

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

NORM DECREASING HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN GROUP ALGEBRAS

J. E. KERLIN AND WILFRED DENNIS PEPE

NORM DECREASING HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN GROUP ALGEBRAS

J. E. KERLIN AND W. D. PEPE

The norm decreasing homomorphisms φ of $L^1(F)$ into $M(G)$ for locally compact groups F and G have been characterized by F. P. Greenleaf using an integral representation. In this note the authors improve and unify some of the results and proofs of structure theorems in the previous literature. Necessary and sufficient conditions that φ have a canonical factorization of a general type are expressed in terms of the extensibility of a φ -associated character on a φ -related closed normal subgroup. In particular, an explicit factorization of φ can be obtained when either F or G is Abelian. Also investigated is the structure of norm decreasing homomorphisms φ with range in $L^1(G)$.

With F and G denoting (throughout this note) locally compact Hausdorff groups, the norm decreasing homomorphisms of the group algebra $L^1(F)$ into the measure algebra $M(G)$ have been characterized by Glicksberg [2] and Cohen [1] for Abelian groups and in the general setting by Greenleaf [3]. The characterization obtained for nonAbelian groups is less tractable than that obtained in the Abelian case. (Compare Theorem 2.1 of [2] with Theorem 4.2.2 of [3].) In this note the authors give necessary and sufficient conditions for a nonzero norm decreasing homomorphism $\varphi: L^1(F) \rightarrow M(G)$ to have certain factorizations analogous to those obtained by Glicksberg [2, Theorems 2.1, 2.9] and Greenleaf [3, Theorem 5.1.5]. Furthermore, those φ with range in $L^1(G)$ are investigated, and simpler proofs of Greenleaf's characterization of the epimorphisms and monomorphisms between L^1 -group algebras (cf., [3, Theorem 5.2.1, Cor. 5.1.6], [4, Theorem 2.1]) are provided.

In the interest of brevity we adopt the notations and definitions of [3]. In addition, if X and Y are locally compact Hausdorff spaces and $\theta: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then $\theta_*: M(X) \rightarrow M(Y)$ denotes the canonical norm decreasing linear map defined by $\langle \theta_*(\mu), f \rangle = \langle \mu, f \circ \theta \rangle$ for all f in $C_0(Y)$, or equivalently, by $\theta_*(\mu)(B) = \mu(\theta^{-1}(B))$ for all Borel subsets, B , of Y ; if $\theta: F \rightarrow G$ is a continuous homomorphism then θ_* is also multiplicative. If K is a compact subgroup or closed normal subgroup of G , then $m_{G/K}$ denotes the positive left invariant measure on G/K so that m_G , m_K , and $m_{G/K}$ are canonically related [6, Chaps. 3, 8]. $L^1(G/K)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space with respect to $m_{G/K}$. If K is compact, then $\pi_K^*: M(G/K) \rightarrow M(G)$ is the norm decreasing linear map defined by

$\langle \pi_K^*(\mu), f \rangle = \langle \mu, (\pi_K)_*(f) \rangle$ for all f in $\mathcal{K}(G)$, the space of continuous, \mathbb{C} -valued, compactly supported, functions on G , where under the identification $L^1(G) \subset M(G)$, $(\pi_K)_*f(gK) = \int_K f(gk)dm_K(k)$ for $g \in G$. Let \hat{G} denote the group of continuous homomorphisms of G into the circle group S^1 . If α is in \hat{G} , then A_α denotes the isometric automorphism of $M(G)$ defined by $\langle A_\alpha(\mu), f \rangle = \langle \alpha\mu, f \rangle = \langle \mu, \alpha f \rangle$ for all f in $C_0(G)$.

