

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SEMIPRIME RINGS WITH THE SINGULAR SPLITTING
PROPERTY**

MARK LAWRENCE TEPLY

SEMIPRIME RINGS WITH THE SINGULAR SPLITTING PROPERTY

MARK L. TEPLY

A (right nonsingular) ring R is called a splitting ring if, for every right R -module M , the singular submodule $Z(M)$ is a direct summand of M . If R is a semiprime splitting ring with zero right socle, then R contains no infinite direct sum of two-sided ideals. As applications of this result, the center of a semiprime splitting ring with zero socle is analyzed, and the study of splitting ring is completely reduced to the case where R is a prime ring. The center of a semiprime splitting ring is a von Neumann regular ring.

1. Introduction. In this paper R denotes an associative ring with identity element. Unless otherwise noted, all modules will be unital right modules.

Considerable work has recently been done on the problem of characterizing the rings for which the singular submodule $Z(M)$ of every module M is a direct summand. Such rings will be called *splitting rings* in this paper. Every splitting ring is a right nonsingular ring. Rotman [6] showed that a commutative integral domain is a splitting ring if and only if it is a field. Cateforis and Sandomierski [1] characterized the commutative splitting rings as the von Neumann regular rings R with the property that, for every essential ideal I of R , R/I is a direct sum of fields. In a series of papers [2, 3, 4], Goodearl (a) reduced the study of splitting rings to the study of rings with essential right socle or zero right socle, (b) characterized the splitting rings with essential right socle, and (c) showed via a triangular matrix ring structure theorem that, in order to complete the characterization of splitting rings, it is sufficient to study the semiprime splitting rings with zero right socle.

In Theorem 7 of this paper, we show that a semiprime splitting ring with zero right socle is an essential product of *finitely* many prime splitting rings with zero right socle. (A ring R is an essential product of the rings R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n , if R is a subdirect product of R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n which contains an essential right ideal of $\prod_{i=1}^n R_i$.) Each prime ring used for the essential product in Theorem 7 is a homomorphic image of R which is determined in a natural way; so the product of prime rings is constructable from R . Moreover, *Theorem 7 can be used in the following way to reduce the study of splitting rings to the case where R is a prime ring with zero right socle.* By the discussion above, we only need to construct the semiprime

splitting rings with zero right socle from the prime ones. By an iterative use of [3, Theorem 12], all (necessarily semiprime) splitting rings which are essential products of prime splitting rings with zero right socle can be constructed. But Theorem 7 says that every semiprime splitting ring with zero right socle is such an essential product. Thus all semiprime splitting rings with zero right socle can be constructed from the prime splitting rings with zero right socle.

In Theorem 4 and Corollaries 5 and 6, we find useful necessary conditions for semiprime and prime rings to be a splitting ring.

2. The results. The proof of the following lemma is contained in the proof of [2, Theorem 5.3].

LEMMA 1. *If R is a splitting ring and I is a two-sided ideal of R , then R/I is a right perfect ring whenever I is essential as a right ideal of R .*

THEOREM 2. *If R is a semiprime splitting ring with zero right socle, then R contains no infinite direct sum of two-sided ideals.*

Proof. Let A be an index set such that $I = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} I_\alpha$ is a maximal direct sum of two-sided ideals of R . If L is a nonzero right ideal of R such that $L \cap I = 0$, then RL is a nonzero two-sided ideal of R . By our choice of I , $I \cap RL \neq 0$. But $(I \cap RL)^2 \subseteq RL \cdot I \subseteq 0$, which contradicts R semiprime. Thus I is an essential right ideal of R .

If $|A|$ is infinite, partition A into a countable number of disjoint infinite sets $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. If $Z(R/\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} I_\alpha) = 0$, then choose $M_\alpha (\alpha \in A_i)$ to be an essential submodule of I_α such that $M_\alpha \neq I_\alpha$. (We can do this since R has zero right socle.) Thus

$$Z\left(R / \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} M_\alpha\right) = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} I_\alpha\right) / \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} M_\alpha\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} I_\alpha / M_\alpha$$

is not finitely generated. Hence $Z(R/\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} M_\alpha)$ cannot be a direct summand of $R/\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} M_\alpha$, which contradicts the splitting hypothesis. Hence $Z(R/\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} I_\alpha) \neq 0$.

