

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**BEHAVIOR OF  $\Phi$ -BOUNDED HARMONIC FUNCTIONS AT THE  
WIENER BOUNDARY**

YOUNG K. KWON

## BEHAVIOR OF $\Phi$ -BOUNDED HARMONIC FUNCTIONS AT THE WIENER BOUDARY

YOUNG K. KWON

For a strongly convex  $\Phi(t)$ , denote by  $H\Phi$  the class of  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic functions, and by  $C\Phi$  the class of continuous functions  $f$  on the Wiener harmonic boundary such that the composite  $\Phi(|f|)$  is integrable with respect to a harmonic measure. Theorem:  $u \in H\Phi$  if and only if  $u$  is a solution of the Dirichlet problem with boundary values  $f \in C\Phi$  on the Wiener harmonic boundary.

1. For a strongly convex  $\Phi(t)$  Naim [4] developed an integral representation of  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic functions in terms of the Martin minimal boundary and fine topology, and for  $\Phi(t) = t^p$  ( $p > 1$ ) Schiff [7] extended the results in the framework of the Wiener compactification. In view of the fact that the Wiener compactification is "smaller" than the Martin, the latter sharpens the former.

The purpose of the present paper is to show that Naim's theory of  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic functions does carry over in its full generality to the Wiener compactification setting.

2. The set-up is, as in Naim [4], a locally compact, noncompact, connected and locally connected Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  with a Brelot harmonic sheaf  $H$  such that 1 is superharmonic (cf. Brelot [1]). An increasing nonnegative function  $\Phi(t)$  on  $[0, \infty)$  is said to be strongly convex if  $\Phi(t)$  is convex and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1}\Phi(t) = \infty$ . Following Parreau [5], a harmonic function  $u$  is said to be  $\Phi$ -bounded if the function  $\Phi(|u|)$  has a harmonic majorant, and  $u$  is said to be quasibounded if  $u = u_1 - u_2$  where  $u_1, u_2$  are limits of nondecreasing sequences of nonnegative bounded harmonic functions.

Throughout this paper we base our arguments on the Wiener compactification (cf. Sario and Nakai [6], and Loeb and Walsh [3]). Let  $\Delta$  be the Wiener harmonic boundary,  $P(x, t)$  the harmonic kernel, and  $\mu$  the harmonic measure (centered at  $x_0 \in \Omega$ , say). It is now classic that  $u$  is quasibounded if and only if  $u(x) = \int_{\Delta} P(x, t)f(t)d\mu(t)$  for some  $\mu$ -integrable function  $f$  on  $\Delta$ . In this case  $u$  has a continuous extension to  $\Delta$  and  $u = f$   $\mu$ -a.e.

3. Denote by  $H\Phi(\Omega)$  the class of  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic functions on  $\Omega$ , and by  $C\Phi(\Delta)$  the class of extended real-valued continuous functions  $f$  on  $\Delta$  such that  $\Phi(|f|)$  is  $\mu$ -integrable.

**THEOREM 1.** *A harmonic function  $u$  belongs to the class  $H\Phi(\Omega)$  if and only if*

$$(1) \quad u(x) = \int_{\Delta} P(x, t) f(t) d\mu(t)$$

for some  $f \in C\Phi(\Delta)$ . In this case  $u = f$  on  $\Delta$ .

*Proof.* First assume  $f \in C\Phi(\Delta)$ . Since  $|f| \leq \Phi(|f|) + M$  for some constant  $M$ ,  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable and therefore the function (1) is a quasibounded harmonic function. By Jensen's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(|u(x)|) &\leq \Phi\left(\int_{\Delta} P(x, t) |f(t)| d\mu(t)\right) \\ &\leq \int_{\Delta} P(x, t) \Phi(|f(t)|) d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

and the last function is a harmonic function since  $\Phi(|f|)$  is  $\mu$ -integrable.