Let $\bar{\varphi}: (M(F), (so)) \rightarrow (M(G), (\sigma))$ denote the unique norm decreasing extension of φ ; let $H_0 = \bigcup \{\text{support } \bar{\varphi}(\delta_x) : x \in F\}$, a subgroup of G ; then $\bar{\varphi}(\delta_x) = \rho m_K$ where K is a compact subgroup of G normal in H_0 and ρ is in \hat{K} [3, § 4.2]. Let $\zeta: F \rightarrow H_0/K$ be the mapping defined by $\zeta(x) = \pi_K(\text{supp } \bar{\varphi}(\delta_x))$. The continuity properties of $\bar{\varphi}$ show that ζ is an epimorphism of F onto H_0/K and is continuous as a map of F into G/K . According to [3, Lemma 5.1.2] there is a unique topology τ on H_0 making $H = (H_0, \tau)$ into a locally compact group, K a compact subgroup of H , the monomorphic inclusion $j: H \rightarrow G$ continuous, and the algebraic epimorphism ζ a continuous open epimorphism $\theta: F \rightarrow H/K$. (Algebraically, ζ and θ are the same map, but their topological properties differ.) Finally, recall ([3, § 4.2]) that $\bar{\varphi}(\delta_x) = \lambda_{x,g} \delta_g * \rho m_K$, where $\pi_K(g) = \zeta(x)$ for g in H_0 and $|\lambda_{x,g}| = 1$.

LEMMA 1. *Let $F_\varphi = \{x \in F : \bar{\varphi}(\delta_x) = \lambda_x \rho m_K \text{ for some } |\lambda_x| = 1\}$, and let $\gamma_\varphi: F_\varphi \rightarrow S^1$ be defined by $\gamma_\varphi(x) = \lambda_x$. Then F_φ is a closed normal subgroup with $\text{Ker } \zeta = F_\varphi$ and γ_φ is in \hat{F}_φ .*

Proof. $x \in F_\varphi$ iff $\bar{\varphi}(\delta_x) = \lambda_x \delta_e * \rho m_K = \lambda_{x,e} \delta_e * \rho m_K$ iff $\lambda_x = \lambda_{x,e}$ and $\zeta(x) = \pi_K(e) = e/K$. Thus, $F_\varphi = \text{Ker } \zeta$ and, therefore, it is a closed normal subgroup. As φ is a homomorphism and is $((so), (\sigma))$ -continuous on norm bounded sets, it is easy to see that γ_φ is in \hat{F}_φ .

We now state the main results reserving their proofs till later.

THEOREM 1. (i) γ_φ has an extension to a character γ in \hat{F} iff $\varphi = j_* A_\beta \pi_K^* \theta_* A_\gamma$ where K is a compact normal subgroup of a locally compact group H , $\theta: F \rightarrow H/K$ is a continuous open epimorphism, $j: H \rightarrow G$ is a continuous monomorphism, and $\gamma \in \hat{F}$, $\beta \in \hat{H}$.

(ii) ρ has an extension to a character α in \hat{G} iff $\varphi = A_\alpha \pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma$ where K is a compact subgroup normal in a subgroup H_0 of G , $\zeta: F \rightarrow H_0/K \subset G/K$ is a continuous epimorphism, and $\gamma \in \hat{F}$, $\alpha \in \hat{G}$.

THEOREM 2. *Let H and G be locally compact groups, let $K \subset H$ and $J \subset G$ be compact subgroups, let L be a subgroup of G with J*

normal in L , let $\psi: H \rightarrow L/J \subset G/J$ be a continuous epimorphism with the relative topology on L/J , and let $\beta \in \hat{H}$ with $\text{Ker } \beta \supset \text{Ker } \psi$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) L is an open/closed subgroup of G and ψ is an open map;
- (ii) $\psi_*(L^1(H)) \subset L^1(G/J)$;
- (iii) $\psi_* A_\beta \pi_K^* (L^1(H/K)) \subset L^1(G/J)$;
- (iv) ψ^{-1} satisfies property N_{10c} , i.e., the ψ -inverse image of an $m_{G/J}$ -locally null set in G/J is m_H -locally null in H ; and,
- (v) if H and G are Abelian, then the dual homomorphism $\hat{\psi}: (G/J)^\wedge \rightarrow \hat{H}$ is a proper map, i.e., the $\hat{\psi}$ -inverse image of a compact subset is compact.

COROLLARY 1. (i) $\gamma_\varphi \equiv 1$ iff $\varphi = j_* A_\beta \pi_K^* \theta_*$.

(ii) $\bar{\varphi}(\delta_e) \geq 0$ iff $\varphi = \pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma$.

(iii) φ is order preserving iff $\varphi = \pi_K^* \zeta_*$.