By the splitting hypothesis, there exists $e_i \in R - (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} I_\alpha)$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ such that

- (a) $e_i^2 = e_i \pmod{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} I_\alpha}$,
- (b) $e_i R + (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} I_\alpha)$ is an essential extension of $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} I_\alpha$, and
- (c) $e_i R + (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} I_\alpha)$ is a two-sided ideal of R .

Hence $e_i e_j \in (e_i R + (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} I_\alpha)) \cap (e_j R + (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_j} I_\alpha)) = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Thus, $\{e_i + I\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a set of orthogonal idempotents in R/I .

If $e_i \in I$, then $e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j$, where $x_j \in I_{\alpha_j}$ and at least one $\alpha_j \in A_i$. By (b) there exists an essential right ideal K such that $e_i K \subseteq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A_i} I_\alpha$;

so by properties of the direct sum $x_j K = 0$ for $\alpha_j \in A_i$. But this contradicts $Z(R_R) = 0$; hence $e_i \in I$ for any i . Thus $\{e_i + I\}_{i=1}^\infty$ forms an infinite set of distinct orthogonal idempotents in R/I , and hence R/I cannot be right perfect. This contradicts Lemma 1, and therefore A must be finite.

COROLLARY 3. *The center C of a semiprime splitting ring R with zero right socle is a semiprime Goldie ring.*

Proof. Let A be an index set, and let $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} C_\alpha$ be a direct sum of ideals of C . Now $C_\beta R \cap (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A - \{\beta\}} C_\alpha R) = 0$; for

$$\left(C_\beta R \cap \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A - \{\beta\}} C_\alpha R \right) \right)^2 = 0$$

and R is semiprime. Hence $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} C_\alpha R$ is a direct sum of two-sided ideals of R . So A must be a finite set by Theorem 2.

If $N \subseteq C$ and $N^2 = 0$, then $(RN)^2 = 0$; so, since R is semiprime, $N = 0$. Therefore, C is semiprime and hence nonsingular. By [7, Lemma 3] C must have acc on annihilators.

THEOREM 4. *The center C of a semiprime splitting ring R is von Neumann regular.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq d \in C$, and let $K = \{r \in R \mid dr = 0\}$. Since $d \in C$, K is a two-sided ideal of R . Since $Z(R_R) = 0$, then $Z(R/K) = 0$. Hence R/K is a splitting ring (see [2, Proposition 1.11]). From the definition of K and the semiprimeness of R , it follows that $\bar{d} = d + K$ is not a zero-divisor in R/K .

Let $R' = R/K$. Define $M = \prod_{n=1}^\infty R'/\bar{d}^n R'$. Assume that $\bar{d}^{-1} \in R'$, so that $\bar{d} R' \cong \bar{d}^2 R' \cong \bar{d}^3 R' \cong \dots$. Note that $\bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty R'/\bar{d}^n R' \subseteq Z(M)$. A nonzero element x of M is said to have infinite height in M if there exists $y_n \in M$ for each positive integer n such that $y_n \bar{d}^n = x$. Since \bar{d}^n is an element of the center of R' , \bar{d}^n annihilates the first n coordinates of M ; so M has no elements of infinite height. Since $M/Z(M)$ is a direct summand of M by the splitting hypothesis, then in order to get $\bar{d}^{-1} \in R'$ it is sufficient to show that $M/Z(M)$ has elements of infinite height.

