identical with the set of functions given in the inclusion in Theorem 4. In [4, p. 95], it was in effect proven that the map $\mu \rightarrow f\mu$ is one-to-one.

REFERENCES

1. D. Aharonov and S. Friedland, *On an inequality connected with the coefficient conjecture for funtions of bounded boundary rotation*, Annales Academia Scientiarum Fennicae, AI524 (1972), 3-14.
2. D. A. Brannan, J. G. Clunie, and W. E. Kirwan, *On the coefficient problem for functions of bounded boundary rotation*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., AI523(1973).
3. L. Brickman, D. J. Hallenbeck, T. H. Mac Gregor, and D. R. Wilken, *Convex hulls and extreme points of families of starlike and convex mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
4. L. Brickman, T. H. Mac Gregor and D. R. Wilken, *Convex hulls of some classical families of univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **156** (1971), 91-107.

5. D. J. Hallenbeck, *Convex hulls and extreme points of some families of univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., (to appear).
6. W. Kaplan, *Close-to-convex Schlicht functions*, Michigan Math. J., **1** (1952), 169-185.
7. Ch. Pommerenke, *On the coefficients of close-to-convex functions*, Michigan Math. J., **91** (1962), 259-269.
8. Ch. Pommerenke, *On close-to-convex analytic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **114** (1964), 176-186.
9. W. Rogosinski, *Über positive harmonische Entwicklungen und typische Potenzreihen*, Math. Z., **35** (1932), 93-121.
10. W. Rogosinski, *On the coefficients of subordinate functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (2) **48** (1943), 48-82.

Received October 7, 1974.

UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Keith Roy Allen, <i>Dendritic compactification</i>	1
Daniel D. Anderson, <i>The Krull intersection theorem</i>	11
George Phillip Barker and David Hilding Carlson, <i>Cones of diagonally dominant matrices</i>	15
David Wilmot Barnette, <i>Generalized combinatorial cells and facet splitting</i>	33
Stefan Bergman, <i>Bounds for distortion in pseudoconformal mappings</i>	47
Nguyễn Phương Các, <i>On bounded solutions of a strongly nonlinear elliptic equation</i>	53
Philip Throop Church and James Timourian, <i>Maps with 0-dimensional critical set</i>	59
G. Coquet and J. C. Dupin, <i>Sur les convexes ubiquitaires</i>	67
Kandiah Dayanithy, <i>On perturbation of differential operators</i>	85
Thomas P. Dence, <i>A Lebesgue decomposition for vector valued additive set functions</i>	91
John Riley Durbin, <i>On locally compact wreath products</i>	99
Allan L. Edelson, <i>The converse to a theorem of Conner and Floyd</i>	109
William Alan Feldman and James Franklin Porter, <i>Compact convergence and the order bidual for $C(X)$</i>	113
Ralph S. Freese, <i>Ideal lattices of lattices</i>	125
R. Gow, <i>Groups whose irreducible character degrees are ordered by divisibility</i>	135
David G. Green, <i>The lattice of congruences on an inverse semigroup</i>	141
John William Green, <i>Completion and semicompletion of Moore spaces</i>	153
David James Hallenbeck, <i>Convex hulls and extreme points of families of starlike and close-to-convex mappings</i>	167
Israel (Yitzchak) Nathan Herstein, <i>On a theorem of Brauer-Cartan-Hua type</i>	177
Virgil Dwight House, Jr., <i>Countable products of generalized countably compact spaces</i>	183
John Sollion Hsia, <i>Spinor norms of local integral rotations. I</i>	199
Hugo Junghenn, <i>Almost periodic compactifications of transformation semigroups</i>	207
Shin'ichi Kinoshita, <i>On elementary ideals of projective planes in the 4-sphere and oriented Θ-curves in the 3-sphere</i>	217
Ronald Fred Levy, <i>Showering spaces</i>	223
Geoffrey Mason, <i>Two theorems on groups of characteristic 2-type</i>	233
Cyril Nasim, <i>An inversion formula for Hankel transform</i>	255
W. P. Novinger, <i>Real parts of uniform algebras on the circle</i>	259
T. Parthasarathy and T. E. S. Raghavan, <i>Equilibria of continuous two-person games</i>	265
John Pfaltzgraff and Ted Joe Suffridge, <i>Close-to-starlike holomorphic functions of several variables</i>	271
Esther Portnoy, <i>Developable surfaces in hyperbolic space</i>	281
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht, <i>Differential extension fields of exponential type</i>	289
Keith William Schrader and James Lewis Thornburg, <i>Sufficient conditions for the existence of convergent subsequences</i>	301
Joseph M. Weinstein, <i>Reconstructing colored graphs</i>	307