

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AN INVERSION FORMULA FOR HANKEL TRANSFORM

CYRIL NASIM

AN INVERSION FORMULA FOR HANKEL TRANSFORM

C. NASIM

In the present note we propose a procedure for inverting the Hankel transform of arbitrary order. The technique we use is similar to the one used by Widder, inasmuch as the inversion is accomplished in two steps.

The inversion of the sine transform and cosine transform become special cases of our result. In these instances the operators employed for inversion are different from those used in the known results.

Methods for inverting the Fourier sine and Fourier cosine transform using operators of differential and integral types, have been known for sometime now. Widder [5 (Th. 8), 6], has used techniques whereby the inversion can be accomplished in two steps; namely, first by the application of an integral operator, in this case the Laplace transform, followed by differential operator, which is the limit of a polynomial in θ ($\theta \equiv -x(d/dx)$) or D ($D \equiv (d/dx)$).

2. Preliminaries.

DEFINITION. A function $E(s)$, belongs to the class E_0 , if and only if

$$E(s) = e^{bs} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{s}{a_k}\right) \exp\left(\frac{s}{a_k}\right),$$

where α_k and b are real and finite, $\sum 1/a_k^2 < \infty$ and s , a complex number, [5; p. 174].

Some examples of the class E_0 are $\cos s$, $(\sin s)/s$, $(1/\Gamma(1-s))$. Note that $E(0) = 1$. We state a known results [4] for reference.

LEMMA. If (i) $E(s) = 1 / \int_0^{\infty} K(x)x^{s-1}dx$,

(ii) $E(s) \in E_0$,

(iii) $\phi(t)$ is bounded and continuous in $(0, \infty)$,

$$(2.1) \quad \text{(iv) } f(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{t} K\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \phi(t) dt, \quad x > 0$$

then for almost all x ,

$$E(\theta)f(x) = \phi(x), \quad \theta = -x \frac{d}{dx}.$$

Now, a few remarks about the algebra of operators involving θ .

Let $\theta = -x(d/dx)$, then $\theta^m[x^\alpha] = (-\alpha)^m x^\alpha$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, whence

$$(2.2) \quad n^\theta[x^\alpha] = n^{-\alpha} x^\alpha .$$

Thus for any polynomial $P(\theta)$, $P(\theta)x^\alpha = P(-\alpha)x^\alpha$ and hence for $E(\theta)$, the limit of polynomials, $E(\theta)x^\alpha = E(-\alpha)x^\alpha$. Similarly, by using Gauss' formula

$$\Gamma(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n! n^z}{z(z+1) \cdots (z+n)} ,$$

one can deduce that

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{\Gamma(a - b\theta)} [x^\alpha] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + ba)} x^\alpha .$$

3. The main result.

THEOREM. *If*

(i) $\phi(x)$ is Lebesgue integrable and continuous in $(0, \infty)$

$$(3.1) \quad \text{(ii) } f(x) = \int_0^\infty (xt)^{1/2} J_\nu(xt) \phi(t) dt , \left(\nu \geq -\frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \text{(iii) } R(x) = 2^{1/4-1/2\nu} \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\nu+1/2} e^{-1/2x^2y^2} f(y) dy ,$$

then

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{2^{1/2\theta}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\nu - \frac{1}{2}\theta + \frac{3}{4}\right)} R(x) = \phi(x) .$$

Proof. Consider the equation (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int_0^\infty (xt)^{1/2} J_\nu(xt) \phi(t) dt = \left(\int_0^\delta + \int_\delta^\infty \right) (xt)^{1/2} J_\nu(xt) \phi(t) dt \\ &= x^{1/2} 0 \left(\int_0^\delta t^{\nu+1/2} \phi(t) dt \right) + x^{1/2} 0 \left(\int_\delta^\infty \phi(t) dt \right) . \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis (i), $\phi(t) \in L(0, \infty)$, therefore $t^{\nu+1/2}\phi(t) \in L(0, \delta)$, $\delta > 0$, $\nu \geq -1/2$ and $\phi(t) \in L(\delta, \infty)$. Hence the above integral is absolutely convergent and $f(x)$ exists for $x \geq 0$ as defined by (3.1). Also it can be verified that

$f(x) = O(x^\alpha)$, $\alpha > 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ and $f(x) = O(x^\beta)$, $\beta < 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, hence $f(x)$ is bounded and continuous in $(0, \infty)$ and therefore $R(x)$ of (3.2) is well defined for $x \geq 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(x) &= 2^{1/4-1/2\nu} \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\nu+1/2} e^{-1/2x^2y^2} f(y) dy \\
 (3.4) \quad &= 2^{1/4-1/2\nu} \int_0^\infty (xy)^{\nu+1/2} e^{-1/2x^2y^2} dy \int_0^\infty (yt)^{1/2} J_\nu(yt) \phi(t) dt \\
 &= 2^{1/4-1/2\nu} x^{\nu+1/2} \int_0^\infty t^{1/2} \phi(t) dt \int_0^\infty y^{\nu+1} e^{-1/2x^2y^2} J_\nu(yt) dy,
 \end{aligned}$$

by Fubini's theorem since $\phi(t) \in L(0, \infty)$.