Conversely let  $u \in H\Phi(\Omega)$ . In view of the fact that every  $\Phi$ -bounded harmonic function is quasibounded for a strongly convex  $\Phi$  (Parreau [5]), it suffices to show that the function  $\Phi(|u|)$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $\Delta$ . Choose a positive harmonic function  $h$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $h \geq \Phi(|u|)$ . Set

$$u_n(x) = \int_{\Delta} P(x, t) [(u(t) \cap n) \cup (-n)] d\mu(t)$$

for  $n \geq 1$ . Here  $\cup$  and  $\cap$  stand for pointwise maximum and minimum operations on functions. It is easy to see that each  $u_n$  is bounded and harmonic, and that the subharmonic  $\Phi(|u_n|)$  monotonically increase to  $\Phi(|u|)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Take an exhaustion  $\{\Omega_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $\Omega$  by regular inner regions (Loeb [2]), and denote by  $v_i$  the harmonic function on  $\Omega_i$  with the boundary value  $\Phi(|u_n|)|_{\partial\Omega_i}$ . Clearly  $v_i \leq h$  for all  $i \in I$ , and taking the supremum over  $i \in I$  we conclude that

$$\int_{\Delta} P(x, t) \Phi(|u_n(t)|) d\mu(t) \leq h(x)$$

on  $\Omega$ . In particular

$$\int_{\Delta} \Phi(|u_n(t)|) d\mu(t) = \int_{\Delta} P(x_0, t) \Phi(|u_n(t)|) d\mu(t) \leq h(x_0) < \infty$$

and by monotone convergence theorem the function  $\Phi(|u|)$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $\Delta$ , as desired.

4. A function  $u \in H\Phi(\Omega)$  is said to be  $H\Phi$ -minimal if  $u \geq 0$  and every  $v \in H\Phi(\Omega)$  with  $0 \leq v \leq u$  is proportional to  $u$ .

**THEOREM 2.**  *$u$  is  $H\phi$ -minimal if and only if  $u$  is  $HB$ -minimal.*

*Proof.* Clearly every  $HB$ -minimal function is  $H\phi$ -minimal. Conversely assume that  $u$  is  $H\phi$ -minimal. It suffices to show that  $u$  is bounded. In fact the bounded harmonic function

$$\int_J P(x, t)(u(t) \cap n)d\mu(t)$$

is in the class  $H\phi(\Omega)$  and has  $u$  as a harmonic majorant. Therefore  $u$  is bounded as a constant multiple of a bounded function.

**COROLLARY.** *If the space  $HB(\Omega)$  of bounded harmonic functions is of finite dimension, then  $H\phi(\Omega) = HB(\Omega)$ .*

5. In general the class  $H\phi(\Omega)$  is not a linear space (it is linear if, for instance,  $\phi(t) \cdot [\phi(1/2t)]^{-1}$  is bounded for all large  $t$ ). But it always forms a lattice with respect to the lattice operations  $\vee, \wedge$  induced from the usual function ordering in the class of all harmonic functions.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $u, v \in H\phi(\Omega)$ . Then the least harmonic majorant  $u \vee v$  and the greatest harmonic minorant  $u \wedge v$  belong to the class  $H\phi(\Omega)$ . Moreover*

$$(u \vee v)(x) = \int_J P(x, t)(u(t) \cup v(t))d\mu(t),$$

$$(u \wedge v)(x) = \int_J P(x, t)(u(t) \cap v(t))d\mu(t)$$

on  $\Omega$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Brelot, *Lectures on potential theory*, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1960.
2. P. Loeb, *An axiomatic treatment of pairs of elliptic differential equations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **16** (1966), 167-208.
3. P. Loeb and B. Walsh, *An axiomatic approach to the boundary theories of Wiener and Royden*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74** (1968), 1004-1007.
4. L. Lumer-Naim,  *$H^p$  spaces of harmonic functions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **17** (1967), 425-469.
5. M. Parreau, *Sur les moyennes des fonctions harmoniques et analytiques et la classification des surfaces de Riemann*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **3** (1951), 103-197.
6. L. Sario and M. Nakai, *Classification Theory of Riemann Surfaces*, Springer, 1970.
7. J. Schiff,  *$H^p$ -spaces of harmonic functions and the Wiener compactification*, Math. Z., **132** (1973), 135-140.