Let

$$x = (1 + \bar{d} R', 1 + \bar{d}^2 R', \bar{d} + \bar{d}^3 R', \bar{d} + \bar{d}^4 R', \dots, \bar{d}^n + \bar{d}^{2n+1} R', \bar{d}^n + \bar{d}^{2n+2} R', \dots).$$

If $x \in Z(M)$, then there exists an essential right ideal J of R' such that $xJ = 0$. Thus $\bar{d}^n J \subseteq \bar{d}^{2n+1} R'$ for all n . But \bar{d} is not a zero-divisor

in R' ; so $J \subseteq \bar{d}^{n+1}R'$ for each n . Hence $I = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{d}^{n+1}R' \cong J$ is a two-sided ideal of R' , and I is essential as a right ideal of R' . Thus R'/I is a right perfect ring by Lemma 1. Thus R'/I has dcc on principal left ideals; but this contracts the assumption that

$$\bar{d}R' \cong \bar{d}^2R' \cong \bar{d}^3R' \cong \dots \cong I.$$

Therefore, $x \in Z(M)$. Let

$$y_n = (0, 0, \dots, 1 + \bar{d}^{2n+1}R', 1 + \bar{d}^{2n+2}R', \bar{d} + \bar{d}^{2n+3}R', \bar{d} + \bar{d}^{2n+4}R', \dots).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} x + Z(M) &= (1 + \bar{d}R', 1 + \bar{d}^2R', \dots, \bar{d}^{n-1} + \bar{d}^{2n}R', 0, 0, \dots) \\ &\quad + y_n \bar{d}^n + Z(M) = y_n \bar{d}^n + Z(M). \end{aligned}$$

So $x + Z(M)$ has infinite height in $M/Z(M)$.

Therefore $\bar{d}^{-1} \in R' = R/K$. Then $R = dR + K$. Since R is semi-prime, $dR \cap K = 0$. So $R = dR + K$ is a ring direct sum, and hence there exists $e = e^2 \in C$ such that $eR = K$. Consequently, $(d + e)^{-1} \in C$, and $d = d(d + e)^{-1}d$.

COROLLARY 5. *The center of a semiprime splitting ring with zero right socle is a direct sum of finitely many fields.*

Proof. By Corollary 3 and Theorem 4, C is a commutative, von Neumann regular, Goldie ring. Such a ring must be a direct sum of finitely many fields.

As the example in the second remark on page 161 of [1] shows, the “zero right socle” hypothesis cannot be dropped from Corollary 5. However, if R is a prime ring, then the “zero right socle” hypothesis can be dropped.

COROLLARY 6. *The center of a prime splitting ring is a field.*

Proof. Since R is prime, then each nonzero element in the center C of R is not a zero-divisor in C ; so it follows from Theorem 4 that C is a field.

Any field can be the center of a prime splitting ring with essential right socle. For example, given a field F , let R be the algebra over F whose basis consists of the identity of F and the set $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$, where multiplication is defined by

$$e_{hi}e_{jk} = \begin{cases} e_{hk} & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the center of R is F ; the right socle of R is $\sum_{i,j} e_{ij}R$; the

singular submodule of any R -module is injective and isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $R/\sum_{i,j} e_{ij}R$.

If F is a field of characteristic 0 or if F has finite cardinality, then examples of prime splitting rings with zero right socle and center F are known.

THEOREM 7. *A semiprime splitting ring with zero right socle is an essential product of finitely many prime splitting rings with zero right socle.*

Proof. By Theorem 2, R has no infinite direct sum of two-sided ideals. Hence R is an essential product of finitely many prime rings by [5, Proposition 9]. Each of these prime rings is a splitting ring, as each is nonsingular and a homomorphic image of R . Moreover, since any simple submodule of one of these prime rings would also be simple submodule of R , then each of the prime rings must have zero right socle.

REFERENCES

1. V. Cateforis and F. Sandomierski, *The singular submodule splits off*, J. Algebra, **10** (1968), 149-165.
2. K. R. Goodearl, *Singular torsion and the splitting properties*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **124** (1972).
3. ———, *Essential products of nonsingular rings*, Pacific J. Math., **45** (1973), 493-505.
4. ———, *Triangular representations of splitting rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **185** (1973), 271-285.
5. D. Handelman, *Strongly semiprime rings*, to appear in Pacific J. Math.
6. J. Rotman, *A characterization of fields among integral domains*, Anales Acad. Brasil Ciênc., **32** (1960), 193-194.
7. J. Zelmanowitz, *A shorter proof of Goldie's theorem*, Canad. Bull., **12** (1969), 597-602.

Received November 18, 1974.

UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

RICHARD ARENS (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. A. BEAUMONT
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

D. GILBARG AND J. MILGRAM
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. Items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics expects the author's institution to pay page charges, and reserves the right to delay publication for nonpayment of charges in case of financial emergency.

100 reprints are provided free for each article, only if page charges have been substantially paid. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$72.00 a year (6 Vols., 12 issues). Special rate: \$36.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 270, 3-chome Totsuka-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160, Japan.

Copyright © 1975 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Manufactured and first issued in Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 57, No. 2

February, 1975

Norman Larrabee Alling, <i>On Cauchy's theorem for real algebraic curves with boundary</i>	315
Daniel D. Anderson, <i>A remark on the lattice of ideals of a Prüfer domain</i>	323
Dennis Neal Barr and Peter D. Miletta, <i>A necessary and sufficient condition for uniqueness of solutions to two point boundary value problems</i>	325
Ladislav Beran, <i>On solvability of generalized orthomodular lattices</i>	331
L. Carlitz, <i>A three-term relation for some sums related to Dedekind sums</i>	339
Arthur Herbert Copeland, Jr. and Albert Oscar Shar, <i>Images and pre-images of localization maps</i>	349
G. G. Dandapat, John L. Hunsucker and Carl Pomerance, <i>Some new results on odd perfect numbers</i>	359
M. Edelstein and L. Keener, <i>Characterizations of infinite-dimensional and nonreflexive spaces</i>	365
Francis James Flanigan, <i>On Levi factors of derivation algebras and the radical embedding problem</i>	371
Harvey Friedman, <i>Provable equality in primitive recursive arithmetic with and without induction</i>	379
Joseph Braucher Fugate and Lee K. Mohler, <i>The fixed point property for tree-like continua with finitely many arc components</i>	393
John Norman Ginsburg and Victor Harold Saks, <i>Some applications of ultrafilters in topology</i>	403
Arjun K. Gupta, <i>Generalisation of a "square" functional equation</i>	419
Thomas Lee Hayden and Frank Jones Massey, <i>Nonlinear holomorphic semigroups</i>	423
V. Kannan and Thekkedath Thrivikraman, <i>Lattices of Hausdorff compactifications of a locally compact space</i>	441
J. E. Kerlin and Wilfred Dennis Pepe, <i>Norm decreasing homomorphisms between group algebras</i>	445
Young K. Kwon, <i>Behavior of Φ-bounded harmonic functions at the Wiener boundary</i>	453
Richard Arthur Levaro, <i>Projective quasi-coherent sheaves of modules</i>	457
Chung Lin, <i>Rearranging Fourier transforms on groups</i>	463
David Lowell Lovelady, <i>An asymptotic analysis of an odd order linear differential equation</i>	475
Jerry Malzan, <i>On groups with a single involution</i>	481
J. F. McClendon, <i>Metric families</i>	491
Carl Pomerance, <i>On multiply perfect numbers with a special property</i>	511
Mohan S. Putcha and Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>Polynomial constraints for finiteness of semisimple rings</i>	519
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Hyponormal contractions and strong power convergence</i>	531
Douglas Conner Ravenel, <i>Multiplicative operations in BP^*BP</i>	539
Judith Roitman, <i>Attaining the spread at cardinals which are not strong limits</i>	545
Kazuyuki Saitô, <i>Groups of $*$-automorphisms and invariant maps of von Neumann algebras</i>	553
Brian Kirkwood Schmidt, <i>Homotopy invariance of contravariant functors acting on smooth manifolds</i>	559
Kenneth Barry Stolarsky, <i>The sum of the distances to N points on a sphere</i>	563
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Semiprime rings with the singular splitting property</i>	575
J. Pelham Thomas, <i>Maximal connected Hausdorff spaces</i>	581
Charles Thomas Tucker, II, <i>Concerning σ-homomorphisms of Riesz spaces</i>	585
Rangachari Venkataraman, <i>Compactness in abelian topological groups</i>	591
William Charles Waterhouse, <i>Basically bounded functors and flat sheaves</i>	597
David Westreich, <i>Bifurcation of operator equations with unbounded linearized part</i>	611
William Robin Zame, <i>Extendibility, boundedness and sequential convergence in spaces of holomorphic functions</i>	619