The inner integral can be evaluated [2; p. 29 (10)] to give

$$\int_0^\infty y^{\nu+1} e^{-1/2x^2y^2} J_\nu(yt) dy = x^{-2(\nu+1)} t^\nu e^{-t^2/2x^2}, \quad (R(\nu) > -1).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.5) \quad R(x) &= 2^{1/4-1/2\nu} x^{-(\nu+3/2)} \int_0^\infty t^{\nu+1/2} e^{-t^2/2x^2} \phi(t) dt \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t} K\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \phi(t) dt, \quad \text{say}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K(x) = 2^{1/4-1/2\nu} x^{-(\nu+3/2)} e^{-1/2x^2},$$

and therefore

$$1/E(s) = \int_0^\infty K(x) x^{s-1} dx = 2^{-1/2s} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\nu - \frac{1}{2}s + \frac{3}{4}\right), \quad \left(R(s) \leq R(\nu) + \frac{3}{2}\right).$$

It is clear that $E(s) \in E_0$ and hence applying the above lemma to (3.5), we have

$$E(\theta)R(x) = \phi(x),$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad E(\theta) = \frac{2^{1/2\theta}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\nu - \frac{1}{2}\theta + \frac{3}{4}\right)}$$

If we put $\nu = -1/2$ and $1/2$ in the above theorem, we obtain the inversion for cosine transform and sine transform respectively.

The scope of the main result is illustrated by applying it to $\phi(x)$, where, for example, $\phi(x)$ is $x^{\nu+1/2} e^{-ax^2}$, ($a > 0$, $R(\nu) > -1$) or $x^{\nu+1/2} (1 + x^2)^{-1}$, ($R(\nu) > -1$). The assumption on $\phi(x)$, is by no means best possible, since one can verify that the result still holds if we take, $\phi(x)$ to be x^μ or $x^{-1/2} J_{\nu+1}(ax)$, with appropriate restrictions on μ, ν and a , although it does not satisfy assumption (i) of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. A. Erdelyi, *Tables of Integral Transforms*, Vol. I McGraw Hill, New York, 1954.
2. ———, *Tables of Integral Transforms*, Vol. II McGraw Hill, New York, 1954.
3. E. C. Titchmarsh, *An Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*, Oxford at the Clarendon Press.
4. D. V. Widder, *Inversion of convolution transform by use of series*, J. d'Analyse Math., **XXI** (1968), 293-312.
5. ———, *An Introduction to Transform Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
6. ———, *Complements to an inversion formula*, Math. Magazine, **46**, No. 1, (1973), 1-7.

Received November 1, 1973 and in revised form August 30, 1974. This research was partially supported by a grant by the National Research Council of Canada.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 57, No. 1

January, 1975

Keith Roy Allen, <i>Dendritic compactification</i>	1
Daniel D. Anderson, <i>The Krull intersection theorem</i>	11
George Phillip Barker and David Hilding Carlson, <i>Cones of diagonally dominant matrices</i>	15
David Wilmot Barnette, <i>Generalized combinatorial cells and facet splitting</i>	33
Stefan Bergman, <i>Bounds for distortion in pseudoconformal mappings</i>	47
Nguyễn Phương Các, <i>On bounded solutions of a strongly nonlinear elliptic equation</i>	53
Philip Throop Church and James Timourian, <i>Maps with 0-dimensional critical set</i>	59
G. Coquet and J. C. Dupin, <i>Sur les convexes ubiquitaires</i>	67
Kandiah Dayanithy, <i>On perturbation of differential operators</i>	85
Thomas P. Dence, <i>A Lebesgue decomposition for vector valued additive set functions</i>	91
John Riley Durbin, <i>On locally compact wreath products</i>	99
Allan L. Edelson, <i>The converse to a theorem of Conner and Floyd</i>	109
William Alan Feldman and James Franklin Porter, <i>Compact convergence and the order bidual for $C(X)$</i>	113
Ralph S. Freese, <i>Ideal lattices of lattices</i>	125
R. Gow, <i>Groups whose irreducible character degrees are ordered by divisibility</i>	135
David G. Green, <i>The lattice of congruences on an inverse semigroup</i>	141
John William Green, <i>Completion and semicompletion of Moore spaces</i>	153
David James Hallenbeck, <i>Convex hulls and extreme points of families of starlike and close-to-convex mappings</i>	167
Israel (Yitzchak) Nathan Herstein, <i>On a theorem of Brauer-Cartan-Hua type</i>	177
Virgil Dwight House, Jr., <i>Countable products of generalized countably compact spaces</i>	183
John Sollion Hsia, <i>Spinor norms of local integral rotations. I</i>	199
Hugo Junghenn, <i>Almost periodic compactifications of transformation semigroups</i>	207
Shin'ichi Kinoshita, <i>On elementary ideals of projective planes in the 4-sphere and oriented Θ-curves in the 3-sphere</i>	217
Ronald Fred Levy, <i>Showering spaces</i>	223
Geoffrey Mason, <i>Two theorems on groups of characteristic 2-type</i>	233
Cyril Nasim, <i>An inversion formula for Hankel transform</i>	255
W. P. Novinger, <i>Real parts of uniform algebras on the circle</i>	259
T. Parthasarathy and T. E. S. Raghavan, <i>Equilibria of continuous two-person games</i>	265
John Pfaltzgraff and Ted Joe Suffridge, <i>Close-to-starlike holomorphic functions of several variables</i>	271
Esther Portnoy, <i>Developable surfaces in hyperbolic space</i>	281
Maxwell Alexander Rosenlicht, <i>Differential extension fields of exponential type</i>	289
Keith William Schrader and James Lewis Thornburg, <i>Sufficient conditions for the existence of convergent subsequences</i>	301
Joseph M. Weinstein, <i>Reconstructing colored graphs</i>	307