(iv) $\langle \varphi(f), \bar{\alpha} \rangle \neq 0$ for some f in $L^1(F)$ and some α in \hat{G} iff $\varphi = A_\alpha \pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma$.

Proof. (i), (ii) and (iii) are all obvious from Theorem 1. If $\langle \varphi(f), \bar{\alpha} \rangle \neq 0$ for some f and α , then the map $f \rightarrow \langle \varphi(f), \bar{\alpha} \rangle$ is a nonzero multiplicative linear functional on $L^1(F)$ and hence ([5, (23.7)]) there is a γ in \hat{F} such that $\langle \varphi(f), \bar{\alpha} \rangle = \langle f, \gamma \rangle$ for all f in $L^1(F)$. Let $f \geq 0$ be in $L^1(F)$ and $\|f\|_1 = 1$. Then $\langle A_\alpha^{-1} \varphi A_\gamma^{-1}(f), 1 \rangle = \langle \varphi A_\gamma^{-1}(f), \bar{\alpha} \rangle = \langle \bar{\gamma} f, \gamma \rangle = \|f\|_1 = 1$. As $\|A_\alpha^{-1} \varphi A_\gamma^{-1}(f)\|_1 \leq 1$, it must be that $A_\alpha^{-1} \varphi A_\gamma^{-1}(f) \geq 0$ and hence $A_\alpha^{-1} \varphi A_\gamma^{-1}$ is order preserving. Thus $\varphi = A_\alpha \pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma$. Conversely, if $\varphi = A_\alpha \pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma$, then for all $g \geq 0$ in $L^1(F)$ and with $f = \bar{\gamma} g$, we have $\langle \varphi(f), \bar{\alpha} \rangle = \langle \pi_K^* \zeta_*(g), 1 \rangle$ and this is clearly nonzero for some $g \geq 0$.

COROLLARY 2. (i) If F is Abelian, then every nonzero $\varphi: L^1(F) \rightarrow M(G)$ is of the form $\varphi = j_* A_\beta \pi_K^* \theta_* A_\gamma$.

(ii) If G is Abelian, then every nonzero $\varphi: L^1(F) \rightarrow M(G)$ is of the form $\varphi = A_\alpha \pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 1 since it is well known that characters on closed subgroups of Abelian groups extend to the whole group.

COROLLARY 3. (i) $\varphi = j_* A_\beta \pi_K^* \theta_* A_\gamma$ maps $L^1(F)$ into $L^1(G)$ iff $j(H)$ is open/closed in G and $j: H \rightarrow G$ is an open map.

(ii) $\varphi = A_\alpha \pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma$ maps $L^1(F)$ into $L^1(G)$ iff H_0 is an open/closed subgroup of G and $\zeta: F \rightarrow G/K$ is an open mapping.

Proof. To prove (i), note that as $\theta_* A_\gamma(L^1(F)) = L^1(H/K)$, we

have $j_*A_\beta\pi_K^*(L^1(H/K)) \subset L^1(G)$ if $\varphi(L^1(F)) \subset L^1(G)$ and so Theorem 2 applies with $\psi = j$, $L = H_0$, and $J = (e)$; the converse of (i) is well known. If $\varphi = A_\alpha\pi_K^*\zeta_*A_\gamma$ maps $L^1(F)$ into $L^1(G)$, then $\pi_K^*\zeta_*(L^1(F)) \subset L^1(G)$. Since $(\pi_K)_* \circ \pi_K^*$ is the identity map, we have $\zeta_*(L^1(F)) \subset (\pi_K)_*(L^1(G)) \subset L^1(G/K)$. Now, Theorem 2 applies with $H = F$, $J = K$, $L = H_0$, and $\psi = \zeta$; again, the converse of (ii) is well known.