Received February 13, 1975 and in revised form March 18, 1975.



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics  
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Norman Larrabee Alling, <i>On Cauchy's theorem for real algebraic curves with boundary</i> .....	315
Daniel D. Anderson, <i>A remark on the lattice of ideals of a Prüfer domain</i> .....	323
Dennis Neal Barr and Peter D. Miletta, <i>A necessary and sufficient condition for uniqueness of solutions to two point boundary value problems</i> .....	325
Ladislav Beran, <i>On solvability of generalized orthomodular lattices</i> .....	331
L. Carlitz, <i>A three-term relation for some sums related to Dedekind sums</i> .....	339
Arthur Herbert Copeland, Jr. and Albert Oscar Shar, <i>Images and pre-images of localization maps</i> .....	349
G. G. Dandapat, John L. Hunsucker and Carl Pomerance, <i>Some new results on odd perfect numbers</i> .....	359
M. Edelstein and L. Keener, <i>Characterizations of infinite-dimensional and nonreflexive spaces</i> .....	365
Francis James Flanigan, <i>On Levi factors of derivation algebras and the radical embedding problem</i> .....	371
Harvey Friedman, <i>Provable equality in primitive recursive arithmetic with and without induction</i> .....	379
Joseph Braucher Fugate and Lee K. Mohler, <i>The fixed point property for tree-like continua with finitely many arc components</i> .....	393
John Norman Ginsburg and Victor Harold Saks, <i>Some applications of ultrafilters in topology</i> .....	403
Arjun K. Gupta, <i>Generalisation of a "square" functional equation</i> .....	419
Thomas Lee Hayden and Frank Jones Massey, <i>Nonlinear holomorphic semigroups</i> .....	423
V. Kannan and Thekkedath Thrivikraman, <i>Lattices of Hausdorff compactifications of a locally compact space</i> .....	441
J. E. Kerlin and Wilfred Dennis Pepe, <i>Norm decreasing homomorphisms between group algebras</i> .....	445
Young K. Kwon, <i>Behavior of <math>\Phi</math>-bounded harmonic functions at the Wiener boundary</i> .....	453
Richard Arthur Levaro, <i>Projective quasi-coherent sheaves of modules</i> .....	457
Chung Lin, <i>Rearranging Fourier transforms on groups</i> .....	463
David Lowell Lovelady, <i>An asymptotic analysis of an odd order linear differential equation</i> ..	475
Jerry Malzan, <i>On groups with a single involution</i> .....	481
J. F. McClendon, <i>Metric families</i> .....	491
Carl Pomerance, <i>On multiply perfect numbers with a special property</i> .....	511
Mohan S. Putcha and Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>Polynomial constraints for finiteness of semisimple rings</i> .....	519
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Hyponormal contractions and strong power convergence</i> .....	531
Douglas Conner Ravenel, <i>Multiplicative operations in <math>BP^*BP</math></i> .....	539
Judith Roitman, <i>Attaining the spread at cardinals which are not strong limits</i> .....	545
Kazuyuki Saitô, <i>Groups of *-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras</i> ....	553
Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, <i>Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds</i> .....	559
Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, <i>The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere</i> .....	563
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property</i> .....	575
J. Pelham Thomas, <i>Maximal connected Hausdorff spaces</i> .....	581
Charles Thomas Tucker, II, <i>Concerning <math>\sigma</math>-homomorphisms of Riesz spaces</i> .....	585
Rangachari Venkataraman, <i>Compactness in abelian topological groups</i> .....	591
William Charles Waterhouse, <i>Basically bounded functors and flat sheaves</i> .....	597
David Westreich, <i>Bifurcation of operator equations with unbounded linearized part</i> .....	611
William Robin Zame, <i>Extendibility, boundedness and sequential convergence in spaces of holomorphic functions</i> .....	619