An immediate corollary to Corollary 3 (i) is

COROLLARY 4 (Greenleaf [4, Theorem 2.1]). *φ is a monomorphism of $L^1(F)$ into $L^1(G)$ iff $\varphi = j_*A_\beta\pi_K^*\theta_*$ where $\theta: F \cong H/K$ and j is a topological isomorphism of H onto an open/closed subgroup of G .*

COROLLARY 5 (Greenleaf [3, Theorem 5.2.1]). *φ is an epimorphism of $L^1(F)$ onto $L^1(G)$ iff $\varphi = \lambda T_{F_0}A_\gamma$ where F_0 is a closed normal subgroup of F , $T_{F_0} = (\pi_{F_0})_*|_{L^1(F)}$, $\gamma \in \widehat{F}$, and $\lambda: L^1(F/F_0) \cong L^1(G)$ is an isometric isomorphism.*

Proof. If φ is an epimorphism, then $\langle \varphi(f), 1 \rangle \neq 0$ for some f in $L^1(F)$ and so $\varphi = \pi_K^*\zeta_*A_\gamma$ by Corollary 1 (iv). By Corollary 3 (ii), ζ is an open map and H_0 is an open/closed subgroup of G . Since elements in $\pi_K^*(L^1(G/K))$ are constant on the cosets of K in G and φ is an epimorphism, we must have $K = \{e\}$ and $\varphi = \zeta_*A_\gamma$. Since ζ maps onto the open/closed subgroup H_0 , it follows immediately from the definition of ζ_* that $\zeta_*(L^1(F))$ is supported on H_0 in G . However, $\zeta_*(L^1(F)) = \zeta_*(A_\gamma(L^1(F))) = \varphi(L^1(F)) = L^1(G)$ and hence $H_0 = G$ and $\zeta: F \rightarrow G$ is a continuous open epimorphism. Let $\zeta = \lambda \circ \pi_{F_0}$ where $F_0 = \text{Ker } \zeta$ and $\lambda: F/F_0 \cong G$. Then $\varphi = \zeta_*A_\gamma = \lambda_*T_{F_0}A_\gamma$ where $\lambda_*: L^1(F/F_0) \cong L^1(G)$.

The next corollary is an interesting parallel of Corollary 1.2 and Theorem 2.3 of [4].

COROLLARY 6. (i) (Greenleaf) *$L^1(F)$ has a nonzero norm decreasing homomorphic image in $M(G)$ iff $F/F_0 \cong H/K$ where F_0 is closed normal subgroup of F , K is a compact normal subgroup of a locally compact group H , and H is continuously isomorphic to a (not necessarily closed) subgroup of G .*

(ii) *$L^1(F)$ has a nonzero norm decreasing homomorphic image in $L^1(G)$ of either of the types described in Theorem 1 iff $F/F_0 \cong H/K$ where F_0 is a closed normal subgroup of F and K is a compact normal subgroup of a locally compact group H which is topologically isomorphic to an open/closed subgroup of G .*

Proof. Part (i) is immediate from the construction of $H = (H_0, \tau)$

and $\theta: F \rightarrow H/K$. Part (ii) follows from Corollary 3.

It is clear that if $\varphi = A_\alpha \pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma$, then $\varphi = j_* A_{\beta} \pi_K^* \theta_* A_\gamma$ where $\beta = \alpha \circ j$, and so $j_* A_{\beta} \pi_K^* \theta_* A_\gamma$ is the more general factorization of the two types. There naturally arises the question of existence of a φ not of this general form. In light of Theorem 1 and its corollaries, an example has been difficult to find and has eluded the authors. The supporting evidence is favorable in view of the well known fact that in general characters on closed subgroups need not extend to the whole group.

Proof of Theorem 1. Part (i). If φ has the indicated factorization, then $\bar{\varphi} = j_* A_{\beta} \pi_K^* \theta_* A_\gamma$ and

$$\bar{\varphi}(\delta_x) = \beta(g)\gamma(x)\delta_{j(g)} * (\beta \circ j^{-1})m_{j(K)},$$

where $\pi_K(g) = \theta(x)$ for x in F and g in H . It follows that $i = (\beta \circ j^{-1})m_{j(K)}$ is the unit of $\Gamma = \bar{\varphi}(\{\delta_x: x \in F\})$, and $\bar{\varphi}(\delta_x) = \lambda_x i$ for $|\lambda_x| = 1$ iff $\bar{\varphi}(\delta_x) = \gamma(x)i$. Thus, $\gamma(x) = \gamma_\varphi(x)$ on F_φ . Conversely, suppose γ_φ has an extension to a character γ in \hat{F} . Let $\psi = \varphi \circ A_\gamma^{-1}$, a norm decreasing homomorphism of $L^1(F)$ into $M(G)$ with $\bar{\psi} = \bar{\varphi} A_\gamma^{-1}$. Since $\bar{\psi}(\delta_x) = \overline{\gamma(x)}\bar{\varphi}(\delta_x)$ for all x in F , we have that $\bigcup \{\text{supp } \bar{\psi}(\delta_x): x \in F\} = H_0$, $\bar{\psi}(\delta_x) = \bar{\varphi}(\delta_x) = \rho m_K = i$, and $\bar{\varphi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ determine the same $\zeta: F \rightarrow H_0/K \subset G/K$ and $\theta: F \rightarrow H/K$. Furthermore, if $\bar{\psi}(\delta_x) = \lambda i$ for some $|\lambda| = 1$, then $\gamma(x)\lambda i = \gamma(x)\bar{\psi}(\delta_x) = \bar{\varphi}(\delta_x)$. Therefore, $x \in F_\varphi$ and $\gamma_\varphi(x) = \gamma(x)\lambda$. Since γ_φ is the restriction of γ to F_φ , $\lambda = 1$. Thus, $\bar{\psi}(\{\delta_x: x \in F\}) \cap S^1 i = \{i\}$ and by Theorem 5.1.5 of [3], $\psi = j_* A_{\beta} \pi_K^* \theta_*$; therefore, $\varphi = \psi A_\gamma = j_* A_{\beta} \pi_K^* \theta_* A_\gamma$.

Part (ii). If ρ has an extension to a character α in \hat{G} , consider $\psi = A_\alpha^{-1}\varphi$, a norm decreasing homomorphism of $L^1(F)$ into $M(G)$ with $\bar{\psi} = A_\alpha^{-1}\bar{\varphi}$. Since α extends ρ we have for each x in F , $\bar{\psi}(\delta_x) = A_\alpha^{-1}(\lambda_{x,g}\delta_g * \rho m_K) = (\lambda_{x,g}\overline{\alpha(g)})\delta_g * m_K$. Thus, $\bar{\varphi}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ determine the same compact K , subgroup H_0 in G , and maps ζ and θ . Let $\eta_{x,g} = \lambda_{x,g}\overline{\alpha(g)}$ so that $\bar{\psi}(\delta_x) = \eta_{x,g}\delta_g * m_K$ where $\pi_K(g) = \zeta(x)$. Since $\delta_g * m_K$ does not depend on the representative g in the coset gK , $\gamma(x) = \eta_{x,g}$ is a well defined function on F to S^1 . It follows easily from the continuity properties of $\bar{\psi}$ that $\gamma \in \hat{F}$; moreover, γ extends γ_ψ . Now, the map $\pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma$ agrees with $\bar{\psi}$ on $\{\lambda \delta_x: x \in F, |\lambda| = 1\} = S^1 \xi_F$. As $\pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma$ and $\bar{\psi}$ are ((so), (σ))-continuous on norm bounded sets and as $\text{co}[S^1 \xi_F: (\text{so})]$ is the unit ball in $M(F)$ ([3, Lemma 1.1.3]), we have $\pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma = \bar{\psi}$ and $\varphi = A_\alpha \pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma$. The converse of (ii) is easily seen from the relation $A_\alpha \pi_K^* \zeta_* A_\gamma(\delta_e) = A_\alpha(m_K) = (\alpha|_K)m_K$.

Proof of Theorem 2. As the adjoint map of the homomorphism ψ_* is $\hat{\psi}: (G/J)^\wedge \rightarrow \hat{H}$ when H and G are Abelian, the equivalence of

(ii) and (v) is due to P. Cohen [1, Theorem 1]. As it is well known that (i) implies (ii), and clearly (ii) implies (iii), it is necessary only to prove (iii) implies (iv) and (iv) implies (i).

Assume (iii). We first show $\psi_*\pi_K^*(L^1(H/K)) \subset L^1(G/J)$. Let f be in $\mathcal{S}(H/K)$, and let $C = \pi_K^{-1}(\text{supp } f)$, a compact subset of H . Let β^\sim denote the bounded Borel function on G/J defined by $\beta^\sim = 0$ outside of $\psi(C)$ and $\beta^\sim(x) = \beta(y)$ for $x \in \psi(C)$ and $\psi(y) = x$, $y \in H$. Since $\text{Ker } \psi \subset \text{Ker } \beta$, β^\sim is well defined on the compact subset $\psi(C)$ and it is continuous on $\psi(C)$. Let $A_{\beta^\sim}: L^1(G/J) \rightarrow L^1(G/J)$ denote the bounded linear map of pointwise multiplication by β^\sim . Now, since $\beta^\sim \circ \psi = \beta$ on C , $\pi_K^*(f) = f \circ \pi_K$ is supported on C , and $\psi_*A_{\beta^\sim}\pi_K(f) \in L^1(G/J)$, we have $\langle A_{\beta^\sim}\psi_*A_{\beta^\sim}\pi_K^*(f), g \rangle = \langle \pi_K^*(f), \beta(\beta^\sim \circ \psi)(g \circ \psi) \rangle = \langle \pi_K^*(f), g \circ \psi \rangle = \langle \psi_*\pi_K^*(f), g \rangle$ for all g in $C_0(G/J)$. Therefore, $A_{\beta^\sim}\psi_*A_{\beta^\sim}\pi_K^*(f) = \psi_*\pi_K^*(f)$ and it is in $L^1(G/J)$. Since f in $\mathcal{S}(H/K)$ is arbitrary and $\psi_*\pi_K^*$ is continuous, we have that $\psi_*\pi_K^*$ maps $L^1(H/K)$ into $L^1(G/J)$.

To prove (iv), first consider any Borel $m_{G/J}$ -null set B in G/J , and let C be any compact subset of H with $C \cdot K = C$. Now, as $\chi_C = \pi_K^*(\chi_{\pi_K(C)})$, as $\psi_*\pi_K^*(\chi_{\pi_K(C)})$ is in $L^1(G/J)$, and as B is a null set, we have $m_H(C \cap \psi^{-1}(B)) = \chi_C dm_H(\psi^{-1}(B)) = \psi_*\pi_K^*(\chi_{\pi_K(C)})(B) = 0$. Thus, $C \cap \psi^{-1}(B)$ is an m_H -null set. Since every compact subset of H is contained in a compact set C where $C \cdot K = C$, it follows that $\psi^{-1}(B)$ is m_H -locally null and hence $\psi^{-1}(M)$ is m_H -locally null for any $m_{G/J}$ -null set M in G/J . Finally, let N be any $m_{G/J}$ -locally null set in G/J and let C be any compact subset of H . Since $C \cap \psi^{-1}(\psi(C) \cap N) = C \cap \psi^{-1}(N)$, and since $\psi(C) \cap N$ is $m_{G/J}$ -null, we have that $C \cap \psi^{-1}(N)$ is m_H -null. Thus, $\psi^{-1}(N)$ is m_H -locally null and (iv) holds.

Assume (iv). As every locally compact group is the union of σ -compact open subgroups, it suffices (in order to prove (i)) to show $\psi(S)$ is open in G/J and $\psi|_S$ is an open map for any σ -compact open subgroup S in H . A theorem of Pontryagin (cf., [5, (5.29)]) shows that any continuous epimorphism between σ -compact locally compact groups is an open map. Therefore, it suffices to show $\psi(S)$ is open in G/J and hence a (σ -compact) locally compact group with the relative topology. It is clear that $\psi(S)$ is at least σ -compact and therefore measurable. Since the restriction of the Haar measure m_H to the open subgroup S is the Haar measure on S , it is clear that $(\psi|_S)^{-1}$ satisfies property N_{loc} . Thus $\psi(S)$ is not $m_{G/J}$ -locally null. Let $L_S = \pi_J^{-1}(\psi(S))$, an F_σ -subgroup of G . By [6, § 3.9, p. 66 and § 2.2, p. 165] L_S is m_G -locally null iff $\psi(S)$ is $m_{G/J}$ -locally null. Therefore L_S is not m_G -locally null and thereby contains a Borel subset A of positive finite measure. Then AA^{-1} , a subset of L_S , is a neighborhood of the identity of G [5, (20.17)], and so L_S and $\pi_J(L_S) = \psi(S)$ are open in G and G/J , respectively. The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. P. J. Cohen, *On homomorphisms of group algebras*, Amer. J. Math., **82** (1960), 213-226.
2. I. Glicksberg, *Homomorphisms of certain algebras of measures*, Pacific J. Math., **10** (1960), 167-191.
3. F. P. Greenleaf, *Norm decreasing homomorphisms of group algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **15** (1965) 1187-1219.
4. ———, *Subalgebras of group algebras*, Function Algebras, F. T. Birtel editor, Scott, Foresman, Chicago, 1965.
5. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
6. H. Reiter, *Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups*, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1968.

Received December 27, 1973.

UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY-LEXINGTON

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Norman Larrabee Alling, <i>On Cauchy's theorem for real algebraic curves with boundary</i>	315
Daniel D. Anderson, <i>A remark on the lattice of ideals of a Prüfer domain</i>	323
Dennis Neal Barr and Peter D. Miletta, <i>A necessary and sufficient condition for uniqueness of solutions to two point boundary value problems</i>	325
Ladislav Beran, <i>On solvability of generalized orthomodular lattices</i>	331
L. Carlitz, <i>A three-term relation for some sums related to Dedekind sums</i>	339
Arthur Herbert Copeland, Jr. and Albert Oscar Shar, <i>Images and pre-images of localization maps</i>	349
G. G. Dandapat, John L. Hunsucker and Carl Pomerance, <i>Some new results on odd perfect numbers</i>	359
M. Edelstein and L. Keener, <i>Characterizations of infinite-dimensional and nonreflexive spaces</i>	365
Francis James Flanigan, <i>On Levi factors of derivation algebras and the radical embedding problem</i>	371
Harvey Friedman, <i>Provable equality in primitive recursive arithmetic with and without induction</i>	379
Joseph Braucher Fugate and Lee K. Mohler, <i>The fixed point property for tree-like continua with finitely many arc components</i>	393
John Norman Ginsburg and Victor Harold Saks, <i>Some applications of ultrafilters in topology</i>	403
Arjun K. Gupta, <i>Generalisation of a "square" functional equation</i>	419
Thomas Lee Hayden and Frank Jones Massey, <i>Nonlinear holomorphic semigroups</i>	423
V. Kannan and Thekkedath Thrivikraman, <i>Lattices of Hausdorff compactifications of a locally compact space</i>	441
J. E. Kerlin and Wilfred Dennis Pepe, <i>Norm decreasing homomorphisms between group algebras</i>	445
Young K. Kwon, <i>Behavior of Φ-bounded harmonic functions at the Wiener boundary</i>	453
Richard Arthur Levaro, <i>Projective quasi-coherent sheaves of modules</i>	457
Chung Lin, <i>Rearranging Fourier transforms on groups</i>	463
David Lowell Lovelady, <i>An asymptotic analysis of an odd order linear differential equation</i> ..	475
Jerry Malzan, <i>On groups with a single involution</i>	481
J. F. McClendon, <i>Metric families</i>	491
Carl Pomerance, <i>On multiply perfect numbers with a special property</i>	511
Mohan S. Putcha and Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>Polynomial constraints for finiteness of semisimple rings</i>	519
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Hyponormal contractions and strong power convergence</i>	531
Douglas Conner Ravenel, <i>Multiplicative operations in BP^*BP</i>	539
Judith Roitman, <i>Attaining the spread at cardinals which are not strong limits</i>	545
Kazuyuki Saitô, <i>Groups of $*$-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras</i>	553
Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, <i>Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds</i>	559
Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, <i>The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere</i>	563
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property</i>	575
J. Pelham Thomas, <i>Maximal connected Hausdorff spaces</i>	581
Charles Thomas Tucker, II, <i>Concerning σ-homomorphisms of Riesz spaces</i>	585
Rangachari Venkataraman, <i>Compactness in abelian topological groups</i>	591
William Charles Waterhouse, <i>Basically bounded functors and flat sheaves</i>	597
David Westreich, <i>Bifurcation of operator equations with unbounded linearized part</i>	611
William Robin Zame, <i>Extendibility, boundedness and sequential convergence in spaces of holomorphic functions</i>	619